

## NONLINEAR PERTURBATION OF $m$ -ACCRETIVE OPERATORS

W. E. FITZGIBBON<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. Let  $X$  be a reflexive Banach space. Conditions sufficient to guarantee that the sum  $A+B$ , of two  $m$ -accretive operators  $A$  and  $B$  is  $m$ -accretive are provided. The basic requirements are that the operator  $B$  be bounded in some sense relative to  $A$  and that  $A$  and  $B$  be weakly closed.

The object of this paper is the investigation of the additive perturbation of a  $m$ -accretive, possibly nonlinear, operator  $A$  by a  $m$ -accretive, possibly nonlinear, operator  $B$ . Our basic requirements are that the Banach space be reflexive and that the operator  $B$  be bounded in some sense relative to  $A$ . Our results relate to the work of T. Kato [8] and J. Mermin [12] concerning perturbation in Banach spaces which have uniformly convex dual. For recent work concerning perturbation the reader is referred to G. F. Webb [14], [15], V. Barbu [1], J. Goldstein [6] and Y. Konishi [11].

In what follows  $X$  will be a reflexive Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ ;  $X^*$  will denote the dual space of  $X$ , and the pairing between  $X$  and  $X^*$  will be denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are operators mapping subsets of  $X$  to  $X$  we define the sum  $A+B$  by the equation  $(A+B)x = Ax + Bx$  for  $x \in D(A) \cap D(B)$ .

DEFINITION 1.1. Let  $A$  be a nonlinear operator mapping a subset of a Banach space  $X$  to  $X$ .  $A$  is said to be *accretive* provided that  $\|x + \lambda Ax - (y + \lambda Ay)\| \geq \|x - y\|$  for all  $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $x, y \in D(A)$ . An accretive operator is said to be  *$m$ -accretive* provided that  $R(I + \lambda A) = X$  for all  $\lambda \geq 0$ .

T. Kato [9] has shown that the definition of accretiveness is equivalent to the statement that  $\operatorname{Re}\langle Ax - Ay, f \rangle \geq 0$  for  $x, y \in D(A)$  and some  $f \in F(x - y)$  where  $F$  is the duality map from  $X$  to  $X^*$ . If  $A$  is an  $m$ -accretive operator,  $A$  has no proper accretive extension. However not every maximal accretive operator is  $m$ -accretive. If  $A$  is accretive and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  we define

---

Presented to the Society, November 17, 1973; received by the editors June 4, 1973 and, in revised form, September 28, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 47H15, 34G05; Secondary 47B44, 47D05.

Key words and phrases. Accretive,  $m$ -accretive weakly closed, perturbation of type  $K$ , nonlinear operator.

<sup>1</sup> Supported in part by the University of Houston RIP Grant.

$J_n x = (I + 1/nA)^{-1}x$  for  $x \in D_n = R(I + 1/nA)$ . We define the Yosida approximations  $A_n x = n(I - J_n)x$ . The following facts are well known:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \|J_n x - J_n y\| &\leq \|x - y\| && \text{for } x, y \in D_n, \\ \|J_n x - x\| &\leq n^{-1} \|Ax\| && \text{for } x \in D(A) \cap D_n, \\ A_n x &= AJ_n x && \text{for } x \in D_n, \\ \|A_n x\| &\leq \|Ax\| && \text{for } x \in D(A) \cap D_n. \end{aligned}$$

If  $A$  is an  $m$ -accretive operator then  $A_n$  is an everywhere defined Lipschitz continuous accretive operator with Lipschitz constant  $2n$ .

Henceforth we shall use the symbol " $\rightarrow$ " to denote strong convergence in  $X$  and " $\rightharpoonup$ " to denote weak convergence in  $X$ .

DEFINITION 1.2. Let  $A$  be a nonlinear operator mapping a subset of  $X$  to  $X$ .  $A$  is said to be *weakly closed* provided that  $\{x_n\} \subseteq D(A)$ ,  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ , and  $Ax_n \rightarrow y$  imply that  $x \in D(A)$  and  $Ax = y$ .

If  $X$  is a reflexive Banach space and  $A$  is a weakly closed operator then  $A$  has the following property: if  $\{x_n\} \subseteq D(A)$ ,  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $\|Ax_n\| \leq M$  for some  $M > 0$  then  $Ax_n \rightarrow Ax$ . Let us refer to this property of operators as *condition W*. We now specify the type of perturbation to be considered.

DEFINITION 1.3. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be nonlinear operators defined on subsets of a Banach space  $X$ . If  $D(A) \subseteq D(B)$  then  $B$  is said to be a perturbation of  $A$  of *type K* provided that there exist constants  $a < 1$ ,  $b \geq 0$  and  $c \geq 0$  so that,

$$(1.2) \quad \|Bx\| \leq a \|Ax\| + b \|x\| + c \quad \text{for } x \in D(A).$$

We now make precise our notion of strong solutions to the Cauchy initial value problem.

DEFINITION 1.4. Let  $A$  be an operator defined on a subset of a Banach space  $X$ . By a strong solution to the Cauchy initial value problem,

$$(1.3) \quad u'(t) + Au(t) = 0; \quad u(0) = x, \quad t \in [0, T),$$

we mean a function  $u: [0, T) \rightarrow X$  such that  $u$  is Lipschitz continuous on compact subsets of  $[0, T)$ ;  $u(0) = x$ ;  $u'(t)$  exists and satisfies (1.3) for a.e.  $t \in [0, T)$ .

We now provide conditions sufficient for the sum of two operators to be accretive.

LEMMA 1.1. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be nonlinear accretive operators on a Banach space  $X$ . If  $B$  is  $m$ -accretive and satisfies condition  $W$  then  $A + B$  is accretive.

PROOF. Let  $x \in D(B)$  then condition  $W$  and equations (1.1) imply that  $B_n x = n(I - (I + 1/nB)^{-1})x$  converges weakly to  $Bx$ . Since  $B$  is  $m$ -accretive,  $B_n$  is accretive and everywhere defined. Moreover we can make use of the equivalent formulation of accretiveness to observe that  $B_n$

is strongly accretive, i.e.  $\text{Re}\langle B_n x - B_n y, f \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $f \in F(x - y)$ . The accretiveness of  $A$  implies that for each  $x, y \in D(A)$  there is an  $f' \in F(x - y)$  so that  $\langle Ax - Ay, f' \rangle \geq 0$ . If we assume that  $x, y \in D(A) \cap D(B)$  we can conclude that

$$\text{Re}\langle (A + Bx) - (A + B)y, f' \rangle = \lim\langle (A + B_n)x - (A + B_n)y, f' \rangle \geq 0.$$

We now proceed to establish a global existence theorem for Cauchy problems involving the operator  $A + B$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be weakly closed  $m$ -accretive operators defined on a reflexive Banach space  $X$ . If  $B$  is a perturbation of type  $K$  of  $A$  then for each  $x \in D(A)$  there exists a unique global solution to the Cauchy initial value problem*

$$(1.4) \quad u'(t) + (A + B)u(t) = 0; \quad u(0) = x \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, \infty).$$

**PROOF.** We proceed by picking an arbitrary  $T < \infty$  and demonstrating that (1.4) has a unique strong solution on  $[0, T]$ . Denoting  $B_n x = n(I - (I + 1/nB)^{-1})x$ , we consider the operators  $A + B_n$ . A recent result of V. Barbu [1] together with the  $m$ -accretiveness of  $A$  and the continuity of  $B_n$  insures that  $A + B_n$  is  $m$ -accretive. We now consider the approximate Cauchy problems

$$(1.5) \quad u'_n(t) + (A + B_n)u_n(t) = 0; \quad u(0) = x \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T].$$

The  $m$ -accretiveness of  $A + B_n$  together with recent results of Crandall and Liggett [3] and Brezis and Pazy [2] guarantee that for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , (1.5) has a strong solution; moreover each  $u_n(t)$  may be represented as the product integral  $u_n(t) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (I + t(A + B_n)/m)_x^{-m}$  uniformly for  $t \in [0, T]$ . Repeated applications of the fourth assertion of (1.1) yield

$$\|(A + B_n)(I + t(A + B_n)/m)_x^{-m}\| \leq \|Ax\| + \|Bx\|.$$

We observe that condition  $W$  implies that  $(A + B_n)(I + t(A + B_n)/m)_x^{-m} \rightarrow (A + B_n)u_n(t)$  and thereby insures the existence of a constant  $M > 0$  so that

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u_n(t) - u_n(\tau)\| &\leq |t - \tau| M \quad \text{for } t, \tau \in [0, T]; \\ \|u'_n(t)\| &= \|(A + B_n)u_n(t)\| \leq M \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

We now claim that there is a subsequence  $\{u_{n'}(t)\}$  of  $\{u_n(t)\}$  which converges weakly to a function  $u(t)$  which also satisfies the Lipschitz condition (1.6) with constant  $M$ . The argument of Lemma 2.1 [5] is directly applicable to establish this convergence. We relabel the weakly convergent subsequence as  $\{u_n(t)\}$ .

We now seek to ascertain that  $(A + B_n)u_n(t) \rightarrow (A + B)u(t)$ . Since  $u_n(t) \in D(A)$ ,  $u_n(t) \in D(B)$ ; using properties of perturbations of type  $K$

and statements (1.1) and (1.6) we have

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} (1-a) \|Au_n(t)\| - b \|u_n(t)\| - c &\leq \|Au_n(t)\| - \|Bu_n(t)\| \\ &\leq \|Au_n(t)\| - \|B_n u_n(t)\| \leq M. \end{aligned}$$

Because  $a < 1$  we can conclude that there is a constant  $M'$  so that

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \|Au_n(t)\| &\leq M' \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T], \\ \|B_n u_n(t)\| &\leq M' \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

That  $Au_n(t) \rightarrow Au(t)$  follows from the fact that weakly closed operators satisfy condition  $W$ . To see that  $B_n u_n(t) \rightarrow Bu(t)$  we recall that  $B_n x = B(I + n^{-1}B)^{-1}x$ ; use statement (1.1) to see that  $(I + n^{-1}B)^{-1}u_n(t) \rightarrow u(t)$  and apply condition  $W$ .

Since  $u_n(t)$  is a strong solution to (1.5) we have the following equation:

$$(1.9) \quad \langle u_n(t), f \rangle = \langle x, f \rangle - \int_0^t \langle (A + B_n)u_n(s), f \rangle ds$$

for  $x \in D(A)$ ,  $f \in X^*$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ .

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  of each side of (1.9) we obtain  $\langle u(t), f \rangle = \langle x, f \rangle - \int_0^t \langle (A + B)u(s), f \rangle ds$ , and hence deduce that

$$u(t) = x - \int_0^t (A + B)u(s) ds \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T].$$

The above integral can be differentiated and we obtain  $u'(t) + (A + B)u(t) = 0$  for a.e.  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $u(0) = x$ . The uniqueness of solutions to (1.4) on  $[0, T]$  follows from the accretiveness of  $A + B$  and standard techniques, cf. [9].

The next lemma connects the  $m$ -accretiveness of an accretive operator with the existence of strong solutions to a Cauchy initial value problem, cf. Kato [8].

**LEMMA 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $A$  be a closed nonlinear accretive operator. Then  $A$  is  $m$ -accretive provided that there exists an  $x \in D(A)$  such that for all  $p \in X$  the Cauchy initial value problem*

$$(1.10) \quad u'(t) + (A + I)u(t) - p = 0; \quad u(0) = x, \quad t \in [0, \infty),$$

*has a strong solution.*

**PROOF.** Let  $x \in D(A)$  satisfy the hypothesis of the lemma; we shall use the existence of a solution to (1.10) to show that there is a  $v \in D(A)$  so that  $v = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t)$  and  $(A + I)v - p = 0$ . Thus  $R(I + A) = X$  and we can refer to a result of Oharu [13] to guarantee that  $R(I + \lambda A) = X$  for all  $\lambda \geq 0$ .

Utilizing the accretiveness of  $A$  and standard techniques we see that for a.e.  $t, h \in [0, \infty)$  and  $f \in F(u(t+h) - u(t))$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (d/dt) \|u(t+h) - u(t)\|^2 &= -2 \operatorname{Re} \langle (A+I)u(t+h) - (A+I)u(t), f \rangle \\ &\leq -2 \|u(t+h) - u(t)\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and hence that

$$(2.11) \quad (d/dt) \{e^{2t} \|u(t+h) - u(t)\|^2\} \leq 0.$$

We integrate (2.11) on  $(0, t)$  to obtain the inequality

$$\|u(t+h) - u(t)\| \leq e^{-t} \|u(h) - u(0)\|.$$

Since  $u$  is a strong solution to the Cauchy problem we can conclude that there is a  $M > 0$  so that

$$(2.12) \quad \|u'(t)\| \leq e^{-t} M \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, \infty).$$

Since  $\|u(t+h) - u(t)\| \leq \int_t^{t+h} \|u'(s)\| ds$  we use (2.12) to conclude that there is a  $v = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t)$ . Let  $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be an increasing sequence of numbers at which equation (1.10) is satisfied such that  $\lim t_i = \infty$ . By virtue of (2.12) we have  $\lim \|(A+I)u(t_i) - p\| = 0$  and thus we can invoke the closedness of  $A$  to establish  $(A+I)v = p$ .

We are now in a position to state and prove our principal result.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $X$  be a reflexive Banach space and let  $A$  and  $B$  be nonlinear, weakly closed  $m$ -accretive operators such that  $D(A) \subseteq D(B)$ . If  $B$  is a perturbation of  $A$  of type  $K$  then  $A+B$  is  $m$ -accretive.*

**PROOF.** Theorem 2 is obtained by defining  $B_p x = (B+I)x - p$ . Clearly if  $B$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorems 1 and 2 then so does  $B_p$ . Thus Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 1 by immediate application of Lemma 1.2.

If  $A$  is linear we have the following corollary:

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $A$  be a closed linear  $m$ -accretive operator defined on a reflexive Banach space  $X$ . If  $B$  is a nonlinear, weakly closed,  $m$ -accretive perturbation of  $A$  of type  $K$ , then  $A+B$  is  $m$ -accretive.*

**PROOF.** We need only observe that a strongly closed linear operator is weakly closed.

If we further require that the operator  $B$  be everywhere defined and weakly continuous, i.e., that  $B$  map weakly convergent sequences to weakly convergent sequences, we can eliminate the requirement that  $B$  be bounded relative to  $A$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $A$  be a closed, linear  $m$ -accretive operator on a reflexive Banach space. If  $B$  is a nonlinear, weakly continuous accretive operator then  $A+B$  is  $m$ -accretive.*

PROOF. In [4] it has been shown that a weakly continuous accretive operator on a reflexive Banach space is  $m$ -accretive. Following the proof of Theorem 1 we guarantee the existence of unique solutions to the approximate equations  $u'_n(t) + (A + B_n)u_n(t) = 0$ ;  $u_n(0) = x$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ .

As before we obtain the existence of  $M > 0$  so that  $\|u_n(t) - u_n(\tau)\| \leq |t - \tau|M$  and  $\|(A + B_n)u_n(t)\| \leq M$ . We now observe that weakly continuous operators in reflexive spaces map bounded subsets to bounded subsets. Since  $\|(I + n^{-1}B)^{-1}u_n(t) - u_n(t)\| \leq n^{-1}\|Bu_n(t)\|$  and  $\|B_n u_n(t)\| \leq \|Bu_n(t)\|$  we see that  $\|B_n u_n(t)\|$  and  $\|Au_n(t)\|$  are bounded independently of  $n$ . We are now able to apply the remainder of the previous argument.

## REFERENCES

1. V. Barbu, *Continuous perturbations of nonlinear  $m$ -accretive operators in Banach spaces*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. **6** (1972), 270-278.
2. H. Brezis and A. Pazy, *Accretive sets and differential equations in Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. **8** (1970), 367-383. MR **43** #1000.
3. M. Crandall and T. Liggett, *Generation of semigroups of nonlinear transformations on general Banach spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **93** (1971), 265-298. MR **44** #4563.
4. W. Fitzgibbon, *Weakly continuous accretive operators*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1973), 473-474.
5. ———, *Weakly continuous accretive operators in reflexive Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **41** (1973), 229-236.
6. J. Goldstein, *A perturbation theorem for evolution equations and applications*, Illinois J. Math. (to appear).
7. E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *Functional analysis and semi-groups*, rev. ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 31, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1957. MR **19**, 664.
8. T. Kato, *Accretive operators and nonlinear evolution equations in Banach spaces*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 18, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1970, pp. 138-161. MR **42** #6663.
9. ———, *Nonlinear semigroups and evolution equations*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **19** (1967), 508-520. MR **37** #1820.
10. ———, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 132, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966. MR **34** #3324.
11. Y. Konishi, *A remark on perturbation of  $m$ -accretive operators in Banach spaces*, Proc. Japan Acad. **45** (1969), 850-853.
12. J. Mermin, *Accretive operators and nonlinear semigroups*, Thesis, University of California, Berkeley, Calif., 1968.
13. S. Ôharu, *Note on the representation of semigroups of nonlinear operators*, Proc. Japan Acad. **42** (1966), 1149-1154. MR **36** #3167.
14. G. F. Webb, *Continuous nonlinear perturbations of nonlinear operators in Banach spaces*, J. Functional Analysis **10** (1972), 191-203.
15. ———, *Nonlinear perturbations of linear accretive operators in Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. **12** (1972), 237-248.
16. C. Yen, *On the sum of two nonlinear  $m$ -dissipative sets* (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON, HOUSTON, TEXAS 77004