

TRANSFORMATION GROUPS OF AUTOMORPHISMS OF $C(X, G)$

J. S. YANG

ABSTRACT. If (X, T, π) is a transformation group with locally compact phase group T , there is a standard way to induce a transformation group on $C(X, Y)$ endowed with the compact-open topology, where Y is a uniform space. In this paper, we consider the case where Y is a topological group G . The reverse construction under certain conditions is also considered.

If (X, T, π) is a transformation group with locally compact phase group T , there is a standard way [3, 1.68] to induce a transformation group on $C(X, Y)$ endowed with the compact-open topology, where Y is a uniform space. England and Lanier [1] have applied this to the case where Y is the space R of all real numbers and have shown that under certain conditions this construction can be reversed and that many dynamical statements about (X, T, π) can be faithfully reflected in $C(X)$. The purpose of this paper is to show that many results obtained in [1] can also be obtained under a more general setting.

For a topological space X and a topological group G , let $C(X, G)$ be the topological group of all continuous functions from X into G endowed with the compact-open topology and with the pointwise multiplication. The identity element of the group $C(X, G)$ is denoted by I_0 which maps every x in X into e , the identity element of G . For each p in X , let $C_p(X, G)$ be composed of functions f such that $f(p) = e$. The groups $C(X, G)$ and $C_p(X, G)$ are discussed in [6]. It is pointed out there that G is isomorphic to $C(X, G)/C_p(X, G)$ for each p .

In our investigation we adopt some notations which are similar to those used in [1] and [2] whenever possible. Throughout this note all transformation groups are supposed to have a locally compact phase group, and the letter G will stand for an arbitrarily chosen but fixed topological group. All topological spaces considered here are Hausdorff. For notations and definitions not given, the reader is referred to [3] and [5].

Presented to the Society, January 26, 1973 under the title *A note on the functional transformation group $C(X, G, T)$* ; received by the editors June 15, 1972.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 54H20, 54H15; Secondary 22A05.

Key words and phrases. Topological group, transformation group, isomorphism, periodic, recursive, minimal.

© American Mathematical Society 1973

Suppose now that (X, T, π) is a transformation group. Define

$$\rho: C(X, G) \times T \rightarrow C(X, G)$$

by $\rho(f, t)(x) = f(\pi(x, t^{-1}))$ as in [3, 1.68]; then $(C(X, G), T, \rho)$ is also a transformation group, called the induced functional transformation group.

For $f \in C(X, G)$, we let $Z(f) = \{x \in X: f(x) = e\}$.

DEFINITION 1. We shall call a pair (X, G) of a topological space X and a topological group G an S -pair if, for each closed subset C of X and $x \notin C$, there exists an f in $C(X, G)$ such that $Z(f) \supset C$ and $f(x) \neq e$.

REMARK 2. S -pairs of topological spaces and topological groups are abundant. Professor R. V. Fuller has pointed out that if (X, G) is an S -pair, then X is completely regular.

For the remainder of this paper, all topological spaces X in $C(X, G)$ will be assumed to be those such that (X, G) are S -pairs. It is clear that (X, T, π) is effective if and only if $(C(X, G), T, \rho)$ is effective, and that, for each $t \in T$, ρ^t is a (topological) isomorphism of $C(X, G)$ onto $C(X, G)$ keeping constant functions invariant.

For each $p \in X$, let $M_p = C_p(X, G) = \{f \in C(X, G): f(p) = e\}$ and $O_p = \{f \in C(X, G): Z(f) \text{ is a neighborhood of } p\}$. Then O_p is a subgroup of $C(X, G)$ contained in M_p , and $M_p \neq M_q$ if $p \neq q$.

LEMMA 3. Let (X, T, π) be a transformation group and let $(C(X, G), T, \rho)$ be the induced functional transformation group. Then, for each $t \in T$, $\rho^t(M_p) = M_{\pi(p, t)}$.

PROOF. The proof follows easily from the definitions.

DEFINITION 4. (1) A normal subgroup M of $C(X, G)$ is called an F -normal subgroup if $\{Z(f); f \in M\}$ has the finite intersection property.

(2) A pair (X, G) of a topological space X and a topological group G is said to be a Q -pair if whenever M is an F -normal subgroup of $C(X, G)$ such that $C(X, G)/M$ isomorphic to G by an isomorphism ϕ such that $\phi(c_y M) = y$, then $\bigcap_{f \in M} Z(f) \neq \emptyset$, where c_y is the constant function which maps X into y .

Note that if X can be embedded into G as a subspace of G , then (X, G) is a Q -pair. To see this, we may identify X as a subspace of G and let $i: X \rightarrow G$ be the inclusion map. If M is an F -normal subgroup of $C(X, G)$ such that $C(X, G)/M$ is isomorphic to G by an isomorphism ϕ such that $\phi(c_y M) = y$, then there is a unique $t \in G$ such that $\phi(iM) = t$. Thus $ic_{t^{-1}} \in M$. Now $Z(ic_{t^{-1}}) = \{t\}$, and M is an F -normal subgroup, hence $\bigcap_{f \in M} Z(f) = \{t\}$. Since every completely regular space can be embedded as a closed subspace of complex topological linear space [4, 8.21], every completely regular space has a topological group such that (X, G) is a Q -pair.

REMARK 5. Suppose that (X, G) is a Q -pair. Then the only F -normal subgroups M of $C(X, G)$ such that $C(X, G)/M$ is isomorphic to G by an isomorphism ϕ such that $\phi(c_y M) = y$ are of the form $M_p, p \in X$.

PROOF. Indeed each M_p has the stated property. If M is an F -normal subgroup of $C(X, G)$ with the stated property, then there is $p \in \bigcap_{f \in M} Z(f)$, and M is a normal subgroup of $C(X, G)$ contained in M_p . Since $G = C(X, G)/M = C(X, G)/M/M_p/M = G/M_p/M$, hence $M_p = M$.

If (X, T, π) is a transformation group and if M is an F -normal subgroup of $C(X, G)$, it is clear that $\rho^t(M)$ is also an F -normal subgroup of $C(X, G)$ for each $t \in T$. We say that $(C(X, G), T, \phi)$ is a transformation group of group isomorphisms on $C(X, G)$ keeping constant functions invariant if each ϕ^t is an isomorphism of $C(X, G)$ onto $C(X, G)$, maps each F -normal subgroup into an F -normal subgroup and maps each constant function into itself.

THEOREM 6. *If X is locally compact, (X, G) is a Q -pair, and if $(C(X, G), T, \phi)$ is a transformation group of group isomorphisms on $C(X, G)$ keeping constant functions invariant, then there exists a transformation group (X, T, π) such that the induced functional transformation group $(C(X, G), T, \rho)$ is $(C(X, G), T, \phi)$.*

PROOF. Define $\pi: X \times T \rightarrow X$ by $\pi(p, t) = q$ if and only if $\phi^t(M_p) = M_q$.

CLAIM 1. π is well defined.

PROOF OF CLAIM 1. For each $t \in T, \phi^t(M_p)$ is an F -normal subgroup of $C(X, G)$ for $p \in X$. Moreover, it is easy to see that $C(X, G)/\phi^t(M_p)$ is isomorphic to G by an isomorphism ϕ such that $\phi(c_y M) = y$. Hence Remark 5 implies that there is a unique $q \in X$ such that $\phi^t(M_p) = M_q$. Hence π is well defined.

CLAIM 2. (X, T, π) is a transformation group.

PROOF OF CLAIM 2. Verification of the identity and homomorphism axioms are routine, only continuity of π needed to be shown.

Let C be a closed subset of X , and let $(x_\alpha, t_\alpha), \alpha \in A$, be a net in $\pi^{-1}(C)$ such that $(x_\alpha, t_\alpha) \rightarrow (x, t)$. We want to show $(x, t) \in \pi^{-1}(C)$. Suppose $(x, t) \notin \pi^{-1}(C)$, then $\pi(x, t) \notin C$. Let $f \in C(X, G)$ such that $Z(f) \supset C$ but $f(\pi(x, t)) \neq e$. Then $f \notin M_{\pi(x, t)}$. Note that $f \in M_{\pi(y, z)}$ if and only if $\phi^{z^{-1}} f \in M_y$. Now $\phi_{t_\alpha}^{-1} f \rightarrow \phi_{t^{-1}} f$ in $C(X, G)$ since ϕ is continuous. Since X is locally compact, the compact-open topology for $C(X, G)$ is jointly continuous. Hence $\phi_{t_\alpha}^{-1} f$ converges continuously to $\phi_{t^{-1}} f$ [4, p. 241], so $\phi_{t_\alpha}^{-1} f(x_\alpha) \rightarrow \phi_{t^{-1}} f(x)$ in G . But $\pi(x_\alpha, t_\alpha) \in C$ for each $\alpha \in A$, hence $f \in M_{\pi(x_\alpha, t_\alpha)}$, i.e. $\phi_{t_\alpha}^{-1} f \in M_{x_\alpha}$ for each $\alpha \in A$. Hence $\phi_{t_\alpha}^{-1} f(x_\alpha) = e$. This implies that $\phi_{t^{-1}} f(x) = e$. Thus $\phi_{t^{-1}} f \in M_x$, i.e., $f \in M_{\pi(x, t)}$ which contradicts with $f \notin M_{\pi(x, t)}$. This proves Claim 2.

We now return to the proof of Theorem 6. Since $\rho^t(M_p) = M_{\pi(p,t)} = \phi^t(M_p)$ for each $p \in X$ and each $t \in T$, we only need to show that, for each $t \in T$, $\phi^t(f) = \rho^t(f)$ for $f \in C(X, G)$. Suppose there is a $f \in C(X, G)$, a $t \in T$ and a $p \in X$ such that $\phi^t(f)(p) \neq \rho^t(f)(p)$. Since ϕ^t and ρ^t are group isomorphisms keeping constant functions invariant, we may assume that $\phi^t(f)(p) = e$ but $\rho^t(f)(p) \neq e$. Then $\phi^t f \in M_p$ but $\rho^t f \notin M_p$. However, $f = \phi^{t^{-1}}(\phi^t f) \in \phi^{t^{-1}}(M_p) = M_{\pi(p,t^{-1})}$ and $f = \rho^{t^{-1}}(\rho^{t^{-1}} f) \notin \rho^{t^{-1}}(M_p) = M_{\pi(p,t^{-1})}$ a contradiction. Hence the theorem is proved.

If $f \in C(X, G)$ such that $Z(f) \neq \phi$, let $[f] = \{gh^e h : g, h \in C(X, G) \text{ such that } Z(g) \supset Z(f), Z(h) \supset Z(f), \text{ and } \varepsilon = 1 \text{ or } -1\}$. Then $f \in [f]$ and $[f]$ is a subgroup of $C(X, G)$ contained in M_x for each $x \in Z(f)$. Since ρ^t is a group isomorphism for each $t \in T$, it is easy to see that $\rho^t([f]) = [\rho^t f]$.

For each subgroup M of $C(X, G)$ and each subset S of T , let $J(M; S) = \bigcap_{t \in S} \rho^t(M)$. Note that $J(M; S)$ is again a subgroup of $C(X, G)$ and that, if $S_1 \subset S_2$, $J(M; S_2) \subset J(M; S_1)$.

The following theorem is the key theorem to our investigation for the remainder of this paper.

THEOREM 7. *Suppose that $f \in M_p$ and that A and B are any subset of T . Then $J([f]; A) \subset J(M_p; B)$ if and only if $\pi(p, B) \subset \text{cl}(\pi(Z(f), A))$.*

PROOF. Suppose $\pi(p, B) \subset \text{cl}(\pi(Z(f), A))$, and let $g \in J([f]; A) = \bigcap_{t \in A} \rho^t([f]) = \bigcap_{t \in A} [\rho^t f]$. Then $Z(g) \supset Z(\rho^t f) = \pi(Z(f), t)$ for each $t \in A$. Hence $Z(g) \supset \text{cl}(\pi(Z(f), A))$ since $Z(g)$ is closed, and we have $Z(g) \supset \pi(p, B)$. This shows that $g \in M_{\pi(p,t)} = \rho^t(M_p)$ for each $t \in B$. Hence $g \in \bigcap_{t \in B} \rho^t(M_p) = J(M_p; B)$.

Conversely, assume that $J([f]; A) \subset J(M_p; B)$, and let $q \notin \text{cl}(\pi(Zf), A)$. Then there is $g \in C(X, G)$ with $Z(g) \supset \text{cl}(\pi(Z(f), A))$ but $q \notin Z(g)$. Since $Z(\rho^t f) = \pi(Z(f), t) \subset \pi(Z(f), A)$ for each $t \in A$, we have that $Z(g) \supset Z(\rho^t f)$ for each $t \in A$. If $h = \rho^t f$, $[h] = [\rho^t f] = \rho^t([f])$. Let $k = gh^{-1}$. Then $Z(k) \supset Z(h)$ and $g = kh = khI_0 \in [h] = \rho^t([f])$. Hence $g \in J([f]; A) \subset J(M_p; B)$. Thus $g \in \rho^t(M_p) = M_{\pi(p,t)}$ for each $t \in B$, and $Z(g) \supset \pi(p, B)$. This implies that $q \notin \pi(p, B)$. Hence $\pi(p, B) \supset \text{cl}(\pi(Z(f); A))$.

DEFINITION 8. (1) Let \mathcal{A} be a class of subsets of T . The elements of \mathcal{A} are called admissible sets.

(2) The normal subgroup M_p is said to be periodic under T if there exists a compact subset K of T such that $J(M_p; K) \subset J(M_p; T)$.

(3) The normal subgroup M_p is said to be A -recursive under T if for each $f \in O_p$ there is an admissible set A in \mathcal{A} such that $[f] \subset J(M_p; A)$. In particular, if \mathcal{A} is the class of left syndetic subsets of T , and if, for each $f \in O_p$, there is an A in \mathcal{A} such that $[f] \subset J(M_p; A)$, then we say that M_p is almost periodic under T .

REMARK 9. If M_p is periodic under T , then M_p is almost periodic under T . The converse fails.

PROOF. Let $f \in O_p$, and let K be a compact subset of T such that $J(M_p; K) \subset J(M_p; T)$. Then $J([f]; K) \subset J(M_p; T)$. Hence, by Theorem 7, $\pi(p, t) \subset \pi(Z(f), K)$. Since $Z(f)$ is a neighborhood of p , there is a left syndetic A of T such that $\pi(p, A) \subset Z(f) = \pi(Z(f), e)$ [3, 4.02]. Hence $[f] = J([f]; \{e\}) \subset J(M_p; A)$, and M_p almost periodic.

The failure of the converse follows from the following two theorems and well-known facts.

Using Theorem 7, we are now able to state the following two theorems. The proofs are easily adapted mutatis mutandis from the proofs of Theorems 7 and 9 of [1].

THEOREM 10. M_p is periodic under T if and only if p is periodic under T .

THEOREM 11. M_p is recursive under T if and only if p is recursive under T .

Now by replacing the term "admissible set" by an appropriate phrase, as in [3, 3.38], we may define all of the classical recursive properties for the normal subgroups M_p in $C(X, G)$, and Theorem 11 indicates that many dynamical statements about (X, T, π) can be faithfully reflected in $(C(X, G), T, \rho)$.

As an application to what we discussed so far, we have the following rather easy remark.

PROPOSITION. Suppose that (X, T, π) is recursive on X and that T is abelian. If $f \in C(X, G)$ is uniformly continuous, then $(C(X, G), T, \rho)$ is recursive on the coset fN of some subgroup N of $C(X, G)$, where the left uniformity for G is taken as the uniformity for G .

PROOF. Let $W(C, V_L) = \{(h, k): h(x)^{-1}k(x) \in V, x \in C\}$ be a basic member of the compact-index uniformity of $C(X, G)$ by the left uniformity of G , where C is a compact subset of X and V an open symmetric neighborhood of the identity e_G in G . By the uniform continuity of f , there is a symmetric index α of X such that if x and y are in X with $(x, y) \in \alpha$, we have $f(x) \in f(y)V$. Let A be an admissible subset of T such that $\pi(x, A) \subset \alpha[x]$ for each $x \in C$. If g is a function in $C(X, G)$ such that $a[C] \subset Z(g)$ then $N = [g]$ is a subgroup of $C(X, G)$.

We now show that $(C(X, G), T, \rho)$ is recursive on fN . First we note that M_p is recursive under T by Theorem 11 for each $p \in C$, thus $[g] \subset J(M_p; A')$ for some admissible subset A' of T which may be taken to be A since $\pi(p, A) \subset Z(g)$ if and only if $[g] = J([g], \{e\}) \subset J(M_p; A)$ by Theorem 7. Hence $fh(\pi(p, t)) = f(\pi(p, t))$ for each $h \in N, p \in C$ and $t \in A$. Now, for $y \in A, \rho(fh, t^{-1})(y) = fh(\pi(y, t)) = f(\pi(y, t)) \in f(\alpha[y]) \subset f(y)V = fh(y)V$.

Hence $\rho(fh, A^{-1}) \subset W(C, V_L)[fh]$ for each $h \in N$, and the proof is completed.

In concluding the paper, we show that Theorem 10 of [1] may not hold if we simply replace $C(X)$ by $C(X, G)$ there.

THEOREM 12. *In order that the transformation group (X, T, π) be minimal it is sufficient that the only normal subgroups in $C(X, G)$ which are invariant under $H = \{\rho^t : t \in T\}$ are $\{I_0\}$ and $C(X, G)$. This sufficient condition is not a necessary condition.*

PROOF. Let $p \in X$. By definition, $J(M_p; T)$ is invariant under H . Let f be the constant map such that $f(x) = a \neq e$ for each $x \in X$. Then $f \notin J(M_p; T)$. Hence $J(M_p; T) \neq C(X, G)$. This implies $J(M_p; T) = \{I_0\}$. Suppose $\text{cl}(\pi(p, T)) \neq X$. Then there is $f \in C(X, G)$ such that $f \neq I_0$ and $Z(f) \supset \text{cl}(\pi(p, T))$. This implies by Theorem 7 that $[f] \subset J(M_p; T)$. Hence, in particular, $f \in J(M_p; T)$ which is a contradiction.

The following example shows that the stated condition is not a necessary condition.

EXAMPLE. Let G be the additive group of integers modulo 2 with the discrete topology. The transformation group (G, G, π) , where π is the usual addition modulo 2, is minimal. Now $C(G, G) = \{I_0, f_1, f_2, f_3\}$, where f_1 is the function which maps G into 1, f_2 is the function which maps 1 into 1 and 0 into 0, and f_3 is the one which maps 0 into 1 and 1 into 0. Then $M = \{I_0, f_1\}$ is a subgroup of $C(G, G)$ which is invariant under $H = \{\rho^t : t \in G\}$.

The author would like to express his appreciation to the referee for some suggestions which have improved the presentation of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. J. W. England and L. H. Lanier, Jr., *Transformation groups of automorphisms of $C(X)$* , Illinois J. Math **12** (1968), 397–402. MR **37** #1517.
2. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, University Series in Higher Math., Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1960. MR **22** #6994.
3. W. H. Gottschalk and G. A. Hedlund, *Topological dynamics*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 36, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1955. MR **17**, 650.
4. E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis*. Vol. I: *Structure of topological groups. Integration theory, group representations*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 115, Academic Press, New York; Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963. MR **28** #158.
5. J. L. Kelley, *General topology*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J. 1955. MR **16**, 1136.
6. J. S. Yang, *On topological groups and homotopy groups* (submitted).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29208