

## ON A SUBCLASS OF SPIRAL-LIKE FUNCTIONS

E. M. SILVIA

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ ,  $|\lambda| < \pi/2$  and suppose that  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  is holomorphic in  $U = \{z: |z| < 1\}$ . If

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[ e^{i\lambda} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left( \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + 1 - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \right] > \beta \cos \lambda$$

for  $z \in U$ , then  $f(z)$  is said to be  $\alpha$ - $\lambda$ -spiral-like of order  $\beta$  and we write  $f(z) \in S_{\alpha}^{\lambda}(\beta)$ . The author shows that for each  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha$ - $\lambda$ -spiral-like functions of order  $\beta$  are  $\lambda$ -spiral-like of order  $\beta$ . The following representation theorem is obtained: The function  $f(z) \in S_{\alpha}^{\lambda}(\beta)$  ( $\alpha > 0$ ,  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ ,  $|\lambda| < \pi/2$ ), if and only if there exists a function  $F(\zeta)$   $\lambda$ -spiral-like of order  $\beta$  such that

$$F(z) = \left[ (e^{i\lambda}/\alpha) \int_0^z F(\zeta) e^{i\lambda/\alpha} \zeta^{-1} d\zeta \right]^{\alpha e^{-i\lambda}}.$$

A distortion theorem for  $\log|f(z)/z|$  and a rotation theorem for  $\arg f(z)/z$  are also proved for functions  $f(z) \in S_{\alpha}^{\lambda}(\beta)$ .

1. Let  $A$  denote the class of functions normalized by  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  which are analytic in  $U$  ( $|z| < 1$ ). For  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ , we will let  $S^*(\beta)$  represent the class of functions contained in  $A$  which are univalent and starlike of order  $\beta$ ; i.e.,  $f(z) \in S^*(\beta)$  if  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  is analytic and univalent satisfying  $\operatorname{Re} zf'(z)/f(z) > \beta$  ( $z \in U$ ). Also, let  $P$  denote the class of analytic functions normalized by  $p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n$  such that  $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$  ( $z \in U$ ).

A function  $f(z) \in A$  is said to be spiral-like if there exists a  $\lambda$  ( $|\lambda| < \pi/2$ ) such that  $\operatorname{Re} e^{i\lambda} zf'(z)/f(z) > 0$  ( $z \in U$ ). L. Späček defined the class of spiral-like functions in 1933 and showed that these functions are univalent [15].

In 1967, R. Libera [6] extended this definition to functions spiral-like of order  $\beta$ . We say that  $f(z) \in A$  is  $\lambda$ -spiral-like of order  $\beta$  ( $0 \leq \beta < 1$ ,  $|\lambda| < \pi/2$ ) if  $\operatorname{Re} e^{i\lambda} zf'(z)/f(z) > \beta \cos \lambda$  ( $z \in U$ ).

A function  $f(z) \in A$  satisfying  $f(z)f'(z) \neq 0$  ( $0 < |z| < 1$ ) is said to be  $\alpha$ -starlike of order  $\beta$  ( $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ ) if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left( \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + 1 \right) \right\} > \beta \quad (z \in U).$$

Received by the editors March 1, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 30A36; Secondary 30A32.

Key words and phrases. Univalent,  $\alpha$ -starlike,  $\lambda$ -spiral-like.

© American Mathematical Society 1974

For  $\beta=0$ , we have the class of  $\alpha$ -starlike functions (of order zero) which has been thoroughly investigated in [7], [8], [9], [10], and [11]. Some of these results have been extended to  $0 < \beta < 1$  by the author [13].

In this note, a class of functions which contains the classes of  $\alpha$ -starlike functions of order  $\beta$  and  $\lambda$ -spiral-like functions of order  $\beta$  as special cases is defined; the functions in this new class will be shown to be  $\lambda$ -spiral-like. The author obtains an integral representation for the elements of this class in terms of  $\lambda$ -spiral-like functions of order  $\beta$ . Finally, a distortion and a rotation theorem for  $f(z)/z$  whenever  $f(z)$  is in this class is proved.

2. Just as the definition of  $\lambda$ -spiral-likeness of order  $\beta$  generalizes the definition of starlikeness of order  $\beta$ , we will generalize the definition of  $\alpha$ -starlikeness of order  $\beta$  to  $\alpha$ - $\lambda$ -spiral-likeness of order  $\beta$ . In this section, we define the class of  $\alpha$ - $\lambda$ -spiral-like functions of order  $\beta$ —denoted  $S_{\alpha}^{\lambda}(\beta)$ —and show that each  $f(z) \in S_{\alpha}^{\lambda}(\beta)$  is  $\lambda$ -spiral-like of order  $\beta$ .

DEFINITION 1. Let  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in A$  and satisfy  $f(z)f'(z) \neq 0$  in  $0 < |z| < 1$ . Set

$$(1) \quad K(\lambda, \alpha, f(z)) = (e^{i\lambda} - \alpha)zf'(z)/f(z) + \alpha(zf''(z)/f'(z) + 1).$$

Then  $f(z)$  is said to be  $\alpha$ - $\lambda$ -spiral-like of order  $\beta$  if

$$(2) \quad \operatorname{Re} K(\lambda, \alpha, f(z)) > \beta \cos \lambda \quad (z \in U)$$

where  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ ,  $|\lambda| < \pi/2$ .

REMARKS. (i) For  $\alpha=0$ ,  $S_{\alpha}^{\lambda}(\beta)$  is the class of  $\lambda$ -spiral-like functions of order  $\beta$ .

(ii) For  $\lambda=0=\beta$ , we have  $S_{\alpha}^0(0)$ —the class of  $\alpha$ -starlike functions (of order zero); while  $S_{\alpha}^0(\beta)$  ( $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ ) is the class of  $\alpha$ -starlike functions of order  $\beta$ .

In order to prove that  $\alpha$ - $\lambda$ -spiral-likeness of order  $\beta$  ( $\alpha \geq 0$ ) implies  $\lambda$ -spiral-likeness of order  $\beta$ , we will need the following two lemmas: the first lemma is due to I. S. Jack [4] while the second is due to R. Libera [6].

LEMMA A. Let  $\omega(z)$  be regular in  $U$  with  $\omega(0)=0$ . If there exists a  $\zeta \in U$  such that  $\operatorname{Max}_{|z| \leq |\zeta|} |\omega(z)| = |\omega(\zeta)|$ , then  $\zeta \omega'(\zeta) = k\omega(\zeta)$  for some  $k \geq 1$ .

LEMMA B. The function  $f(z) \in A$  is  $\lambda$ -spiral-like of order  $\beta$  ( $0 \leq \beta < 1$ ,  $|\lambda| < \pi/2$ ) if and only if there exists an  $\omega(z)$  analytic satisfying  $\omega(0)=0$ ,  $|\omega(z)| < 1$  such that

$$e^{i\lambda} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \beta \cos \lambda + (1 - \beta) \cos \lambda \left( \frac{1 - \omega(z)}{1 + \omega(z)} \right) + i \sin \lambda \quad (z \in U).$$

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $f(z) \in S_\alpha^\lambda(\beta)$  ( $\alpha \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1, |\lambda| < \pi/2$ ) then  $f(z)$  is  $\lambda$ -spiral-like of order  $\beta$ .*

**PROOF.** Let

$$(3) \quad \frac{e^{i\lambda} z f'(z)}{f(z)} = \beta \cos \lambda + (1 - \beta) \cos \lambda \left( \frac{1 - \omega(z)}{1 + \omega(z)} \right) + i \sin \lambda.$$

Clearly,  $\omega(0) = 0$ . In view of Lemma B, it suffices to show that  $|\omega(z)| < 1$ . Simplifying (3), it follows that

$$(4) \quad \frac{e^{i\lambda} z f'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{e^{i\lambda} \{1 + (2\beta e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda - e^{-2i\lambda}) \omega(z)\}}{1 + \omega(z)}.$$

Differentiating (4) and using (1), we have

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} K(\lambda, \alpha, f(z)) &= \beta \cos \lambda + (1 - \beta) \cos \lambda \left( \frac{1 - \omega(z)}{1 + \omega(z)} \right) + i \sin \lambda \\ &+ \alpha \frac{\{2\beta e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda - e^{-2i\lambda}\} z \omega'(z)}{1 + (2\beta e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda - e^{-2i\lambda}) \omega(z)} - \alpha \frac{z \omega'(z)}{1 + \omega(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that there exists a  $\zeta \in U$  such that  $\text{Max}_{|z| \leq |\zeta|} |\omega(z)| = |\omega(\zeta)| = 1$ . Clearly  $\omega(\zeta) \neq -1$ . From Lemma A, there exists a  $k \geq 1$  such that  $\zeta \omega'(\zeta) = k \omega(\zeta)$ . For this  $\zeta$ , we have

$$(6) \quad \text{Re}(1 - \omega(\zeta))/(1 + \omega(\zeta)) = 0, \quad \text{Re } \zeta \omega(\zeta)/(1 + \omega(\zeta)) = k/2.$$

Also, for

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} m &= 2\beta e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda - e^{-2i\lambda}, \\ \text{Re } \frac{m \zeta \omega'(\zeta)}{1 + m \omega(\zeta)} &= \text{Re } \frac{k(|m|^2 + m \omega(\zeta))}{1 + |m|^2 + 2 \text{Re } m \omega(\zeta)} \\ &= \text{Re } \frac{k(|m|^2 + \text{Re } m \omega(\zeta))}{1 + |m|^2 + 2 \text{Re } m \omega(\zeta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(8) \quad \text{Re} \left( \frac{m \zeta \omega'(\zeta)}{1 + m \omega(\zeta)} \right) - \text{Re} \left( \frac{\zeta \omega'(\zeta)}{1 + \omega(\zeta)} \right) = \frac{k(|m|^2 - 1)}{2(1 + 2 \text{Re } m \omega(\zeta) + |m|^2)}.$$

Thus, from (6), (7) and (8), it follows that

$$(9) \quad \text{Re } K(\lambda, \alpha, f(z)) = \beta \cos \lambda - \frac{2k\beta(1 - \beta)\alpha \cos^2 \lambda}{1 + |m|^2 + 2 \text{Re } m \omega(\zeta)} < \beta \cos \lambda,$$

contradicting the assumption that  $f(z) \in S_\alpha^\lambda(\beta)$ . Therefore  $|\omega(z)| < 1$  in  $U$  and  $f(z)$  is  $\lambda$ -spiral-like of order  $\beta$ .

**COROLLARY.** *If  $f(z) \in S_\alpha^\lambda(\beta)$  then  $f(z) \in S_\gamma^\lambda(\beta)$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma \leq \alpha$ .*

**PROOF.** By Theorem 1,  $f(z) \in S_0^\lambda(\beta)$ . Suppose there exists a  $\gamma$ ,  $0 < \gamma < \alpha$ , such that  $f(z) \notin S_\gamma^\lambda(\beta)$ . Then there is a  $\zeta \in U$  for which

$$(10) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\zeta f''(\zeta)}{f'(\zeta)} + 1 - \frac{\zeta f'(\zeta)}{f(\zeta)} \right) \leq \frac{\beta \cos \lambda}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{\gamma} \operatorname{Re} \frac{\zeta f'(\zeta)}{f(\zeta)}.$$

However, for  $f(z) \in S_\alpha^\lambda(\beta)$ ,

$$(11) \quad 0 < -\beta \cos \lambda + \operatorname{Re} e^{i\lambda} \frac{\zeta f'(\zeta)}{f(\zeta)} + \alpha \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\zeta f''(\zeta)}{f'(\zeta)} + 1 - \frac{\zeta f'(\zeta)}{f(\zeta)} \right).$$

Substituting (10) into (11), we obtain

$$0 < (1 - \alpha/\gamma)(\operatorname{Re} e^{i\lambda} \zeta f'(\zeta)/f(\zeta) - \beta \cos \lambda).$$

But  $(1 - \alpha/\gamma) < 0$  implies  $\operatorname{Re} e^{i\lambda} \zeta f'(\zeta)/f(\zeta) < \beta \cos \lambda$ , contradicting the assumption that  $f(z) \in S_0^\lambda(\beta)$ . Thus,  $f(z) \in S_\gamma^\lambda(\beta)$ .

3. In this section, the author obtains an important integral representation for the elements of  $S_\alpha^\lambda(\beta)$ . Throughout this section  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\lambda$  will represent constants such that  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ ,  $|\lambda| < \pi/2$ .

**DEFINITION 2.** The function

$$f(z) = \left[ (\gamma + i\mu) \int_0^z \sigma(t)^\gamma t^{-1+i\mu} dt \right]^{1/(\gamma+i\mu)}$$

where  $\sigma(t) \in S^*(0)$ ,  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $\mu$  real,  $z \in U$  and the powers are meant as principal values, is called a Bazilevič function of type  $\gamma + i\mu$ . Denote the class of such functions by  $B(\gamma + i\mu)$  [2].

Due to a result by Eenigenburg et al. [3], we know that each  $f(z) \in B(\gamma + i\mu)$  is spiral-like. The functions that we will use in order to characterize the elements of  $S_\alpha^\lambda(\beta)$  are those obtained when  $\gamma = (\cos \lambda)/\alpha$  and  $\mu = (\sin \lambda)/\alpha$ .

**DEFINITION 3.** A function  $f(z) \in A$  is said to be a Bazilevič function of type  $e^{i\lambda}/\alpha$  and order  $\beta$  if

$$(12) \quad f(z) = \left[ \frac{e^{i\lambda}}{\alpha} \int_0^z \sigma(\zeta)^{(\cos \lambda)/\alpha} \zeta^{-1 + (i \sin \lambda)/\alpha} d\zeta \right]^{ae^{-i\lambda}}$$

for some  $\sigma(\zeta) \in S^*(\beta)$ . Denote this by  $f(z) \in B(e^{i\lambda}/\alpha, \beta)$ .

Immediate from Definition 3 is

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $f(z) \in B(e^{i\lambda}/\alpha, \beta)$  then  $f(z) \in S_\alpha^\lambda(\beta)$ .*

PROOF. For  $f(z) \in B(e^{i\lambda}/\alpha, \beta)$ , it follows from (12) that

$$(13) \quad f'(z) = \sigma(z)^{\cos \lambda/\alpha} z^{-1+(i \sin \lambda)/\alpha} f(z)^{1-e^{i\lambda}/\alpha}.$$

Taking the logarithmic derivative of (13) we obtain an expression for  $[zf''(z)/f'(z)]+1$ . Substituting this into (1), we have

$$(14) \quad K(\lambda, \alpha, f(z)) = \cos \lambda z \sigma'(z)/\sigma(z) + i \sin \lambda.$$

Thus,  $\text{Re } K(\lambda, \alpha, f(z)) > \beta \cos \lambda$  or  $f(z) \in S_{\alpha}^{\lambda}(\beta)$ .

Using the following lemma due to Başgöze and Keogh [1], a necessary and sufficient condition for  $f(z)$  to be in  $B(e^{i\lambda}/\alpha, \beta)$  is obtained.

LEMMA C. A function  $\sigma(\zeta) \in S^*(\beta)$  if and only if there exists a function  $F(\zeta) \in S_0^{\lambda}(\beta)$  such that

$$(15) \quad (\sigma(\zeta)/\zeta)^{\cos \lambda} = (F(\zeta)/\zeta)^{e^{i\lambda}} \quad (\zeta \in U).$$

LEMMA 1. A function  $f(z) \in B(e^{i\lambda}/\alpha, \beta)$  if and only if there exists a function  $F(\zeta) \in S_0^{\lambda}(\beta)$  such that

$$(16) \quad f(z) = \left[ \frac{e^{i\lambda}}{\alpha} \int_0^z [F(\zeta)]^{e^{i\lambda}/\alpha} \zeta^{-1} d\zeta \right]^{\alpha e^{-i\lambda}}$$

where the powers are meant as principal values.

PROOF. From Definition 3,  $f(z) \in B(e^{i\lambda}/\alpha, \beta)$  if and only if there exists a  $\sigma(\zeta) \in S^*(\beta)$  satisfying (12). However, a necessary and sufficient condition for  $\sigma(\zeta) \in S^*(\beta)$  is that there exists an  $F(\zeta) \in S_0^{\lambda}(\beta)$  satisfying (15). Thus, for  $f(z) \in B(e^{i\lambda}/\alpha, \beta)$ , we may obtain

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} f(z) &= \left[ \frac{e^{i\lambda}}{\alpha} \int_0^z \sigma(\zeta)^{(\cos \lambda)/\alpha} \zeta^{-1+i(\sin \lambda)/\alpha} d\zeta \right]^{\alpha e^{-i\lambda}} \\ &= \left[ \frac{e^{i\lambda}}{\alpha} \int_0^z \left( \frac{\sigma(\zeta)}{\zeta} \right)^{(\cos \lambda)/\alpha} \zeta^{-1+(e^{i\lambda}/\alpha)} d\zeta \right]^{\alpha e^{-i\lambda}} \\ &= \left[ \frac{e^{i\lambda}}{\alpha} \int_0^z [F(\zeta)]^{e^{i\lambda}/\alpha} \zeta^{-1} d\zeta \right]^{\alpha e^{-i\lambda}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma(\zeta)$  and  $F(\zeta)$  are as above. Since each step in (17) is reversible, the result follows from this identity.

REMARK. From Lemma 1, a necessary and sufficient condition for  $f(z) \in B(e^{i\lambda}/\alpha, \beta)$  is that

$$(18) \quad F(z) = f(z)[zf'(z)/f(z)]^{\alpha e^{-i\lambda}}$$

where  $F(z) \in S_0^{\lambda}(\beta)$ . Also,  $B(e^{i\lambda}/\alpha, \beta) \subset S_{\alpha}^{\lambda}(\beta)$ . In order to obtain the

characterization for functions  $f(z) \in S_\alpha^\lambda(\beta)$ , we consider the converse problem. Given  $F(\zeta) \in S_0^\lambda(\beta)$  and  $\alpha > 0$ , when is the solution to the differential equation (18) with boundary condition  $f(0)=0$ , a function that is  $\alpha$ - $\lambda$ -spiral-like of order  $\beta$ ? Since (18) may be rewritten as  $[F(z)]^{e^{-i\lambda}/\alpha} z = f'(z)f(z)^{-1+(e^{i\lambda}/\alpha)}$  we may perform the integration with boundary condition  $f(0)=0$  to obtain

$$f(z) = \left[ \frac{e^{i\lambda}}{\alpha} \int_0^z \frac{[F(\zeta)]^{e^{i\lambda}/\alpha}}{\zeta} d\zeta \right]^{\alpha e^{-i\lambda}}.$$

We will now show the proper definitions for which this formal solution is indeed an  $\alpha$ - $\lambda$ -spiral-like function of order  $\beta$ .

LEMMA 2. Let  $f(z) \in S_\alpha^\lambda(\beta)$ . For  $0 < \gamma \leq \alpha$ , choose the branch of  $[zf'(z)/f(z)]^{\gamma e^{-i\lambda}}$  equal to 1 when  $z=0$ . Then the function

$$(19) \quad F_\gamma(z) = f(z)[zf'(z)/f(z)]^{\gamma e^{-i\lambda}}$$

is  $\lambda$ -spiral-like of order  $\beta$ .

PROOF. We have

$$e^{i\lambda} \frac{zF'_\gamma(z)}{F_\gamma(z)} = e^{i\lambda} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \gamma \left( \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + 1 - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) = K(\lambda, \gamma, f(z)).$$

But by the corollary to Theorem 1, we have that  $f(z) \in S_\alpha^\lambda(\beta)$  implies  $f(z) \in S_\gamma^\lambda(\beta)$  ( $0 \leq \gamma \leq \alpha$ ). Therefore,  $\text{Re } e^{i\lambda} zF'_\gamma(z)/F_\gamma(z) = \text{Re } K(\lambda, \gamma, f(z)) > \beta \cos \lambda$  and  $F_\gamma(z) \in S_0^\lambda(\beta)$ .

LEMMA 3. If  $F(z) = z + A_2z + \dots \in S_0^\lambda(\beta)$  then  $F(z)$  may be expressed as

$$(20) \quad F(z) = f(z)[zf'(z)/f(z)]^{\alpha e^{-i\lambda}},$$

where

$$(21) \quad f(z) = \left[ \frac{e^{i\lambda}}{\alpha} \int_0^z [F(\zeta)]^{e^{i\lambda}/\alpha} \zeta^{-1} d\zeta \right]^{\alpha e^{-i\lambda}}$$

is an  $\alpha$ - $\lambda$ -spiral-like function of order  $\beta$ .

PROOF. Let  $h(z) = z^{-e^{i\lambda}/\alpha} \int_0^z [F(\zeta)]^{e^{i\lambda}/\alpha} \zeta^{-1} d\zeta$ . We have

$$f(z) = z[(e^{i\lambda}/\alpha)h(z)]^{\alpha e^{-i\lambda}}$$

so that if  $h(z)$  is independent of the path of integration it will follow that  $f(z)$  is well defined.

Since  $F(z) = z(1 + A_2z + \dots) \in S_0^\lambda(\beta)$ , we have that  $(1 + A_2z + \dots)$  is

nonzero in  $U$ . Thus, we may write

$$(22) \quad (1 + A_2z + \dots)^{e^{i\lambda}/\alpha} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n$$

for the power series expansion about  $z=0$ . From (22), it follows that

$$(23) \quad \int_0^z F(\zeta)^{e^{i\lambda}/\alpha} \zeta^{-1} d\zeta = \alpha e^{-i\lambda} z^{e^{i\lambda}/\alpha} \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{\alpha e^{i\lambda} n + 1} z^n + C \right).$$

To obtain a solution of (23) which is analytic and zero at the origin, take  $C=0$ . Thus,  $h(z) = \alpha e^{-i\lambda} (1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n / (\alpha e^{i\lambda} n + 1))$  is independent of the path of integration so that  $f(z)$  given by (21) is well defined.

That  $f(z)$  is  $\alpha$ - $\lambda$ -spiral-like of order  $\beta$  is a consequence of Theorem 2 and Lemma 1. Thus, the lemma is proved.

By combining the results of Theorem 2, Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, we have

**THEOREM 3.** *A necessary and sufficient condition for  $f(z)$  to be in  $S_{\alpha}^{\lambda}(\beta)$  is that  $f(z)$  have the integral representation*

$$(24) \quad f(z) = \left[ \frac{e^{i\lambda}}{\alpha} \int_0^z [F(\zeta)]^{e^{i\lambda}/\alpha} \zeta^{-1} d\zeta \right]^{\alpha e^{-i\lambda}}$$

for some  $F(\zeta) \in S_0^{\lambda}(\beta)$ , where the powers are assumed to be principal values.

**PROOF.** If  $f(z)$  is of the form (24), it follows immediately from Theorem 2 and Lemma 1 that  $f(z) \in S_{\alpha}^{\lambda}(\beta)$ . If  $f(z) \in S_{\alpha}^{\lambda}(\beta)$ , then—by Lemma 2 and Lemma 3— $f(z)$  can be written in the form (24).

Note that we now have  $B(e^{i\lambda}/\alpha, \beta) = S_{\alpha}^{\lambda}(\beta)$  for  $\alpha > 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1, |\lambda| < \pi/2$ .

4. We conclude this paper with a determination of a distortion theorem and a rotation theorem for  $f(z)/z$  whenever  $f(z) \in M_0^{\lambda}(\beta) = M^{\lambda}(\beta)$  ( $0 \leq \beta < 1, |\lambda| < \pi/2$ ).

For  $f(z) \in M^{\lambda}(\beta)$  ( $0 \leq \beta < 1, |\lambda| < \pi/2$ ) there exists a  $p(z) \in P$  such that

$$(25) \quad e^{i\lambda} z f'(z) / f(z) = (1 - \beta) \cos \lambda p(z) + \beta \cos \lambda + i \sin \lambda.$$

From (25) it follows that

$$(26) \quad e^{i\lambda} (z f'(z) / f(z) - 1) = (1 - \beta) \cos \lambda (p(z) - 1).$$

Throughout this section  $\lambda, \beta$  will denote constants satisfying  $|\lambda| < \pi/2, 0 \leq \beta < 1$ .

Using (26) we are able to obtain the convex hull of the image of  $\log f(z)/z$  for fixed  $z$  ( $|z|=r < 1$ ) when  $f(z) \in M^{\lambda}(\beta)$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $f(z) \in M^{\lambda}(\beta)$  then the set of all possible values of  $\log f(z)/z$  ( $z$  fixed,  $|z|=r < 1$ ) lies in the image of  $|z| \leq r$  under the map*

$$(27) \quad \omega(z) = \log[(1 - \varepsilon z)^{-2(1-\beta)e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda}], \quad |\varepsilon| = 1.$$

PROOF. Dividing both sides of (26) by  $z \neq 0$ , integrating from 0 to  $z$  and simplifying, we have

$$(27) \quad \log \frac{f(z)}{z} = (1 - \beta)e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda \int_0^z \frac{p(\zeta) - 1}{\zeta} d\zeta.$$

Since  $p(z) \in P$ , Herglotz's theorem [12] may be applied to obtain

$$(28) \quad p(\zeta) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1 + \zeta e^{it}}{1 - \zeta e^{it}} d\mu(t)$$

where  $\mu(t)$  is nondecreasing in  $[-\pi, \pi]$  and  $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\mu(t) = 1$ . From (28), it follows that

$$(29) \quad \frac{p(\zeta) - 1}{\zeta} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{2e^{it}}{1 - \zeta e^{it}} d\mu(t).$$

Substituting (29) into (27), we obtain

$$(30) \quad \log \frac{f(z)}{z} = -2(1 - \beta)e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log(1 - e^{it}z) d\mu(t).$$

Let  $q(z, t) = \log(1 - e^{it}z)^{-2(1-\beta)e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda}$ . Then  $\operatorname{Re}\{1 + zq''(z, t)/q'(z, t)\} = \operatorname{Re}[1/(1 - ze^{it})] > \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus,  $q(z, t)$  is univalent in  $z$  and maps  $|z| \leq r < 1$  onto a convex domain  $E$ , independent of  $t$ . From (30), we know that for fixed  $z$  ( $|z| = r < 1$ ) the points of  $\log f(z)/z$  lie in the convex hull of  $E$ , denoted  $\operatorname{con} E$ . However, since  $E$  is convex,  $E = \operatorname{con} E$  and the points of  $\log f(z)/z$  ( $z$  fixed,  $|z| = r < 1$ ) lie in the convex image of  $|z| \leq r$  under the mapping  $\omega(z)$  given by (27).

REMARKS. (i) For

$$\log f_t(z)/z = \log[(1 - e^{it}z)^{-2(1-\beta)e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda}] \quad (-\pi \leq t < \pi),$$

we have

$$f_t(z) = z(1 - e^{it}z)^{-2(1-\beta)e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda}.$$

These  $f_t(z)$ —for different  $t$ —are the extremal functions for Theorem 4.

(ii) We have

$$(31) \quad \log |f_t(z)/z| = \operatorname{Re} \log[(1 - e^{it}z)^{-2(1-\beta)e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda}]$$

and

$$(32) \quad \arg f_t(z)/z = \operatorname{Im} \log[(1 - e^{it}z)^{-2(1-\beta)e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda}].$$

Also, for  $z = re^{i\theta}$  ( $0 < r < 1, 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ ) and  $\eta = \theta + t$ , we have

$$(33) \quad \log[(1 - e^{it}z)^{-2(1-\beta)e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda}] = T(r, \eta, \lambda, \beta) + iS(r, \eta, \lambda, \beta)$$



where

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} &T(r, \eta, \lambda, \beta) \\ &= (1 - \beta) \cos \lambda \left\{ 2 \sin \lambda \arctan \frac{r \sin \eta}{1 - r \cos \eta} - \cos \lambda \log(1 - 2r \cos \eta + r^2) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} &S(r, \eta, \lambda, \beta) \\ &= (1 - \beta) \cos \lambda \left\{ 2 \cos \lambda \arctan \frac{r \sin \eta}{1 - r \cos \eta} + \sin \lambda \log(1 - 2r \cos \eta + r^2) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{f_t(z) | t \in [-\pi, \pi]\}$  represent the extremal functions of Theorem 4, the distortion and rotation theorems follow from (31) through (35).

**THEOREM 5.** *If  $f(z) \in M^\lambda(\beta)$ , for fixed  $z$  ( $|z|=r < 1$ ),  $T(r, \eta_1, \lambda, \beta) \leq \log|f(z)/z| \leq T(r, \eta_2, \lambda, \beta)$  where*

$$(36) \quad \eta_{1,2} = 2 \tan^{-1} \left\{ \frac{-\cot \lambda \mp (\operatorname{cosec}^2 \lambda - r^2)^{1/2}}{1 + r} \right\}.$$

**PROOF.** It suffices to determine the bounds for  $\log|f_t(z)/z|$  where  $f_t(z)$  are the extremal functions for Theorem 4. Since  $\log|f_t(z)/z| = T(r, \eta, \lambda, \beta)$  is a real-valued function of  $\eta$ , we may determine the maximum and minimum points by using elementary calculus. It follows that  $\partial T / \partial \theta = 0$  for  $\eta_{1,2}$  given in (26). By examining  $\partial^2 T / \partial \theta^2$ , we find that  $\partial^2 T / \partial \theta^2$  is positive for  $\eta_1$  and negative for  $\eta_2$ . The result follows.

**THEOREM 6.** *If  $f(z) \in M^\lambda(\beta)$  ( $z$  fixed,  $|z|=r < 1$ ), then*

$$S(r, \eta_3, \lambda, \beta) \leq \arg f(z)/z \leq S(r, \eta_4, \lambda, \beta)$$

where

$$(37) \quad \eta_{3,4} = 2 \tan^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\tan \lambda \mp (\sec^2 \lambda - r^2)^{1/2}}{1 + r} \right\}.$$

**PROOF.** This follows immediately by applying the same procedures as in the proof of Theorem 5 to  $\arg f_t(z)/z = S(r, \eta, \lambda, \beta)$ . Here  $S(r, \eta, \lambda, \beta)$  is a real-valued function of  $\eta$  whose derivative is zero for  $\eta_{3,4}$ —given by (37). The second derivative of  $S$  is positive for  $\eta_3$  and negative for  $\eta_4$  from which the result follows.

**REMARK.** For  $\beta=0$ , Theorems 5 and 6 give us the known results for  $\lambda$ -spiral-like functions of order  $\beta$  [13].

REFERENCES

1. T. Basgöze and F. R. Keogh, *The Hardy class of a spiral-like function and its derivative*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (1970), 266–269. MR **41** #8680.

2. I. E. Bazilevič, *On a case of integrability in quadratures of the Loewner-Kufarev equation*, Mat. Sb. **37** (79) (1955), 471–476. (Russian) MR **17**, 356.
3. P. Eenigenburg, S. Miller, P. Mocanu and M. Reade, *On a class of Bazilevič functions*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1972), A706. Abstract #72T-B293.
4. I. S. Jack, *Functions starlike and convex of order  $\alpha$* , J. London Math. Soc. (2) **3** (1971), 469–474. MR **43** #7611.
5. R. Libera, *Univalent  $\alpha$ -spiral functions*, Canad. J. Math. **19** (1967), 449–456. MR **35** #5599.
6. S. S. Miller, *Distortion properties of alpha-starlike functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **38** (1973), 311–318.
7. S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu and M. O. Reade, *All  $\alpha$ -convex functions are univalent and starlike*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **37** (1973), 553–554.
8. ———, *Bazilevič functions and generalized convexity*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. (to appear).
9. P. T. Mocanu, *Une propriété de convexité généralisée dans la théorie de la représentation conforme*, Mathematica (Cluj) **11** (34), (1969), 127–133. MR **42** #7881.
10. P. T. Mocanu and M. O. Reade, *On generalized convexity of conformal mappings*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **16** (1971), 1541–1544.
11. R. Nevanlinna, *Eindeutige analytische Funktionen*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 46, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1936; English transl., Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 162, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1970. MR **43** #5003.
12. E. Silvia, *Classes related to  $\alpha$ -starlike functions*, Ph.D. Dissertation, Clark University, Worcester, Mass., 1972.
13. R. Singh, *A note on spiral-like functions*, J. Indian Math. Soc. **33** (1969), 49–55. MR **41** #454.
14. L. Spaček, *Prispevek k teorii funkei prostych*, Časopis Pěst. Mat. **62** (1933), 12–19.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CLARK UNIVERSITY, WORCESTER, MASSACHUSETTS 01610

*Current address:* Department of Mathematics, University of California, Davis, California 95616