

A NONSTATIONARY ITERATIVE PROCESS FOR NONEXPANSIVE MAPPINGS

C. W. GROETSCH

ABSTRACT. It is shown that a nonstationary analogue of an iterative process of Kirk serves to approximate fixed points of compact nonexpansive mappings defined on convex subsets of a uniformly convex space.

In a recent note Kirk [1] investigated an iterative process for approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings defined on convex subsets of a uniformly convex Banach space. A mapping T is called *nonexpansive* if $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$ for each x and y in the domain of T .

Specifically, the iterative process studied by Kirk is given by

$$(1) \quad x_{n+1} = \alpha_0 x_n + \alpha_1 T x_n + \cdots + \alpha_k T^k x_n$$

where $\alpha_i \geq 0$, $\alpha_1 > 0$ and $\sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i = 1$.

It is the purpose of this note to show that a nonstationary analogue of the process (1) also serves to approximate fixed points of T under certain circumstances. We will make use of the fact that in a uniformly convex space with modulus of convexity δ , for given $\varepsilon > 0$, $d > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ the inequalities

$$\|w\| \leq \|u\| \leq d \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_\alpha - w\| \geq \varepsilon$$

imply that

$$\|(1 - \alpha)u + \alpha w\| \leq \|u\| [1 - 2\delta(\varepsilon/d)\min(\alpha, 1 - \alpha)]$$

(see e.g. [2, p. 4]).

LEMMA 1. *If $\{u_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$ are sequences in a uniformly convex space with $\|w_n\| \leq \|u_n\|$ and*

$$u_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)u_n + \alpha_n w_n \quad (0 \leq \alpha_n \leq 1)$$

Received by the editors May 29, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 47H10, 47H99; Secondary 65J05.

Key words and phrases. Nonexpansive mapping, fixed point, uniformly convex space, iterative process.

© American Mathematical Society 1974

where $\sum \min(\alpha_n, 1 - \alpha_n) = \infty$, then $0 \in \text{cl}\{u_n - w_n\}$ (cl A denotes the (strong) closure of the set A).

PROOF. Suppose $\|u_n - w_n\| \geq \varepsilon$ for all n , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{n+1}\| &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)u_n + \alpha_n w_n\| \\ &\leq \|u_n\| [1 - 2\delta(\varepsilon/\|u_1\|)\min(\alpha_n, 1 - \alpha_n)]. \end{aligned}$$

Inductively we have

$$\|u_n\| \leq \|u_1\| \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} [1 - 2\delta(\varepsilon/\|u_1\|)\min(\alpha_i, 1 - \alpha_i)] \quad \text{for } n > 1.$$

But since $\sum \min(\alpha_i, 1 - \alpha_i) = \infty$, the product on the right diverges to zero and hence $\lim \|u_n\| = \lim \|w_n\| = 0$ and this contradiction completes the proof.

Let the sequences $\{\alpha_{ij}\}_{i=0}^\infty$ ($j=0, 1, \dots, k$) satisfy $0 \leq \alpha_{ij}$, $0 < \alpha \leq \alpha_{i1}$, $\sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_{ij} = 1$ for each i and $\sum \min(\alpha_{i0}, 1 - \alpha_{i0}) = \infty$. Define operators S_i by

$$S_i = \alpha_{i0}I + \alpha_{i1}T + \dots + \alpha_{ik}T^k, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots.$$

A nonstationary analogue of (1) is the process

$$(2) \quad x_{n+1} = S_n x_n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots.$$

Note that if p is a fixed point of T then

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\| &= \left\| \sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_{nj}(T^j x_n - T^j p) \right\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\| \end{aligned}$$

and hence to establish the convergence of $\{x_n\}$ to a fixed point p it is enough to show that some subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ converges to p .

LEMMA 2. Let K be a convex subset of a uniformly convex space. If T is a nonexpansive mapping of K into itself which has at least one fixed point and $\{x_n\}$ is defined by (2), then $0 \in \text{cl}\{x_{n+1} - x_n\}$.

PROOF. Let p be a fixed point of T and let

$$u_n = x_n - p \quad \text{and} \quad w_n = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_{n0}} \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_{nj}(T^j x_n - T^j p).$$

We then have

$$u_{n+1} = S_n x_n - p = \alpha_{n0}u_n + (1 - \alpha_{n0})w_n$$

and $\|w_n\| \leq \|u_n\|$ since T is nonexpansive. Thus, by Lemma 1,

$0 \in \text{cl}\{u_n - w_n\}$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - w_n\| &= \left\| x_n - p - \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_{n0}} \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_{nj} T^j x_n + p \right\| \\ &= \left\| x_n - \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_{n0}} \sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_{nj} T^j x_n + \frac{\alpha_{n0}}{1 - \alpha_{n0}} x_n \right\| \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_{n0}} \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \geq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \end{aligned}$$

and therefore there is a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ with $x_{n_{i+1}} - x_{n_i} \rightarrow 0$.

We now give a generalization of Kirk's result on strong convergence of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1).

THEOREM. *Suppose, in addition to the hypotheses of Lemma 2, that T is compact. Then for each $x_1 \in K$ the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by (2) converges to a fixed point of T .*

PROOF. By Lemma 2 there is a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ with $x_{n_{i+1}} - x_{n_i} \rightarrow 0$. Since $\alpha_{n_i j} \in [0, 1]$ and $\alpha_{n_i 1} \geq \alpha > 0$ we may assume by successively choosing subsequences $\{\alpha_{n_\nu j}\}$ of the sequences $\{\alpha_{n_i j}\}$ that $\lim_{\nu} \alpha_{n_\nu j} = \alpha_j \in [0, 1]$ where $\alpha_1 > 0$. Let

$$S = \alpha_0 I + \alpha_1 T + \cdots + \alpha_k T^k.$$

We then have

$$x_{n_\nu} - Sx_{n_\nu} = x_{n_\nu} - S_{n_\nu}x_{n_\nu} + S_{n_\nu}x_{n_\nu} - Sx_{n_\nu}$$

where $x_{n_\nu} - S_{n_\nu}x_{n_\nu} = x_{n_\nu} - x_{n_\nu+1} \rightarrow 0$.

If p is a fixed point of T then we have by use of (3)

$$\|T^j x_{n_\nu} - p\| = \|T^j x_{n_\nu} - T^j p\| \leq \|x_{n_\nu} - p\| \leq \|x_1 - p\|.$$

Hence if we set $M = \|x_1 - p\| + \|p\|$, then $\|T^j x_{n_\nu}\| \leq M$ for all ν and each $j = 0, \dots, k$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_{n_\nu}x_{n_\nu} - Sx_{n_\nu}\| &= \left\| \sum_{j=0}^k (\alpha_{n_\nu j} - \alpha_j) T^j x_{n_\nu} \right\| \\ &\leq M \sum_{j=0}^k |\alpha_{n_\nu j} - \alpha_j| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \nu \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $x_{n_\nu} - Sx_{n_\nu} \rightarrow 0$ as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$. Since T is compact $I - S$ maps closed bounded subsets into closed subsets (see Kirk's argument in the Corollary to Theorem 2 of [1]). By (3) $\text{cl}\{x_n\}$ is bounded and closed and we have shown that $0 \in (I - S)\text{cl}\{x_n\}$. Thus there is a $z \in \text{cl}\{x_n\}$ with

$z - Sz = 0$. By [1, Theorem 1] z is a fixed point of T and since $z \in \text{cl}\{x_n\}$ it follows from (3) that $x_n \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, completing the proof.

REFERENCES

1. W. A. Kirk, *On successive approximations for nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces*, Glasgow Math. J. **12** (1971), 6–9. MR **45** #7553.
2. Z. Opial, *Nonexpansive and monotone mappings in Banach spaces*, Lecture Notes 67–1, Division of Applied Mathematics, Brown University, Providence, R.I., 1967.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CINCINNATI, CINCINNATI, OHIO
45221