

COMMUTING ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS WITHOUT FIXED POINTS

DONALD F. BEHAN

ABSTRACT. Let A be the set of nonidentity analytic functions which map the open unit disk into itself. Wolff has shown that the iterates of $f \in A$ converge uniformly on compact sets to a constant $T(f)$, unless f is an elliptic conformal automorphism of the disk. This paper presents a proof that if f and g are in A and commute under composition, and if f is not a hyperbolic conformal automorphism of the disk, then $T(f) = T(g)$. This extends, in a sense, a result of Shields. The proof involves the so-called angular derivative of a function in A at a boundary point of the disk.

Let D be the open unit disk in the complex plane. Let \bar{D} be its closure. Shields [5] has proved the following result.

THEOREM 1. *If f and g are continuous in \bar{D} , analytic in D , and map \bar{D} into itself, and if $f \circ g = g \circ f$, then f and g have a common fixed point.*

Let A be the set of all analytic functions which map D into D , except for the identity function, which we exclude. This paper presents an extension of the result of Shields to the set A .

For $f \in A$ we define the iterates of f recursively by $f^1 = f$, and $f^{n+1} = f \circ f^n$ when $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. A member of A which maps D univalently onto D will be called a conformal automorphism (c.a.) of D . We shall assume that the reader is acquainted with the standard classification of linear fractional transformations as elliptic, hyperbolic, parabolic, or loxodromic, as given in [3, p. 70]. Each c.a. of D is of one of the first three types mentioned. The elliptic transformations yield noneuclidean rotations of D with the hyperbolic metric, while the hyperbolic and parabolic transformations have their fixed points on the boundary of D .

THEOREM 2 (WOLFF [7]). *If $f \in A$ is not an elliptic c.a. of D , then there is a constant $T(f) \in \bar{D}$ for which $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n = T(f)$ uniformly on compact sets.*

Presented to the Society, January 24, 1971; received by the editors March 1, 1971.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 30A20, 30A76; Secondary 39A15, 30A72.

Key words and phrases. Commuting under composition, iteration, fixed point, angular derivative, Julia lemma, chain rule, Lindelöf theorem.

If $T(f)$ is in D , then it is a fixed point of f ; and if f has a fixed point in D , it has only one, and that fixed point is $T(f)$. The preceding sentence is still true if we extend the definition of T so that when f is an elliptic c.a. of D , then $T(f)$ is the fixed point of f in D .

Suppose that f and g are in A , that $T(f) \in D$, and that $f \circ g = g \circ f$. Then

$$g[T(f)] = g(f[T(f)]) = f(g[T(f)]),$$

so $g[T(f)]$ is a fixed point for f . This implies $T(f) = g[T(f)]$, and consequently $T(g) = T(f)$. We wish to extend this result, as far as possible, to functions f for which $T(f) \notin D$. If two linear fractional transformations have the same set of fixed points, then they commute [3, p. 72]. There exist pairs f, g of hyperbolic conformal automorphisms of D which have a common set of fixed points, but for which $T(f) \neq T(g)$. For example

$$f(z) = (z - \frac{1}{2}) / (1 - \frac{1}{2}z) \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = (z + \frac{1}{2}) / (1 + \frac{1}{2}z)$$

defines such a pair. Thus it is not true in general that commuting members of A satisfy $T(f) = T(g)$, but we shall show that the only exceptional cases involve pairs of hyperbolic conformal automorphisms of D .

The only cases in which linear fractional transformations commute without having the same fixed points involve certain pairs of elliptic transformations which cannot occur as pairs in A . Thus two conformal automorphisms of D commute if and only if they have the same fixed points.

For ease of notation when $f \in A$ and $|\zeta| = 1$ we shall write $f(\zeta) = \eta$ to mean $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} f(r\zeta) = \eta$, and in that case we write $f'(\zeta) = u$ to mean

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{f(r\zeta) - \eta}{r\zeta - \zeta} = u.$$

We shall have several occasions to use Julia's lemma, which we state in a form which is essentially that of [4, p. 58].

THEOREM 3. *If $|\zeta| = |\eta| = 1$, and $f \in A$ satisfies $f(\zeta) = \eta$, then there is an extended real number c , with $0 < c \leq \infty$, such that*

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} \left| \frac{f(z) - \eta}{z - \zeta} \right| = c$$

as z approaches ζ through any open triangle in D with vertex at ζ . If c is finite then $f'(\zeta) = c\eta/\zeta$.

If c is finite, then it is also true that $\lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} f'(z) = f'(\zeta)$ when z approaches ζ as in Theorem 3.

If $f \in A$ and $|\zeta|=1$, then $T(f)=\zeta$ if and only if $f(\zeta)=\zeta$ and $f'(\zeta)\leq 1$. (See [6] for example.)

We shall use the following result of Lindelöf [2, p. 19].

THEOREM 4. *Let f be analytic and bounded in D . If $f(z)$ approaches w as z approaches $e^{i\theta}$ along some curve γ lying in D except for its terminal point at $e^{i\theta}$, then $f(z)$ approaches w uniformly as z approaches $e^{i\theta}$ in any open triangle in D with vertex $e^{i\theta}$.*

LEMMA 5. *Suppose f and g are in A , and that $f \circ g = g \circ f$. Suppose that $T(g)=\zeta$ where $|\zeta|=1$. Then $f(\zeta)=\zeta$.*

PROOF. We have $g(0) \in D$, and by the uniqueness of $T(g)$ we have $g(0) \neq 0$. Let S be the segment from 0 to $g(0)$. Then, roughly, what we propose to do is to form a curve γ by joining successive images of S under g^n , and then to use commutativity to show that $f \circ \gamma$ approaches ζ .

For $0 \leq t < 1$ let $n(t)$ be the greatest integer less than or equal to $-\log_2(1-t)$. Let $w=g(0)$. Then for $0 \leq t < 1$ we define

$$\gamma(t) = g^{n(t)}[2^{n(t)+1}tw - (2^{n(t)+1} - 2)w],$$

and we define $\gamma(1)=\zeta$. It is clear that γ is continuous for $2^{-n-1} < 1-t < 2^{-n}$, with n a nonnegative integer. We find that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1-} \gamma(t) = g^n(0) = \gamma(1-2^{-n})$ as t approaches $1-2^{-n}$ from above, and that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1-} \gamma(t) = g^{n-1}(w) = g^n(0)$ as t approaches $1-2^{-n}$ from below. Thus γ is continuous, except possibly at 1. But $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g^n = \zeta$ uniformly on S , and therefore $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1-} \gamma(t) = \zeta$, so γ is continuous and terminates at ζ .

Since $f(S)$ is compact, g^n approaches ζ uniformly on $f(S)$. Since $f[g^n(S)] = g^n[f(S)]$, given any neighborhood U of ζ , $f[g^n(S)] \subset U$ for large n . Thus $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1-} f[\gamma(t)] = \zeta$. By Lindelöf's theorem $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} f(r\zeta) = \zeta$, which we write $f(\zeta) = \zeta$.

THEOREM 6. *If $f \in A$ is not a hyperbolic c.a. of D , and if $g \in A$ satisfies $f \circ g = g \circ f$, then $T(f) = T(g)$.*

The proof will use a sequence of lemmas.

LEMMA 7 (CHAIN RULE). *Suppose that f and g are in A , that $|\zeta|=|\eta|=|\tau|=1$, that $f(\zeta)=\eta$, and $g(\eta)=\tau$. Then*

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 1-} \frac{g[f(r\zeta)] - \tau}{r\zeta - \zeta} = g'(\eta)f'(\zeta).$$

PROOF. Without loss of generality we take $\zeta = \eta = \tau = 1$.

If $f'(1)$ and $g'(1)$ are both finite, then $f(r)$ approaches 1 nontangentially, and by Julia's lemma $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} f'(r) = f'(1)$ and $\lim_{z \rightarrow 1} g'(z) = g'(1)$ when z

approaches 1 nontangentially. The desired result follows from the chain rule for ordinary derivatives. For $r \in D$ real,

$$(1) \quad \left| \frac{1 - g[f(r)]}{1 - r} \right| \geq \frac{1 - |g[f(r)]|}{1 - r} = \frac{1 - |g[f(r)]|}{1 - |f(r)|} \cdot \frac{1 - |f(r)|}{1 - r}.$$

For all $h \in A$ [1, p. 25],

$$\frac{1 - |h(z)|}{1 - |z|} \geq \frac{1 - |h(0)|}{1 + |h(0)|} > 0$$

for all $z \in D$, so each factor in the last term of (1) has a positive infimum. It is also true [1, p. 27] that if $h'(1) = \infty$, then

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 1} \frac{1 - |h(z)|}{1 - |z|} = \infty$$

when z approaches 1 in such a way that $h(z)$ approaches 1.

If $f'(1) = \infty$, the desired result follows easily.

Suppose $g'(1) = \infty$ and $f'(1) \neq \infty$. Then $f(r)$ approaches 1 nontangentially, so the first factor in the last term of (1) approaches ∞ . Since the second factor has a positive infimum, the desired result follows. This completes the proof of Lemma 7.

As a consequence of Lemma 7, we see that if f and g in A satisfy $f \circ g = g \circ f$ and $|T(f)| = 1$, then $T(f^n \circ g^m)$ is $T(f)$ or $T(g)$. For if $T(f^n \circ g^m) = \zeta$, then since $f^n \circ g^m$ commutes with f , we have $|\zeta| = 1$, and Lemma 5 shows that $f(\zeta) = g(\zeta) = \zeta$. The derivative of $f^n \circ g^m$ at ζ is $(f'(\zeta))^n (g'(\zeta))^m$ by Lemma 7. In order for this product to be less than or equal to 1, it is necessary that $f'(\zeta) \leq 1$ or $g'(\zeta) \leq 1$, which implies $\zeta = T(f)$ or $\zeta = T(g)$.

We shall use the following lemma for the special case $\theta = \phi$.

LEMMA 8. If $f \in A$, $f(e^{i\theta_1}) = e^{i\phi_1}$, and $f(e^{i\theta_2}) = e^{i\phi_2}$, where $\theta = \theta_2 - \theta_1 \neq 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ and $\phi = \phi_2 - \phi_1 \neq 0 \pmod{2\pi}$, then

$$(2) \quad |f'(e^{i\theta_1})f'(e^{i\theta_2})| \geq \left[\frac{\sin \phi/2}{\sin \theta/2} \right]^2.$$

This inequality is best possible, and equality obtains only for conformal automorphisms of D .

PROOF. We suppose without loss of generality that $\theta_1 = \phi_1 = 0$. Let $\zeta = e^{i\phi}$ and $\eta = e^{i\theta}$. The set U of conformal automorphisms h of D such that $h(1) = 1$ and $h(\zeta) = \eta$ is nonempty, and each such h satisfies $f \circ h(1) = 1$ and $f \circ h(\zeta) = \zeta$. By the uniqueness of $T(f \circ h)$ it follows that

$$(3) \quad |f'(\eta)h'(\zeta)| > 1 \quad \text{or} \quad |f'(1)h'(1)| > 1$$

unless $f \circ h$ is the identity, in which case f is a conformal automorphism of D . We shall show that (3) implies

$$|f'(1)f'(\eta)| > 1/|h'(1)h'(\zeta)|.$$

We observe that the value of $h'(1)h'(\zeta)$ does not depend on $h \in U$, for if h_1 and h_2 are in U , then $h_1h_2^{-1}$ has fixed points 1 and η . Therefore the derivative of $h_1h_2^{-1}$ at η is the reciprocal of its derivative at 1, and this yields

$$h'_1(1)h'_1(\zeta)/h'_2(1)h'_2(\zeta) = 1.$$

When $|a| < 1$ let

$$h_a(z) = \frac{1 - \bar{a}z - a}{1 - a - \bar{a}z}.$$

Then

$$h'_a(1) = \frac{1 - |a|^2}{(1 - a)(1 - \bar{a})}$$

which is a continuous function of a for $a \in D$. We have $\lim_{a \rightarrow 1} h'_a(1) = \infty$ and $\lim_{a \rightarrow w} h'_a(1) = 0$ when $|w| = 1$ but $w \neq 1$. Moreover $h_a \in U$ provided that

$$(4) \quad \arg(e^{i\phi} - a) - \arg(1 - a) = (\phi + \theta)/2 \pmod{2\pi}.$$

The values of a in D which satisfy (4) form a circular arc or line segment terminating at 1 and $e^{i\phi}$. Since this is a connected set, $|h'_a(1)|$ takes all positive real values for $h_a \in U$.

If it were true that $|f'(1)f'(\eta)| < 1/|h'_a(1)h'_a(\zeta)|$, then it would follow that

$$|h'_a(1)h'_a(\zeta)| \cdot |f'(\eta)| < 1/|f'(1)|,$$

and since $h'_a(1)h'_a(\zeta)$ is independent of a , we could choose a so that

$$|h'_a(1)h'_a(\zeta)| \cdot |f'(\eta)| < |h'_a(1)| < 1/|f'(1)|.$$

But then we would have

$$|f'(\eta)h'_a(\zeta)| < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |f'(1)h'_a(1)| < 1,$$

which contradicts (3).

We find that a permissible value of a is

$$\frac{\sin(\theta - \phi)/4}{\sin(\theta + \phi)/4} e^{i\phi/2}.$$

A computation using the observation that $|1 - a\zeta| = |1 - a|$ and the cosine

rule yields

$$\frac{1}{|h'_a(1)h'_a(\zeta)|} = \left[\frac{\sin \phi/2}{\sin \theta/2} \right]^2.$$

This completes the proof of (2).

If $|f'(1)f'(\eta)|=1/|h'_a(1)h'_a(\zeta)|$, then there exists $h \in U$ such that $1/|h'(1)|=|f'(1)|$. Then $|f'(1)h'(1)|=1$ and $|f'(\eta)h'(\zeta)|=1$, so $f=h^{-1}$ is a c.a. of D . If f is a c.a. of D , which satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 8, then equality holds in (2). This completes the proof of Lemma 8.

We see, in particular, that if $f \in A$, $f(e^{i\theta_1})=e^{i\theta_1}$, and $f(e^{i\theta_2})=e^{i\theta_2}$, then

$$|f'(e^{i\theta_1})f'(e^{i\theta_2})| \geq 1$$

with equality only if f is a (hyperbolic) c.a. of D .

If the condition $\phi \neq 0$ in Lemma 8 is omitted, then the infimum of the left-hand side of (2) for $f \in A$ is 0.

LEMMA 9. *Given $f'(\zeta)f'(\eta) > 1$, $g'(\zeta)g'(\eta) \geq 1$, $f'(\zeta) \leq 1$, $f'(\eta) > 1$, $g'(\zeta) > 1$, $g'(\eta) \leq 1$, all positive or infinite, there are positive integers n and m such that $(f'(\zeta))^n(g'(\zeta))^m > 1$ and $(f'(\eta))^n(g'(\eta))^m > 1$.*

(In order to simplify notation we write $\infty > 1$.)

PROOF. By taking logarithms one obtains the following equivalent statement.

Given $a+b > 0$, $c+d \geq 0$, $a \leq 0$, $b > 0$, $c > 0$, $d \leq 0$, there are positive integers n and m such that $na+mc > 0$ and $nb+md > 0$. We prove this form of the statement.

If $d=0$ we simply take $n=1$ and m large. Suppose $d \neq 0$. We find that $a+c|a/c|=0$. Therefore

$$0 < (a+b) + (c+d)|a/c| = b+d|a/c|,$$

hence $|a/c| < -b/d$, so that $|a/c| < |b/d|$. Let n and m be positive integers such that

$$|a/c| < m/n < |b/d|.$$

Then

$$na + mc = n(a + (m/n)c) > n(a + |a/c|c) = 0$$

and

$$nb + md = n(b + (m/n)d) > n(b + |b/d|d) = 0.$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 6. The only case in question is that in which $T(f)$ and $T(g)$ are of modulus 1. Assuming that f is not a hyperbolic c.a. of D , that $f \circ g = g \circ f$, and that $T(f) \neq T(g)$, we shall arrive at a contradiction.

Let $T(f)=\zeta$ and $T(g)=\eta$. Since f is not a hyperbolic c.a. of D , and since $f(\eta)=\eta$, Lemma 8 yields $f'(\zeta)f'(\eta) > 1$ and $g'(\zeta)g'(\eta) \geq 1$. We also

have $f'(\zeta) \leq 1$, $f'(\eta) > 1$, $g'(\zeta) > 1$, and $g'(\eta) \leq 1$. Now let n and m be as in Lemma 9. Then $T(f^n \circ g^m) \neq \zeta$ and $T(f^n \circ g^m) \neq \eta$, but as observed following the proof of Lemma 7, $T(f^n \circ g^m)$ must be ζ or η . We have arrived at a contradiction, and therefore $T(f) = T(g)$.

REFERENCES

1. C. Carathéodory, *Theory of functions of a complex variable*. Vol. 2, 2nd ed., Chelsea, New York, 1960. MR 16, 346; 12, 248.
2. E. F. Collingwood and A. J. Lohwater, *The theory of cluster sets*, Cambridge Tracts in Math. and Math. Phys., no. 56, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1966. MR 38 #325.
3. J. Lehner, *Discontinuous groups and automorphic functions*, Math. Surveys, no. 8, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1964. MR 29 #1332.
4. R. Nevanlinna, *Eindeutige analytische Funktionen*, 2nd ed., Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 46, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1953. MR 15, 208.
5. A. L. Shields, *On fixed points of commuting analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (1964), 703–706. MR 29 #2790.
6. G. Valiron, *Sur l'itération des fonctions holomorphes dans un demi-plan*, Bull. Sci. Math. 55 (1931), fasc. 1, 105–128.
7. J. Wolff, *Sur l'itération des fonctions bornées*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris (1926), 200–201.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNION COLLEGE, SCHENECTADY, NEW YORK 12308
Current address: Farm Family Life Insurance Co., Box 656, Albany, New York 12201