

AN EXAMPLE IN THE SPACE OF BOUNDED OPERATORS FROM $C(X)$ TO $C(Y)$

SAMUEL KAPLAN

ABSTRACT. Let X, Y be compact spaces, and $C(X), C(Y)$ the corresponding Banach lattices of real continuous functions. It is shown that the partially ordered space of bounded operators from $C(X)$ to $C(Y)$ need not be generated by its positive cone.

1. In the following X, Y are compact spaces, and $C(X), C(Y)$ the Banach lattices of real continuous functions on X, Y respectively. A linear mapping $T: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$ is norm-bounded if and only if it is order-bounded, hence we can simply use the word *bounded*. We denote by $B(C(X), C(Y))$ the space of all bounded linear mappings of $C(X)$ into $C(Y)$, and we endow it with the canonical order, determined by taking for positive cone the set of all positive linear mappings.

U. Krengel has given an example [1] to show that $B(C(X), C(Y))$ may fail to be a Riesz space. In the present note we modify his example to show that $B(C(X), C(Y))$ may even fail to be generated by its positive cone.

We first give a simplification of Krengel's example. Let X be αN , the Alexandroff one-point compactification of the set N of natural numbers: $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n, \dots, \omega\}$. Define $T: C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ as follows: for each $f \in C(X)$, Tf is the element of $C(X)$ with values

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} (Tf)(2n - 1) &= f(2n - 1) - f(2n) & (n = 1, 2, \dots), \\ (Tf)(2n) &= 0 & (n = 1, 2, \dots), \\ (Tf)(\omega) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is immediate that $T \in B(C(X), C(X))$. We show T^+ does not exist in $B(C(X), C(X))$, that is, there is no smallest positive linear mapping above T . Suppose a mapping U satisfies $U \geq 0, U \geq T$. We have to produce a mapping V such that $0 \leq V < U, V \geq T$.

It is easily verified that

$$(2) \quad f \geq 0 \text{ implies } (Uf)(2n - 1) \geq f(2n - 1) \text{ for all } n.$$

Received by the editors September 19, 1972.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 46A40, 46E05, 47B55.

Key words and phrases. Continuous functions, bounded linear mappings, positive cone.

© American Mathematical Society 1973

In particular, denoting by $\mathbf{1}$ the constant function of value 1, $(U\mathbf{1})(2n-1) \geq 1$ for all n . Since $U\mathbf{1}$ is continuous, it follows that for n -large enough, $(U\mathbf{1})(2n) > 0$. Choose such a large n_0 and let P be the projection in $C(X)$ which for every $g \in C(X)$, sends $g(2n_0)$ into 0. The mapping $P \circ U$ is then the desired V .

2. We turn to our example of a $T \in B(C(X), C(Y))$ which has no positive mapping above it. Let $\{X_n\}$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$) be a sequence of copies of αN ,

$$X_n = \{x_{n1}, x_{n2}, \dots, x_{nm}, \dots, x_{n\omega}\} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

$\sum_n X_n$ their topological sum, and set $X = \alpha(\sum_n X_n)$, with the adjoined element denoted by x_ω .

Let $\{Y_n\}$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$) be a sequence of copies of N ,

$$Y_n = \{y_{n1}, y_{n2}, \dots, y_{nm}, \dots\} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

$\sum_n Y_n$ their topological sum, and set $Y = \alpha(\sum_n Y_n)$, with the adjoined element denoted by y_ω . (Thus Y is simply αN with N decomposed into an infinite number of disjoint infinite subsets.)

Define $T: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$ as follows: for each $f \in C(X)$, Tf is the element of $C(Y)$ with values

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} (Tf)(y_{nm}) &= f(x_{n,2m-1}) - f(x_{n,2m}) & (n, m = 1, 2, \dots) \\ (Tf)(y_\omega) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We first verify that $Tf \in C(Y)$, that is, it is continuous at y_ω . Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists n_0 such that for $n > n_0$,

$$|f(x_{n,m}) - f(x_\omega)| < \varepsilon/2 \quad \text{for all } m,$$

whence $|f(x_{n,2m-1}) - f(x_{n,2m})| < \varepsilon$ for all m . Having chosen n_0 , choose m_0 such that for $n=1, \dots, n_0$ and $m > m_0$, $|f(x_{n,m}) - f(x_{n\omega})| < \varepsilon/2$, whence $|f(x_{n,2m-1}) - f(x_{n,2m})| < \varepsilon$. Thus for all y_{nm} outside the finite set $\{y_{nm} | n=1, \dots, n_0; m=1, \dots, m_0\}$, $|(Tf)(y_{nm})| < \varepsilon$.

T is clearly linear, and is bounded (in effect, $\|T\| = 2$).

Suppose finally, that there exists $U \geq 0$, $U \geq T$. Again denoting by $\mathbf{1}$ the constant function on X of value 1, we show that $(U\mathbf{1})(y_\omega) \geq k$ for all positive integers k , giving a contradiction.

For each n , let e_n be the characteristic function of the subset X_n of X .

$$(i) \quad \text{For every } n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (Ue_n)(y_\omega) \geq 1.$$

Fix n . As in (2) above, it is easy to verify that for every m , $(Ue_n)(y_{nm}) \geq e_n(x_{n,2m-1}) = 1$. Since Ue_n is continuous, this gives (i).

Now given k , $\mathbf{1} \geq \sum_1^k e_n$, whence $U\mathbf{1} \geq U(\sum_1^k e_n) = \sum_1^k Ue_n$, whence finally, $(U\mathbf{1})(y_\omega) \geq \sum_1^k (Ue_n)(y_\omega) \geq k$.

REFERENCE

1. Ulrich Krengel, *Über den Absolutbetrag stetiger linearer Operatoren und seine Anwendung auf ergodische Zerlegungen*, Math. Scand. **13** (1963), 151–187. MR **31** #310.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA
47907