

ON CLASSICAL QUOTIENTS OF POLYNOMIAL IDENTITY RINGS WITH INVOLUTION

LOUIS HALLE ROWEN¹

ABSTRACT. Let $(R, *)$ denote a ring R with involution $(*)$, where "involution" means "anti-automorphism of order \leq two". We can specialize many ring-theoretical concepts to rings with involution; in particular an ideal of $(R, *)$ is an ideal of R stable under $(*)$, and the center of $(R, *)$ is the set of central elements of R which are fixed under $(*)$. Then we say $(R, *)$ is prime when the product of any two nonzero ideals of $(R, *)$ is nonzero; similarly $(R, *)$ is semi-prime when any power of a nonzero ideal of $(R, *)$ is nonzero. The main result of this paper is a strong analogue to Posner's theorem [5], namely that any prime $(R, *)$ with polynomial identity has a ring of quotients R_T , formed merely by adjoining inverses of nonzero elements of the center of $(R, *)$. This quotient ring $(R_T, *)$ is simple and finite dimensional over its center. An extension of these results to semiprime Goldie rings with polynomial identity is given.

1. Facts about arbitrary rings with involution. Throughout this paper, R will denote a ring with 1. Actually, it is easy to show that all that is needed in the sequel is for the center C to contain a regular element. It happens that in light of [6, Theorem 2] this is always the case for R prime with polynomial identity (and, more generally, for R semiprime Goldie with polynomial identity) but we will not worry about this question here. Let us start with some elementary facts about arbitrary rings with 1.

LEMMA 1. Let R be a ring (with 1 and center C). Let T be any multiplicatively closed subset of regular elements (i.e. for $c \in T, r \in R, cr=0 \Rightarrow r=0$) of C such that $1 \in T$. Define $R_T = \{rc^{-1}, r \in R, c \in T, \text{ such that } r_1c_1^{-1} = r_2c_2^{-1} \text{ iff } r_1c_2 = r_2c_1\}$. R_T is then a ring endowed with the following operations:
 $r_1c_1^{-1} + r_2c_2^{-1} = (r_1c_2 + r_2c_1)(c_1c_2)^{-1}$ and $(r_1c_1^{-1})(r_2c_2^{-1}) = (r_1r_2)(c_1c_2)^{-1}$,
 for $r_1, r_2 \in R, c_1, c_2 \in T$. $R \hookrightarrow R_T$ via $r \mapsto r1^{-1}$, and $\text{cent}(R_T) = C_T$.

Received by the editors October 6, 1972.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 16A08, 16A12, 16A38.

Key words and phrases. Classical ring of quotients, Goldie ring, involution, polynomial identity, prime, semiprime, simple, center, central quotients.

¹ The author is a doctoral student at Yale University, working under the guidance of Nathan Jacobson. This work was supported by a National Science Foundation fellowship and is part of the author's forthcoming dissertation.

PROOF. It is clear that the given operations are well defined, making R_T a ring, and the map given from R to R_T is an embedding. $C_T \subseteq \text{cent}(R_T)$ is trivial; to show that $C_T \supseteq \text{cent}(R_T)$, let $rc^{-1} \in \text{cent}(R_T)$ for some $r \in R$, $c \in T$. Then for any $r_1 \in R$, $(rc^{-1})(r_1 1^{-1}) - (r_1 1^{-1})(rc^{-1}) = 0$, so by definition $(rr_1 - r_1 r)c = 0$. Then $rr_1 - r_1 r = 0$, so $r \in C$, which proves $C_T \supseteq \text{cent}(R_T)$, so $C_T = \text{cent}(R_T)$. Q.E.D.

Noting that the set of all regular elements of C is multiplicative, we could let T be this set in Lemma 1. In this case we shall call R_T the *ring of central quotients* of R . R_T is clearly universal in the following sense, for any T as in Lemma 1.

Let S be another ring (with 1), and let $\alpha: R \rightarrow S$ be a ring monomorphism such that $\alpha(c)$ is invertible in S for all $c \in T$. Then, viewing R as a subring of R_T , we have a unique ring monomorphism $\beta: R_T \rightarrow S$ extending α . In particular, if R has a (classical) ring of quotients S [3, p. 261], then $R_T \subseteq S$.

We shall now develop the analogous situation for rings with involution $(R, *)$. The *center* C_0 of $(R, *)$ is defined as $\{c \in C \text{ such that } c^* = c\}$. $1^* = 1$, so $1 \in C_0$.

LEMMA 2. *Let $(R, *)$ be a ring with involution (with 1 and center C_0). Let T_0 be any multiplicatively closed subset of regular elements of C_0 , with $1 \in T_0$. Then R_{T_0} has an involution which we shall also call $(*)$, given by $(rc^{-1})^* = r^*c^{-1}$ for $r \in R$, $c \in T_0$. The embedding $R \rightarrow R_{T_0}$ respects involution, and $\text{cent}(R_{T_0}, *) = (C_0)_{T_0}$.*

PROOF. We observe that $(*)$ is well defined on R_{T_0} , for if $r_1 c_1^{-1} = r_2 c_2^{-1}$, then $r_1 c_2 = r_2 c_1$, so $r_1^* c_2 = r_2^* c_1$, which implies $r_1^* c_1^{-1} = r_2^* c_2^{-1}$. It is likewise easy to see that $(*)$ is indeed an involution on R_{T_0} . Since $r^* 1^{-1} = (r 1^{-1})^*$, the embedding $R \rightarrow R_{T_0}$ does respect involution, and the last assertion follows immediately from Lemma 1. Q.E.D.

Let us call $(*)$ of the *first kind* on R if $C = C_0$, and of the *second kind* on R otherwise ($C \neq C_0$). Then we observe that $(*)$ is of the same kind on R as on R_{T_0} .

If $T_0 = \{\text{all regular elements of } C_0\}$ then we shall call $(R_{T_0}, *)$ the *ring of central quotients* of $(R, *)$, noting that T_0 is multiplicative.

LEMMA 3. *For $(R, *)$ a ring with involution, let $T = \{\text{regular elements of } C\}$ and $T_0 = \{\text{regular elements of } C_0\}$. Then $R_{T_0} = R_T$; in other words, the ring of central quotients of $(R, *)$, considered without the involution, is the same as the ring of central quotients of R .*

PROOF. Since T_0 is a multiplicative set of regular elements of C , there is a canonical embedding $R_{T_0} \rightarrow R_T$ from considerations of universality,

given by $rc_0^{-1} \mapsto rc_0^{-1}$ for $r \in R, c_0 \in T_0$. But this map is onto, because for all $c \in T, cc^* \in T_0$, so that $rc^{-1} = rc^*(cc^*)^{-1} \in R_{T_0}$. So $R_{T_0} = R_T$. Q.E.D.

Having developed a suitable theory of central localization for rings with involution, we change direction somewhat to define more ring-theoretic structures on rings with involution.

Let A be a subset of R . Then A is an *ideal* of $(R, *)$ if A is an ideal (2-sided) of R and $A^* = A$, as in [4, p. 13].

In a manner analogous to [5], we make the following definitions:

$(R, *)$ is simple if the only ideals of $(R, *)$ are 0 and R .

$(R, *)$ is prime if the product of nonzero ideals of $(R, *)$ is always non-zero.

$(R, *)$ is semiprime if all powers of all nonzero ideals of $(R, *)$ are non-zero.

If A is an ideal of $(R, *)$, then R/A has an involution which we shall also call $(*)$, given by $(r+A)^* = r^* + A$. Then we say A is (*maximal, prime, semiprime*) if $(R/A, *)$ is (simple, prime, semiprime). Finally we say $(R, *)$ is semisimple if 0 is the intersection of maximal ideals of $(R, *)$.

Martindale showed that $(R, *)$ is semiprime if and only if R is semiprime, using structure theory of semiprime rings [5, p. 193]. We prove this fact directly.

Clearly R semiprime implies $(R, *)$ is semiprime, so let us prove the converse and assume $(R, *)$ is semiprime. Let A be any ideal of R such that $A^2 = 0$. Then AA^* is an ideal of $(R, *)$ such that $(AA^*)(AA^*) \subseteq A^2 = 0$, so $AA^* = 0$. Similarly $A^*A = 0$, and $(A^*)^2 = (A^2)^* = 0$. Thus, $(A+A^*)^2 = 0$, so $A+A^* = 0$ since $(A+A^*)$ is an ideal of $(R, *)$. But then $A = 0$, so R is indeed semiprime.

We will also need the observation that if $(R, *)$ is prime, then the non-zero elements of its center C_0 are regular. For suppose $c \in C_0$ and $rc = 0$. Then $r^*c = r^*c^* = (cr)^* = (rc)^* = 0$; since c is central we have $\text{Ann}_R(c) = \{r \in R \text{ such that } rc = 0\}$ is an ideal of $(R, *)$. Likewise cR is an ideal of $(R, *)$, and $(\text{Ann}_R(c))(cR) = 0$. Since $(R, *)$ is prime we conclude that $\text{Ann}_R(c) = 0$ or $c = 0$, which proves our contention. In particular, if $(R, *)$ is prime then C_0 is an integral domain.

2. Polynomial identities. We still assume that $(R, *)$ is a ring with involution, and with 1. Let F be the free noncommutative ring $\mathbb{Z}\{X_{11}, X_{12}, \dots, X_{i1}, X_{i2}, \dots\}$, where \mathbb{Z} is the ring of integers. Let us define an involution $(*)$ on F by $\alpha^* = \alpha$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}, X_{i1}^* = X_{i2}, X_{i2}^* = X_{i1}$. Then let us write $X_i = X_{i1}, X_i^* = X_{i2}$, so $F = \mathbb{Z}\{X_1, X_1^*, \dots, X_i, X_i^*, \dots\}$. We say $f \neq 0$ in F is a *polynomial identity* of $(R, *)$ if f is in the kernel of all homomorphisms of $(F, *)$ to $(R, *)$, where a *homomorphism* of $(F, *)$ to $(R, *)$ is defined as a homomorphism of F to R preserving the involution. Note

that our definition of polynomial identity of $(R, *)$ is essentially a special case of Amitsur's treatment in [2, p. 64]. Incidentally, $(F, *)$ is a free ring with involution, in the sense that given any $(R, *)$ and $a_i \in R, i=1, 2, \dots$, there exists a unique homomorphism of $(F, *)$ to $(R, *)$ sending X_i to $a_i, i=1, 2, \dots$.

Amitsur shows in [2] that with mild conditions on f (one of the coefficients is ± 1), R satisfies a polynomial identity (in the usual sense). (This result generalizes work by Herstein and Martindale. Herstein showed that if R is simple and if some nonvanishing polynomial vanishes for all substitutions of symmetric elements in R , then R has a polynomial identity; Martindale extended Herstein's theorem for R semiprime.) If $(R, *)$ is semiprime then since R is also semiprime this polynomial identity may in fact be assumed to be a standard identity, which is multilinear. For a discussion on how to define polynomial identities on semiprime rings, see [1, p. 484].

Now let R be a ring with homogeneous polynomial identity f [3, p. 224]. Then for any multiplicative set T of regular central elements, R_T also satisfies the polynomial identity f , because if $r_i \in R, c_i \in T$ then $f(r_1c_1^{-1}, \dots, r_m c_m^{-1}) = f(r_1, \dots, r_m)c^{-1} = 0$ where c is the product of each c_i raised to the degree of the i th variable of f . Since $R \hookrightarrow R_T$, it follows that R and R_T satisfy the same homogeneous polynomial identities. Now if $(R, *)$ satisfies a polynomial identity, then R satisfies a polynomial identity, so R satisfies a multilinear polynomial identity, which implies that R_T satisfies the same multilinear identity. In particular, if $T = C_0 =$ center of $(R, *)$, we see that the ring of central quotients of $(R, *)$ also satisfies a polynomial identity. Actually, one could define homogeneous identities of $(R, *)$ along the lines of [2] to show that for any multiplicative subset T of C_0 with $1 \in T$, $(R, *)$ and $(R_T, *)$ satisfy the same homogeneous identities. Namely, let $f \in (F, *)$, and suppose $f = \sum f_r(X_1, X_1^*, \dots, X_{m_r}, X_{m_r}^*)$ where each f_r is a monomial. The *degree of the i th indeterminate of f_r* is the sum of the degrees of X_i and of X_i^* in f_r . If this degree is independent of r for each indeterminate then f is *homogeneous*. Then one gets the desired result (that f is a homogeneous polynomial identity for $(R_T, *)$ if and only if f is a homogeneous polynomial identity for $(R, *)$) in the same way as discussed above.

Now we state and prove the analogue of [6, Theorem 2] for rings with involution.

THEOREM 1. *Let $(R, *)$ be semiprime with center C_0 . Suppose $(R, *)$ satisfies a polynomial identity. Then for any nonzero ideal A of $(R, *)$, $A \cap C_0 \neq 0$.*

PROOF. We have already seen that A is an ideal of R , which is semiprime with polynomial identity. Therefore by [6, Theorem 2], $A \cap C \neq 0$

where $C = \text{cent}(R)$. Suppose $c \in A \cap C$ and $c \neq 0$. Then $(c+c^*)$, (cc^*) are both in $A \cap C_0$. We claim either $c+c^* \neq 0$ or $cc^* \neq 0$. For if $c+c^* = 0$, then $c = -c^*$. If $cc^* = 0$ also, then $c^2 = 0$, so $c^2 = 0$, so $c = 0$ since R is semiprime, a contradiction. Thus $A \cap C_0 \neq 0$. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 1. *If $(R, *)$ is as in Theorem 1 and C_0 is a field, then $(R, *)$ is simple.*

PROOF. Immediate from the theorem.

Now we have all the pieces to prove the following strong analogue to Posner's theorem.

THEOREM 2. *Let $(R, *)$ be prime and satisfy a polynomial identity. $(R, *)$ has a ring of central quotients $(S, *)$ which is simple, finite dimensional over its center, which is the quotient field of the center of $(R, *)$. $(R, *)$ and $(S, *)$ satisfy the same homogeneous polynomial identities, and the involutions given on R and on S are of the same kind. Finally, S is both the left and right ring of quotients for R .*

PROOF. We have noted that the center C_0 of $(R, *)$ is an integral domain, if $(R, *)$ is prime. Thus, the set of regular elements T of C_0 is merely $C_0 - \{0\}$. From Lemma 2, we have the existence of $(S, *) = (R_T, *)$, with center $(C_0)_T$, which is the quotient field of C_0 . But then $(S, *)$ is simple by Corollary 1. We have already seen that $(R, *)$ and $(S, *)$ satisfy the same homogeneous polynomial identities, and that the involutions given on R and on S are of the same kind. To prove the rest of the theorem, we need an easy result on the structure of S (given in [4, p. 14, Example 1]): Either S is simple or $S = S_1 \oplus S_2$ and $S_1^* = S_2$, S_1 and S_2 simple.

Clearly if S is simple we are done by [6, Corollary to Theorem 2], the strong version of Posner's theorem (without regard to involution). So let $S = S_1 \oplus S_2$. Since S satisfies a polynomial identity, so do S_1 and S_2 . Therefore S_1 and S_2 are finite dimensional over their respective centers F_1 and F_2 . If $F = \text{center of } (S, *)$ we have $F_1 = (1, 0)F$ and $F_2 = (0, 1)F$. Then for $i = 1, 2$, it is clear that S_i as an F -algebra is isomorphic to S_i as an F_i -algebra, so S is finite dimensional over F . Finally, let r_1 be (both left and right) regular in R . Clearly r_1 is then regular in S , so $(1, 0)r_1$ is regular in S_1 and $(0, 1)r_1$ is regular in S_2 . Since S_1 and S_2 are both simple artinian, there are $y_1 \in S_1$, $y_2 \in S_2$, such that $(1, 0) = (1, 0)r_1 y_1 = r_1((1, 0)y_1) = r_1 y_1$ and $(0, 1) = (0, 1)r_1 y_2 = r_1 y_2$. Thus, $1 = (1, 0) + (0, 1) = r_1(y_1 + y_2)$, so r_1 is invertible in S , which shows that S is the ring of quotients for R . Q.E.D.

We now observe that these results for rings with involution generalize the corresponding results for rings in general (disregarding involutions). To see this, let R^0 be the *opposite ring* of R [4, p. 13], i.e. R^0 has the same additive group structure as R , but the product $r_1 \circ r_2$ in R^0 is defined

by $r_1 \circ r_2 = r_2 r_1$. Let $R' = R \oplus R^0$. R' has the multiplication given by $(r_1, s_1)(r_2, s_2) = (r_1 r_2, s_2 s_1)$ and can be given the *exchange involution* J defined by $(r, s)^J = (s, r)$. Then there is a canonical correspondence of ideals in R with ideals in (R', J) given by $A \leftrightarrow A \oplus A^0$, and the center of (R', J) is $\{(c, c) \mid c \in C\}$, where C is the center of R . The results we prove for rings with involution hold for (R', J) , which shows that the corresponding theorems hold for R . For example, we claim that Posner's theorem (as stated in [6, Corollary to Theorem 1]) is a consequence of Theorem 2. For suppose R is a prime ring with polynomial identity. Then (R', J) is prime with the same polynomial identity and its ring of central quotients (S, J) is simple by Theorem 2. Thus $(1, 0)S$ is the simple ring of quotients of R , and is easily seen to be the ring of central quotients of R , immediately yielding the desired result.

Appendix. We consider now generalizations of the preceding results. So let $(R, *)$ be a semiprime ring with polynomial identity and with center C_0 , and let T be the set of regular elements of C_0 . Theorem 2 says that if $(R, *)$ is prime then R has a classical ring of quotients, which is in fact R_T , and $(R_T, *)$ is simple. One might therefore ask of semiprime $(R, *)$:

- (1) Is R_T a ring of quotients for R ?
- (2) Is $(R_T, *)$ semisimple?

Neither conjecture is true in general. In fact, an interesting *commutative* counterexample to (2) is the following: Let X_1, X_2, \dots be an infinite set of indeterminates, and set $X_i X_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$. For any field F , let $R = F[[X_1, X_2, \dots]]$ be the ring of formal power series, each element using only a finite number of indeterminates. A typical element is

$$r = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i \geq 1} \alpha_{1i} X_1^i + \sum_{i \geq 1} \alpha_{2i} X_2^i + \dots + \sum_{i \geq 1} \alpha_{mi} X_m^i$$

where $m < \infty$.

It is easy to see that r is regular if and only if $\alpha_0 \neq 0$, in which case r also has an inverse in R . Thus R is its own ring of central quotients. Although R is semiprime, R is certainly not semisimple since it has only one maximal ideal (the set of power series with constant term 0). However, there is a decent theorem which generalizes Theorem 2 for certain semiprime $(R, *)$, with polynomial identity, namely:

Suppose that among the prime ideals of $(R, *)$ there is a finite set whose intersection is 0. Then R_T is semisimple artinian and is the ring of quotients for R .

A modification of an argument of Herstein given in Lemma 11 of [3, p. 269] shows that the hypothesis of the above theorem is satisfied if $(R, *)$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) Every set of independent ideals of $(R, *)$ is finite.

(ii) Every set of left annihilators of ideals $(R, *)$ contains a maximal element. (In the situation under consideration, (ii) implies (i).)

Actually the left annihilator of any ideal A of $(R, *)$ is also an ideal of $(R, *)$. For let $\text{Ann } A$ be the left annihilator of A . Clearly $\text{Ann } A$ is an ideal of R . Moreover, $A(\text{Ann } A)^* = ((\text{Ann } A)A^*)^* = ((\text{Ann } A)A)^* = 0$. But then $((\text{Ann } A)^*A)^2 = 0$, and since R is semiprime $(\text{Ann } A)^*A = 0$. Thus, $(\text{Ann } A)^* \subseteq \text{Ann } A$, which implies $\text{Ann } A$ is an ideal of $(R, *)$.

Conditions (i) and (ii) generalize slightly the Goldie conditions of [3, p. 263], so we shall call a ring $(R, *)$ which satisfy these conditions a "quasi-Goldie" ring. Thus, for any quasi-Goldie semiprime $(R, *)$ with polynomial identity, its ring of central quotients is the ring of (both left and right) quotients, which is semisimple artinian.

Details of all of the above assertions will be given in the author's forthcoming dissertation.

REFERENCES

1. S. A. Amitsur, *Prime rings having polynomial identities*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **17** (1967), 470–486. MR **36** #209.
2. ———, *Identities in rings with involutions*, Israel J. Math. **7** (1969), 63–68. MR **39** #4216.
3. N. Jacobson, *Structure of rings*, rev. ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 37, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1964. Chapter X and Appendix B. MR **36** #5158.
4. ———, *Structure and representation of Jordan algebras*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 39, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1968. Sections I.4 and V.7. MR **40** #4330.
5. W. S. Martindale III, *Rings with involution and polynomial identities*, J. Algebra **11** (1969), 186–194. MR **38** #3302.
6. L. H. Rowen, *Some results on the center of a ring with polynomial identity*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **79** (1973), 219–223.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YALE UNIVERSITY, NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT 06520

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637