

APPROXIMATION OF MULTIPLIERS

GARTH I. GAUDRY AND IAN R. INGLIS

ABSTRACT. We note some necessary and sufficient conditions concerning norm approximation of Fourier multipliers, and give an example to show that $M_q(\mathbb{Z})$, the space of Fourier multipliers of type (q, q) , is not norm dense in $M_p(\mathbb{Z})$ when $1 \leq q < p \leq 2$. An extension of this example to more general groups is indicated.

1. Let G and Γ be dual L.C.A. groups with Haar measures dx and dy respectively. Let $L^p(G)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, be the usual Lebesgue space on G , $C_0(G)$ the space of continuous functions on G which vanish at infinity, $C_c(G)$ the space of continuous functions on G with compact support. The Fourier transform of a function f is denoted \hat{f} . Let $M_p(\Gamma)$ denote the space of (equivalence classes of) essentially bounded measurable functions ϕ on Γ which satisfy the condition

$$(1.1) \quad \left| \int_{\Gamma} \phi(\gamma) \hat{f}(\gamma) \hat{g}(\gamma) d\gamma \right| \leq C \|f\|_p \|g\|_{p'}$$

for any f, g elements of $C_c(G)$ where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. The norm of ϕ is the least admissible value of C in (1.1). It is known that

$$L^\infty(\Gamma) = M_2(\Gamma) \supseteq M_p(\Gamma) \supseteq M_q(\Gamma) \supseteq M_1(\Gamma) = B(\Gamma)$$

when $1 \leq q \leq p \leq 2$; $B(\Gamma)$ is the space of Fourier transforms of complex regular Borel measures on G , with the inherited norm.

We shall make use of the fact that $M_p(\Gamma)$ is the dual of the Banach space $A_p(G)$ [4]. The space $A_p(G)$ consists of those functions in $C_0(G)$ which can be written in the form

$$(1.2) \quad h = \sum f_i * g_i$$

where $f_i, g_i \in C_c(G)$ and

$$(1.2') \quad \sum \|f_i\|_p \|g_i\|_{p'} < \infty.$$

Received by the editors April 30, 1973 and, in revised form, August 31, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 42A18; Secondary 42A68, 43A15, 41A35.

Key words and phrases. Locally compact abelian group, multiplier, approximation.

© American Mathematical Society 1974

The norm of h is the infimum of all sums (1.2') over all possible representations (1.2) of h . The duality between A_p and M_p is expressed by the formula

$$\langle \phi, h \rangle = \sum \int_{\Gamma} \phi(\gamma) \hat{f}_i(\gamma) \hat{g}_i(\gamma) d\gamma$$

where $\phi \in M_p(\Gamma)$ and $h \in A_p(G)$ with representation (1.2). One can readily deduce from the inclusion results among the multiplier spaces that

$$C_0(G) = A_1(G) \supseteq A_q(G) \supseteq A_p(G) \supseteq A_2(G) = A(G)$$

when p and q are as above and $A(G)$ is the space of Fourier transforms of functions in $L^1(\Gamma)$, with the inherited norm. Recall that $A(G)$ is dense in $A_p(G)$.

Our first result is an extension and variant of a result of Edwards [3] and Ramirez [6].

PROPOSITION. *Let $1 \leq q < p \leq 2$ and let ϕ be an element of $M_p(\Gamma)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) ϕ is approximable in the M_p norm by elements of M_q .
- (ii) If (h_n) is a sequence in A_p such that $\|h_n\| \leq N$ and $\|h_n\|_{A_q} \rightarrow 0$, then $\langle \phi, h_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$.
- (iii) If (h_α) is a net in A_p such that $\|h_\alpha\| \leq N$ and $\langle \psi, h_\alpha \rangle \rightarrow 0$ for each ψ in M_q , then $\langle \phi, h_\alpha \rangle \rightarrow 0$.

PROOF. It is simple to check that (i) implies (iii). As to the reverse implication, an application of the Hahn-Banach theorem shows that $\phi \in M_q^*$ if and only if every element λ of M_p^* , of norm 1, which annihilates M_q also annihilates ϕ . It follows from a well-known characterization of the unit ball in $M_p^* = A_p^{**}$ that such a λ is in the weak*-closure of the unit ball U_1 of A_p (injected canonically into M_p^*)—see [1, V.4.5]. With these observations in mind one concludes readily that (iii) implies (i).

Let X denote the normed linear space consisting of the elements of $A_p(G)$ with $\|\cdot\|_X = \|\cdot\|_{A_q}$. Then (ii) simply means that the restriction of ϕ to the sets $U_N = \{h \in X : \|h\|_{A_p} \leq N\}$ is (norm) continuous and (iii) means that the restriction of ϕ to the sets U_N is weakly continuous. Clearly (iii) implies (ii). To prove the converse, suppose there exists a net (h_α) such that $\|h_\alpha\|_{A_p} \leq N$, $h_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ weakly, and $\langle \phi, h_\alpha \rangle \rightarrow a \neq 0$. Then there exists a subnet which we shall also call (h_α) so that $\langle \phi, h_\alpha \rangle \rightarrow a \neq 0$. There exists an α_0 so that if $\varepsilon = |a|/2$, then $\langle \phi, h_\alpha \rangle \in B_\varepsilon(a) = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z - a| < \varepsilon\}$, if $\alpha > \alpha_0$. Consider the set $S = \{h_\alpha\}_{\alpha > \alpha_0}$. 0 is certainly in the weak closure of S . It follows from Theorem V.3.13 of [1] that 0 belongs to the norm closure of $\text{co } S$, where $\text{co } S$ denotes the convex hull of S . So there exists a sequence (y_n) in $\text{co } S$ so that $\|y_n\|_{A_q} \rightarrow 0$. By condition (iii), $\langle \phi, y_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$, but $y_n \in \text{co } S$ so $\langle \phi, y_n \rangle \in \text{co } B_\varepsilon(a) = B_\varepsilon(a)$. Hence $|\langle \phi, y_n \rangle| > a/2$ and $\langle \phi, y_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$, a contradiction.

COROLLARY. *Let ϕ be a continuous bounded function on Γ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) ϕ is the uniform limit of Fourier-Stieltjes transforms.
- (ii) If (h_n) is a sequence in $A(G)$ such that $\|h_n\|_A \leq N$ and $\|h_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ then $\int_\Gamma \hat{h}_n(\gamma)\phi(\gamma) d\gamma \rightarrow 0$.
- (iii) If (h_α) is a net in $A(G)$ such that $\|h_\alpha\|_A \leq N$ and $\int_\Gamma \hat{h}_\alpha(\gamma)\psi(\gamma) d\gamma \rightarrow 0$ for each ψ in $B(\Gamma)$ then $\int_\Gamma \hat{h}_\alpha(\gamma)\phi(\gamma) d\gamma \rightarrow 0$.

REMARK. The equivalence of conditions (i) and (iii) of this Corollary is the result of Edwards and Ramirez [loc. cit.].

2. An explicit example. Figà-Talamanca and Gaudry [5] have constructed an element ϕ of $M_p \cap C_0(Z)$ which is not the limit in M_p norm of elements of $A(Z)$. We show that the function ϕ constructed by them is not approximable in M_p norm by elements of $M_q(Z)$ when $1 \leq q < p \leq 2$. By condition (ii) of the above Proposition it suffices to produce a sequence (h_n) in $A_p(T)$ such that $\|h_n\|_{A_p} \leq N$ for some N , $\|h_n\|_{A_q} \rightarrow 0$, and $\langle \phi, h_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$. Define the sequences (ρ_n) and (σ_n) of Rudin-Shapiro polynomials on T as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_0 &= \sigma_0 = 1, \\ \rho_n(x) &= \rho_{n-1}(x) + \exp(i2^{n-1}x)\sigma_{n-1}(x), \\ \sigma_n(x) &= \rho_{n-1}(x) - \exp(i2^{n-1}x)\sigma_{n-1}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$|\rho_n|^2 + |\sigma_n|^2 = 2(|\rho_{n-1}|^2 + |\sigma_{n-1}|^2) = \dots = 2^{n+1}$$

from which it follows that $\|\rho_n\|_\infty \leq 2^{(n+1)/2}$. Further, the functions $\hat{\rho}_n$ and $\hat{\sigma}_n$ take only the values 0 and ± 1 and are supported precisely on the set $\{0, \dots, 2^n - 1\}$.

On $[2^n, 2^{n+1})$ put $\phi = (\exp(i2^n x)\rho_n(x))^\wedge \cdot 2^{-n/r}$ where $r = 2p/(2-p)$ and $n \geq 0$. Put $\phi(k) = 0$ for $k = 0, -1, -2, \dots$. Then $\phi \in M_p \cap C_0(Z)$ —see [5]. Now $\rho_n = \rho_n * D_{2^n}$ where D_N denotes the N th Dirichlet kernel. It is known [2, Exercise 7.5] that $\|D_N\|_{p'} \sim B_p N^{1/p'}$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, when $1 < p < \infty$ and B_p is a constant depending only on p . Hence

$$\|\rho_n\|_{A_p} \leq \|\rho_n\|_{p'} \|D_{2^n}\|_p \leq B_p 2^{(n+1)/2} 2^{n/p'} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and, if $q > 1$,

$$\|\rho_n\|_{A_q} \leq B_q 2^{(n+1)/2} 2^{n/q'} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Put $h_n(x) = \exp(i2^n x)\rho_n(x)/2^{(n+1)/2} 2^{n/p'}$. Then $\|h_n\|_{A_p} \leq B_p$ and $\|h_n\|_{A_q} \leq B_q 2^{n(1/q' - 1/p')}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $1 < q < p \leq 2$ we have $2 \leq p' < q' < \infty$ whence $\|h_n\|_{A_q} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Finally

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi, h_n \rangle &= \rho_n * \rho_n(0) / 2^{(n+1)/2} 2^{n/q'} 2^{n/r} \\ &= 2^n / 2^{(n+1)/2} 2^{n/p'} 2^{n/r} = 2^{-1/2} \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof for $q > 1$. But if $\phi \in M_p \setminus M_q^-$ for $1 < q < p$, then certainly $\phi \notin M_1^-$, which completes the proof.

REMARKS. (a) It is possible to generalize the argument given above to the case of an arbitrary noncompact L.C.A. group Γ , and so to produce a function ϕ in $M_p \cap C_0(\Gamma) \setminus M_q \cap C(\Gamma)^-$. An indication of how to do this, by using the structure theorem and a lifting argument, is given in [5].

(b) It is possible to modify the above argument to prove that there exists $\phi \in M_p \cap C_0(Z)$ which is not the limit, in M_p norm, of elements of $\bigcup_{1 \leq q < p} M_q(Z)$. Take ϕ as above. Then it is easily seen that it suffices, given any sequence (q_n) of real numbers $1 \leq q_n < p$ such that $q_n \rightarrow p$, to produce a sequence (h_n) in A_p such that $\|h_n\|_{A_p} \leq N$, $\|h_n\|_{A_{q_n}} \rightarrow 0$, and $\langle \phi, h_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$. Define the sequence $k(n)$ so that $k(n) \rightarrow \infty$ in such a way that $k(n)(1/q_n - 1/p) \rightarrow \infty$, and put $h_n = \{\exp(i2^{k(n)}x)\rho_{k(n)}/2^{(k(n)+1)/2}2^{k(n)/p}\}$. It is easy to check that this yields the desired result.

(c) C. Fefferman (grapevine) is reported to have produced an element ϕ of $M_n \cap C_c(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $\|\phi_a - \phi\|_{M_p} \rightarrow 0$ as $a \rightarrow 0$, where $\phi_a(x) = \phi(x-a)$. An elementary interpolation argument shows that such a function ϕ is not approximable, in M_p norm, by elements of $M_q \cap C(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

REFERENCES

1. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators. I: General theory*, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 7, Interscience, New York, 1958. MR 22 #8302.
2. R. E. Edwards, *Fourier series: A modern introduction*. Vol. I, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1967. MR 35 #7062.
3. ———, *Uniform approximation on noncompact spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 122 (1966), 249–276. MR 33 #4659.
4. Alessandro Figà-Talamanca, *Translation invariant operators in L^p* , Duke Math. J. 32 (1965), 495–501. MR 31 #6095.
5. A. Figà-Talamanca and Garth I. Gaudry, *Multipliers of L^p which vanish at infinity*, J. Functional Analysis 7 (1971), 475–486. MR 43 #2429.
6. Donald E. Ramirez, *Uniform approximation by Fourier-Stieltjes transforms*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 64 (1968), 323–333. MR 36 #4273.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, THE FLINDERS UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH AUSTRALIA,
BEDFORD PARK, AUSTRALIA 5042