

## ON INVARIANT LINEAR MANIFOLDS<sup>1</sup>

P. A. FILLMORE<sup>2</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** For a linear transformation  $A$  on a Banach space, let  $\mathcal{L}(A)$  be the lattice of (not necessarily closed) invariant subspaces of  $A$ . For  $A$  bounded it is shown that if  $\mathcal{L}(A \oplus A) \subset \mathcal{L}(T \oplus T)$ , or if  $\mathcal{L}(A) \subset \mathcal{L}(T)$  and  $T$  commutes with  $A$ , then  $T$  is a polynomial in  $A$ . In the case of a Hilbert space, if  $\mathcal{L}(A) \subset \mathcal{L}(A^*)$  then  $A^*$  is a polynomial in  $A$ .

**Introduction.** A linear transformation  $T$  on a vector space  $V$  is *algebraic* if there is a nonzero polynomial  $p$  such that  $p(T)=0$ ; it is *locally algebraic* if for each  $x \in V$  there is a nonzero polynomial  $p$  (depending on  $x$ ) such that  $p(T)x=0$ . A locally algebraic transformation need not be algebraic, but Kaplansky has shown [4, Theorem 15] that a bounded locally algebraic transformation on a Banach space must be algebraic. In this note we consider extensions of this fact and some related matters.

1. Specifically, let  $A$  and  $T$  be linear transformations on  $V$  such that  $T$  is locally a polynomial in  $A$ ; that is, for each  $x \in V$  there is a polynomial  $p$  (depending on  $x$ ) with  $Tx=p(A)x$ . Must  $T$  then be a polynomial in  $A$ ? This question may be reformulated as follows: For any linear transformation  $S$  on  $V$ , let

$$\mathcal{L}(S) = \{M \mid M \text{ is a subspace with } SM \subset M\},$$

the lattice of invariant subspaces of  $S$ . Now observe that  $T$  is locally a polynomial in  $A$  if and only if  $\mathcal{L}(A) \subset \mathcal{L}(T)$  (for if  $AM \subset M$ , then  $p(A)M \subset M$  for all polynomials  $p$ , so that when  $T$  is locally a polynomial in  $A$  we have  $TM \subset M$ ; on the other hand, if  $x \in V$  and

$$M_x = \{p(A)x \mid p \text{ is a polynomial}\},$$

then  $x \in M_x \in \mathcal{L}(A)$ , so that when  $\mathcal{L}(A) \subset \mathcal{L}(T)$  we get  $x \in M_x \in \mathcal{L}(T)$ ,  $Tx \in M_x$ , and  $Tx=p(A)x$  for some polynomial  $p$ ). Thus our question becomes: does  $\mathcal{L}(A) \subset \mathcal{L}(T)$  imply that  $T$  is a polynomial in  $A$ ? The

---

Received by the editors February 12, 1971.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 47A15, 47A65.

Key words and phrases. Invariant subspace lattice, locally algebraic operator.

<sup>1</sup> Research partially supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

<sup>2</sup> This work was done while the author was a visiting professor at the University of Toronto.

© American Mathematical Society 1973

answer is no, even for bounded transformations on a Banach space. For a simple example, take

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

on a two-dimensional space.

Motivated by the fact that a locally algebraic transformation is algebraic on each finite-dimensional subspace, we next ask whether  $T$  must be a polynomial in  $A$  whenever it is a polynomial in  $A$  on each finite-dimensional subspace. Again this is false, but we will show that the analogue of Kaplansky's Theorem holds. Actually a little more is true:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $A$  and  $T$  be linear transformations on a Banach space  $V$ , with  $A$  bounded. If  $T$  is a polynomial in  $A$  on each two-dimensional subspace of  $V$ , then  $T$  is a polynomial in  $A$ .*

Before proving this, a few remarks are in order. For any linear transformation  $S$  on  $V$ , let  $S^{(2)} = S \oplus S$  acting on  $V \oplus V$ . Then, as above,  $T$  is a polynomial in  $A$  on each two-dimensional subspace if and only if  $\mathcal{L}(A^{(2)}) \subset \mathcal{L}(T^{(2)})$ . For a family  $\mathcal{S}$  of linear transformations, let

$$\mathcal{S}^{(2)} = \{S^{(2)} \mid S \in \mathcal{S}\}, \quad \text{and} \\ \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}) = \{M \mid M \in \mathcal{L}(S) \text{ for all } S \in \mathcal{S}\}.$$

A general question of the type contemplated in the theorem goes as follows: For which algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  of linear transformations is it true that  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}^{(2)}) \subset \mathcal{L}(T^{(2)})$  implies  $T \in \mathcal{A}$ ? (For Theorem 1 take  $\mathcal{A}$  to be all polynomials in  $A$ .)

**LEMMA.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra with a separating vector, then  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}^{(2)}) \subset \mathcal{L}(T^{(2)})$  implies  $T \in \mathcal{A}$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $x_0$  be a separating vector, so that  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $Ax_0 = 0$  imply  $A = 0$ . Fix  $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $Tx_0 = A_0x_0$ . If  $y$  is any vector, by hypothesis there exists  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $Tx_0 = Ax_0$  and  $Ty = Ay$ . Then  $(A - A_0)x_0 = 0$  so  $A = A_0$  and  $Ty = A_0y$ . Since  $y$  is arbitrary,  $T = A_0$ , as required.

**PROOF OF THEOREM.** We need only show that for any bounded linear transformation  $A$  on a Banach space, the algebra of polynomials in  $A$  has a separating vector. Suppose first that  $A$  is locally algebraic, so that  $A$  is algebraic by Kaplansky's theorem. If  $m$  is the minimum polynomial of  $A$ , it is easy to see (cf. Kaplansky's proof) that there is a vector  $x_0$  at which the local minimum polynomial is  $m$ . Thus if  $p(A)x_0 = 0$  then  $m$  divides  $p$ , and so  $p(A) = 0$ . Hence  $x_0$  is the required separating vector. If  $A$  is not locally algebraic, there is a vector  $x_0$  such that  $p(A)x_0 = 0$  implies  $p = 0$ , and again we have a separating vector.

REMARKS. (i) As the proof shows, the theorem remains true without the topological hypotheses except when  $A$  is locally algebraic but not algebraic. To see that this case is an exception, let  $V = V_2 \oplus V_3 \oplus \cdots$  (algebraic direct sum) with  $V_n$  an  $n$ -dimensional vector space, let  $J_n$  be the  $n \times n$  Jordan cell

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & & & \\ & 1 & 0 & & & \\ & & 1 & \cdot & & \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & & & \cdot & \cdot \\ & & & & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

acting in  $V_n$ , and let  $A = J_2 \oplus J_3 \oplus \cdots$ . Then

$$T = J_2 \oplus (J_3 + J_3^2) \oplus (J_4 + J_4^2 + J_4^3) \oplus \cdots$$

satisfies  $\mathcal{L}(A^{(n)}) \subset \mathcal{L}(T^{(n)})$  for all  $n$ , and yet  $T$  is not a polynomial in  $A$ .

(ii) Recall the question raised before the lemma: In what circumstances does  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}^{(2)}) \in \mathcal{L}(T^{(2)})$  imply  $T \in \mathcal{A}$ ? For  $n \geq 1$  the  $n$ -closure of  $\mathcal{A}$  is defined by

$$C_n(\mathcal{A}) = \{T \mid \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}^{(n)}) \subset \mathcal{L}(T^{(n)})\}$$

and the strict closure by

$$C_\infty(\mathcal{A}) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n(\mathcal{A}).$$

Obviously  $C_1(\mathcal{A}) \supset C_2(\mathcal{A}) \supset \cdots \supset C_\infty(\mathcal{A}) \supset \mathcal{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{A}'$  is the commutant of  $\mathcal{A}$  (the algebra of linear transformations that commute with every member of  $\mathcal{A}$ ), and  $\mathcal{A}''$  the commutant of  $\mathcal{A}'$ , one easily shows the additional relation  $\mathcal{A}'' \supset C_2(\mathcal{A})$ . With this notation the question under consideration concerns the validity of the equation  $C_2(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$ . This can be conveniently split into  $C_2(\mathcal{A}) = C_\infty(\mathcal{A})$  and  $C_\infty(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$ . The first of these has received some study. For example, Jacobson has shown that if  $C_2(\mathcal{A})$  is the algebra of all linear transformations, then so is  $C_\infty(\mathcal{A})$  [6, p. 60]. More generally, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is completely reducible (every invariant subspace has an invariant complement), then  $\mathcal{A}'' = C_\infty(\mathcal{A})$  [1, §4, Theorem 1], so that  $C_2(\mathcal{A}) = C_\infty(\mathcal{A})$  by the observation above.

2. We now consider several situations in which it follows from  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{L}(T)$  that  $T$  is a polynomial in  $A$ . For finite-dimensional spaces, a complete analysis of transformations  $A$  with this property is carried out in [3].

For example, let  $A$  be a bounded linear transformation on a Banach space, and suppose that  $A$  is similar to a transformation of the form

$B \oplus B$ . If  $\mathcal{L}(A) \subset \mathcal{L}(T)$ , it is easy to see that  $T$  must be simultaneously similar to a transformation of the form  $S \oplus S$ , so that  $\mathcal{L}(B^{(2)}) \subset \mathcal{L}(S^{(2)})$ , and the theorem implies that  $T$  is a polynomial in  $A$ .

In another direction, it is known [2, Theorem 10] that on a finite-dimensional space, if  $\mathcal{L}(A) \subset \mathcal{L}(T)$  and  $T$  commutes with  $A$ , then  $T$  is a polynomial in  $A$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $A$  and  $T$  be linear transformations on a Banach space, with  $A$  bounded. If  $\mathcal{L}(A) \subset \mathcal{L}(T)$  and  $T$  commutes with  $A$ , then  $T$  is a polynomial in  $A$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose first that  $A$  is algebraic. For any vectors  $x$  and  $y$ , let  $M_{x,y}$  be the subspace consisting of all  $r(A)x + s(A)y$ , where  $r$  and  $s$  are polynomials. Then  $M_{x,y}$  is invariant for both  $A$  and  $T$ , and their restrictions continue to satisfy the hypotheses. Since  $M_{x,y}$  is finite-dimensional there is by [2, Theorem 10] a polynomial  $p$  such that  $T = p(A)$  on  $M_{x,y}$ . In particular,  $T = p(A)$  on the span of  $x$  and  $y$ . Because  $x$  and  $y$  are arbitrary, Theorem 1 implies that  $T$  is a polynomial in  $A$ .

If  $A$  is not algebraic, then it is not locally algebraic, and hence there is a vector  $x_0$  such that  $p(A)x_0 = 0$  only for  $p = 0$ . Fix a polynomial  $p_0$  with  $Tx_0 = p_0(A)x_0$ . We show  $T = p_0(A)$ . For any vector  $y$  there are polynomials  $r$  and  $s$  such that  $Ty = r(A)y$  and  $T(x_0 + y) = s(A)(x_0 + y)$ . Then

$$(p_0(A) - s(A))x_0 = (s(A) - r(A))y;$$

call this vector  $z$ . If  $z = 0$  then  $p_0 = s$  and  $s(A)y = r(A)y$ , so that  $Ty = p_0(A)y$ . If  $z \neq 0$ , note first that  $p(A)z = 0$  only for  $p = 0$ ; since  $T$  commutes with  $A$  we get

$$Tz = p_0(A)z = r(A)z,$$

so that  $p_0 = r$  and  $Ty = p_0(A)y$  as before. Because  $y$  is arbitrary,  $T = p_0(A)$  as asserted.

We conclude with a result suggested by P. Rosenthal. In his paper [5] with H. Radjavi, it is shown that in certain circumstances, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra of bounded linear transformations on a Hilbert space such that  $\mathcal{A}^*$  leaves invariant every closed invariant subspace of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\mathcal{A}$  is selfadjoint.

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $A$  is a bounded linear transformation on a Hilbert space such that  $\mathcal{L}(A) \subset \mathcal{L}(A^*)$ , then  $A^*$  is a polynomial in  $A$ . In particular,  $A$  is normal.*

**PROOF.** By Theorem 2 it is enough to show that  $A^*Ax = AA^*x$  for every vector  $x$ . Let  $M_x = \{p(A)x \mid p \text{ polynomial}\}$ .

Assume first that  $M_x$  is finite-dimensional. The restrictions of  $A$  and  $A^*$  to  $M_x$  inherit the hypothesis; on choosing an orthonormal basis for  $M_x$  so that the matrix of  $A|_{M_x}$  is triangular, this is seen to imply that the matrix is actually diagonal, and hence that  $A^*Ax = AA^*x$ .

If  $M_x$  is infinite-dimensional, then  $p(A)x=0$  implies  $p=0$ . By hypothesis there are polynomials  $r$ ,  $s$ , and  $t$  such that  $A^*x=r(A)x$ ,  $A^*Ax=s(A)Ax$ , and  $A^*(Ax-\lambda x)=t(A)(Ax-\lambda x)$ . Hence

$$(s(A)A - \lambda r(A))x = t(A)(A - \lambda)x,$$

$$zs(z) - \lambda r(z) = t(z)(z - \lambda),$$

and  $s(\lambda)=r(\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Consequently  $s=r$  and  $A^*Ax=s(A)Ax=r(A)Ax=AA^*x$  as required.

#### REFERENCES

1. N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique*. XXIII. Part 1. *Les structures fondamentales de l'analyse*. Livre II: *Algèbre*. Chap. 8: *Modules et anneaux semi-simples*, Actualités Sci. Indust., no. 1261, Hermann, Paris, 1958. MR 20 #4576.
2. L. Brickman and P. A. Fillmore, *The invariant subspace lattice of a linear transformation*, *Canad. J. Math.* **19** (1967), 810-822. MR 35 #4242.
3. J. Deddens and P. A. Fillmore, *Reflexive linear transformations*, *J. Linear Algebra and Appl.* (to appear).
4. I. Kaplansky, *Infinite abelian groups*, rev. ed., University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, Mich., 1969. MR 38 #2208.
5. H. Radjavi and P. Rosenthal, *A sufficient condition that an operator algebra be self-adjoint*, *Canad. J. Math.* **23** (1971), 588-597.
6. C. E. Rickart, *General theory of Banach algebras*, University Series in Higher Math., Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1960. MR 22 #5903.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DALHOUSIE UNIVERSITY, HALIFAX, NOVA SCOTIA, CANADA