

THE EXCESS OF SETS OF COMPLEX EXPONENTIALS

DAVID R. PETERSON

ABSTRACT. Let $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}$ be a complex sequence and denote its associated set of complex exponentials $\{\exp(i\lambda_n x)\}$ by $e(\Lambda)$. Redheffer and Alexander have shown that if $\sum |\lambda_n - \mu_n| < \infty$ then $e(\Lambda)$ and $e(\mu)$ have the same excess over their common completeness interval. This paper shows this result to be the best possible.

1. Introduction. Let $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}$ be a complex sequence and denote its associated set of complex exponentials $\{\exp(i\lambda_n x)\}$ by $e(\Lambda)$. The properties of $e(\Lambda)$ can often be predicted from analyzing the distribution of Λ , for instance, its completeness interval [2], [6], convergence rates [7], and norm inequalities [4], [5], [8]. In this paper, a condition derived by Redheffer and Alexander [1] which is sufficient for preserving the excess of a set is shown to be the best possible.

Let $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}$ be a complex sequence; $e(\Lambda)$ is complete in $L^2(-a, a)$ if the following condition is satisfied: if $f \in L^2(-a, a)$ and

$$\int_{-a}^a f(x) \exp(i\lambda_n x) dx = 0$$

for each n , then $f \equiv 0$. The interval I is the completeness interval for $e(\Lambda)$ if the set is complete on all shorter intervals but on no longer intervals. $e(\Lambda)$ has excess $E(\Lambda)$ on an interval if it remains complete when E terms are removed but not when $E+1$ terms are removed. The range of E may include negative integers as well as $\pm\infty$ by analogous definitions. The term excess is well defined provided Λ satisfies, $n \neq m$ implies $\lambda_n \neq \lambda_m$, and this condition is implicit throughout. We have $E = +\infty$ on intervals shorter than I and $E = -\infty$ on intervals longer than I and so $E(\Lambda)$ will always refer to I , the only interval of interest. With complete generality set $I = [-\pi, \pi]$.

A sequence Λ is canonically indexed if $0 \leq n < m$ implies that $|\lambda_n| \leq |\lambda_m|$ and $|\lambda_{-n}| \leq |\lambda_{-m}|$ and is regular if $\inf_{n \neq m} \{|\lambda_n - \lambda_m|\} > 0$.

2. Statement of results. Let $w(n)$ be a positive weight function defined on the integers and Λ and $U = \{\mu_n\}$ be complex sequences.

Received by the editors May 8, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 42A64.

Key words and phrases. Completeness, excess.

THEOREM I (REDHEFFER-ALEXANDER [1]). *If $w(n) \geq \delta > 0$, for all n , then $\sum |\lambda_n - \mu_n| w(n) < \infty$ implies $E(\Lambda) = E(U)$.*

THEOREM II. *If $\inf\{w(n)\} = 0$, then there exist real, regular, sequences Λ and U such that $\sum |\lambda_n - \mu_n| w(n) < \infty$, when Λ is canonically indexed, but $-\infty < E(\Lambda) < E(U) < \infty$. Theorems I and II characterize the weight functions $w(n)$ with the property: $\sum |\lambda_n - \mu_n| w(n) < \infty$ implies $E(\Lambda) = E(U)$, as those which satisfy $\inf\{w(n)\} > 0$.*

Theorem II remains valid when $w(n)$ is allowed to take on the value $+\infty$, provided $0 \cdot \infty = 0$, and thus no gap theorem can remove the restriction $\inf\{w(n)\} > 0$.

3. Proof of Theorem II. We may suppose that $\inf_{n>0}\{w(n)\} = 0$ and let n_j be the first integer for which $w(n) \leq j^{-3}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Define a sequence of positive integers $\{k_j\}$ by: $k_0 =$ arbitrary, large integer and for $j = 1, 2, \dots$, $k_j = \inf\{n | n = n_{j+m}$ for some $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq k_{j-1}^2\}$. Theorem II follows from Lemma 3 and Lemma 4. The direct product definition $F(z) = \prod (1 - z/\lambda_n)$ will be used to designate $F(z) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{|\lambda_n| < R} (1 - z/\lambda_n)$ with convergence easily verified if there is no justification given.

LEMMA 3. *There is a real, even, regular sequence Λ satisfying $\{\pm k_j, j \neq 0\} \subset \Lambda$, $0 \notin \Lambda$, and $-\infty < E(\Lambda) < 0$, such that if $Q(z) = \prod (1 - z/\lambda_n)$ then Q is of exponential type π , $Q(x) \in L^2(-\infty, \infty)$, and*

$$\sum j^2 \int_{k_{j+1}}^{k_{j+2}} |Q(x)|^2 dx = \infty.$$

LEMMA 4 (REDHEFFER-ALEXANDER [1]). *Let Λ and U be real sequences with $0 \notin \Lambda$, U and $n_\lambda(r)$ ($n_\mu(r)$) denote the number of terms λ_n (resp. μ_n) in the interval $(0, r)$, counted negatively for negative r , and set $\Delta r = n_\lambda(r) - n_\mu(r)$. If $|\Delta r| \leq H$ eventually, then $|E(\Lambda) - E(U)| \leq 4H + 2$.*

Suppose Λ and $Q(z)$ are as in Lemma 3 and define the sequence U by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n &= \lambda_n && \text{if } \lambda_n \neq k_j, \\ &= k_k - l_j && \text{if } \lambda_n = k_j \text{ for some } j, \end{aligned}$$

where l_j is selected so that $|l_j - j| \leq 1$ and the sequence U remains regular. Lemma 4 holds with $H = 1$ so that $|E(\Lambda) - E(U)| \leq 6$ and since $w(n_{j+m}) \leq j^{-3}$, we have $\sum |\lambda_n - \mu_n| w(n) \leq \sum (j+1)j^{-3} < \infty$.

Set $P(z) = \prod (1 - z/\mu_n)$ and $R(z) = P(z)/Q(z)$ so that

$$R(z) = \prod (1 - l_j z / (k_j - z)(k_j - l_j)).$$

For a fixed z , let k_m denote one of the closest k_j to z and set

$$(1) \quad f(z) = |z| \sum_{j \neq m} l_j / |k_j - z| (k_j - l_j).$$

If $|\arg z| \geq \eta > 0$, then $|k_j - z| \geq |z| \sin \eta$, and since $k_j \geq k_{j-1}^2$ for all j , for $|\arg z| < \eta$ there is a constant A not depending on z so that $A|k_j - z| \geq |z|$ for $j \neq m$. From the convergence of $\sum |l_j|k_j - l_{j-1}$, it follows that the series in (1) converges uniformly and that $f(z)$ is uniformly bounded in the complex plane. Thus there is a constant A' so that

$$A' \leq |R(z)| |k_m - z| / |k_m - l_m - z| \leq A'^{-1}.$$

Hence, $P(z)$ has exponential type π and

$$\begin{aligned} \int |P(x)|^2 dx &\geq A' \sum \int_{k_{j+1}}^{k_{j+2}} \left(Q(x) \frac{(k_j - l_j - x)^2}{(k_j - x)} \right)^2 dx \\ &\geq A' \sum \left(\frac{j}{2} \right)^2 \int_{k_{j+1}}^{k_{j+2}} |Q(x)|^2 dx = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

It must be that $E(U) \geq 0$, for if $-\infty < E(U) < 0$, then by the Paley-Wiener theorem, there would be an entire function $F(z)$ of exponential type π which satisfies $F(x) \in L^2(-\infty, \infty)$ and whose zero set is $U \cup \{z_j\}, j=1, 2, \dots, -E(U)-1$, for some nonzero complex numbers z_j . By a theorem of Lindelöf, $F(z) = a \exp(bz)P(z)\pi(1-z/z_j)$ for constants a and b . An examination of the indicator functions for F and P shows that $b=0$ and thus $F(x) \notin L^2(-\infty, \infty)$, a contradiction.

4. Proof of Lemma 3. The sequence Λ is constructed from the integers so that $Q(z)$ behaves like $\sin \pi z / \pi z$ except in neighborhoods of the set $\{\pm k_j\}, j=1, 2, \dots$, where $|Q(x)|$ assumes relatively large values.

Let $\{l_j\}$ be a sequence of positive integers satisfying $l_j \leq k_j^\alpha$ for some $\alpha, 0 < \alpha < 1$, and m be a fixed positive integer, all to be specified later. For $n > 0$, set

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n &= n - m, & k_j - l_j &\leq n < k_j, \\ &= n - m + \frac{1}{2}, & k_j - l_j - m &\leq n < k_j - l_j, \\ &= n, & \text{otherwise,} \end{aligned}$$

and for $n < 0$, set $\lambda_n = -\lambda_{-n}$. The sequence Λ is real, regular, and even with $0 \notin \Lambda$ and $\{\pm k_j\} \subset \Lambda$. For $U = \{n\}, n \neq 0$, Lemma 4 holds with $m = H$ so that $E(\Lambda)$ is finite. For $j=1, 2, \dots$, define a sequence of functions $r_{\pm j}(z)$ by

$$(2) \quad r_{\pm j}(z) = \prod_{s=k_j-m}^{k_j-1} \left(\frac{1 \pm z(l_j - \frac{1}{2})}{\lambda_{s-l_j}(s-z)} \right).$$

For $Q(z) = \pi(1-z/\lambda_n)$, we then have

$$(3) \quad \pi z Q(z) = \sin \pi z \left(\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j < J} r_j(z) \right).$$

The influence of the term $r_j(z)$ is only local since there is a constant A so that uniformly in z and $j > 0$, we obtain $|\ln|r_j(z)|| \leq A l_j/k_j$ whenever $|z - k_j| \geq k_j/2$. We can assume that $k_j \geq 2^j$ and obtain

$$(4) \quad \left| \ln \prod' |r_j(z)| \right| \leq 2A \sum 2^{(\alpha-1)j}, \quad \alpha - 1 < 0,$$

where the ' denotes deletion of those terms for which $|z - k_j| < k_j/2$. From (4) it is clear that $Q(z)$ has exponential type π .

For any real x satisfying $|x - k_j| \leq k_j/2$, the absolute value of each term in (2), $s = k_j - m, \dots, k_j - 1$, is dominated by $\max\{2, 3x l_j/\lambda_{s-l_j}|s-x|\}$. This bound and the inequality $|\sin \pi x| \leq \pi|s-x|$ applied to (2), (3) and (4) show that there is a constant B independent of j so that

$$(5) \quad \int_{|x-k_j| \leq 2m} |Q(x)|^2 dx \leq B m l_j^{2m}/k_j^2,$$

and

$$(6) \quad \int_{2m < |x-k_j| < k_j/2} |Q(x)|^2 dx \leq B \int_{|x-k_j| \leq k_j/2} x^{-2} dx + \frac{B l_j^{2m}}{k_j^2} \int_{|x-k_j| \leq 2m} |x - k_j|^{-2m} dx.$$

Thus, $Q(x) \in L^2(-\infty, \infty)$ if $\sum l_j^{2m}/k_j^2 < \infty$.

Similarly, $\int_{k_j+1}^{k_j-2} |Q(x)|^2 dx \geq B' l_j^{2m}/k_j^2$ uniformly in j for some nonzero B' . It is clear that by selecting $m=2$ and $l_j = [(k_j/j)^{1/2}]$, Lemma 3 is satisfied.

5. Remarks and extensions. Without further restrictions on $w(n)$, the conclusion of Theorem II cannot be altered to give $|E(\Lambda) - E(U)| = \infty$. For instance, setting $w(n) = j^{-1}$ when $n = 2^j = n_j$ and $w(n) = 1$ otherwise, then $\sum |\lambda_n - \mu_n| w(n) < \infty$ implies $|E(\Lambda) - E(U)| < \infty$. The convention $|\infty - \infty| = 0$ is used when $E(\Lambda) = \pm \infty$.

To see this, suppose that $E(\Lambda) < 0$ so that there is a nontrivial $F(z)$ of exponential type π , $F(x) \in L^2(-\infty, \infty)$, whose zero set contains Λ . Without altering the conclusion $|E(\Lambda) - E(U)| < \infty$ we may assume $\lambda_n = \mu_n$ when $n \neq n_j$, $|\mu_{n_j} - \lambda_{n_j}| \leq j$, and $|I_m \mu_n|, |I_m \lambda_n| \geq 1$, for all n (see Elsner [3]). Let

$$Q(z) = F(z) \prod_j \frac{(1 - z/\mu_{n_j})}{(1 - z/\lambda_{n_j})}$$

and suppose that λ_{n_m} is one of the closest λ_{n_j} to z . By the estimates in the proof of Theorem II,

$$A \leq |F(z)| |\mu_{n_m} - z| |Q(z)| |\lambda_{n_m} - z| \leq A^{-1}$$

for a constant A . Therefore, Q has exponential type π and $Q(x)/(1+|x|) \in L^2(-\infty, \infty)$ so that $E(U) < \infty$. By symmetry, $|E(\Lambda) - E(U)| < \infty$.

If we consider only real sequences Λ and U , Theorem I and Theorem II can be restated in terms of the function $n_\lambda(r)$. We need only observe that for canonically indexed sequences Λ and U , if for some m , $\int |n_\mu(r) - n_\nu(r) + m| dr < \infty$, then $\sum |\lambda_n - \mu_{n+m}| < \infty$.

THEOREM I'. *Let $w(x)$ be a positive weight function for which $\inf_x \{w(x)\} > 0$. For Λ and U are real sequences and for some m , $\int |n_\lambda(r) - n_\mu(r) + m| w(r) dr < \infty$, then $E(\Lambda) = E(U)$.*

THEOREM II'. *If $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} w(x) = 0$, then there are real, regular sequences Λ and U such that $\int |n_\lambda(r) - n_\mu(r)| w(r) dr < \infty$ but $-\infty < E(\Lambda) < E(U) < \infty$.*

REFERENCES

1. W. O. Alexander and R. Redheffer, *The excess of sets of complex exponentials*, Duke Math. J. **34** (1967), 59–72. MR **34** #6432.
2. A. Beurling and P. Malliavin, *On the closure of characters and the zeros of entire functions*, Acta Math. **118** (1967), 79–93. MR **35** #654.
3. J. Elsner, *Zulässige Abänderungen von Exponential-systemen im $L^p(-A, A)$* , Math. Z. **120** (1971), 211–220.
4. M. I. Kadec, *The exact value of the Paley-Wiener constant*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **155** (1964), 1253–1254 = Soviet Math. Dokl. **5** (1964), 559–561. MR **28** #5289.
5. A. E. Ingham, *Some trigonometrical inequalities with applications to the theory of series*, Math. Z. **41** (1936), 367–379.
6. J. Kahane, *Travaux de Beurling et Malliavin*, Séminaire Bourbaki, 1961/1962, fasc. 1, Exposé 225, 2ième éd., Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1962. MR **26** #3561; errata, 30, 1203.
7. N. Levinson, *Gap and density theorems*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 26, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1940. MR **2**, 180.
8. R. E. A. C. Paley and N. Wiener, *Fourier transforms in the complex domain*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 19, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1934.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE, KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE 37916