

DISCRETE SUBSETS OF PERFECTLY NORMAL SPACES

R. M. STEPHENSON, JR.

ABSTRACT. Following R. W. Heath and E. A. Michael [HM], we call a topological space perfect if each of its closed subsets is a G_δ .

In this note we (i) give a characterization of compact perfect T_1 -spaces and (ii) obtain some results concerning the cardinality of discrete subsets of perfect spaces.

0. **Preliminaries.** We denote the cardinality of a set X by $|X|$, and we denote 2^{\aleph_0} by c .

As used here, the concepts of compactness, normality, etc. do not require that the T_1 -separation axiom be satisfied. By a *countably compact* space we will mean a space in which each countable open cover has a finite subcover. A space will be called a *Lindelöf* space if each open cover has a countable subcover.

Several ideas due to the referee are used below, namely, the proof of Theorem 2, and the observation that the conclusion of Theorem 3 holds in a more general situation than in just a countably compact, noncompact one.

1. **A characterization of compact perfect T_1 -spaces.** In [GFW], S. L. Gulden, W. M. Fleischman, and J. H. Weston call a topological space \aleph_0 -*bounded* if each of its countable subsets has compact closure.

DEFINITION. We shall call a space \aleph_0 -*discrete-bounded* if each of its countable discrete subsets has compact closure.

For any topological space one can prove the following: compact $\Rightarrow \aleph_0$ -bounded $\Rightarrow \aleph_0$ -discrete-bounded \Rightarrow countably compact. In a metric space all these properties are equivalent. For the larger class of perfect spaces, however, it is apparently not known if countable compactness implies compactness. In Theorem 1 we obtain a result in that direction.

THEOREM 1. *Let X be a T_1 -space which is perfect and \aleph_0 -discrete-bounded. Then X is compact.*

Theorem 1 is an immediate consequence of Theorems 2 and 3.

Received by the editors August 6, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 54D15; Secondary 54D30.

Key words and phrases. Compact perfectly normal spaces, discrete subspaces, countably compact perfect spaces.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

THEOREM 2. *Let X be a T_1 -space which is perfect and countably compact. Then every discrete subset Y of X is countable.*

PROOF. Let F be the set of all limit points of Y in X . Then F is closed in X , $Y \cap F = \emptyset$, and Y is closed in $X \setminus F$. Since $X \setminus F$ is open in X , $X \setminus F$ is an F_σ in X . Hence Y is also an F_σ in X . Because each closed discrete subspace of X is finite, Y must thus be countable.

THEOREM 3. *Let X be a space in which the closure of every countable discrete subset is a Lindelöf space, but suppose that X itself is not Lindelöf. Then X has an uncountable discrete subset.*

PROOF. Choose an open cover \mathcal{U} of X which has no countable subcover, and let \mathcal{M} be the family of all mappings f such that: (i) if $(x, V) \in f$ then $x \in X$, V is a neighborhood of x in X , and V is contained in some member of \mathcal{U} ; and (ii) if $(x, V), (y, W) \in f$ with $x \neq y$, then $x \notin W$ and $y \notin V$. Let m be a maximal element of (\mathcal{M}, \subset) , and define $I = \text{domain of } m$ and $\mathcal{D} = \text{range of } m$.

Clearly I is discrete, and so if it is uncountable, our proof is complete.

Let us suppose that I is countable. Then \bar{I} is Lindelöf, and hence $\bar{I} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{C}$ for some countable subcollection \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{U} . By (i), $\bigcup \mathcal{D}$ is also covered by a countable subcollection of \mathcal{U} . Since no countable subcollection of \mathcal{U} covers X , there must exist a point $x \in X$ such that $x \notin \bigcup \mathcal{C}$ and $x \notin \bigcup \mathcal{D}$. But if U is any set in \mathcal{U} with $x \in U$ then $m \cup \{(x, U \cap (X \setminus \bar{I}))\}$ is a member of \mathcal{M} strictly greater than m .

2. The cardinality of discrete subsets of perfect spaces. If a perfectly normal space X has a dense subset of cardinality $\aleph \geq \aleph_0$, then a simple counting argument shows that for every discrete subset I of X , one must have $2^{|I|} \leq 2^{\aleph}$. (A variety of examples show that if X is just perfect but not normal, then this inequality need not hold.)

In Theorem 2 we proved that if a perfect T_1 -space satisfies a certain compactness condition, namely, countable compactness, then there is a sharper upper bound for the cardinalities of its discrete subsets. A related condition, one that is known to be implied by countable compactness, equivalent to it in normal spaces, and equivalent to pseudocompactness in completely regular spaces, is *feeble compactness*—the requirement that every locally finite system of open sets be finite. The example in [GJ, 5I] shows that in Theorem 2 countable compactness cannot be weakened to feeble compactness, even for a perfect, locally compact, zero-dimensional T_1 -space; however, a proof similar to the proof of Theorem 2 shows that every *open* discrete subset of a perfect feebly compact space is countable.

It should be noted that, in the presence of the Continuum Hypothesis, Theorem 2 is known for the case in which the space X is compact

Hausdorff. For by a result of Alexandrov and Urysohn [AU2], every perfect compact Hausdorff space has no more than \mathfrak{c} closed sets, and, obviously, no space with the latter property can have a discrete subset of cardinality $\geq \mathfrak{c}$. We show next that the hypothesis of this theorem of Alexandrov and Urysohn (and also the hypotheses of the results established in [AU1, pp. 38–41]) can be weakened.

THEOREM 4. *Let X be a perfect T_1 -space. (i) If X is either Lindelöf or countably compact then $|X| \leq \mathfrak{c}$. (ii) If X is Lindelöf, and if each point $x \in X$ has a fundamental system of neighborhoods of cardinality $\leq \mathfrak{c}$, then X has no more than \mathfrak{c} closed sets.*

PROOF. (i) According to [HJ, Theorem 6], if X is a space in which (a) each point is a G_δ and (b) each discrete subset is countable, then $|X| \leq \mathfrak{c}$. Since here X is T_1 , perfect, and either countably compact or hereditarily Lindelöf, (a) and (b) are satisfied. (ii) Then X has a base \mathcal{B} with $|\mathcal{B}| \leq \mathfrak{c}$, and every closed subset of X can be obtained by taking a countable intersection of countable unions of members of \mathcal{B} .

The following space X is a perfect, feebly compact, extremally disconnected Hausdorff space of cardinality $> \mathfrak{c}$.

EXAMPLE 5. Let $N \subset Y \subset \beta N$ with Y pseudocompact and $|Y| > \mathfrak{c}$. Let X be the space whose points are those of Y , and which is topologized as follows: each point of N is isolated; a neighborhood of a point $p \in X \setminus N$ is any superset of a set of the form $\{p\} \cup (N \cap F)$ where F is a neighborhood of p in Y .

We conclude by raising two questions. (i) Is there a regular perfect T_1 -space that is countably compact but not compact? (ii) Is there a compact Hausdorff space which has no more than \mathfrak{c} closed sets but which is not perfect?

We observe that no subspace of a linearly ordered space can be used to obtain an affirmative answer to (i), for D. Lutzer [L] has proved that a perfect subspace of a linearly ordered space is hereditarily paracompact.

If $\mathfrak{c} = \exp(\aleph_1)$ then the ordered space consisting of all ordinals $\leq \omega_1$ is a compact Hausdorff space which is not perfect but in which there are no more than \mathfrak{c} closed sets.

REFERENCES

- [AU1] P. Alexandrov and P. Urysohn, *Mémoire sur les espaces topologiques compacts*, Verh. Kon. Akad. Wetensch. Amsterdam **14** (1929), no. 1, 1–96.
 [AU2] ———, “On compact topological spaces,” in P. S. Urysohn, *Works in topology and other fields of mathematics*. Vol. 2, GITTL, Moscow, 1951. MR **14**, 122.
 [GJ] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, University Series in Higher Math., Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1960. MR **22** #6994.

[GFW] S. L. Gulden, W. M. Fleischman and J. H. Weston, *Linearly ordered topological spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (1970), 197–203: MR **40** #3511.

[HJ] A. Hajnal and I. Juhász, *Discrete subspaces of topological spaces*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A **70** = Indag. Math. **29** (1967), 343–356: MR **37** #4769.

[HM] R. W. Heath and E. A. Michael, *A property of the Sorgenfrey line*, Compositio Math. **23** (1971), 185–188.

[L] D. J. Lutzer, *On generalized ordered spaces*, Proc. Washington State Univ. Conf. on General Topology (Pullman, Wash., 1970), Pi Mu Epsilon, Dept. of Math., Washington State Univ., Pullman, Wash., 1970, pp. 102–110. MR **42** #1075.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA, CHAPEL HILL,
NORTH CAROLINA 27514