

SPARSE SUBSETS OF ORTHONORMAL SYSTEMS

J. J. PRICE

ABSTRACT. There exist families of Walsh, Haar and trigonometric functions that have density zero and yet are complete in the sense of measure.

1. Introduction. Goffman and Waterman proved elegantly [1] that from a system of functions which is total in measure on $[0, 1]$, one may discard a suitable infinite subset without destroying totality. It is natural to ask "how many" functions may be discarded from specific systems or equivalently, how "thin" a subset can be and still be total in measure. In this regard, we have proved [2] that a system $\{\psi_{n_i}\}$ of Walsh functions is total in measure on $[0, 1]$ if it has density 1, where

$$\text{density} = \limsup_k \limsup_n \frac{\Lambda(n+k) - \Lambda(n)}{k},$$

$\Lambda(n)$ denoting the number of indices n_i for which $n_i \leq n$.

In this paper we show there are actually Walsh families of density zero that are total in measure on $[0, 1]$, and that the same is true for the trigonometric and Haar functions:

THEOREM. *There exist subsets of density zero of the Walsh functions, the trigonometric functions $\{\cos 2\pi nx, \sin 2\pi nx\}$, and the Haar functions that are total in measure on $[0, 1]$.*

We shall give separate proofs for the three systems in the next three sections.

NOTATION. If Φ is a set of functions, $L(\Phi)$ will denote the set of all finite real linear combinations of elements of Φ . The word "total" will always mean "total in measure".

2. Walsh functions. We use a well-known property of the Dirichlet kernels of the Walsh system:

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(x) &= 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2^n-1} \psi_j(x) = 2^n, & x \in [0, 2^{-n}), \\ &= 0, & x \in [2^{-n}, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Received by the editors October 6, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 42A52; Secondary 28A20.

Key words and phrases. Walsh functions, Haar functions, total in measure.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

If $g_n(x) = 1 - D_n(x)$, then

$$g_n(x) = - \sum_{j=1}^{2^n-1} \psi_j(x) = 1 - 2^n, \quad x \in [0, 2^{-n}),$$

$$= 1, \quad x \in [2^{-n}, 1).$$

Clearly $g_n(x) \rightarrow 1$ in measure on $[0, 1]$.

We define

$$f_n(x) = g_n(2^{n^2}x) = \sum_{j=1}^{2^n-1} \psi_j(2^{n^2}x).$$

Then $f_n(x) \rightarrow 1$ in measure on $[0, 1]$. Furthermore, since for any Walsh function $\psi_j(x)$, $\psi_j(2^kx) = \psi_{j \cdot 2^k}(x)$, we have $f_n \in L(\Psi_n)$ where

$$\Psi_n = [\psi_k : k = j \cdot 2^{n^2}, j = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n - 1].$$

Now let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \dots$ denote the sequence of Walsh functions $\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2, \dots$ in which each Walsh function occurs infinitely often. Define $\Psi = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n \Psi_n$. The set $\varphi_n \Psi_n$ consists of Walsh functions whose indices are in arithmetic progression, with common difference 2^{n^2} . It follows that Ψ has density zero. To see that Ψ is total, note that for each Walsh function ψ_k , there is a sequence $\{n_i\}$, depending on k , for which $\psi_k \Psi_{n_i} \subset \Psi$. Therefore,

$$\psi_k f_{n_i} \in L(\psi_k \Psi_{n_i}) \subset L(\Psi).$$

But $f_{n_i}(x) \rightarrow 1$, so $\psi_k(x) f_{n_i}(x) \rightarrow \psi_k(x)$ in measure on $[0, 1]$. Thus each Walsh function can be approximated arbitrarily closely in measure by elements of $L(\Psi)$. Therefore Ψ is total.

3. Trigonometric functions. As in the preceding section, we construct a sequence $\{f_n(x)\}$ such that $f_n(x) \rightarrow 1$ in measure on $[0, 1]$. This time we use the fact that $K_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ in measure on $[0, 1]$, where $K_n(x)$ is the Fejer kernel.

Let $\{m_n\}$ be a sequence of positive integers increasing so rapidly that $m_{n+1} > m_n^2$, for instance $m_n = 2^{n!}$. Define

$$f_n(x) = 1 - K_{m_n}(m_n x) = \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} a_{kn} \cos(2\pi m_n k x).$$

Then $f_n(x) \rightarrow 1$ in measure on $[0, 1]$ and $f_n \in L(\Phi_n)$ where

$$\Phi_n = [\cos 2\pi j x : j = m_n, 2m_n, \dots, m_n^2].$$

Let $\{k_n\}$ denote the sequence $0, 1, 0, 1, 2, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Define

$$\Phi'_n = [\sin 2\pi j x, \cos 2\pi j x : j = m_n \pm k_n, 2m_n \pm k_n, \dots, m_n^2 \pm k_n]$$

and $\Phi = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi'_n$. It is clear that Φ has density zero.

For each positive integer j , there is a sequence $\{n_i\}$ depending on j , such that

$$(\sin 2\pi jx)\Phi_{n_i} \in L(\Phi'_{n_i}), \quad (\cos 2\pi jx)\Phi_{n_i} \in L(\Phi'_{n_i}).$$

It follows that

$$(\sin 2\pi jx)f_{n_i}(x), (\cos 2\pi jx)f_{n_i}(x) \in L(\Phi'_{n_i}) \subset L(\Phi).$$

Since $f_{n_i}(x) \rightarrow 1$ in measure on $[0, 1]$,

$$(\sin 2\pi jx)f_{n_i}(x) \rightarrow \sin 2\pi jx, \quad (\cos 2\pi jx)f_{n_i}(x) \rightarrow \cos 2\pi jx.$$

Hence each function $\sin 2\pi jx$, $\cos 2\pi jx$ can be approximated arbitrarily closely in measure by elements of $L(\Phi)$. Therefore Φ is total.

4. Haar functions. Here we use a criterion proved by Robert E. Zink and the author [3]: Suppose $\{h_j\}$ is a family of Haar functions. Let $\sigma(h_j)$ denote the support of h_j and let $E = \limsup \sigma(h_j)$. Then $\{h_j\}$ is total on a set $G \subset [0, 1]$ if and only if $|G| = |G \cap E|$. In view of this criterion we shall exhibit a subset H of the Haar functions with density zero and such that $|E| = 1$.

Let B_n denote the block of Haar functions $[h_j: 2^n \leq j < 2^{n+1}]$. For each positive integer k , let G_k be the union of any collection of 2^k blocks B_n , subject to two conditions:

- (a) If $B_n, B_m \in G_k$, then $|n - m| > k$.
- (b) If $B_n \in G_k$ and $B_m \in G_{k+1}$, then $n < m$.

(These conditions imply $n > 2^k$ when $B_n \in G_k$ and $k > 2$.)

Now we define the desired system H of Haar functions. For each k let $H_k = [h_j: h_j \in G_k \text{ and } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2^k}]$; then set $H = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} H_k$. Clearly H has density zero (just as Ψ has density zero in §2).

For each B_n that occurs in some G_k , let

$$E_n = \bigcup \sigma(h_j) \quad (h_j \in B_n \cap H_k).$$

It is not hard to see that

$$E_n = [x: d_i(x) = 0, n - k + 1 \leq i \leq n]$$

where $d_i(x)$ is the i th dyadic digit of x . Thus $|E_n| = 2^{-k}$. Because of (a) and (b), each E_n is defined by conditions on different sets of dyadic digits, hence the E_n are independent sets. Since there are 2^k sets E_n for each k ,

$$\sum |E_n| = \sum_k \sum_{B_n \in G_k} |E_n| = \sum_k (2^k \cdot 2^{-k}) = \sum 1 = \infty.$$

It follows by the Borel-Cantelli Lemma that $|\limsup E_n| = 1$ which implies that H is total on $[0, 1]$.

REFERENCES

1. C. Goffman and D. Waterman, *Basic sequences in the space of measurable functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1960), 211–213. MR **22** #2886.
2. J. J. Price, *A density theorem for Walsh functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 209–211. MR **35** #656.
3. J. J. Price and R. E. Zink, *On sets of completeness for families of Haar functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **119** (1965), 262–269. MR **32** #1499.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF PARIS, ORSAY, FRANCE

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA
47907