

DESCENT OF PROJECTIVITY FOR LOCALLY FREE MODULES

ROGER WIEGAND¹

ABSTRACT. Let $R \rightarrow \hat{R}$ be the natural homomorphism from the commutative ring R into its associated von Neumann regular ring, and let M be a locally free R -module such that $\hat{R} \otimes M$ is a projective \hat{R} -module. We show that if M is either countably generated or locally finitely generated, then M is projective, and we deduce that the trace of any projective ideal is projective. These results are a consequence of a more general theorem on the descent of the Mittag-Leffler condition. The "locally free" hypothesis may be weakened to "flat" if and only if R is locally perfect.

1. Introduction. Let $\phi: R \rightarrow R'$ be a ring homomorphism and M an R -module such that $R' \otimes M$ is projective (as an R' -module). The general problem of "descent of projectivity" is to find conditions on M and ϕ that force M to be projective. The most definitive results have traditionally required M to be finitely generated and flat. Recently, however, Raynaud and Gruson have used a technique of "approximation by finitely generated modules" to obtain some remarkable theorems on descent of projectivity for nonfinitely generated modules [RG].

In the present paper we use these techniques to study the natural homomorphism $\phi: R \rightarrow \hat{R}$, where R is a commutative ring and \hat{R} is its associated von Neumann regular ring [O]. It was shown in [W1] that if M is finitely generated and flat, and $\hat{R} \otimes M$ is projective, then M is projective. Unfortunately, the hypothesis of finite generation can be dropped only for rings that are locally perfect. It seems profitable to replace flatness by the stronger assumption that M be locally free. In this case, finiteness of M may be replaced by either "countably generated" or "locally finitely generated". These results are easy consequences of our main theorem on the descent of the Mittag-Leffler condition.

Received by the editors December 13, 1972 and, in revised form, February 15, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 13C05, 13C10; Secondary 16A30.

Key words and phrases. Projective module, locally free module, Mittag-Leffler module, von Neumann regular ring, locally perfect ring.

¹ Part of this research was supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation (GP-33548) while the author was a visitor at the University of Colorado.

All rings considered are commutative with identity. An R -module M has a property "locally" if M_P has that property as an R_P -module for each maximal ideal P . If $R \rightarrow R'$ is a ring homomorphism, the statement " $R' \otimes M$ has property x " means " $R' \otimes_R M$ has property x as an R' -module". If s is an element of a ring R , the ring of fractions $\{(r/s)\}$ is denoted by R_s .

2. The main theorem. We refer the reader to the paper by Raynaud and Gruson [RG] for a thorough treatment of Mittag-Leffler modules. For convenience, we recall the pertinent definitions and results.

Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: A \rightarrow C$ be homomorphisms of R -modules. Then g is said to *dominate* f provided $\text{Ker}(1_X \otimes f) \subseteq \text{Ker}(1_X \otimes g)$ for every R -module X . We remark that if g factors through f then g dominates f , and that domination is a transitive relation on maps emanating from A . Suppose F is finitely presented and $u: F \rightarrow M$. A map $v: F \rightarrow G$ is called a *stabilizer* for u provided G is finitely presented and u and v dominate each other. An R -module M is *Mittag-Leffler* if every map from every finitely presented module into M admits a stabilizer. Examples of Mittag-Leffler modules include all pure-projective modules and their pure submodules. We shall need the following characterization of Mittag-Leffler modules, which is easily deduced from the proof of [RG, II, Proposition 2.1.4] and the remarks following the definition of "dominate" given above:

LEMMA 2.1. *Let (F_i, u_{ji}) be a direct system of finitely presented modules with direct limit (M, u_i) .*

(1) *If some $u_i: F_i \rightarrow M$ admits a stabilizer, then there is an index $j_0 \geq i$ such that u_{ji} stabilizes u_i whenever $j \geq j_0$.*

(2) *If every u_i admits a stabilizer then M is Mittag-Leffler.*

Every ring R admits a homomorphism $\phi: R \rightarrow \hat{R}$ characterized by the following universal property: (1) \hat{R} is (von Neumann) regular, and (2) every homomorphism from R into a regular ring factors uniquely through ϕ . (This was observed independently by J.-P. Olivier [O] and M. Hochster [H].) The induced map ${}^a\phi: \text{spec}(\hat{R}) \rightarrow \text{spec}(R)$ is a bijection. For each $P \in \text{spec}(R)$, ϕ induces an isomorphism between the fields R_P/PR_P and $\hat{R}_{\hat{P}}$, where \hat{P} is the unique prime (=maximal) ideal of \hat{R} such that ${}^a\phi(\hat{P}) = P$. If $\text{spec}(R)$ is retopologized so as to make ${}^a\phi$ a homeomorphism, the result is the (stronger) patch topology [H]. Proofs of these assertions may be found in [W2]. We now come to the main theorem.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let M be a flat R -module. Then M is Mittag-Leffler if and only if M is locally Mittag-Leffler and $\hat{R} \otimes M$ is Mittag-Leffler.*

PROOF. Write M as the direct limit of the direct system (F_i, u_{ji}) , with each F_i finitely presented [L, Appendice]. The “only if” implication is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.1. To prove the converse, fix an index i . We need an index $j \geq i$ such that u_{ji} stabilizes $u_i: F_i \rightarrow M$.

Let P be a prime ideal, fixed for the moment. Since M is locally Mittag-Leffler, Lemma 2.1 implies that M_P is a Mittag-Leffler R_P -module. (It is necessary to work with *all* primes—not just the maximal ones—since we will eventually need a topological condition that depends on the existence of generic points.) By [RG, II, 2.2.1], $\text{Im}((u_i)_P)$ is contained in a countably generated, pure-projective, pure R_P -submodule F of M_P . Since F is flat, it is projective, and hence free. Then $\text{Im}((u_i)_P)$ is contained in a finitely generated free summand of F . It follows that there exist a free R -module L of finite rank and a map $w: L \rightarrow M$ such that $w_P: L_P \rightarrow M_P$ is a pure monomorphism and $\text{Im}((u_i)_P) \subseteq \text{Im}(w_P)$. (At this point we are reproducing part of the proof of [RG, II, 2.5.6], since that result appears to have a misprint in its statement as well as a minor obscurity in its proof.)

Let $U = \{Q \in \text{spec}(R) \mid \text{Im}((u_i)_Q) \subseteq \text{Im}(w_Q)\}$ and $V = \{Q \in \text{spec}(R) \mid w_Q$ is a pure monomorphism}. We claim $U \cap V$ is a neighborhood of P . Assuming this for the time being, we can easily complete the proof as follows: Choose an element $s \in R$ such that $P \in D(s) \subseteq U \cap V$, where $D(s)$ is the set of primes not containing P . Identifying $\text{spec}(R_s)$ with $D(s)$ and globalizing, we see that $w_s: L_s \rightarrow M_s$ is a pure monomorphism, and $\text{Im}((u_i)_s) \subseteq \text{Im}(w_s)$. Clearly, the map $(F_i)_s \rightarrow \text{Im}(w_s)$ (induced by $(u_i)_s$) stabilizes $(u_i)_s$. By Lemma 2.1 there is an index $j_0 \geq i$ such that $(u_{ji})_s$ stabilizes $(u_i)_s$ for each $j \geq j_0$. By administering the same treatment to each $P \in \text{spec}(R)$, we obtain, by compactness, an index $j \geq i$ such that u_{ji} stabilizes u_i locally, and hence globally.

To prove our claim, we observe that U is open, since it is the complement of the support of the finitely generated module $(\text{Im}(u_i) + \text{Im}(w))/\text{Im}(w)$. The set $\text{spec}(R) - V$ clearly contains the closure of each of its points. By the first corollary to Theorem 1 of [H], such a set is closed in the Zariski topology if and only if it is closed in the patch topology. Thus it suffices to show that V is open in the patch topology.

Let K be the kernel of $\hat{w}: \hat{R} \otimes L \rightarrow \hat{R} \otimes M$. Since \hat{R} is regular, $\text{Im}(\hat{w})$ is a (finitely generated) pure submodule of the Mittag-Leffler \hat{R} -module $\hat{R} \otimes M$, and hence is projective [RG, II, 2.1.6, 2.2.2]. Therefore K is a finitely generated projective \hat{R} -module, and it follows that $\{Q \in \text{spec}(R) \mid K_Q = 0\}$ is open (and closed) in the patch topology. However, the next lemma shows that this set is precisely V , and hence completes the proof.

LEMMA 2.3 [RG, I, 3.1.6]. *Let R be a local ring with residue field k .*

Let $u: F \rightarrow M$ be an R -homomorphism with F free and M flat. Then u is a pure monomorphism if and only if $1_x \otimes u$ is a monomorphism.

The hypothesis that M be flat cannot be deleted from Theorem 2.2. For example, let R be a nonnoetherian ring such that $\text{spec}(R)$ is noetherian, and R_P is noetherian for each maximal ideal P . (Such a ring is constructed in [HO].) Let M be a finitely generated module that is not finitely presented (and hence not Mittag-Leffler, by [RG, II, 2.2.2]). Clearly M is locally Mittag-Leffler, and by [W2, Theorem 2] $\hat{R} \otimes M$ is projective (and hence Mittag-Leffler).

Let $j\text{-spec}(R)$ denote the set of prime ideals of R that are intersections of maximal ideals. As in [W3], let $\tilde{R} = \hat{R} / \cap \{ \hat{P} \mid P \in j\text{-spec}(R) \}$. If $j\text{-spec}(R)$ is closed in the patch topology on $\text{spec}(R)$, we say R is j -closed. In this case the map $R \rightarrow \tilde{R}$ induces a bijection between $\text{spec}(\tilde{R})$ and $j\text{-spec}(R)$, and the proof of Theorem 2.2 can easily be modified to give the following result:

THEOREM 2.4. *Suppose R is j -closed and M is a flat R -module. Then M is Mittag-Leffler if and only if M is locally Mittag-Leffler and $\tilde{R} \otimes M$ is Mittag-Leffler.*

3. Applications to the descent of projectivity. According to [RG, II, 2.2.2], a countably generated flat module is Mittag-Leffler if and only if it is projective. The following is therefore an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.2:

PROPOSITION 3.1. *A countably generated R -module M is projective if and only if M is locally free and $\hat{R} \otimes M$ is projective.*

It is unknown to the author whether the countability hypothesis can be dropped, but it can be replaced by local finiteness.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let M be a locally finitely generated R -module. Then M is projective if and only if M is locally free and $\hat{R} \otimes M$ is projective.*

PROOF. Write $\hat{R} \otimes M = \bigoplus \sum Q_i$ ($i \in I$), where each Q_i is a countably generated \hat{R} -module, and let x be an element of M . By Theorem 2.2, M is Mittag-Leffler, and the proof of [RG, II, 3.1.3] provides a countably generated, pure submodule M' of M such that $x \in M'$ and $\hat{R} \otimes M' = \bigoplus \sum Q_j$ ($j \in J$) for some $J \subseteq I$. Then M' is locally free, and hence locally finitely generated, and it follows from purity that M/M' is locally free. Since $\hat{R} \otimes (M/M') = \bigoplus \sum Q_i$ ($i \in I - J$), all our hypotheses on M carry over to M/M' . A transfinite induction argument, as in the proof of [RG, II, 3.1.3], completes the proof.

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let M be a projective R -module such that for each P , M_P is free of rank 0 or 1 (for example, take M to be a projective ideal of R). Then the trace ideal of M is projective.*

PROOF. Let T be the trace of M . Then T_P is free of rank 0 or 1 for each P , and $\hat{R} \otimes T$ is the trace of $\hat{R} \otimes M$ [V]. Now $\hat{R} \otimes M$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of principal ideals $\hat{R}e_\alpha$, $e_\alpha = e_\alpha^2 \in \hat{R}$, by [K]. Since $\hat{R}_P \otimes M$ has rank 0 or 1 for each P , it follows easily that the idempotents e_α are orthogonal, and hence $\hat{R} \otimes M$ is isomorphic to an ideal I of \hat{R} . Let $e = e^2 \in I$ and let $f \in \text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(I, \hat{R})$. Then $f(e) = ef(e) \in I$. Since I is generated by its idempotents, it follows that I is its own trace. Thus $\hat{R} \otimes T = I$, and since I is projective, Proposition 3.2 implies that T is projective.

If one could get rid of the countability hypothesis in Proposition 3.1, the resulting theorem and its \hat{R} -analogue would have many applications to homological dimension theory. For example, it would follow that the homological dimension of a flat module M is the maximum of $\sup_P \text{h. dim}_{R_P} M_P$ and $\text{h. dim}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{R} \otimes M)$. The usefulness of results like this would stem from the fact that it is comparatively easy to get bounds on the global dimensions of \hat{R} and \hat{R} . For example, if $j\text{-spec}(R)$ is a noetherian space of dimension d , then R is j -closed, and $\text{gl dim}(\hat{R}) \leq d$, by [W3, 1.1, 5.3] and [W2, Proposition 4].

4. Flatness is not enough. For which rings R can one replace “locally free” by “flat” in the hypotheses of Proposition 3.1? The class of rings in question is rather small, but provides a natural, common generalization of “regular” and “perfect”.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *The following conditions on a ring R are equivalent:*

- (i) (resp. (ii)) *If M is an arbitrary (resp. a countably generated) flat R -module, and $\hat{R} \otimes M$ is projective, then M is projective.*
- (iii) *The nilradical of R is T -nilpotent, and $\text{dim}(R) = 0$ (that is, primes are maximal).*
- (iv) *R_P is perfect for every maximal ideal P .*

PROOF. The program is to show that (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (ii) and (iii) \Rightarrow (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Assume (iii) holds, let N be the nilradical of R , and let $\{x_k\}$ be a sequence of elements in a maximal ideal P . Since PR_P is a nilideal of R_P , there exist elements $s_k \in R - P$ such that $s_k x_k \in N$. Then, for some n , $s_1 \cdots s_n x_1 \cdots x_n = 0$, and it follows that PR_P is T -nilpotent. Therefore R_P is perfect, and (iv) is verified. Since flats are projective over a perfect ring [B], (iv) implies (ii) by Proposition 3.1.

Suppose (iii) is satisfied. Then R/N is regular, since its localizations are 0-dimensional local rings with no nilpotents, that is, fields. Therefore $R/N = \hat{R}$, and since N is T -nilpotent, it follows that (i) is satisfied,

by [RG, II, 1.2.6, 3.1.4(1)]. Obviously, (i) implies (ii), and the proof will be complete once we check that (ii) implies (iii).

Let $\{x_k\}$ be a sequence of elements of N . We need an n such that $x_1 \cdots x_n = 0$. As in [RG, II, 1.2.6], let M be the direct limit of the system $R \xrightarrow{x_1} R \xrightarrow{x_2} R \rightarrow \cdots$. Then M is a countably generated flat R -module, and $(R/N) \otimes M = 0$. Since ϕ factors through the natural map $\pi: R \rightarrow R/N$, we see that $\hat{R} \otimes M = 0$. By condition (ii), M is projective, and it follows from [B, 2.7] that $M = 0$. Hence $x_1 \cdots x_n = 0$ for a suitable n . To show that $\dim(R) = 0$, let s be an arbitrary element of R . Then R_s is a countably generated, flat R -module, and $\hat{R} \otimes R_s$ is projective by [W1, Corollary 2]. By (ii) R_s is projective, and a typical "dual basis" argument (e.g. [CE, p. 132]) shows that R_s is finitely generated, and hence cyclic. Therefore, for some n , we have $R_s^n = R_s^{n+1}$. The following observation completes the proof:

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let R be any ring. Then $\dim(R) = 0$ if and only if principal ideals are eventually idempotent (that is, for each $x \in R$ there is an integer n , depending on x , such that $Rx^n = Rx^{n+1}$).*

PROOF. If $\dim(R) = 0$, then for each x there is a y such that $x - yx$ is nilpotent. Expanding $x^n(1 - yx)^n = 0$, we get $x^n \in Rx^{n+1}$. Conversely, if principal ideals of R are eventually idempotent, the same holds for every homomorphic image of R . Clearly, then, R/P is a field for each prime P .

An interesting consequence of Proposition 4.2 is that taking direct products can increase Krull dimension. For example, the ideal generated by 2 in the ring $\prod_n \mathbb{Z}/(2^n)$ is not eventually idempotent.

REFERENCES

- [B] H. Bass, *Finitistic dimension and a homological generalization of semi-primary rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **95** (1960), 466–488. MR **28** #1212.
 [CE] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1956. MR **17**, 1040.
 [H] M. Hochster, *Prime ideal structure in commutative rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **142** (1969), 43–60. MR **40** #4257.
 [HO] W. Heinzer and J. Ohm, *Locally noetherian commutative rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **158** (1971), 273–284. MR **43** #6192.
 [K] I. Kaplansky, *Projective modules*, Ann. of Math. (2) **68** (1958), 372–377. MR **20** #6453.
 [L] D. Lazard, *Autour de la platitude*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **97** (1969), 81–128. MR **40** #7310.
 [O] J.-P. Olivier, *Anneaux absolument plats universels et épimorphismes d'anneaux*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A–B **266** (1968), A317–A318. MR **39** #197d.
 [RG] M. Raynaud and L. Gruson, *Critères de platitude et de projectivité*, Invent. Math. **13** (1971), 1–89.

[V] W. Vasconcelos, *On projective modules of finite rank*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (1969), 430–433. MR **39** #4134.

[W1] R. Wiegand, *Globalization theorems for locally finitely generated modules*, Pacific J. Math. **39** (1971), 269–274.

[W2] ———, *Modules over universal regular rings*, Pacific J. Math. **39** (1971), 807–819.

[W3] ———, *Generators of modules over commutative rings*, J. Algebra (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA, LINCOLN, NEBRASKA
68508