AN EQUICONVERGENCE THEOREM
FOR A PAIR OF SECOND ORDER
DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

BIKAN BHAGAT

Abstract. The asymptotic solutions of a matrix differential equation of the second order have been considered and then an equiconvergence theorem has been proved.

1. Let \( L \) denote the matrix operator

\[
L \equiv \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + p(x) & r(x) \\
r(x) & \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q(x)
\end{pmatrix},
\]

and \( \phi \) a vector having two components \( u \equiv u(x) \) and \( v \equiv v(x) \) represented as a column matrix \( \begin{bmatrix} u \ v \end{bmatrix} \).

Then consider the matrix equation

\[
(1.2) \quad (L + \lambda)\phi = 0.
\]

The main object of the paper is to obtain an equiconvergence theorem associated with the system (1.2), using the method of Titchmarsh [4, Chapter I] and Roos and Sangren [3]. Notations used by the author [1] will be used here.

The boundary conditions to be satisfied by \( \phi(x) = \begin{bmatrix} u(x) \\ v(x) \end{bmatrix} \) are given by

\[
M(a, \phi) = a_{11}u(a) + a_{12}u'(a) + a_{13}v(a) + a_{14}v'(a) = 0
\]

\[
N(b, \phi) = b_{11}u(b) + b_{12}u'(b) + b_{13}v(b) + b_{14}v'(b) = 0
\]

where (i) \( a_{jk} \) and \( b_{jk} \) are real valued constants;
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(ii) the set \{a_{1k}\} is linearly independent of the set \{a_{2k}\}, and the set \\{b_{1k}\} is linearly independent of the set \{b_{2k}\}; \\
(iii) at least two of the ratios \(a_{1k}/a_{2k}\) (\(k=1, 2, 3, 4\)) and two of the \\corresponding ratios \(b_{1k}/b_{2k}\) (\(k=1, 2, 3, 4\)) are unequal, say, \\
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{a_{12}}{a_{22}} &\neq \frac{a_{14}}{a_{24}}, & \frac{b_{12}}{b_{22}} &\neq \frac{b_{14}}{b_{24}}; \\
(1.4)
\end{align*}
\]
(iv) \(a_{14}a_{23} - a_{23}a_{13} + a_{12}a_{21} - a_{11}a_{22} = 0, \)
\(b_{14}b_{23} - b_{23}b_{13} + b_{12}b_{21} - b_{11}b_{22} = 0.\)

The relations (1.4) ensure that the boundary value problem considered is selfadjoint. \(p(x), q(x)\) and \(r(x)\) are real valued and continuous in \(a \leq x \leq b.\)

The bilinear concomitant \([\phi \theta]\) of any two vectors
\[
\phi = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta = \begin{pmatrix} u_2 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}
\]
is defined by
\[
[\phi \theta] = u_1u_2 - u_1u'_2 + v_1v_2 - v_1v'_2.
\]

If \(\phi\) and \(\theta\) are solutions of (1.2) for the same value of \(\lambda\), then \([\phi \theta]\) is independent of \(x\) and is a function of \(\lambda\) alone.

Let
\[
\phi_j(a \mid x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} u_j(a \mid x, \lambda) \\ v_j(a \mid x, \lambda) \end{pmatrix} \quad (j = 1, 2)
\]
and
\[
\phi_k(b \mid x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} u_k(b \mid x, \lambda) \\ v_k(b \mid x, \lambda) \end{pmatrix} \quad (k = 3, 4)
\]
be the boundary condition vectors at \(x=a\) and \(x=b\) respectively so that the boundary conditions (1.3) are written in the form
\[
[\phi(x, \lambda)\phi_j(a \mid x, \lambda)] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [\phi(x, \lambda)\phi_k(b \mid x, \lambda)] = 0,
\]
where
\[
(1.6) \quad [\phi_1\phi_2] = 0, \quad [\phi_3\phi_4] = 0.
\]
Conditions (1.6) are equivalent to conditions (1.4).

The Wronskian \(W(\lambda)\) of the boundary condition vectors is given by
\[
W(\lambda) = [\phi_1\phi_3][\phi_2\phi_4] - [\phi_1\phi_4][\phi_2\phi_3].
\]

It is shown by the author [1] that the eigenvalues are the zeros of \(W(\lambda)\); they are real and simple or double.

2. We now proceed to obtain results analogous to §7, Chapter I of Titchmarsh [4].
Lemma 2.1. Let
\[
\phi(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} u(x, \lambda) \\ v(x, \lambda) \end{pmatrix}
\]
be a solution of (1.2) such that \( u(x, \lambda) = A, u'(x, \lambda) = B, v(x, \lambda) = C \) and \( v'(x, \lambda) = D \). Then \( \phi(x, \lambda) \) satisfies the system of integral equations
\[
u(x, \lambda) = A \cos\{\mu(x - a)\} + (B/\mu)\sin\{\mu(x - a)\}
\]
\[
v(x, \lambda) = C \cos\{\mu(x - a)\} + (D/\mu)\sin\{\mu(x - a)\}
\]
where \( \lambda = \mu^2 \).

The result follows on using (1.2) and then integrating by parts.

Lemma 2.2. Let
\[
\phi_j(a, x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} u_j(a, x, \lambda) \\ v_j(a, x, \lambda) \end{pmatrix} \quad (j = 1, 2)
\]
and
\[
\phi_k(b, x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} u_k(b, x, \lambda) \\ v_k(b, x, \lambda) \end{pmatrix} \quad (k = 3, 4)
\]
be the boundary condition vectors for the system (1.2) and \( \lambda = \mu^2 \) where \( \mu = \sigma + it \). Then for \( |\mu| \geq |\mu_0| \).

(2.3) (i) \( \phi_j(a, x, \lambda) = O(\epsilon^{j|\lambda - a|}) \quad (j = 1, 2), \)
(2.4) (ii) \( u_j(a, x, \lambda) = a_{j2} \cos\{\mu(x - a)\} + O(\epsilon^{j|\lambda - a|/|\mu|}), \)
(2.5) (iii) \( \phi_k(b, x, \lambda) = O(\epsilon^{k|\lambda - b|}) \quad (k = 3, 4), \)
(2.6) (iv) \( u_k(b, x, \lambda) = b_{j2} \cos\{\mu(b - x)\} + O(\epsilon^{k|\lambda - b|/|\mu|}), \)
when \( k = 3, j = 1 \) and when \( k = 4, j = 2 \).

Each of the results holds uniformly for \( a \leq x \leq b \).

Putting
\[
u(x, \lambda) = u_j(x, \lambda) = g_j(x)e^{j|\lambda - a|}
\]
and
\[
v(x, \lambda) = v_j(x, \lambda) = h_j(x)e^{j|\lambda - a|}
\]
in (2.1) and (2.2) respectively, we get

\[ g_j(x) = a_{j2} \cos\{\mu(x - a)\}e^{-|\mu|(|x - a|)} - (a_{j1}/\mu)\sin\{\mu(x - a)\}e^{-|\mu|(|x - a|)} \]

\[ - \frac{1}{\mu} \int_a^z \{ p(y)g_j(y) + q(y)h_j(y) \}e^{-|\mu|(|x - y|)} \sin\{\mu(x - y)\} \, dy , \]

\[ h_j(x) = a_{j4} \cos\{\mu(x - a)\}e^{-|\mu|(|x - a|)} - (a_{j3}/\mu)\sin\{\mu(x - a)\}e^{-|\mu|(|x - a|)} \]

\[ - \frac{1}{\mu} \int_a^z \{ r(y)g_j(y) + s(y)h_j(y) \}e^{-|\mu|(|x - y|)} \sin\{\mu(x - y)\} \, dy . \]

Let

\[ A = \max(|a_{jk}|) \quad (j = 1, 2, k = 1, 2, 3, 4), \]

\[ H(x) = \max(|p(x)|, |q(x)|, |r(x)|); \]

then

\[ |g_j(x)|, |h_j(x)| \leq A + \frac{A}{|\mu|} + \frac{1}{|\mu|} \int_a^z \{ H(y) |g_j(y)| + H(y) |h_j(y)| \} \, dy . \]

By Conte and Sangren’s lemma [2, p. 700], we obtain

\[ |g_j(x)|, |h_j(x)| \leq \left( A + \frac{A}{|\mu|} \exp \left( \frac{2}{|\mu|} \int_a^z H(y) \, dy \right) \right) . \]

It follows that, since \( H(x) \) is bounded in \([a, b]\), \( g_j(x) \) and \( h_j(x) \) are bounded for \( a \leq x \leq b \) and \( |\mu| \geq |\mu_0| \). Hence the result (2.3) follows.

By similar arguments the result (2.5) also follows.

Other results follow on putting \( u_j \) and \( v_j \) from (2.3) and (2.5) in (2.1) and (2.2).

**Lemma 2.3.** If the primes denote differentiation with respect to \( x \) and conditions of Lemma 2.2 are satisfied, then

\[ \phi_j(a \mid x, \lambda) = O(|\mu| e^{\mu(|x - a|)}) \quad (j = 1, 2), \]

\[ u_j(a \mid x, \lambda) = -a_{j2} \mu \sin\{\mu(x - a)\} + O(e^{\mu(|x - a|)}), \]

\[ v_j(a \mid x, \lambda) = -a_{j3} \mu \sin\{\mu(x - a)\} + O(e^{\mu(|x - a|)}), \quad (j = 1, 2), \]

\[ \phi_k(b \mid x, \lambda) = O(|\mu| e^{\mu(|b - x|)}) \quad (k = 3, 4), \]

\[ u_k(b \mid x, \lambda) = b_{j3} \mu \sin\{\mu(b - x)\} + O(e^{\mu(|b - x|)}), \]

\[ v_k(b \mid x, \lambda) = b_{j4} \mu \sin\{\mu(b - x)\} + O(e^{\mu(|b - x|)}), \]

when \( k = 3, j = 1 \) and when \( k = 4, j = 2 \).

These results are proved by differentiating the expressions for \( u_j(a \mid x, \lambda) \), etc. obtained from (2.1) and (2.2) and then using Lemma 2.2.
Lemma 2.4. If the conditions of Lemma 2.2 are satisfied, then

\[ [\phi_j(a | x, \lambda), \phi_k(b | x, \lambda)] = -\mu(a_{1j}b_{r2} + a_{14}b_{4r})\sin\{\mu(b - a)\} + O(e^{\text{Re}(\lambda - a)}) \]

where \(j = 1, 2\); when \(k = 3, r = 1\) and when \(k = 4, r = 2\),

\[ W(\lambda) = \mu^2(a_{12}a_{24} - a_{14}a_{22})(b_{12}b_{24} - b_{14}b_{22})\sin^2\{\mu(b - a)\} + O(\text{Re}(\lambda - a)) \]

(i) Since \([\phi_j\phi_k]\) is independent of \(x\), without loss of generality we can evaluate it at \(x = b\). Putting the values of \(u_1(a|b, \lambda), u_1'(a|b, \lambda), \) etc. from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 in the expression for \([\phi_1\phi_3]\), the result for \([\phi_1\phi_3]\) follows after some simplification.

By similar arguments the other results follow.

We note that \([\phi_1\phi_3]\) are not identically zero. Actually they are integral functions of \(\mu\) of order 1, and so integral functions of \(\lambda\) of order \(\frac{1}{2}\).

(ii) Substituting for \([\phi_j, \phi_k]\) from (2.11) in the expression

\[ W(\lambda) = [\phi_1\phi_3][\phi_2\phi_4] - [\phi_1\phi_4][\phi_2\phi_3] \]

the result follows.

We note that \(W(\lambda)\) is also not identically zero and it possesses an infinity of zeros.

By arguments similar to those in Titchmarsh [4, p. 19, §1.12], it follows that the zeros of \(W(\lambda)\) are asymptotic to the zeros of \(\mu^2 \sin^2\{\mu(b - a)\}\), that is to the points where \(\mu = n\pi/(b - a)\) for large \(|\mu|\) and \(|n|\).

For large values of \(|n|\) the eigenvalues are asymptotic to \(n^2\pi^2/(b - a)^2\).

Theorem. Let \(f(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x))\) be integrable over \((a, b)\). Then the series \(\sum_0^\infty C_n\phi_{1n}(x)\) and \(\sum_0^\infty C_n\psi_{2n}(x)\) for the boundary value problem (1.2) behave as regards convergence in the same way as an ordinary Fourier series. In particular, if \(f_1(x), f_2(x)\) are of bounded variation in the neighbourhood of \(x\), they converge to

\[ \frac{1}{2}\{f_1(x + 0) + f_1(x - 0)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2}\{f_2(x + 0) + f_2(x - 0)\} \]

respectively.

Consider the integrals

\[ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi_1(x, \lambda) \, d\lambda \]

and

\[ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi_2(x, \lambda) \, d\lambda \]
taken round a large closed contour in the $\lambda$-plane, where

$$\Phi(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1(x, \lambda) \\ \Phi_2(x, \lambda) \end{pmatrix}$$

is given by

$$\Phi(x, \lambda) = -\int_a^b (G_i(x, y; \lambda)) f(y) \, dy.$$  

By the residue theorem, (2.13) and (2.14) are respectively $\sum_{n=0}^{m} C_n \psi_{1n}(x)$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{m} C_n \psi_{2n}(x)$, the summation being taken over those values of $m$ for which the poles of $\Phi(x, \lambda)$ lie in the contour taken.

As in Titchmarsh [4, Theorem 1.9, p. 12], let $\lambda = \mu^2$, $\mu = \sigma + it$ and let the upper half of the $\lambda$-contour correspond to the quarter square in the $\mu$-plane made up by the lines

$$\sigma = (n + \frac{1}{2}) \pi / (b - a) \quad (0 \leq \sigma \leq (n + \frac{1}{2}) \pi / (b - a)),
$$

$$t = (n + \frac{1}{2}) \pi / (b - a) \quad (0 \leq t \leq (n + \frac{1}{2}) \pi / (b - a)),$

and let the $\lambda$-contour be symmetrical about the real axis.

Since $|\sin(\mu(b-a))| > Ae^{it(b-a)}$, it follows from (2.12) that

$$W(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\mu^2(a_{12}a_{24} - a_{14}a_{22})(b_{12}b_{24} - b_{14}b_{22})\sin^2(\mu(b - a))} \times \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{|\mu|}\right) \right\}.$$

We have, for $y \in [a, x)$,

$$G_{11}(x, y; \lambda) = \psi_{11}(x, \lambda) u_1(y, \lambda) + \psi_{21}(x, \lambda) u_2(y, \lambda)
= [W(\lambda)]^{-1} \left( \begin{pmatrix} \phi_3 \phi_4 \end{pmatrix} u_3(b | x, \lambda) - \begin{pmatrix} \phi_2 \phi_3 \end{pmatrix} u_4(b | x, \lambda)u_1(y, \lambda) + \begin{pmatrix} \phi_4 \phi_3 \end{pmatrix} u_4(b | x, \lambda)u_2(y, \lambda) \right)$$

If $\Delta$ denotes the expression in brackets $\{ \}$, we get, by using (2.4), (2.6) and (2.11),

$$\Delta = \mu(a_{12}a_{24} - a_{14}a_{22})(b_{14}b_{22} - b_{12}b_{24})
\times \sin(\mu(b - a)) \cos(\mu(b - x)) \cos(\mu(y - a))
+ O(\exp(t[2(b - a) + y - x])).$$

Therefore

$$G_{11}(x, y; \lambda) = -\frac{\cos(\mu(b - x)) \cos(\mu(y - a))}{\mu \sin(\mu(b - a))} + O\left(\frac{e^{it(y-x)}}{|\mu|^2}\right).$$
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Similarly

(2.16) \[ G_{12}(x, y; \lambda) = O\left(\frac{e^{i(t-x)}}{|\mu|^2}\right). \]

(2.17) \[ G_{21}(x, y; \lambda) = O\left(\frac{e^{i(t-x)}}{|\mu|^2}\right). \]

(2.18) \[ G_{22}(x, y; \lambda) = -\frac{\cos\{\mu(b-x)\} \cos\{\mu(y-a)\}}{\mu \sin\{\mu(b-a)\}} + O\left(\frac{e^{i(t-x)}}{|\mu|^2}\right). \]

Hence contribution towards \( \Phi_{1}(x, \lambda) \) for the limit \( a \) to \( x \) is given by

\[
\int_{a}^{x} \frac{\cos\{\mu(b-x)\} \cos\{\mu(y-a)\}}{\mu \sin\{\mu(b-a)\}} f_4(y) \, dy \\
+ O\left(\frac{1}{|\mu|^2} \int_{a}^{x} e^{i(t-x)}(|f_1(y)| + |f_2(y)|) \, dy \right). 
\]

Similarly for the limits from \( x \) to \( b \). A similar result holds for \( \Phi_{2}(x, \lambda) \).

The theorem now follows by proceeding in an exactly similar way as that in Titchmarsh [4, Theorem 1.9, p. 12].
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