

## TWO UNRELATED RESULTS INVOLVING BAIRE SPACES

H. E. WHITE, JR.

**ABSTRACT.** Two results are obtained in this paper. The first is a generalization of J. C. Oxtoby's category analogue of the Kolmogoroff zero-one law. The second result: every dense  $G_\delta$  subset of a quasi-regular  $\alpha$ -favorable space is  $\alpha$ -favorable.

**1. An extension of Oxtoby's category zero-one law.** Suppose  $X$  is the product of the family  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$  of sets. A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is called a tail set [2] if, whenever  $x = (x_i) \in A$ ,  $y = (y_i) \in X$ , and there is a finite set  $F$  such that  $x_i = y_i$  whenever  $i \in I \sim F$ , then  $y \in A$ .

In [2], J. C. Oxtoby proved the following category analogue of Kolmogoroff's zero-one law in probability.

**1.1 THEOREM.** *Suppose each  $X_i$  is a topological space with a countable pseudo-base [2].*

**1.1.1.** *If  $A$  is a tail set which has the property of Baire in  $X$ , then either  $A$  or  $X \sim A$  is of the first category in  $X$ .*

The following definition is convenient.

**DEFINITION.** A topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is said to have property (P) if, whenever  $Y$  is a Baire space for which there is a nonempty  $U$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $U \times Y$  is a Baire space, then  $V \times Y$  is a Baire space for every  $V$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  for which  $V$  is a Baire space.

In this section, we shall, utilizing a slight modification of Oxtoby's proof of 1.1, prove the following statement.

**1.2 THEOREM.** *If each  $X_i$  has property (P), then 1.1.1 holds.*

The following statement indicates that many spaces have property (P).

**1.3 PROPOSITION.** *If  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  satisfies any of the following conditions, then  $X$  has property (P).*

(1) *If  $U \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $U$  is a Baire space, then  $U \times Y$  is a Baire space whenever  $Y$  is a Baire space.*

---

Received by the editors June 13, 1973 and, in revised form, August 9, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 54E99, 54D99.

Key words and phrases. Tail set, zero-one law, weakly  $\alpha$ -favorable, property of Baire,  $\alpha$ -favorable, pseudo-complete.

© American Mathematical Society 1974

- (1a)  $\mathcal{T}$  has a locally countable pseudo-base [2].  
 (1b)  $X$  is weakly  $\alpha$ -favorable [4].  
 (1c)  $X$  is a Borel set in a compact, Hausdorff space  $T$ .  
 (2) If  $U, V$  are nonempty open subsets of  $X$ , then there are nonempty  $U', V'$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $U' \subset U, V' \subset V$ , and  $U'$  is homeomorphic with  $V'$ .  
 (2a)  $X$  is a homogeneous space.  
 (3)  $X$  is the product of a family of spaces, each of which has property (P).

PROOF. It is clear that any space which satisfies (1) has property (P). And, it follows from Theorem 2 of [2] (3(9) of [4]), that if  $X$  satisfies (1a) ((1b)), then  $X$  satisfies (1).

Suppose (1c) holds and that  $U \in \mathcal{T}$  such that  $U$  is a Baire space. Then  $U$  is a dense Borel subset of  $T' = \text{cl}_T U$ . Hence  $U$  is  $\alpha$ -favorable (see 2.4 (1)).

If (2) holds and  $Y$  is such that  $U \times Y$  is a Baire space for some nonempty  $U$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ , then every nonempty  $V$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  which is a Baire space contains a nonempty open set  $V'$  such that  $V' \times Y$  is a Baire space. Hence  $X$  has property (P). And, if  $X$  satisfies (2a), clearly  $X$  satisfies (2).

The proof of (3) is easy and is omitted.

PROOF OF 1.2. Suppose  $A$  is of the second category in  $X$ . By the Banach category theorem, there is a nonempty basic open set  $U$  such that  $U \cap A$  is a Baire space. Suppose that  $V$  is an open subset of  $X$  which is of the second category in  $X$ . By the Banach category theorem, there is a nonempty basic open set  $W$  contained in  $V$  such that  $W$  is a Baire space. There are a finite subset  $F$  of  $I$ , open sets  $U^*, W^*$  of  $\prod_{i \in F} X_i$ , and a subset  $A^*$  of  $\prod_{i \in I \sim F} X_i$  such that  $U = U^* \times \prod_{i \in I \sim F} X_i$ ,  $W = W^* \times \prod_{i \in I \sim F} X_i$ , and  $A = \prod_{i \in F} X_i \times A^*$ . Then  $U \cap A = U^* \times A^*$  and  $W \cap A = W^* \times A^*$ . Since  $U \cap A$  and  $W$  are Baire spaces,  $U^*, W^*$ , and  $A^*$  are Baire spaces. Since  $\prod_{i \in F} X_i$  has property (P),  $W \cap A$  is a Baire space. Since  $A$  is a dense subset of  $X$  with the property of Baire, this implies that  $X \sim A$  is of the first category in  $X$ .

1.4 PROPOSITION. Suppose that, for each  $i$  in  $I$ , the set  $\{j \in I: X_j \text{ is homeomorphic with } X_i\}$  is infinite. Then 1.1.1 holds.

The proof of 1.2 establishes 1.4, if we choose  $U$  and  $W$  so that  $U^*$  is homeomorphic with  $W^*$ .

Combining 1.2, 3(4) and 3(9) of [4], and Theorem 3 of [2], we have the following generalization of Theorem 4 of [2].

1.5 THEOREM. Suppose each  $X_i$  is either a Baire space with a countable pseudo-base or is weakly  $\alpha$ -favorable. Then  $X$  is a Baire space and 1.1.1 holds.

REMARKS. (1) Proposition 1.4, when  $I$  is countable, follows from the theorem in [3].

(2) If, in 1.2, the  $X_i$  are arbitrary topological spaces, then 1.1.1, with the phrase “has the property of Baire in  $X$ ” replaced by “is a Borel set in  $X$ ”, holds. For then  $A^*$  is a dense Borel subset of  $\prod_{i \in I \sim F} X_i$  such that  $A^*$  is a Baire space. Hence  $(\prod_{i \in I \sim F} X_i) \sim A^*$  is of the first category in  $\prod_{i \in I \sim F} X_i$ .

2. A topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is called  $\alpha$ -favorable [1] if there is a function  $\varphi: \mathcal{T}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^*$ , where  $\mathcal{T}^* = \{U \in \mathcal{T} : U \neq \emptyset\}$ , such that  $\varphi(U) \subset U$  for all  $U$  in  $\mathcal{T}^*$  and, if  $\gamma: N \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^*$  (here  $N$  denotes the set of natural numbers) is such that  $\gamma(n+1) \subset \varphi(\gamma(n))$  for all  $n$  in  $N$ , then  $\bigcap \{\gamma(n) : n \in N\} \neq \emptyset$ .

In [4], a related class of spaces, the class  $\mathcal{C}_w$  of weakly  $\alpha$ -favorable spaces, is considered. It is shown that  $\mathcal{C}_w$  has a number of reasonable properties (3(1) through 3(10)). It would be of interest to know which of these properties the class  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\alpha$ -favorable spaces has (it is easily verified that  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies (1), (2), (4), (5), (7), (9), and (10)). In this section we prove that  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies (6) and half of the statement obtained when the terms “ $\alpha$ -favorable” and “weakly  $\alpha$ -favorable” are interchanged in (3).

2.1 PROPOSITION. (a) *If  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is a dense  $G_\delta$  subset of a quasi-regular,  $\alpha$ -favorable space  $(Y, \mathcal{U})$ , then  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -favorable.*

(b) *If  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is pseudo-complete [2], then  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -favorable.*

PROOF. If  $X$  satisfies the hypothesis of either (a) or (b), then  $X$  satisfies the following condition.

2.2. There is a sequence  $(\varphi_n)_{n \in N}$  such that, for each  $n$  in  $N$ ,  $\varphi_n: \mathcal{T}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^*$ ,  $\varphi_n(U) \subset U$  for all  $U$  in  $\mathcal{T}^*$ , and if  $\gamma: N \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^*$  is such that  $\gamma(n+1) \subset \varphi_n(\gamma(n))$  for all  $n$  in  $N$ , then  $\bigcap \{\gamma(n) : n \in N\} \neq \emptyset$ .

This is easily verified if  $X$  is pseudo-complete. If  $X$  satisfies the hypothesis of (a), then a simpler version of the argument used in proving 3(6) of [4] establishes 2.2. In detail: Let  $\mathcal{R}$  denote the family of all regular elements of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $Y$  is quasi-regular, we may assume that  $\varphi(U) \in \mathcal{R}$  for all  $U$  in  $\mathcal{U}^*$ , where  $\varphi$  is the function guaranteed by the definition of  $\alpha$ -favorable. Suppose  $X = \bigcap \{G_n : n \in N\}$ , where  $G_n \in \mathcal{U}$  for all  $n$  in  $N$ . Define  $\gamma: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  so that  $X \cap \gamma(U) = U$  for all  $U$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ . Define  $\varphi_n$  by letting  $\varphi_n(U) = X \cap \varphi(\gamma(U) \cap G_n)$  for all  $U$  in  $\mathcal{T}^*$ . Then  $(\varphi_n)_{n \in N}$  satisfies 2.2.

And, if 2.2 holds, then we may assume that  $\varphi_{n+1}(U) \subset \varphi_n(U)$  for all  $n$  in  $N$  and all  $U \in \mathcal{T}^*$ ; if necessary, replace  $(\varphi_n)_{n \in N}$  by  $(\psi_n)_{n \in N}$ , where  $\psi_1 = \varphi_1$  and  $\psi_{n+1} = \varphi_{n+1} \circ \psi_n$  for all  $n$  in  $N$ .

We shall show that any space which satisfies 2.2 is  $\alpha$ -favorable. It is convenient to introduce the following condition.

2.3. There is a sequence  $(\mathcal{P}_n)_{n \in N}$  of pseudo-bases for  $X$  such that, if  $P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$  for all  $n$  in  $N$ , then  $\text{int}[\bigcap \{P_n : n \in N\}] = \emptyset$ .

Case 1. Suppose  $X$  satisfies 2.3. We may assume that (1)  $X \in \mathcal{P}_1$ , and (2) for each  $n$  in  $N$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$  refines  $\mathcal{P}_n$ . For  $n$  in  $N$ , let

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \{U \in \mathcal{T}^* : \text{There is } P_n \text{ in } \mathcal{P}_n \text{ such that } U \subset P_n\}$$

and let  $\mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{B}_n \sim \mathcal{B}_{n+1}$ . Since (2) holds,  $\mathcal{A}_i \cap \mathcal{A}_j = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$ . Since (1) and 2.3 hold,  $\mathcal{T}^* = \bigcup \{\mathcal{A}_n : n \in N\}$ . For  $U$  in  $\mathcal{A}_n$ , let  $\varphi(U)$  be an element of  $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$  such that  $\varphi(U) \subset \varphi_n(U)$ . Suppose, now, that  $\gamma : N \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^*$  is such that  $\gamma(n+1) \subset \varphi(\gamma(n))$  for all  $n$  in  $N$ . Then there is  $j : N \rightarrow N$  such that  $\gamma(n) \in \mathcal{A}_{j(n)}$ . And, since  $\gamma(n+1) \subset \varphi(\gamma(n)) \in \mathcal{B}_{j(n)+1}$ ,  $j(n) < j(n+1)$ . Therefore  $\gamma(n+1) \subset \varphi_n(\gamma(n))$  for all  $n$  in  $N$  and  $\bigcap \{\gamma(n) : n \in N\} \neq \emptyset$ .

Case 2. Suppose that no  $U$  in  $\mathcal{T}^*$  satisfies 2.3. Suppose  $U \in \mathcal{T}^*$  and define, by induction, a sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in N}$  such that, for each  $n$  in  $N$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_n \subset \{V \in \mathcal{T}^* : V \subset U\}$ ,  $\varphi_n[\mathcal{U}_n]$  is a disjoint family such that  $\bigcup \varphi_n[\mathcal{U}_n]$  is dense in  $U$ , and  $\mathcal{U}_{n+1}$  refines  $\varphi_n[\mathcal{U}_n]$ . Since  $U$  does not satisfy 2.3, there is a sequence  $(U_n)_{n \in N}$  such that, for each  $n$  in  $N$ ,  $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ ,  $U_{n+1} \subset \varphi_n(U_n)$ , and  $\text{int}[\bigcap \{U_n : n \in N\}] \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\varphi(U) = \text{int}[\bigcap \{U_n : n \in N\}]$ . Suppose  $\gamma : N \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^*$  is such that  $\gamma(n+1) \subset \varphi(\gamma(n))$  for all  $n$  in  $N$ . For each  $n$ , there is  $V_n$  in  $\mathcal{T}^*$  such that  $\varphi(\gamma(n)) \subset \varphi_n(V_n) \subset V_n \subset \gamma(n)$ . So  $V_{n+1} \subset \gamma(n+1) \subset \varphi(\gamma(n)) \subset \varphi_n(V_n)$ , and  $\bigcap \{\gamma(n) : n \in N\} = \bigcap \{V_n : n \in N\} \neq \emptyset$ .

Case 3. Suppose neither Case 1 nor Case 2 holds. Then there are  $U, V$  in  $\mathcal{T}^*$  such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ ,  $U \cup V$  is dense in  $X$ ,  $U$  satisfies 2.3 and no non-empty open subset of  $V$  satisfies 2.3. Therefore  $U$  and  $V$ , and hence  $X$ , are  $\alpha$ -favorable.

2.4 REMARKS. (1) It follows from 2.1 (a) that, if  $X$  is a Baire space which is a dense subset of the quasi-regular,  $\alpha$ -favorable space  $Y$ , and  $X$  has the property of Baire in  $Y$ , then  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -favorable.

(2) A  $G_\delta$  subset  $X$  of an  $\alpha$ -favorable space  $Y$  need not be  $\alpha$ -favorable even if  $Y$  is metrizable,  $X$  is a Baire space, and  $X$  is a closed subset of  $Y$ . (Let  $X$  be a subspace of the real line  $R$  which is a Baire space that is not  $\alpha$ -favorable, and let  $Y = R^2 \sim \{(x, y) \in R^2 : x \notin X, y = 0\}$ .)

#### REFERENCES

1. G. Choquet, *Lectures on analysis*. Vol. I: *Integration and topological vector spaces*, Benjamin, New York, 1969. MR 40 #3252.
2. J. C. Oxtoby, *Cartesian products of Baire spaces*, *Fund. Math.* **49** (1960/61), 157–166. MR 25 #4055; 26, 1453.
3. M. Bhaskara Rao and K. P. S. Bhaskara Rao, *A category analogue of Hewitt-Savage zero-one law*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **44** (1974), 497–499.
4. H. E. White, Jr., *Topological spaces that are  $\alpha$ -favorable for a player with perfect information*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (to appear).

251 N. BLACKBURN ROAD, RT. #5, ATHENS, OHIO 45701