

AN INVERSE FUNCTION THEOREM FOR FREE GROUPS

JOAN S. BIRMAN¹

ABSTRACT. Let F_n be a free group of rank n with free basis x_1, \dots, x_n . Let $\{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ be a set of $k \leq n$ elements of F_n , where each y_i is represented by a word $Y_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in the generators x_j . Let $\partial y_i / \partial x_j$ denote the free derivative of y_i with respect to x_j , and let $J_{kn} = \|\partial y_i / \partial x_j\|$ denote the $k \times n$ Jacobian matrix. **THEOREM.** *If $k = n$, the set $\{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ generates F_n if and only if J_{nn} has a right inverse. If $k < n$, the set $\{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ may be extended to a set of elements which generate F_n only if J_{kn} has a right inverse. Several applications are given.*

Let Z denote the ring of rational integers, and let ZF_n denote the integral group ring of a free group F_n which has the free basis x_1, \dots, x_n . Let $\partial / \partial x_j : ZF_n \rightarrow ZF_n$ denote the j th free partial derivative, in the sense of R. H. Fox [3]. The mapping $\partial / \partial x_j$ is defined as follows: If $w \in F_n$ is represented by the word $x_{\mu_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots x_{\mu_r}^{\varepsilon_r}$, where $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$ and $\mu_i = 1, \dots, n$, then $\partial w / \partial x_j \in ZF_n$ is defined by:

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_j} = \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{j, \mu_k} \varepsilon_k x_{\mu_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots x_{\mu_{k-1}}^{\varepsilon_{k-1}} x_{\mu_{k+1}}^{\varepsilon_{k+1}} \cdots x_{\mu_r}^{\varepsilon_r}$$

where δ means the Kronecker symbol. More generally, if $u = \sum_{i=1}^t c_i w_i$, $c_i \in Z$, $w_i \in F_n$, we define

$$(2) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} = \sum_{i=1}^t c_i \frac{\partial w_i}{\partial x_j}$$

It is easy to show that this definition is independent of the choice representatives of the elements $w_i \in F_n$.

There are known analogues between the "free" calculus of polynomials in the noncommuting indeterminates x_1, \dots, x_n and the "ordinary" calculus of polynomials in commuting indeterminates, such as the existence of Taylor series [3]. However, it is worth noting that if α is the

Received by the editors November 30, 1972 and, in revised form, February 26, 1973.
 AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 55A05, 20E99; Secondary 20F55.
 Key words and phrases. Free calculus, primitive elements, free basis, invertible matrices.

¹ This work was supported in part by NSF Grant GP-34324X.

abelianizing homomorphism acting on F_n , and if α_* is the induced homomorphism from $ZF_n \rightarrow ZF_n^\alpha$, then the image under α_* of the free partial derivative of u , as defined by (2), is not the ordinary partial derivative of $\alpha_*(u)$. Thus the free calculus and the ordinary calculus appear to be distinct theories. The purpose of this note is to point out a new analogue between the free calculus and the ordinary calculus, an "inverse function theorem" for free groups.

INVERSE FUNCTION THEOREM. *Let $\{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ be a set of $k \leq n$ elements of F_n . Let J_{kn} denote the $k \times n$ "Jacobian" matrix $\|\partial y_i / \partial x_j\|$.*

(i) *If $k = n$, a necessary and sufficient condition for $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ to be a generating set for F_n is that J_{nn} have a right inverse.*

(ii) *If $k < n$, a necessary condition for $\{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ to extend to a generating set $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ is that J_{kn} have a right inverse.*

PROOF. We first establish the sufficiency of the condition (i).² Suppose that $B = \|\beta_{ij}\|$ is a right inverse of J_{nn} . By a theorem of M. S. Montgomery [6], the matrix B is also a left inverse of J_{nn} . Hence

$$(3) \quad \sum_{s=1}^n \beta_{is} \left(\frac{\partial y_s}{\partial x_j} \right) = \delta_{ij} \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, n).$$

Multiplying both sides of (3) by $x_j - 1$, and summing over j , we obtain

$$(4) \quad \sum_{s=1}^n \beta_{is} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial y_s}{\partial x_j} (x_j - 1) = x_i - 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

By the "fundamental theorem" of free calculus [3]:

$$(5) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial y_s}{\partial x_j} (x_j - 1) = y_s - 1 \quad (s = 1, \dots, n).$$

Hence

$$(6) \quad \sum_{s=1}^n \beta_{is} (y_s - 1) = x_i - 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Now let H be the subgroup of F_n generated by y_1, \dots, y_n and let I_H be the ideal of ZF_n generated by $y_1 - 1, \dots, y_n - 1$. According to equation (6), the ring elements $x_i - 1$ belong to I_H for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. But then, by Lemma 4.1 of [2], it follows that $x_i \in H$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Hence H coincides with F_n , and our result is established.

Necessity may be established by noting that if $\{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ extends to a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$, then we may write each x_i as a word $X_i(y_1, \dots, y_n)$,

² The very brief proof of sufficiency given here was suggested by the referee. It replaces a longer and more computational proof in an earlier version of this paper. The author wishes to thank the referee for his constructive suggestions.

in the generators y_j . Moreover, if y_i is represented by the word $Y_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, then we will have

$$(7) \quad Y_i(X_1(y_1, \dots, y_n), \dots, X_n(y_1, \dots, y_n)) = y_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

The chain rule (see [3]) applied to (7) gives

$$(8) \quad \sum_{s=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial Y_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{\partial x_s} \right) \left(\frac{\partial X_s(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\partial y_j} \right) = \delta_{ij} \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, n).$$

Hence the $n \times n$ matrix J_{nn} has a right inverse, $J_{nn}^* = \|\partial x_i / \partial y_j\|$. Thus condition (i) is seen to be sufficient. Also the $k \times n$ submatrix J_{kn} formed by the first k rows of J_{nn} has a right inverse, namely the submatrix J_{nk}^* of J_{nn}^* formed by the first k columns of J_{nn}^* . This proves (ii).

As an application, we will use the inverse function theorem to give a new proof of a classical theorem of J. Nielsen. This application was suggested by the referee. The proof below is a modification of his proof.

COROLLARY 1 (J. Nielsen, see [5]). *Any set of n elements which generate a free group of rank n are a set of free generators.*

PROOF. Suppose that $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ generate F_n , and suppose also that $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ satisfy the relation

$$(9) \quad r(y_1, \dots, y_n) = 1,$$

where we assume that $r(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ is freely reduced as a word in the y_j 's. By the chain rule it follows that

$$(10) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial r}{\partial y_j} \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial x_i} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Setting $r = (\partial r / \partial y_1, \dots, \partial r / \partial y_n)$, we may rewrite (10) in the form

$$(11) \quad rJ_{nn} = o.$$

By the inverse function theorem, the matrix J_{nn} has a right inverse, say B . Multiplying both sides of (11) by B we obtain

$$(12) \quad rJ_{nn}B = rI = r = o,$$

hence $\partial r / \partial y_j = 0$ for each $j = 1, \dots, n$. But then it follows that r does not involve the letter y_j , since no cancellations are possible in (1) if a word is freely reduced. It then follows that r must be the trivial relator. This completes the proof of Corollary 1.

We observe that our theorem may be applied both ways. Consider first the case $k = n$. An algorithm for deciding whether a set of n elements

in a free group F_n are a basis was discovered by J. Nielsen (see Chapter 2 of [5]), and Nielsen's algorithm translates into a straightforward algorithm for expressing a Jacobian matrix as a product of elementary invertible matrices over ZF_n .³ On the other hand, it is known [7] that the ring ZF_n can be embedded in a skew field K . Since a procedure exists for finding inverses of invertible matrices over skew fields (see Chapter IV of [1]), and since such inverses are unique, one may decide whether a Jacobian matrix is invertible over ZF_n by computing its inverse over K , and seeing whether in fact the entries are in ZF_n . This yields a new test to decide if y_1, \dots, y_n are a basis. This procedure is not however, a practical alternative to Nielsen's relatively simple algorithm; the elementary invertible matrices which are obtained by the method in [1] are almost certainly not in ZF_n , even when their product is in ZF_n , so that this procedure is unnecessarily complex. Our theorem does, however, yield a very simple necessary condition which a set $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ must survive if it is a basis:

COROLLARY 2. *Let J_{nn}^α denote the image of J_{nn} under the abelianizing homomorphism α_* acting on ZF_n . Then $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ is a basis for F_n only if $\det J_{nn}^\alpha$ is a unit in ZF_n .*

PROOF. By Theorem 1, p. 59, of [4], a square matrix over a commutative ring with 1 is invertible if and only if its determinant is a unit. \square

To see that the condition in Corollary 2 is not sufficient, let $n=2$ and consider the elements

$$(13) \quad y_1 = x_1,$$

$$(14) \quad y_2 = x_2x_1x_2x_1^{-1}x_2^{-1}x_1^2x_2^2x_1^{-2}x_2^{-1}x_1x_2^{-1}x_1^{-1}x_2^2x_1^2x_2^{-2}x_1^{-2}.$$

A simple calculation shows that $\det \|\partial y_i / \partial x_j\|^\alpha = 1$, yet y_1 and y_2 are not primitive, by the test given in Corollary N4, p. 169, of [5].

The more difficult question of deciding whether a set of $k < n$ elements in a free group are primitive was solved by J. H. C. Whitehead [9], [10] and by E. Rapaport [8], and the inverse function theorem may be applied to yield an analogous algorithm for deciding when a $k \times n$ Jacobian matrix over ZF_n has a right inverse. Once again, the Jacobian matrices corresponding to Whitehead transformations are a very pleasant set of elementary invertible matrices.

³ Note that the mapping which we have defined from $\text{Aut } F_n$ to the ring of invertible matrices over ZF_n is a crossed homomorphism. That is, if α and β are automorphisms of F_n which have Jacobian matrices $\|a_{ij}\|$ and $\|b_{ij}\|$ respectively, then the Jacobian matrix corresponding to $\alpha\beta$ is the product $\|a_{ij}\| \|b_{ij}\|_\alpha$, where $\|b_{ij}\|_\alpha$ denotes the Jacobian matrix of β with respect to the transformed basis $\alpha(x_1), \dots, \alpha(x_n)$. Thus, in order to apply Nielsen's algorithm, one must repeatedly change basis.

REFERENCES

1. E. Artin, *Geometric algebra*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Appl. Math., no. 3, Interscience, New York, 1957. MR 18, 553.
2. D. Cohen, *Groups of cohomological dimension one*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 245, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1972.
3. R. H. Fox, *Free differential calculus. I. Derivation in the free group ring*, Ann. of Math. (2) 57 (1953), 547–560. MR 14, 843.
4. N. Jacobson, *Lectures in abstract algebra. I. Basic concepts*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1951. MR 12, 794.
5. W. Magnus, A. Karrass and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial group theory. Presentations of groups in terms of generators and relations*, Pure and Appl. Math. vol. 13, Interscience, New York, 1966. MR 34 #7617.
6. M. S. Montgomery, *Left and right inverses in group algebras*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 75 (1969), 539–540. MR 39 #327.
7. B. Neumann, *On ordered division rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 66 (1949), 202–252. MR 11, 311.
8. E. S. Rapoport, *On free groups and their automorphisms*, Acta Math. 99 (1958), 139–163. MR 24 #A1302.
9. J. H. C. Whitehead, *On certain sets of elements in a free group*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 41 (1936), 48–56.
10. ———, *On equivalent sets of elements in a free group*, Ann. of Math. 37 (1936), 782–800.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STEVENS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, HOBOKEN,
NEW JERSEY 07030

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, New York,
New York 10027