

## SPARSE SUBSETS OF ORTHONORMAL SYSTEMS

J. J. PRICE

**ABSTRACT.** There exist families of Walsh, Haar and trigonometric functions that have density zero and yet are complete in the sense of measure.

**1. Introduction.** Goffman and Waterman proved elegantly [1] that from a system of functions which is total in measure on  $[0, 1]$ , one may discard a suitable infinite subset without destroying totality. It is natural to ask "how many" functions may be discarded from specific systems or equivalently, how "thin" a subset can be and still be total in measure. In this regard, we have proved [2] that a system  $\{\psi_{n_i}\}$  of Walsh functions is total in measure on  $[0, 1]$  if it has density 1, where

$$\text{density} = \limsup_k \limsup_n \frac{\Lambda(n+k) - \Lambda(n)}{k},$$

$\Lambda(n)$  denoting the number of indices  $n_i$  for which  $n_i \leq n$ .

In this paper we show there are actually Walsh families of density zero that are total in measure on  $[0, 1]$ , and that the same is true for the trigonometric and Haar functions:

**THEOREM.** *There exist subsets of density zero of the Walsh functions, the trigonometric functions  $\{\cos 2\pi nx, \sin 2\pi nx\}$ , and the Haar functions that are total in measure on  $[0, 1]$ .*

We shall give separate proofs for the three systems in the next three sections.

**NOTATION.** If  $\Phi$  is a set of functions,  $L(\Phi)$  will denote the set of all finite real linear combinations of elements of  $\Phi$ . The word "total" will always mean "total in measure".

**2. Walsh functions.** We use a well-known property of the Dirichlet kernels of the Walsh system:

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(x) &= 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2^n-1} \psi_j(x) = 2^n, & x \in [0, 2^{-n}), \\ &= 0, & x \in [2^{-n}, 1). \end{aligned}$$

---

Received by the editors October 6, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 42A52; Secondary 28A20.

Key words and phrases. Walsh functions, Haar functions, total in measure.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

If  $g_n(x) = 1 - D_n(x)$ , then

$$g_n(x) = - \sum_{j=1}^{2^n-1} \psi_j(x) = 1 - 2^n, \quad x \in [0, 2^{-n}),$$

$$= 1, \quad x \in [2^{-n}, 1).$$

Clearly  $g_n(x) \rightarrow 1$  in measure on  $[0, 1]$ .

We define

$$f_n(x) = g_n(2^{n^2}x) = \sum_{j=1}^{2^n-1} \psi_j(2^{n^2}x).$$

Then  $f_n(x) \rightarrow 1$  in measure on  $[0, 1]$ . Furthermore, since for any Walsh function  $\psi_j(x)$ ,  $\psi_j(2^kx) = \psi_{j \cdot 2^k}(x)$ , we have  $f_n \in L(\Psi_n)$  where

$$\Psi_n = [\psi_k : k = j \cdot 2^{n^2}, j = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n - 1].$$

Now let  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \dots$  denote the sequence of Walsh functions  $\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2, \dots$  in which each Walsh function occurs infinitely often. Define  $\Psi = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n \Psi_n$ . The set  $\varphi_n \Psi_n$  consists of Walsh functions whose indices are in arithmetic progression, with common difference  $2^{n^2}$ . It follows that  $\Psi$  has density zero. To see that  $\Psi$  is total, note that for each Walsh function  $\psi_k$ , there is a sequence  $\{n_i\}$ , depending on  $k$ , for which  $\psi_k \Psi_{n_i} \subset \Psi$ . Therefore,

$$\psi_k f_{n_i} \in L(\psi_k \Psi_{n_i}) \subset L(\Psi).$$

But  $f_{n_i}(x) \rightarrow 1$ , so  $\psi_k(x) f_{n_i}(x) \rightarrow \psi_k(x)$  in measure on  $[0, 1]$ . Thus each Walsh function can be approximated arbitrarily closely in measure by elements of  $L(\Psi)$ . Therefore  $\Psi$  is total.

**3. Trigonometric functions.** As in the preceding section, we construct a sequence  $\{f_n(x)\}$  such that  $f_n(x) \rightarrow 1$  in measure on  $[0, 1]$ . This time we use the fact that  $K_n(x) \rightarrow 0$  in measure on  $[0, 1]$ , where  $K_n(x)$  is the Fejer kernel.

Let  $\{m_n\}$  be a sequence of positive integers increasing so rapidly that  $m_{n+1} > m_n^2$ , for instance  $m_n = 2^{n!}$ . Define

$$f_n(x) = 1 - K_{m_n}(m_n x) = \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} a_{kn} \cos(2\pi m_n k x).$$

Then  $f_n(x) \rightarrow 1$  in measure on  $[0, 1]$  and  $f_n \in L(\Phi_n)$  where

$$\Phi_n = [\cos 2\pi j x : j = m_n, 2m_n, \dots, m_n^2].$$

Let  $\{k_n\}$  denote the sequence  $0, 1, 0, 1, 2, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Define

$$\Phi'_n = [\sin 2\pi j x, \cos 2\pi j x : j = m_n \pm k_n, 2m_n \pm k_n, \dots, m_n^2 \pm k_n]$$

and  $\Phi = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi'_n$ . It is clear that  $\Phi$  has density zero.

For each positive integer  $j$ , there is a sequence  $\{n_i\}$  depending on  $j$ , such that

$$(\sin 2\pi jx)\Phi_{n_i} \in L(\Phi'_{n_i}), \quad (\cos 2\pi jx)\Phi_{n_i} \in L(\Phi'_{n_i}).$$

It follows that

$$(\sin 2\pi jx)f_{n_i}(x), (\cos 2\pi jx)f_{n_i}(x) \in L(\Phi'_{n_i}) \subset L(\Phi).$$

Since  $f_{n_i}(x) \rightarrow 1$  in measure on  $[0, 1]$ ,

$$(\sin 2\pi jx)f_{n_i}(x) \rightarrow \sin 2\pi jx, \quad (\cos 2\pi jx)f_{n_i}(x) \rightarrow \cos 2\pi jx.$$

Hence each function  $\sin 2\pi jx$ ,  $\cos 2\pi jx$  can be approximated arbitrarily closely in measure by elements of  $L(\Phi)$ . Therefore  $\Phi$  is total.

**4. Haar functions.** Here we use a criterion proved by Robert E. Zink and the author [3]: Suppose  $\{h_j\}$  is a family of Haar functions. Let  $\sigma(h_j)$  denote the support of  $h_j$  and let  $E = \limsup \sigma(h_j)$ . Then  $\{h_j\}$  is total on a set  $G \subset [0, 1]$  if and only if  $|G| = |G \cap E|$ . In view of this criterion we shall exhibit a subset  $H$  of the Haar functions with density zero and such that  $|E| = 1$ .

Let  $B_n$  denote the block of Haar functions  $[h_j: 2^n \leq j < 2^{n+1}]$ . For each positive integer  $k$ , let  $G_k$  be the union of any collection of  $2^k$  blocks  $B_n$ , subject to two conditions:

- (a) If  $B_n, B_m \in G_k$ , then  $|n - m| > k$ .
- (b) If  $B_n \in G_k$  and  $B_m \in G_{k+1}$ , then  $n < m$ .

(These conditions imply  $n > 2^k$  when  $B_n \in G_k$  and  $k > 2$ .)

Now we define the desired system  $H$  of Haar functions. For each  $k$  let  $H_k = [h_j: h_j \in G_k \text{ and } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2^k}]$ ; then set  $H = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} H_k$ . Clearly  $H$  has density zero (just as  $\Psi$  has density zero in §2).

For each  $B_n$  that occurs in some  $G_k$ , let

$$E_n = \bigcup \sigma(h_j) \quad (h_j \in B_n \cap H_k).$$

It is not hard to see that

$$E_n = [x: d_i(x) = 0, n - k + 1 \leq i \leq n]$$

where  $d_i(x)$  is the  $i$ th dyadic digit of  $x$ . Thus  $|E_n| = 2^{-k}$ . Because of (a) and (b), each  $E_n$  is defined by conditions on different sets of dyadic digits, hence the  $E_n$  are independent sets. Since there are  $2^k$  sets  $E_n$  for each  $k$ ,

$$\sum |E_n| = \sum_k \sum_{B_n \in G_k} |E_n| = \sum_k (2^k \cdot 2^{-k}) = \sum_k 1 = \infty.$$

It follows by the Borel-Cantelli Lemma that  $|\limsup E_n| = 1$  which implies that  $H$  is total on  $[0, 1]$ .

## REFERENCES

1. C. Goffman and D. Waterman, *Basic sequences in the space of measurable functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1960), 211–213. MR **22** #2886.
2. J. J. Price, *A density theorem for Walsh functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 209–211. MR **35** #656.
3. J. J. Price and R. E. Zink, *On sets of completeness for families of Haar functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **119** (1965), 262–269. MR **32** #1499.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF PARIS, ORSAY, FRANCE

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA  
47907