

## ON CONVEX POWER SERIES OF A CONSERVATIVE MARKOV OPERATOR

S. R. FOGUEL AND B. WEISS

**ABSTRACT.** A. Brunel proved that a conservative Markov operator,  $P$ , has a finite invariant measure if and only if every operator  $Q = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n P^n$  where  $\alpha_n \geq 0$  and  $\sum \alpha_n = 1$  is conservative.

In this note we give a different proof and study related problems.

**Introduction.** We shall use the notation and definitions of [3]. Let us quote some basic results:

The operator  $P$  is conservative if and only if for every  $0 \leq f \in L_\infty$  the sum  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P^n f$  assumes the values 0 or  $\infty$  only.

The operator  $P$  is conservative if and only if whenever  $0 \leq f \in L_\infty$  and  $Pf \leq f$  then  $Pf = f$ . See [4, Corollary 1].

If  $P$  is conservative and  $Pf = f$ , then  $f$  is  $\Sigma_i(P)$  measurable where  $\Sigma_i(P) = \{A : P1_A = 1_A\}$ . See [3, Theorem A of Chapter III].

We shall study operators of the form  $Q = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n P^n$  where  $\alpha_n \geq 0$  and  $\sum \alpha_n = 1$ . Such operators will be called convex power series of  $P$ , and denoted by  $A(P)$  where  $A(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n z^n$ .

### 1. Conditions for $Q$ to be conservative.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $P$  be a conservative operator and  $Q = A(P)$  a convex power series of  $P$ . If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\alpha_n < \infty$  then  $Q$  is conservative too.*

**PROOF.** Note first that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha_0 - \dots - \alpha_n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \alpha_k \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\alpha_n.$$

Put  $\gamma_n = 1 - (\alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_n)$  then, by assumption,  $\sum \gamma_n < \infty$ . Define  $K = \sum \gamma_n P^n$  then  $K$  is a positive bounded operator on  $L_1$ . An easy computation shows that  $I - Q = (I - P)K$ . Thus if  $0 \leq f \in L_\infty$  and  $(I - Q)f \geq 0$  then  $(I - P)Kf \geq 0$  and  $(I - P)Kf = 0$  because  $P$  is conservative. By the characterization of conservative operators, given in the introduction,  $Q$  is conservative too.

**REMARK.** Every finite convex combination of  $P^k$  is conservative.

---

Received by the editors December 17, 1971.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 28A65.

© American Mathematical Society 1973

For the rest of this section we shall assume that  $P$  is ergodic and conservative and study  $\Sigma_i(Q)$ . If  $A \in \Sigma_i(Q)$  or  $1_A = \sum \alpha_n P^n 1_A$  then whenever  $\alpha_n \neq 0$   $P^n 1_A \leq 1_A$  (since  $P^n 1_A \leq 1$ ) and thus  $P^n 1_A = 1_A$  since  $P^n$  is conservative.

Let  $r$  be the maximal common divisor of  $n$  such that  $\alpha_n \neq 0$ . Then, on the one hand,  $Q = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{nr} P^{nr}$ , and on the other hand there exist  $n_1 \cdots n_j$  with  $\alpha_{n_k} \neq 0$  and  $nr = q_1 n_1 + \cdots + q_j n_j$  with  $q_i$  positive integers and  $n \geq N$ . Thus,  $1_A = P^{(N+1)r} 1_A = P^r P^N 1_A = P^r 1_A$ . To summarize  $\Sigma_i(Q) = \Sigma_i(P^r)$  for some integer  $r$ .

LEMMA 1.2. *Let  $P$  be ergodic and conservative then  $\Sigma_i(P^r)$  is atomic.*

PROOF. Let us assume, to the contrary, that  $A_n \in \Sigma_i(P^r)$  where  $A_n \downarrow \emptyset$ . Now  $0 = (I - P^r) 1_{A_n} = (I - P)(I + P + \cdots + P^{r-1}) 1_{A_n}$ . Since  $P$  is ergodic and conservative  $(I + P + \cdots + P^{r-1}) 1_{A_n}$  is a constant but  $1_{A_n}(x) = 1$  if  $x \in A_n$ . Thus  $(I + P + \cdots + P^{r-1}) 1_{A_n} \geq 1$  and this contradicts the continuity of  $P$  on  $L_1$ .

Let us take an atom  $A$ , of  $\Sigma_i(P^r)$ . Put  $P^j 1_A = f$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r - 1$ . Note that  $f \in \Sigma_i(P^r)$  too. Put  $B_\varepsilon = \{x : f(x) \geq \varepsilon\}$ ,  $B_0 = \{x : f(x) > 0\}$ ; both sets are in  $\Sigma_i(P^r)$ . Now  $f \geq \varepsilon 1_{B_\varepsilon}$  thus  $1_A = P^{r-j} f \geq \varepsilon P^{r-j} 1_{B_\varepsilon}$  hence  $P^{r-j} 1_{B_\varepsilon} \leq 1_A$ . Let  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  to conclude  $P^{r-j} 1_B \leq 1_A$ . Since  $A$  is an atom and  $P^{r-j} 1_B$  is invariant under  $P^r$ , we must have  $P^{r-j} 1_B = \text{const } 1_A$ . Now  $P^r 1_B = 1_B$  so the constant is one. Thus  $P^{r-j} 1_B = P^{r-j} f$  but  $1_B \geq f$ . Therefore,  $\sum P^n (1_B - f) < \infty$  or  $f = 1_B$ .

THEOREM 1.3.  $\Sigma_i(P^r) = \{A_0, \dots, A_{k-1}\}$  where the sets  $A_i$  are disjoint,  $k$  divides  $r$  and  $1_{A_1} = P 1_{A_0}$ ,  $1_{A_2} = P 1_{A_1}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $1_{A_0} = P 1_{A_{k-1}}$ .

PROOF. Let  $A_0$  be an atom of  $\Sigma_i(P^r)$ . By the above argument  $P^j 1_{A_0} = 1_A$  and  $A_j \in \Sigma_i(P^r)$ . Let  $k$  be the first integer such that  $P^k 1_{A_0} = 1_{A_0}$ . Clearly  $k$  divides  $r$  and the sets  $A_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k - 1$ , are disjoint: If  $B \subset A_j$  and  $B \in \Sigma_i(P^r)$  then  $P^{k-j} 1_B \leq 1_{A_0}$  and like the previous argument must be equal to  $1_{A_0}$  or  $P^{k-j} 1_B = P^{k-j} 1_{A_j}$  and  $B = A_j$ .

Now  $\bigcup_{j=0}^{k-1} A_j$  is invariant under  $P$  and thus must be all of  $X$ . Clearly each  $A_i$  is an atom of  $\Sigma_i(P^r)$  and  $\Sigma_i(P^r) = \{A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{k-1}\}$ .

REMARK. Note that if  $n$  divides  $m$  then  $\Sigma_i(P^n) \subset \Sigma_i(P^m)$ . Thus  $\bigvee \Sigma_i(P^n) = \bigvee \Sigma_i(P^{n!})$  and  $\Sigma_i(P^{n!})$  is monotone in  $n$ .

Theorem 1.3 was proved by Moy in [7, Theorem 1] for a more general case by a different method.

2. Conditions for  $Q$  to be dissipative.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  be commuting elements of a Banach algebra with  $\|P_1\| = \|P_2\| = 1$ . Let  $Q = \alpha P_1 + \beta P_2$  where  $0 < \alpha, \beta < 1$  and  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ . Then  $\|Q^n (P_1 - P_2)\| \leq (K/\sqrt{n}) \cdot \alpha \cdot \beta$  where  $K$  is a constant.*

PROOF. First let us reduce the problem to the case where  $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{2}$ : If  $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$  then  $Q = \frac{1}{2}(P'_1 + P_2)$  where  $P'_1 = 2\alpha P_1 + (1 - 2\alpha)P_2$  and  $P'_1 - P_2 = 2\alpha(P_1 - P_2)$ .

Following [8] we write

$$Q^n(P_1 - P_2) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} P_1^k P_2^{n-k} (P_1 - P_2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \binom{n}{k-1} - \binom{n}{k} \right] P_1^k P_2^{n-k+1} + \frac{1}{2^n} P_1^{n+1} - \frac{1}{2^n} P_2^{n+1}$$

thus

$$\|Q^n(P_1 - P_2)\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} + \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \binom{n}{k-1} - \binom{n}{k} \right|.$$

We may assume that  $n$  is even. Since  $\binom{n}{k}$  increases as  $k$  increases from 0 to  $n/2$  and then decreases as  $k$  goes from  $n/2$  to  $n$  the sum of absolute values is bounded by  $(2/2^n)\binom{n}{n/2}$  which is, by Stirling's formula, bounded by  $K/\sqrt{n}$ , and hence the lemma follows.

Let  $P$  be an operator and  $Q = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n P^n$ ,  $\alpha_i \geq 0$ ,  $\sum \alpha_i = 1$ . Assume that  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_j$  ( $i < j$ ) are the first nonzero coefficients. Put  $Q' = \sum \alpha_n P^{n-i}$  where  $P^i Q' = Q' P^i = Q$ . Choose  $0 < \gamma < \min\{\alpha_i, \alpha_j, \frac{1}{2}\}$ . Then

$$Q' = \gamma(I + P^{j-i}) + \sum \beta_n P^n = \gamma(I + P^{j-i}) + Q_1.$$

Note  $\sum \beta_n + 2\gamma = 1$ ,  $\beta_n \geq 0$ . Thus  $Q' = \frac{1}{2}[(2\gamma + Q_1) + (2\gamma P^{j-i} + Q_1)]$  and  $\|Q'(I - P^{j-i})\| \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore,  $\|Q^n(I - P^{j-i})\| = \|P^{in} Q'(I - P^{j-i})\| \rightarrow 0$ .

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $P$  be an operator with no invariant measure. Let  $Q = A(P)$  be a convex power series of  $P$  such that  $A(z)$  has at least two nonzero coefficients. There exists a set  $A$ ,  $m(A) > 0$ , such that  $\|Q^n 1_A\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ .*

PROOF. Note first that, by a standard argument,  $P^{j-i}$  has no invariant measure. By [3, Chapter IV, (4.9)] there exists a set  $A$  with  $m(A) > 0$ , such that if  $\lambda P^{j-i} = \lambda$  then  $\lambda(A) = 0$  ( $\lambda \in L^*_\infty$ ). By the Hahn-Banach Theorem

$$1_A \in \overline{\text{Range}(I - P^{j-i})}.$$

Thus  $\|Q^n 1_A\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ .

REMARK. In [1, Lemma 1] Brunel proves that property for  $Q = (1/e)\exp P$ .

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $Q$  be a Markov operator such that, for some  $0 \leq h \in L_\infty$ ,  $\|Q^n h\| \rightarrow 0$ . Choose a sequence  $N_m$  such that  $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \|Q^{N_m} h\| < \infty$ . The operator  $R = \sum \alpha_n Q^n$  is not conservative if  $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (\sum_{n=0}^{N_m-1} \alpha_n)^m < \infty$ .*

PROOF. Put

$$R = R_{1,m} + R_{2,m} = \sum_{n=0}^{N_m-1} \alpha_n Q^n + \sum_{n=N_m}^{\infty} \alpha_n Q^n.$$

Then  $R^m = R_{1,m}^m + S_m Q^{N_m}$  where  $S_m$  is of the form  $\sum \beta_n Q^n$ ,  $\sum \beta_n \leq 1$ ,  $\beta_n \geq 0$ . Thus

$$R^m h = R_{1,m}^m h + S_m Q^{N_m} h \leq R_{1,m}^m h + \|Q^{N_m} h\|.$$

Since  $\sum_{m=0}^\infty \|Q^{N_m} h\| < \infty$  it is enough to consider the first term:

$$R_{1,m}^m h = \left( \sum_{n=0}^{N_m-1} \alpha_n Q^n \right)^m h \leq \|h\| \left( \sum_{n=0}^{N_m-1} \alpha_n \right)^m$$

and the sum over  $m$  of the right-hand side converges by assumption.

THE BRUNEL EXAMPLE. Let  $\|Q^n h\| \rightarrow 0$  and  $\sum \|Q^{N_m} h\| < \infty$ . Choose

$$\rho_n = (1/n^2)^{1/n}, \quad n \geq 3,$$

then  $\rho_n \uparrow 1$  and  $\sum \rho_n^n < \infty$ . Choose  $\alpha_n \geq 0$  such that  $\sum_{n=0}^{N_m-1} \alpha_n < \rho_m$  and  $\sum \alpha_n = 1$  and, by Theorem 2.3,  $\sum \alpha_n Q^n$  is not conservative.

**3. Dissipating power series.** Let us call a power series  $A(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha_n z^n$  dissipating if (1)  $\alpha_n \geq 0$ , (2)  $A(1) = 1$ , and (3) there is some conservative operator  $P$  with  $A(P)$  dissipative. Theorem 1.1 says simply that if  $A'(1)$  is finite then  $A$  is not dissipating. The main purpose of this section is to establish a converse: namely if  $A'(1)$  is infinite then  $A$  is dissipating. We first make a slight detour to discuss *renewal sequences*. Recall that  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ ,  $0 \leq u_n \leq 1$ , is said to be a renewal sequence if there is a sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ ,  $f_n \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty f_i \leq 1$  such that

$$(1) \quad u_n = f_n + f_{n-1}u_1 + f_{n-2}u_2 + \dots + f_1 u_{n-1} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Equivalently, if  $U(z) = 1 + \sum u_n z^n$ ,  $F(z) = \sum f_n z^n$  then

$$U(z) = F(z)U(z) + 1 \quad \text{or} \quad U(z) = \frac{1}{1 - F(z)}.$$

If  $P = (p_{ij})_{i,j=1}^\infty$  is a Markovian transition matrix with all states forming a single ergodic class, the condition for recurrence or conservativeness is simply  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty p_{11}^{(n)} = +\infty$  where  $p_{ij}^{(n)}$  is the  $ij$  entry in  $P^n$ . It is well known that  $\{p_{11}^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  forms a renewal sequence. Here the  $f_n$  of (1) represent the probability that first return to 1 takes place at time  $n$ . We shall need the simple converse.

LEMMA 3.1. *If  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a renewal sequence then there is an ergodic Markov matrix with  $u_n = p_{11}^{(n)}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $f_n$  be such that (1) holds and define  $p_1 = f_1, \dots, p_n = f_n / (1 - f_1 - f_2 - \dots - f_{n-1})$ . Set now

$$\begin{aligned} p_{ij} &= p_i && \text{if } j = 1, \\ &= 1 - p_i && \text{if } j = i + 1, \\ &= 0 && \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

The lemma now follows easily if one recalls the probabilistic interpretation of the  $f_j$ 's, namely that they are the probability that the event occurs for the first time at time  $n$ . The structure of  $p_{ij}$  is such that one easily checks

$$\text{Prob}\{\text{return to 1 for the first time at time } n|\text{start at 1}\} \\ = (1 - p_1)(1 - p_2) \cdots (1 - p_{n-1})p_n = f_n. \quad \square$$

The existence of a plentiful supply of renewal sequences is assured by Th. Kaluza's theorem [5] to the effect that if  $1 \geq u_n \geq 0$  and

$$u_n/u_{n-1} \leq u_{n+1}/u_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (u_0 = 1),$$

then  $\{u_n\}$  is a renewal sequence. Indeed as D. G. Kendall [6] has shown, these are precisely the "infinitely divisible" renewal sequences. We shall also need the following lemma, a proof of which may be found in [1].

LEMMA 3.2. *If  $x_j$  is a sequence of nonnegative numbers that tend to zero as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  then there is a renewal sequence  $\{u_n\}$ , in fact, an infinitely divisible one, such that  $\sum_1^\infty u_n = +\infty$  but  $\sum_1^\infty u_n b_n < \infty$ .*

THEOREM 3.1. *If  $A(z) = \sum_1^\infty \alpha_n z^n$ ,  $\alpha_n \geq 0$ ,  $A(1) = 1$  and  $A'(1) = \infty$  then  $A$  is dissipating.*

PROOF. Let  $\beta_j$  be defined by

$$\sum_0^\infty \beta_j z^j = \frac{1}{1 - A(z)} = \sum_0^\infty A(z)^n.$$

Then since  $A'(1) = 1$  by the renewal theorem (see [2, Chapter XIII.3]) we know that  $\beta_j$  tends to zero. Apply Lemma 3.2 to obtain a renewal sequence with  $\sum_1^\infty u_n = \infty$  and  $\sum_1^\infty u_j \beta_j < \infty$ . By Lemma 3.1 there is a Markov matrix with  $p_{11}^{(n)} = u_n$ . Thus  $P$  is conservative. However,  $A(P) = Q$  is dissipative since

$$\sum_0^\infty Q_{11}^{(n)} = \left( \sum_0^\infty Q^n \right)_{11} = \left( \sum_0^\infty A(P)^n \right)_{11} = \left( \sum_0^\infty \beta_n P^n \right)_{11} \\ = \sum_0^\infty \beta_n p_{11}^{(n)} = \sum_0^\infty \beta_n u_n < +\infty.$$

The formal interchanges of summations is justified since all the terms are nonnegative and the final result is a finite quantity.  $\square$

It is worth remarking that even when a conservative operator  $P$  has no finite invariant measure there are dissipating power series  $A(z)$  such that  $A(P)$  is conservative. To see this it suffices to consider the special translation invariant Markov chains on the integers  $Z$ —the random walks

defined by  $\{p_j\}$ , a probability distribution on  $Z$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for recurrence is known here in terms of  $\varphi(v) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_n e^{inv}$ , the characteristic function of  $\varphi$ , namely

$$\int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{1}{1 - \varphi(v)} \right) dv = +\infty \quad [9, \text{Chapter II.8}].$$

Picking  $p_j$  with prescribed behavior at infinity and using a Tauberian theorem to relate the behavior of  $\varphi(v)$  at  $v=0$  one readily produces examples for the phenomenon described above.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. A. Brunel, *New conditions for existence of invariant measures in ergodic theory*, Contributions to Ergodic Theory and Probability (Proc. Conf. Ohio State Univ., Columbus, Ohio, 1970), Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1970, pp. 7–17. MR 42 #3253.
2. W. Feller, *An introduction to probability theory and its applications*. Vol. 1, 2nd ed., Wiley, New York, 1957. MR 19, 466.
3. S. R. Foguel, *The ergodic theory of Markov processes*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1969. MR 41 #6299.
4. ———, *Remarks on conservative Markov processes*, Israel J. of Math. 6 (1968), 381–583. MR 39 #4939.
5. Th. Kaluza, *Über die Koeffizienten reziproker Potenzreihen*, Math. Z. 28 (1928), 161–170.
6. D. G. Kendall, *Renewal sequences and their arithmetic*, Sympos. on Probability Methods in Analysis, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1967, pp. 147–175. MR 36 #7219.
7. S. T. C. Moy, *Period of an irreducible operator*, Illinois J. Math. 11 (1967), 24–39. MR 35 #2350.
8. D. Ornstein and L. Sucheston, *An operator theorem on  $L_1$  convergence to zero with applications to Markov kernels*, Ann. Math. Statist. 41 (1970), 1631–1639. MR 42 #6938.
9. F. Spitzer, *Principles of random walk*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1964. MR 30 #1521.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HEBREW UNIVERSITY, JERUSALEM, ISRAEL (Current address of B. Weiss)

Current address (S. R. Foguel): Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver 8, British Columbia, Canada