

## ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF SOLUTIONS OF PERTURBED LINEAR SYSTEMS

L. E. BOBISUD

**ABSTRACT.** The existence of solutions of the system  $y' + Ay = f(t, y)$  having the form  $y(t) = Z(t)a(t)$  is proved, where  $Z(t)$  satisfies  $Z' + AZ = 0$  and the vector  $a(t)$  has limit  $\alpha$  as  $t$  increases. Estimates for the rate of convergence to zero of  $a(t) - \alpha$  and of  $y(t) - Z(t)\alpha$  are obtained.

Let  $Z(t)$  be a fundamental matrix of solutions for

$$(1) \quad z' + Az = 0,$$

where  $z$  is an  $n$ -vector and  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix of constants. We shall be concerned with the possibility of writing solutions of

$$(2) \quad y' + Ay = f(t, y)$$

( $y, f$ — $n$ -vectors) in the form

$$(3) \quad y(t) = Z(t)a(t)$$

where the vector  $a(t)$  has (finite) limit  $\alpha$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Our conditions will be such that both  $a(t) - \alpha$  and  $y(t) - Z(t)\alpha$  converge to zero, and we obtain estimates on the rates of convergence.

Problems of this nature have been investigated ([4]–[7], [10]–[13]) for  $n$ th order differential equations; of these papers [4], [5], [13] assume that the linear differential equation corresponding to (1) is disconjugate, and are thus able to obtain more precise results. Only [1], [2], [4], [9] seem to have considered the more general question of systems. The present results are related to these; however, we are able to obtain results for a more general class of functions  $f$  (see Corollary 2 and the example preceding it), and our asymptotic estimates are better. The latter is accomplished, in part, by estimating separately each component of  $f$  and by restricting consideration to constant matrices  $A$ .

For an  $n$ -vector  $a$  we use the norm  $\|a\| = \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|$ , we use also the notations  $|a| = (|a_1|, |a_2|, \dots, |a_n|)$  and  $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ . For two  $n$ -vectors  $p, q$  we write  $p \geq q$  if  $p_1 \geq q_1, p_2 \geq q_2, \dots, p_n \geq q_n$ . For an  $n \times n$

---

Received by the editors March 1, 1971 and, in revised form, February 15, 1972.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 34E05, 34E10.

Key words and phrases. Asymptotic behavior, perturbations.

matrix  $B=(b_{ij})$  we shall form an  $n$ -vector  $\|B\|$  with components  $\|B\|_i = \sup_{1 \leq j \leq n} |b_{ij}|$ , and we shall denote by  $|B|$  the matrix  $(|b_{ij}|)$ . Note that we have  $|Ba| \leq \|B\| \cdot \|a\|$ .

**THEOREM.** *Suppose for a given vector  $\alpha$  there exist  $T > 0$ , a fundamental matrix  $Z(t)$  of (1), and functions  $g, \psi$  with the following properties:*

- (i)  $f$  is continuous on  $[T, \infty) \times R^n$ ;
- (ii)  $g(t, s, x)$  is continuous on  $[T, \infty) \times [T, \infty) \times R^n$ ,  $0 \leq g(t, s, p) \leq g(t, s, q)$  whenever  $0 \leq p \leq q$ , and  $g$  satisfies  $|Z^{-1}(t)f(s, y)| \leq g(t, s, |y - Z(s)\alpha|)$  for  $(t, s, y) \in [T, \infty) \times [T, \infty) \times R^n$ ;
- (iii)  $\psi \in C([T, \infty))$ ,  $\psi > 0$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi(t) = 0$ , and

$$\psi(t) \geq \int_t^\infty g(s, s, |Z(s)| \psi(s)) ds$$

on  $[T, \infty)$ .

Then there exist solutions  $y$  of (2) of the form (3) where  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} a(t) = \alpha$ , and we have

$$(4) \quad |a(t) - \alpha| \leq \psi(t),$$

$$(5) \quad |y(t) - Z(t)\alpha| \leq \int_t^\infty g(s - t, s, |Z(s)| \psi(s)) ds$$

on  $[T, \infty)$ .

**PROOF.** Define an operator  $F$  on  $\mathcal{A} \equiv \{x(t) \in C([T, \infty)) : |x(t) - \alpha| \leq \psi(t) \text{ for } t \geq T\}$  by

$$Fx(t) = \alpha - \int_t^\infty Z^{-1}(s)f(s, Z(s)x(s)) ds;$$

we shall use the Schauder-Tychonov theorem [2] to prove that  $F$  has a fixed point in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since for  $x \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_t^\infty Z^{-1}(s)f(s, Z(s)x(s)) ds \right| &\leq \int_t^\infty g(s, s, |Z(s)[x(s) - \alpha]) ds \\ &\leq \int_t^\infty g(s, s, |Z(s)| \psi(s)) ds \leq \psi(t), \end{aligned}$$

$F$  is indeed defined on  $\mathcal{A}$  and maps  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{A}$ . It is easily seen in a similar manner that  $F$  is continuous, i.e., if  $x_n \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $[T, \infty)$ , then  $Fx_n \rightarrow Fx$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $[T, \infty)$ . Since the functions in  $\mathcal{A}$  are bounded, it remains only to show that the functions of  $F\mathcal{A}$  are equicontinuous. Let  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $t_1 \geq t_2 \geq T$ ; then

$$|Fx(t_1) - Fx(t_2)| \leq \int_{t_2}^{t_1} |Z^{-1}(s)f(s, Z(s)x(s))| ds \leq \int_{t_2}^{t_1} g(s, s, |Z(s)| \psi(s)) ds,$$

which is small if  $|t_1 - t_2|$  is since  $g$  is continuous.

Let  $\mathbf{a}(t) \in \mathcal{A}$  be a fixed point of  $F$ ; then  $\mathbf{y}(t) = Z(t)\mathbf{a}(t)$  is a solution of (2) on  $[T, \infty)$ , and (4) is established. To prove (5) observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{y}(t) - Z(t)\boldsymbol{\alpha}| &= \left| Z(t) \int_t^\infty Z^{-1}(s)f(s, Z(s)\mathbf{a}(s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_t^\infty |Z^{-1}(s-t)f(s, Z(s)\mathbf{a}(s))| ds \\ &\leq \int_t^\infty g(s-t, s, |Z(s)| \Psi(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Two subcases of this theorem are of particular interest, and we discuss them as corollaries. The first deals with the case where  $\|Z^{-1}(t)f(t, Z(t)\mathbf{a}(t))\|$  is integrable for any bounded continuous  $\mathbf{a}$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** *Suppose for some fundamental matrix  $Z(t)$  of (1) there exists a constant  $M$  and a continuous function  $\mathbf{h}$  on  $[0, \infty)^{n+2}$  such that*

- (i)  $0 \leq \mathbf{h}(t, s, \mathbf{p}) \leq \mathbf{h}(t, s, \mathbf{q})$  whenever  $0 \leq \mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{q}$ ;
- (ii)  $|Z^{-1}(t)f(s, \mathbf{y})| \leq \mathbf{h}(t, s, |\mathbf{y}|)$ ;
- (iii)  $\int_0^\infty \mathbf{h}(s, s, M \|Z(s)\|) ds < \infty$ .

*Then for all  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in R^n$  such that  $\|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\| < \frac{1}{2}M$  the hypotheses of the theorem are satisfied with*

$$\begin{aligned} g(t, s, \mathbf{p}) &\equiv \mathbf{h}(t, s, \mathbf{p} + \frac{1}{2}M \|Z(s)\|), \\ \Psi(t) &\equiv \int_t^\infty g(s, s, \frac{1}{2}M \|Z(s)\|) ds. \end{aligned}$$

**PROOF.** It is necessary to verify (ii) and (iii) of the theorem. But

$|Z^{-1}(t)f(s, \mathbf{y})| \leq \mathbf{h}(t, s, |\mathbf{y} - Z(s)\boldsymbol{\alpha}| + \|Z(s)\| \cdot \|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|) \leq g(t, s, |\mathbf{y} - Z(s)\boldsymbol{\alpha}|)$ ,  
 verifying (ii). Choosing  $T$  so large that  $\int_T^\infty \mathbf{h}(s, s, M \|Z(s)\|) ds < (M/2n)\mathbf{1}$ ,  
 and observing that  $g$  is increasing in its last argument, we have

$$\Psi(t) = \int_t^\infty g(s, s, \frac{1}{2}M \|Z(s)\|) ds = \int_t^\infty \mathbf{h}(s, s, M \|Z(s)\|) ds < \frac{M}{2n} \mathbf{1},$$

so  $\|\Psi(t)\| < \frac{1}{2}M$ , and

$$\int_t^\infty g(s, s, |Z(s)| \Psi(s)) ds \leq \int_t^\infty g(s, s, \frac{1}{2}M \|Z(s)\|) ds = \Psi(t).$$

We remark that the error estimate (5) can be replaced by the weaker but more convenient statement

$$(6) \quad |\mathbf{y}(t) - Z(t)\boldsymbol{\alpha}| \leq \int_t^\infty g(s-t, s, \frac{1}{2}M \|Z(s)\|) ds.$$

This corollary is connected with some results of [2], [4], [5], [7], [11], [12] when specialized to the  $n$ th order linear differential equation

$$(7) \quad x^{(n)} = f(t, x, x', \dots, x^{(n-1)})$$

where  $f$  satisfies  $|f(t, x, \dots, x^{(n-1)})| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g_i(t) |x^{(i)}|^{r_i}$  with  $r_i > 0$  and

$$(8) \quad \int_0^\infty t^{n-1} (1 + t + \dots + t^{n-i-1})^{r_i} g_i(t) dt < \infty \quad (i = 0, \dots, n - 1).$$

Indeed, writing (7) as a first-order system in the usual way (cf. [2]), we obtain the result that for any  $\alpha$  there exists a solution  $x_\alpha$  of (7) such that

$$\begin{aligned} |x_\alpha^{(j)} - \alpha_{j+1} - t\alpha_{j+2} - \dots - (t^{n-j-1}/(n-j-1)!) \alpha_n| \\ \leq \int_t^\infty (s-t)^j \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \|\alpha\|^{r_i} (1+s+\dots+s^{n-i-1})^{r_i} g_i(s) \right\} ds \\ (j = 0, \dots, n-1). \end{aligned}$$

We turn now to a different application of our main result. Consider the example of (2) given by the single first-order equation

$$(9) \quad y' = f(t, y) \equiv (1/t)(y-1)^2 + 1/t^3;$$

with  $\alpha=1, Z(t)=1$ , we have  $g(t, s, |y-\alpha|) = (1/t)|y-1|^2 + 1/t^3$ . Since  $(1/t)|y(t)-1|^2$  is not necessarily integrable even when  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = 1$ , Corollary 1 is not applicable, and neither are the results of [2], [4]. However, our main theorem can be applied with  $\psi(t) = t^{-2+\epsilon}$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , as is readily seen, and from (5) we conclude that there exist solutions  $y(t)$  of (9) such that  $|y(t)-1| \leq 1/t^2$ . In this example the right-hand side  $f$  has the form

$$(10) \quad f(t, y) = f_1(t, y - Z(t)\alpha) + f_2(t, y),$$

where  $f_2 (= t^{-3})$  is integrable for bounded  $y$  but  $f_1$  is *not* integrable even for all  $y$  tending to  $Z(t)\alpha$ . We generalize this situation in the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Suppose for some fundamental matrix  $Z(t)$  of (1) and some  $\alpha$  we have (10) valid, where there exist continuous functions  $h_1, h_2$  on  $[0, \infty)^{n+2}$  satisfying*

- (i)  $0 \leq h_i(t, s, p) \leq h_i(t, s, q)$  if  $0 \leq p \leq q$  ( $i = 1, 2$ );
- (ii)  $|Z^{-1}(t)f_2(s, y)| \leq h_2(t, s, |y|)$ , with

$$\int_0^\infty h_2(s, s, \|Z(s)\| \cdot \|\alpha\|) ds < \infty;$$

- (iii)  $|Z^{-1}(t)f_1(s, y)| \leq h_1(t, s, |y - Z(s)\alpha|)$ .

Suppose finally that there exists a continuous function  $\phi(t) > 0$  satisfying, for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and all large  $t$ ,  $\phi(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(t) &\geq \int_t^\infty h_1(s, s, (1 + \varepsilon) |Z(s)| \phi(s)) ds, \\ &\int_t^\infty h_2(s, s, 2 \|Z(s)\| \cdot \|\alpha\|) ds \leq \varepsilon \phi(t).\end{aligned}$$

Then the hypotheses of the theorem are satisfied for large  $T$  with

$$\begin{aligned}g(t, s, p) &= h_1(t, s, p) + h_2(t, s, p + \|Z(s)\| \cdot \|\alpha\|), \\ \psi(t) &= \phi(t) + \int_t^\infty h_2(s, s, 2 \|Z(s)\| \cdot \|\alpha\|) ds.\end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Hypothesis (ii) of the theorem is clearly satisfied; we have only to verify (iii). But for sufficiently large  $t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_t^\infty g(s, s, |Z(s)| \psi(s)) ds &\leq \int_t^\infty h_1(s, s, (1 + \varepsilon) |Z(s)| \phi(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^\infty h_2(s, s, |Z(s)| \psi(s) + \|Z(s)\| \cdot \|\alpha\|) ds \\ &\leq \phi(t) + \int_t^\infty h_2(s, s, 2 \|Z(s)\| \cdot \|\alpha\|) ds = \psi(t).\end{aligned}$$

As an application of Corollary 2 we determine conditions on the coefficients of the differential equation

$$(11) \quad u^{(n)} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i(t)(u^{(i)})^{r_i} + b(t)$$

( $r_i > 1$ ) which guarantee the existence of a solution  $u(t)$  such that  $u^{(j)}(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  ( $j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ). If  $b$  and all the  $a_i$  are integrable, this follows from Corollary 1, hence we assume that not all  $a_i$  are integrable. Writing the equation as a system in the usual manner, we have

$$Z(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t & t^2/2! & \cdots & t^{n-1}/(n-1)! \\ 0 & 1 & t & \cdots & t^{n-2}/(n-2)! \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for fundamental matrix and  $\alpha=0$ . With

$$h_{2,k}(t, s, |y|) = \frac{t^{n-k}}{(n-k)!} |b(s)| \quad (k = 1, \dots, n),$$

$$h_{1,k}(t, s, |y|) = \frac{t^{n-k}}{(n-k)!} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |a_i(s)| |y_{i+1}|^{r_i}$$

we have that  $\phi$  must satisfy

$$\phi_k(t) \geq \int_t^\infty \frac{s^{n-k}}{(n-k)!} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |a_i(s)| (1 + \varepsilon)^{r_i} \left[ \sum_{j=i}^n \frac{s^{j-i}}{(j-i)!} \phi_j(s) \right]^{r_i} ds.$$

For convenience let  $C_i = \sum_{j=1}^n ((n-1)!/(j-1)! (n-j)!)$  and  $r = \max r_i$ . Let  $\phi_1(t)$  be any solution of

$$(12) \quad \phi_1(t) \geq (1 + \varepsilon)^r \int_t^\infty \frac{s^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |a_i(s)| \left[ \frac{C_i}{s^{i-1}} \phi_1(s) \right]^{r_i} ds$$

and define

$$\phi_j(t) = \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-j)! t^{j-1}} \phi_1(t) \quad (j = 2, \dots, n).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_j(t) &\geq (1 + \varepsilon)^r \int_t^\infty \frac{s^{n-j}}{(n-j)!} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |a_i(s)| \left[ \frac{C_i}{s^{i-1}} \phi_1(s) \right]^{r_i} ds \\ &\geq \int_t^\infty \frac{s^{n-j}}{(n-j)!} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |a_i(s)| (1 + \varepsilon)^{r_i} \left[ \sum_{k=i}^n \frac{s^{k-i}}{(k-i)!} \phi_k(s) \right]^{r_i} ds, \end{aligned}$$

as required. It thus remains to show that (12) has a suitable solution. Suppose  $0 \leq \phi_1(t) \leq 1$ , and let  $q = \min r_i > 1$ , so  $\phi_1^{r_i} \leq \phi_1^q$ ; then if  $\phi_1$  satisfies

$$(13) \quad \phi_1(t) \geq \int_t^\infty \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)^r}{(n-k)!} s^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |a_i(s)| \left( \frac{C_i}{s^{i-1}} \right)^{r_i} \phi_1^q(s) ds \equiv \int_t^\infty k(s) \phi_1^q(s) ds,$$

it will also satisfy (12). The equality in (13) can be solved to yield

$$\phi_1(t) = \left\{ [\phi_1(t_0)]^{1-q} + (q-1) \int_{t_0}^t k(s) ds \right\}^{-1/(q-1)}$$

provided  $\int^\infty k(s) ds = \infty$  and the positive constant  $\phi_1(t_0)$  is chosen less than 1. Thus (11) has solutions tending to zero with their first  $n-1$  derivatives if

$$\int_t^\infty \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |a_i(s)| s^{r_i(1-i)+n-1} ds \rightarrow \infty$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and  $b(t)$  satisfies  $\int_t^\infty s^{n-1} |b(s)| ds \leq \varepsilon(n-1)! \phi_1(t)$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

I wish to thank the referee for pointing out an error in an earlier version and for improvement in the statement of the theorem.

## REFERENCES

1. J. W. Bebernes and N. X. Vinh, *On the asymptotic behavior of linear differential equations*, Amer. Math. Monthly **72** (1965), 285–287. MR **31** #6011.
2. F. Brauer and J. S. W. Wong, *On asymptotic behavior of perturbed linear systems*, J. Differential Equations **6** (1969), 142–153. MR **39** #570.
3. W. A. Coppel, *Stability and asymptotic behavior of differential equations*, Heath, Boston, Mass., 1965. MR **32** #7875.
4. J. K. Hale and N. Onuchic, *On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of a class of differential equations*, Contributions to Differential Equations **2** (1963), 61–75. MR **28** #2281.
5. T. G. Hallam, *Asymptotic behavior of the solution of an  $n$ th order nonhomogeneous ordinary differential equation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **122** (1966), 177–194. MR **32** #6000.
6. I. N. Katz, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions to some  $n$ th order linear differential equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (1969), 657–662.
7. P. Locke, *On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of an  $n$ th-order nonlinear equation*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 383–390. MR **35** #3167.
8. ———, *Correction to a paper by Brauer and Wong*, J. Differential Equations **9** (1971), 380. MR **42** #7987.
9. M. Ráb, *Les formules asymptotiques pour les solutions d'un système des équations différentielles*, Arch. Math. (Brno) **1** (1965), 199–212. MR **33** #6026.
10. W. F. Trench, *On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of second order linear differential equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (1963), 12–14. MR **26** #412.
11. P. Waltman, *On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of a nonlinear equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (1964), 918–923. MR **31** #445.
12. ———, *On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of an  $n$ th order equation*, Monatsh. Math. **69** (1965), 427–430. MR **32** #2686.
13. D. Willett, *Asymptotic behavior of disconjugate  $n$ th order differential equations*, Canad. J. Math. **23** (1971), 293–314.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF IDAHO, MOSCOW, IDAHO 83843