

## REPRESENTATION OF $A$ -CONVEX ALGEBRAS

ALLAN C. COCHRAN

**ABSTRACT.** Algebraic properties of  $A$ -convex algebras are developed via a functor to locally  $m$ -convex algebras. The Gel'fand-Mazur theorem holds for  $A$ -convex algebras, and this fact allows a Gel'fand-type representation theorem for a subclass of uniformly  $A$ -convex algebras. Connections to existing functional representation theory are also obtained.

**1. Introduction.** The objects of this note are to give some algebraic properties of  $A$ -convex algebras, to note the fact that the Gel'fand-Mazur theorem holds for this class of algebras and to develop a Gel'fand-type theorem appropriate for certain  $A$ -convex algebras. The main technique for obtaining algebraic properties is to use a functor from the category of  $A$ -convex algebras to the category of locally  $m$ -convex algebras and then use the existing theory of  $m$ -convex algebras (see, for example, [5]). Various relations are developed along these lines.

The fact that the Gel'fand-Mazur theorem holds for  $A$ -convex algebras means that for commutative algebras there is a one-to-one correspondence between continuous nonzero multiplicative linear functionals and the closed regular maximal ideals. However, the generalizations of the Gel'fand representation theory on this carrier space (e.g. [6]) are all in terms of a locally  $m$ -convex algebra. We develop a representation theorem for a subclass of  $A$ -convex algebras (uniformly  $A$ -convex) which gives the  $m$ -convex results as corollaries. A type of strict topology is used on the space of continuous functions in which the algebra is embedded.

Basic results on  $A$ -convex algebras are found in [1], [2] and [3]. In order to make this note relatively self-contained we briefly repeat pertinent definitions. A *locally convex algebra* is an algebra over  $\mathbf{R}$  or  $\mathbf{C}$  with a locally convex topology for which multiplication is separately continuous. An  *$A$ -convex seminorm* on an algebra  $E$  is a seminorm  $p$  such that for  $x \in E$  there are constants  $M_x$  and  $N_x$  such that  $p(xy) \leq M_x p(y)$  and  $p(yx) \leq N_x p(y)$  for all  $y \in E$ . An  *$A$ -convex algebra*  $\{ \text{locally } m\text{-convex algebra} \}$

---

Received by the editors March 15, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 46H15; Secondary 46H05, 46H20.

*Key words and phrases.*  $A$ -convex algebra, locally  $m$ -convex algebra, Gel'fand-Mazur theorem, strict topology, compact-open topology, Gel'fand representation theorem.

© American Mathematical Society 1973

is a locally convex algebra whose topology is defined via a family of  $A$ -convex seminorms {multiples of submultiplicative seminorms}. A basic reference to locally  $m$ -convex algebras is [5]. Examples of  $A$ -convex algebras (see [2]) include: (1) all locally  $m$ -convex algebras; (2) the space  $(C_b(X), \beta)$  of bounded  $C$ -valued functions on a locally compact Hausdorff space with the strict topology; and (3) multiplier algebras.

We will denote by  $x \circ y$  the operation on  $E$  defined by  $x \circ y = x + y - xy$ . If  $x \circ y = y \circ x = 0$  then  $x$  is called the *quasi-inverse* of  $y$ .

**2. Algebraic properties.** The Gel'fand-Mazur theorem forms the cornerstone of the basic representation theorem for Banach algebras [7]. Indeed, one of the important features of locally  $m$ -convex algebras is the fact that this theorem holds in this class of algebras [5]. Several authors (e.g. [5], [4], [6]) have exploited this type of representation theory, some obtaining results by assuming a sufficiently large carrier space (which the Gel'fand-Mazur theorem assures). We note here the fact that the Gel'fand-Mazur theorem holds for the larger class of  $A$ -convex algebras. Then we note several algebraic properties of these algebras, reducing to the  $m$ -convex and/or Banach case such questions as existence of identity, inverses and quasi-inverses.

**THEOREM 2.1 (GEL'FAND-MAZUR).** *Every  $A$ -convex division algebra over  $C$  is isomorphic to the complex field  $C$ .*

**PROOF.** This follows immediately from a remark of Williamson [13, p. 730].

It is of some interest to note the departure of the  $m$ -convex theory at this point. Theorem 2.1 for  $m$ -convex algebras is a direct transplant from the Banach algebra theorem. One simply notes that quasi-inversion is continuous for  $m$ -convexity. But a result of Turpin [8] shows that quasi-inversion is never continuous in an  $A$ -convex algebra which is not  $m$ -convex. Thus  $(C_b(\mathbf{R}), \beta)$  gives an easy example of an algebra with quasi-inversion not continuous.

In view of the representation theorem promised, we need the following simple consequences of Theorem 2.1:

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $E$  be a commutative complex  $A$ -convex algebra.*

(i) *If  $M$  is a closed regular maximal ideal in  $E$  then  $E/M$  is isomorphic to  $C$ ;*

(ii) *There is one-to-one correspondence between the set  $\mathfrak{M}$  of nonzero continuous multiplicative linear functionals and the closed maximal regular ideals of  $E$  in which a functional is associated with its kernel.*

One of the main features of complete locally  $m$ -convex algebras is the theorem of Michael [5, Theorem 5.2] which reduces to Banach algebras

the questions of existence of identity, inverses and quasi-inverses. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  denote the category of locally  $m$ -convex algebras with continuous algebraic homomorphisms and, similarly,  $\mathcal{A}$  the category of  $A$ -convex algebras. Warner [11] showed that  $A_1 = \bigcup \{A^n : n=1, 2, \dots\}$  is the smallest idempotent subset of an algebra  $E$  which contains  $A$ . Let  $A^*$  denote the absolutely convex hull of  $A_1$  for a given set  $A$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *Let  $(E, \tau)$  be an  $A$ -convex algebra with  $\mathcal{N}$  the neighborhood filter at zero. Then the filter  $m(\mathcal{N})$ , generated by all sets  $V^*$ ,  $V$  in  $\mathcal{N}$ , gives a locally  $m$ -convex topology on  $E$  which is the finest locally  $m$ -convex topology on  $E$  coarser than  $\tau$ . The correspondence thus described gives an idempotent functor  $m: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ .*

**PROOF.** The proof of the first part is given in [2]; the second part follows from the fact that if  $f$  is an algebraic homomorphism then  $[f(A^*)] \subset [f(A)]^*$  and similar straightforward calculations.

By using the functor  $m$  of Proposition 2.3 the problems of determining algebraic properties of an  $A$ -convex algebra are reduced to the locally  $m$ -convex case. The filter  $m(\mathcal{N})$  is defined for any locally convex algebra so  $m$  can be considered as a functor on all such algebras.

**PROPOSITION 2.4.** *Let  $E$  be an  $A$ -convex algebra,  $m(E)$  a complete Hausdorff algebra. Then the existence of identity, inverses and quasi-inverses is equivalent to their existence in a set of Banach algebras.*

**PROOF.** Clearly, existence of identity, inverses and quasi-inverses is independent of the topology on  $E$ . Hence Michael [5, Theorem 5.2] gives the result.

**EXAMPLE 2.5.** The functor  $m$  associates  $(C_b(X), \kappa)$  with the space  $(C_b(X), \beta)$ . This is shown in [2] so that there are no locally  $m$ -convex topologies on  $C_b(X)$  between the compact-open and the strict.

**THEOREM 2.6.** *For any locally convex algebra  $E$ , the set of continuous multiplicative linear functionals is the same for both  $E$  and  $m(E)$ .*

**PROOF.** A linear functional is continuous if and only if it is bounded on a neighborhood of zero. Thus, if  $m$  is a multiplicative linear functional which is bounded on a neighborhood  $V$  in  $E$  then for a suitable multiple  $W$  of  $V$ ,  $m(W) \leq 1$ . Since  $m$  is multiplicative  $m(W^*) \leq 1$  so  $m$  is continuous in  $m(E)$ . Since the topology of  $m(E)$  is coarser than the topology of  $E$ , the result follows. We now consider the question of when  $m(E)$  is Hausdorff and/or complete.

**DEFINITION 2.7.** For any locally convex algebra  $E$  with local neighborhood filter  $\mathcal{N}$ , an element  $x \in E$  is *topologically nilpotent* if  $x$  is an element of  $V_0 = \bigcap \{V^* : V \in \mathcal{N}\}$ . Denote the set of topological nilpotents by  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**LEMMA 2.8.** *Let  $E$  be a locally convex algebra. The subspace is precisely the closure of  $(0)$  in  $m(E)$  and contains all  $x \in E$  such that  $x^n$  converges to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**PROOF.** Immediate from the definition of  $m$  and the fact that the closure of  $(0)$  is the intersection of all local neighborhoods.

**THEOREM 2.9.** *Let  $E$  be a locally convex algebra. Then  $m(E)$  is Hausdorff if and only if  $\mathcal{E} = (0)$ . Further,  $\mathcal{E}$  is closed with respect to quasi-inversion.*

**PROOF.** A locally convex space is closed if and only if  $\text{cl}(0) = (0)$  so Lemma 2.8 gives the first part. The second part is an easy consequence of the definition.

The result of Theorem 2.9 is that quasi-inverses may be investigated by checking the space  $\mathcal{E}$  together with the Hausdorff  $m$ -convex algebra  $m(E)/\mathcal{E}$ .

There is no relation between completeness of  $E$  and completeness of  $m(E)$ . Using Example 2.5,  $(C_b(\mathbf{R}), \beta)$  is complete but  $(C_b(\mathbf{R}), \kappa)$  is not complete. Wang [10] gives an example where both  $\beta$  and  $\kappa$  are complete. The  $A$ -normed algebra given in [1] has the property that neither  $E$  nor  $m(E)$  (the compact-open on  $C_b(0, 1)$ ) is complete. However, for function spaces the determination of completeness is often easily checked.

Many of the  $m$ -convex consequences of Theorem 5.2 of [5] have immediate analogues for the  $A$ -convex setting which are not included here.

**3. Representation.** Morris and Wulbert [6] gave a general situation for representation of a commutative locally convex algebra as a subspace of some  $(C(X), \kappa)$  where  $X$  is at least completely regular and  $\kappa$  denotes the compact-open topology. Since  $\kappa$  always gives a locally  $m$ -convex topology, there is no hope of a satisfactory representation theorem in the  $A$ -convex case. For a subclass of  $A$ -convex algebras, we provide a suitable representation theorem here. As a consequence, the relations expressed by A. Mallios [4] in terms of " $m$ -barrelled" can be viewed in a different way. The fact that  $m$ -barrelled  $A$ -convex algebras (see [1]) are  $m$ -convex provides the bridge between our theorem and some of the results of [4] and [6].

Let  $E$  be a commutative  $A$ -convex algebra and  $\mathfrak{M}$  the space of nonzero continuous multiplicative linear functionals topologized via the  $\sigma(E', E)$  topology. Theorem 2.2 gives the usual relationship with maximal ideals. Let  $G$  denote the Gel'fand map of  $E \rightarrow C(\mathfrak{M})$  given by  $G(x)(m) = m(x) = \hat{x}(m)$ . Then  $G$  gives an algebraic isomorphism if and only if  $E$  is semisimple. For  $A$ -convex algebras, semisimple is equivalent to "strongly semisimple" as defined in [6].

DEFINITION 3.1. A locally convex algebra  $E$  is *uniformly  $A$ -convex* if there is some defining family of seminorms  $\{p_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$  with the property that for each  $x$  in  $E$  there are constants  $M_x$  and  $N_x$  such that

$$p_\alpha(xy) \leq M_x p_\alpha(y) \quad \text{and} \quad p_\alpha(yx) \leq N_x p_\alpha(y)$$

for all  $\alpha \in A$  and for all  $y \in E$ . (Such a family will be called a *uniform family*.)

Clearly, uniformly  $A$ -convex algebras are  $A$ -convex. Examples include  $(C_b(X), \beta)$ ,  $(C_b(X), \kappa)$  and  $A$ -normed [3] algebras. The space  $(C(X), \kappa)$  is an  $m$ -convex algebra which is not, in general, uniformly  $A$ -convex (this last fact can be seen from the sequel).

Let  $E$  be a commutative  $A$ -convex algebra with identity  $e$ . Then a uniform defining family  $\{p_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$  can be chosen such that  $p_\alpha(e) = 1$  for all  $\alpha \in A$ . If  $E$  does not have an identity, a uniform family can be chosen with this property on  $E^+$ , the  $A$ -convex algebra obtained by adjoining an identity. We assume such a family is always chosen in the following results. Define

$$(*) \quad \|x\| = \inf\{M_x: p_\alpha(xy) \leq M_x p_\alpha(y), \text{ for all } \alpha \in A, y \in E\}.$$

Then it is easy to verify that

$$(**) \quad \|x\| = \sup\{\sup\{p_\alpha(xy): p_\alpha(y) \leq 1\}: \alpha \in A\}.$$

LEMMA 3.2. *The norm defined by (\*) is a submultiplicative norm on  $E$  for which  $p_\alpha(x) \leq \|x\|$  for all  $\alpha \in A, x \in E$ .*

PROOF. This result is obtained by using (\*\*) and properties of suprema. If  $E$  has an identity,  $p_\alpha(x) = p_\alpha(xe) \leq M_x p_\alpha(e) = M_x$  for all  $\alpha$ , and  $\|x\|$  is the inf of all such constants  $M_x$  so  $p_\alpha(x) \leq \|x\|$ . If  $E$  does not have an identity, one can be adjoined and the above argument can be applied.

Let  $E_n$  denote the normed space  $(E, \| \cdot \|)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_n$  the carrier space of  $E_n$ . The respective dual spaces are related by  $E_n \supset E'$  and the structure spaces by  $\mathfrak{M}_n \supset \mathfrak{M}$ . If  $E_n$  is complete we have

THEOREM 3.3. *The structure space  $\mathfrak{M}$  is locally compact and the Gel'fand transform of  $x$  in  $E$  is the restriction of the Gel'fand transform of  $x$  in  $E_n$ .  $\mathfrak{M}$  is Hausdorff if and only if  $E$  is semisimple.*

PROOF. Since  $\mathfrak{M}_n$  is locally compact [7] and  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a closed subset,  $\mathfrak{M}$  is locally compact. The remainder of the theorem is clear.

For each  $\alpha \in A$ , define the extended real number

$$t_\alpha(m) = \sup\{|\hat{x}(m)|: p_\alpha(x) \leq 1\}.$$

Then define  $\phi_\alpha: \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow R_+$  by  $\phi_\alpha(m) = 0$ , if  $t_\alpha(m) = +\infty$ , and  $\phi_\alpha(m) = 1/t_\alpha(m)$  otherwise.

Note that if  $E$  has an identity then  $0 \leq \phi_\alpha(m) \leq 1$  since  $\hat{e}(m) = 1$  gives  $t_\alpha(m) \geq 1$ . Let  $H$  be the subspace of  $C(\mathfrak{M})$  defined by

$$H = \{f \in C(\mathfrak{M}) : \phi_\alpha f \text{ is bounded for all } \alpha \in A\}.$$

Clearly  $C_b(\mathfrak{M}) \subset H$ .

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $E$  be a commutative uniformly  $A$ -convex algebra with Gel'fand map  $G$ . Then  $G(E) \subset C_b(\mathfrak{M}) \subset H$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $|\hat{x}(m)| = |m(x)| \leq \|x\|$ ,  $G(E) \subseteq C_b(\mathfrak{M})$ .

Let  $\beta$  denote the topology on  $H$  defined by the family of seminorms  $\{\hat{p}_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  where

$$\hat{p}_\alpha(f) = \sup\{|f(m)\phi_\alpha(m)| : m \in \mathfrak{M}\}, \quad f \in H.$$

This gives a type of strict topology on  $H$  replacing the compact-open in [4].

**THEOREM 3.5.** *Let  $E$  be uniformly  $A$ -convex and  $G$  the Gel'fand transform. Then  $G$  is continuous from  $E$  into  $(H, \beta)$ . If  $E$  is semisimple then  $E$  is represented via the continuous isomorphism  $G$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $p_\alpha(x) \neq 0$ , let  $y = [p_\alpha(x)]^{-1}x$ . Then  $p_\alpha(y) = 1$  and  $m(x) = p_\alpha(x)m(y)$ . From the definition of  $\hat{p}_\alpha(\hat{x})$ ,  $\hat{p}_\alpha(\hat{x}) \leq p_\alpha(x) \sup\{|m(y)|/t_\alpha(m)\} \leq p_\alpha(x)$ . If  $p_\alpha(x) = 0$  then  $p_\alpha(kx) = 0$  for every natural number  $k$ . Hence  $t_\alpha(m) = +\infty$ ,  $\phi_\alpha(m) = 0$  and  $\hat{p}_\alpha(\hat{x}) = 0 \leq p_\alpha(x)$ . Thus,  $\hat{p}_\alpha(\hat{x}) \leq p_\alpha(x)$  for all  $x \in E$  so  $G$  is continuous.

**REMARK 3.6.** The seminorms  $p$  can often be defined in more general cases, and Theorem 3.5 still holds with the same proof. We note also that if all the  $\phi_\alpha$  vanish at  $\infty$  and generate  $C_0(\mathfrak{M})$  then we get the strict topology. If there is but one  $\phi_\alpha$  which is bounded away from zero we get the normed case. Thus, the representation theorem given here is general enough to include previous results.

**DEFINITION 3.7.** A commutative uniformly  $A$ -convex algebra is *saturated* if for a uniform defining family  $\{p_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  the following property holds for each  $p_\alpha$ : for each  $x$  in  $E$  such that  $p_\alpha(x) = 1$  there exists  $m_0 \in \mathfrak{M}$  such that  $m_0(x) = \sup\{|y(m)| : p_\alpha(y) \leq 1\}$  for some  $m \in \mathfrak{M}$ . (Then  $\hat{p}_\alpha(\hat{x}) = 1 = p_\alpha(x)$ .)

**THEOREM 3.8.** *If  $E$  is a commutative saturated uniformly  $A$ -convex algebra then  $G$  is an open map. Hence if  $E$  is semisimple,  $G$  is a topological and algebraic isomorphism.*

**PROOF.** If  $E$  is saturated then  $\hat{p}_\alpha(\hat{x}) = p_\alpha(x)$  for all  $x \in E$  and  $\alpha \in A$ . Hence if  $E$  is semisimple  $G$  is a bijection onto  $G(E)$  with  $G$  and  $G^{-1}$  continuous.

REMARK 3.9. If  $G$  is an algebraic and topological isomorphism and  $E$  is barrelled (or  $i$ -barrelled [11] and [4]) then  $E$  is locally  $m$ -convex. This gives a connection to the results in [4] and those of this section. In any case, with the conditions of Theorem 3.5 we may apply the functor  $m$  of §2 to obtain a representation in a locally  $m$ -convex algebra. Since application of  $m$  gives a coarser topology,  $G$  is still continuous. Of course, if  $G(E)$  is  $(C_b(X), \beta)$  then this gives  $(C_b(X), \kappa)$ .

## REFERENCES

1. A. C. Cochran, R. Keown and C. R. Williams, *On a class of topological algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **34** (1970), 17–25. MR **42** #8278.
2. A. C. Cochran, *Topological algebras and Mackey topologies*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **30** (1971), 115–119. MR **45** #897.
3. ———, *Inductive limits of  $A$ -convex algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **37** (1973), 489–496.
4. A. Mallios, *On functional representations of topological algebras*, J. Functional Analysis **6** (1970), 468–480. MR **42** #5047.
5. E. A. Michael, *Locally multiplicatively-convex algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. no. 11 (1952). MR **14**, 482.
6. P. D. Morris and D. E. Wulbert, *Functional representation of topological algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **22** (1967), 323–337. MR **35** #4730.
7. M. A. Naïmark, *Normed rings*, rev. ed., GITTL, Moscow, 1956; English transl., Noordhoff, Groningen, 1964. MR **19**, 870; **34** #4928.
8. P. Turpin, *Une remarque sur les algèbres à inverse continu*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **270** (1970), A1686–A1689. MR **42** #3571.
9. L. Waelbrock, *Topological vector spaces and algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math., no. 230, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1971.
10. J. Wang, *Multipliers of commutative Banach algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **11** (1961), 1131–1149. MR **25** #1462.
11. S. Warner, *Inductive limits of normed algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1956), 190–216. MR **18**, 52.
12. ———, *The topology of compact convergence on continuous function spaces*, Duke Math. J. **25** (1958), 265–282. MR **21** #1521.
13. J. H. Williamson, *On topologizing the field  $C(t)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1954), 729–734. MR **16**, 145.
14. W. Zelazko, *On generalized topological divisors of zero in  $m$ -convex locally convex algebras*, Studia Math. **28** (1966), 9–16. MR **34** #3362.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DUKE UNIVERSITY, DURHAM, NORTH CAROLINA 27706

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS, FAYETTEVILLE, ARKANSAS 72701