

## ZEROS OF $\zeta'(s)$ IN THE CRITICAL STRIP

ROBERT SPIRA

**ABSTRACT.** It is shown that the abscissa of convergence for the Dirichlet series  $(-1)^k(1-2^{1-s})^{k+1}\zeta^{(k)}(s)$  is zero, where  $\zeta(s)$  is the Riemann zeta function. This implies the existence of infinitely many zeros of  $\zeta'(s)$  in the critical strip.

Let  $s=\sigma+it$ . It is known that all nonreal zeros of  $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$ , the  $k$ th derivative of the Riemann zeta function, lie in a vertical strip surrounding the critical strip (Spira [1], [2]), and that  $N_k(T)$ , the number of zeros of  $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$ ,  $0 < t \leq T$ , satisfies (Berndt [5])

$$(1) \quad N_k(T) \sim (T/2\pi)\log T.$$

Bohr and Landau [6] showed that if a Dirichlet series converges for  $\sigma > 0$ , then  $N(\alpha, T)$ , the number of zeros for  $\sigma > \alpha$  and  $0 \leq t \leq T$ , is  $O(T)$  for  $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ . This covers the case of Dirichlet  $L$ -series, and hence of  $L^{(k)}(s, \chi)$ . For  $N(\sigma, T)$  for  $\zeta(s)$ , Bohr and Landau applied their theorem to  $(1-2^{1-s})\zeta(s)$ . In the present paper, we show how the Bohr-Landau theorem can be applied to  $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$ , by considering the function  $(-1)^k(1-2^{1-s})^{k+1}\zeta^{(k)}(s)$  (a method which is in the folklore). A consequence of this result by (1) is that the critical strip contains infinitely many zeros of  $\zeta'(s)$ , and indeed that most of the zeros of  $\zeta'(s)$  will lie in the strip  $(0, \frac{1}{2} + \delta)$  for every  $\delta > 0$ . On the Riemann hypothesis this will be true for every strip  $[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \delta)$  (Spira [4]).

**THEOREM.** *The abscissa of convergence of*

$$(-1)^k(1-2^{1-s})^{k+1}\zeta^{(k)}(s)$$

*is zero for  $k \geq 0$ .*

**PROOF.** For  $k=0$ , the result is known. Integrating by parts, we have  $\int \log^k u \, du = uP_k(\log u)$  where  $P_k$  is a monic polynomial of degree  $k$ . Next, an easy computation gives

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \log^k n = \int_1^x \log^k u \, du + O(\log^k x), \quad x \geq 1.$$

Received by the editors November 15, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 10H05.

Key words and phrases. Riemann zeta function.

Let  $(-1)^k(1 - 2^{1-s})^{k+1}\zeta^{(k)}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$ . Then

$$a_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\min(k+1, j)} \binom{k+1}{\nu} (-2)^\nu \left(\log \frac{n}{2^\nu}\right)^k \quad \text{if } 2^j \parallel n$$

( $j$  = the exact power of 2 dividing  $n$ ). Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^N a_n &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{\nu} (-2)^\nu \sum_{n \leq N; 2^\nu | n} \left(\log \frac{n}{2^\nu}\right)^k \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{\nu} (-2)^\nu \sum_{m \leq N/2^\nu} (\log m)^k \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{\nu} (-2)^\nu \left\{ \frac{N}{2^\nu} P_k \left(\log \frac{N}{2^\nu}\right) - P_k(0) + O(\log^k N) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now,  $\sum_{\nu=0}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{\nu} (-1)^\nu P_k(\log x - \nu \log 2)$  is the  $(k+1)$ th difference of a polynomial in  $\nu$  of degree  $k$ , and so is 0. Hence,

$$s_N = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n = O(\log^k N).$$

If  $\sum a_n$  converges, then the abscissa of convergence is 0 (Titchmarsh [7, Chapter 9]) as the abscissa of absolute convergence is 1. If  $\sum a_n$  diverges, then the abscissa of convergence is  $\geq 0$  and  $\leq \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \log |s_N| / \log N \leq \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} (\log k + \log \log N) / \log N = 0$ . So the abscissa of convergence is 0, proving the theorem.

From the results for  $k=1$  of this paper, it appears highly unlikely that one could show a small strip  $[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \delta)$  free of zeros of  $\zeta'(s)$ , and thus show the simplicity of the zeros by such a method.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. Spira, *Zero-free regions of  $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$* , J. London Math. Soc. **40** (1965), 677–682. MR **31** #5849.
2. ———, *Another zero-free region for  $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (1970), 246–247. MR **41** #8354.
3. ———, *On the Riemann zeta function*, J. London Math. Soc. **44** (1969), 325–328. MR **41** #5308.
4. ———, *Zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  and the Riemann hypothesis*, Illinois J. Math. (to appear).
5. B. Berndt, *The number of zeros for  $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$* , J. London Math. Soc. (2) **2**(1970), 577–580. MR **42** #1776.
6. H. Bohr and E. Landau, *Ein Satz über Dirichletsche Reihen mit Anwendung auf die  $\zeta$ -Funktion und die L-Funktionen*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **37** (1914), 269–272.
7. E. C. Titchmarsh, *Theory of functions*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1950.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MICHIGAN 48823