

TWO UNRELATED RESULTS INVOLVING BAIRE SPACES

H. E. WHITE, JR.

ABSTRACT. Two results are obtained in this paper. The first is a generalization of J. C. Oxtoby's category analogue of the Kolmogoroff zero-one law. The second result: every dense G_δ subset of a quasi-regular α -favorable space is α -favorable.

1. An extension of Oxtoby's category zero-one law. Suppose X is the product of the family $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ of sets. A subset A of X is called a tail set [2] if, whenever $x = (x_i) \in A$, $y = (y_i) \in X$, and there is a finite set F such that $x_i = y_i$ whenever $i \in I \sim F$, then $y \in A$.

In [2], J. C. Oxtoby proved the following category analogue of Kolmogoroff's zero-one law in probability.

1.1 THEOREM. *Suppose each X_i is a topological space with a countable pseudo-base [2].*

1.1.1. *If A is a tail set which has the property of Baire in X , then either A or $X \sim A$ is of the first category in X .*

The following definition is convenient.

DEFINITION. A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to have property (P) if, whenever Y is a Baire space for which there is a nonempty U in \mathcal{T} such that $U \times Y$ is a Baire space, then $V \times Y$ is a Baire space for every V in \mathcal{T} for which V is a Baire space.

In this section, we shall, utilizing a slight modification of Oxtoby's proof of 1.1, prove the following statement.

1.2 THEOREM. *If each X_i has property (P), then 1.1.1 holds.*

The following statement indicates that many spaces have property (P).

1.3 PROPOSITION. *If (X, \mathcal{T}) satisfies any of the following conditions, then X has property (P).*

(1) *If $U \in \mathcal{T}$ and U is a Baire space, then $U \times Y$ is a Baire space whenever Y is a Baire space.*

Received by the editors June 13, 1973 and, in revised form, August 9, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 54E99, 54D99.

Key words and phrases. Tail set, zero-one law, weakly α -favorable, property of Baire, α -favorable, pseudo-complete.

© American Mathematical Society 1974

- (1a) \mathcal{T} has a locally countable pseudo-base [2].
 (1b) X is weakly α -favorable [4].
 (1c) X is a Borel set in a compact, Hausdorff space T .
 (2) If U, V are nonempty open subsets of X , then there are nonempty U', V' in \mathcal{T} such that $U' \subset U, V' \subset V$, and U' is homeomorphic with V' .
 (2a) X is a homogeneous space.
 (3) X is the product of a family of spaces, each of which has property (P).

PROOF. It is clear that any space which satisfies (1) has property (P). And, it follows from Theorem 2 of [2] (3(9) of [4]), that if X satisfies (1a) ((1b)), then X satisfies (1).

Suppose (1c) holds and that $U \in \mathcal{T}$ such that U is a Baire space. Then U is a dense Borel subset of $T' = \text{cl}_T U$. Hence U is α -favorable (see 2.4 (1)).

If (2) holds and Y is such that $U \times Y$ is a Baire space for some nonempty U in \mathcal{T} , then every nonempty V in \mathcal{T} which is a Baire space contains a nonempty open set V' such that $V' \times Y$ is a Baire space. Hence X has property (P). And, if X satisfies (2a), clearly X satisfies (2).

The proof of (3) is easy and is omitted.

PROOF OF 1.2. Suppose A is of the second category in X . By the Banach category theorem, there is a nonempty basic open set U such that $U \cap A$ is a Baire space. Suppose that V is an open subset of X which is of the second category in X . By the Banach category theorem, there is a nonempty basic open set W contained in V such that W is a Baire space. There are a finite subset F of I , open sets U^*, W^* of $\prod_{i \in F} X_i$, and a subset A^* of $\prod_{i \in I \sim F} X_i$ such that $U = U^* \times \prod_{i \in I \sim F} X_i$, $W = W^* \times \prod_{i \in I \sim F} X_i$, and $A = \prod_{i \in F} X_i \times A^*$. Then $U \cap A = U^* \times A^*$ and $W \cap A = W^* \times A^*$. Since $U \cap A$ and W are Baire spaces, U^*, W^* , and A^* are Baire spaces. Since $\prod_{i \in F} X_i$ has property (P), $W \cap A$ is a Baire space. Since A is a dense subset of X with the property of Baire, this implies that $X \sim A$ is of the first category in X .

1.4 PROPOSITION. Suppose that, for each i in I , the set $\{j \in I: X_j \text{ is homeomorphic with } X_i\}$ is infinite. Then 1.1.1 holds.

The proof of 1.2 establishes 1.4, if we choose U and W so that U^* is homeomorphic with W^* .

Combining 1.2, 3(4) and 3(9) of [4], and Theorem 3 of [2], we have the following generalization of Theorem 4 of [2].

1.5 THEOREM. Suppose each X_i is either a Baire space with a countable pseudo-base or is weakly α -favorable. Then X is a Baire space and 1.1.1 holds.

REMARKS. (1) Proposition 1.4, when I is countable, follows from the theorem in [3].

(2) If, in 1.2, the X_i are arbitrary topological spaces, then 1.1.1, with the phrase “has the property of Baire in X ” replaced by “is a Borel set in X ”, holds. For then A^* is a dense Borel subset of $\prod_{i \in I \sim F} X_i$ such that A^* is a Baire space. Hence $(\prod_{i \in I \sim F} X_i) \sim A^*$ is of the first category in $\prod_{i \in I \sim F} X_i$.

2. A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is called α -favorable [1] if there is a function $\varphi: \mathcal{T}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^*$, where $\mathcal{T}^* = \{U \in \mathcal{T} : U \neq \emptyset\}$, such that $\varphi(U) \subset U$ for all U in \mathcal{T}^* and, if $\gamma: N \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^*$ (here N denotes the set of natural numbers) is such that $\gamma(n+1) \subset \varphi(\gamma(n))$ for all n in N , then $\bigcap \{\gamma(n) : n \in N\} \neq \emptyset$.

In [4], a related class of spaces, the class \mathcal{C}_w of weakly α -favorable spaces, is considered. It is shown that \mathcal{C}_w has a number of reasonable properties (3(1) through 3(10)). It would be of interest to know which of these properties the class \mathcal{C} of α -favorable spaces has (it is easily verified that \mathcal{C} satisfies (1), (2), (4), (5), (7), (9), and (10)). In this section we prove that \mathcal{C} satisfies (6) and half of the statement obtained when the terms “ α -favorable” and “weakly α -favorable” are interchanged in (3).

2.1 PROPOSITION. (a) *If (X, \mathcal{T}) is a dense G_δ subset of a quasi-regular, α -favorable space (Y, \mathcal{U}) , then X is α -favorable.*

(b) *If (X, \mathcal{T}) is pseudo-complete [2], then X is α -favorable.*

PROOF. If X satisfies the hypothesis of either (a) or (b), then X satisfies the following condition.

2.2. There is a sequence $(\varphi_n)_{n \in N}$ such that, for each n in N , $\varphi_n: \mathcal{T}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^*$, $\varphi_n(U) \subset U$ for all U in \mathcal{T}^* , and if $\gamma: N \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^*$ is such that $\gamma(n+1) \subset \varphi_n(\gamma(n))$ for all n in N , then $\bigcap \{\gamma(n) : n \in N\} \neq \emptyset$.

This is easily verified if X is pseudo-complete. If X satisfies the hypothesis of (a), then a simpler version of the argument used in proving 3(6) of [4] establishes 2.2. In detail: Let \mathcal{R} denote the family of all regular elements of \mathcal{U} . Since Y is quasi-regular, we may assume that $\varphi(U) \in \mathcal{R}$ for all U in \mathcal{U}^* , where φ is the function guaranteed by the definition of α -favorable. Suppose $X = \bigcap \{G_n : n \in N\}$, where $G_n \in \mathcal{U}$ for all n in N . Define $\gamma: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ so that $X \cap \gamma(U) = U$ for all U in \mathcal{T} . Define φ_n by letting $\varphi_n(U) = X \cap \varphi(\gamma(U) \cap G_n)$ for all U in \mathcal{T}^* . Then $(\varphi_n)_{n \in N}$ satisfies 2.2.

And, if 2.2 holds, then we may assume that $\varphi_{n+1}(U) \subset \varphi_n(U)$ for all n in N and all $U \in \mathcal{T}^*$; if necessary, replace $(\varphi_n)_{n \in N}$ by $(\psi_n)_{n \in N}$, where $\psi_1 = \varphi_1$ and $\psi_{n+1} = \varphi_{n+1} \circ \psi_n$ for all n in N .

We shall show that any space which satisfies 2.2 is α -favorable. It is convenient to introduce the following condition.

2.3. There is a sequence $(\mathcal{P}_n)_{n \in N}$ of pseudo-bases for X such that, if $P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$ for all n in N , then $\text{int}[\bigcap \{P_n : n \in N\}] = \emptyset$.

Case 1. Suppose X satisfies 2.3. We may assume that (1) $X \in \mathcal{P}_1$, and (2) for each n in N , \mathcal{P}_{n+1} refines \mathcal{P}_n . For n in N , let

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \{U \in \mathcal{T}^* : \text{There is } P_n \text{ in } \mathcal{P}_n \text{ such that } U \subset P_n\}$$

and let $\mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{B}_n \sim \mathcal{B}_{n+1}$. Since (2) holds, $\mathcal{A}_i \cap \mathcal{A}_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$. Since (1) and 2.3 hold, $\mathcal{T}^* = \bigcup \{\mathcal{A}_n : n \in N\}$. For U in \mathcal{A}_n , let $\varphi(U)$ be an element of \mathcal{P}_{n+1} such that $\varphi(U) \subset \varphi_n(U)$. Suppose, now, that $\gamma : N \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^*$ is such that $\gamma(n+1) \subset \varphi(\gamma(n))$ for all n in N . Then there is $j : N \rightarrow N$ such that $\gamma(n) \in \mathcal{A}_{j(n)}$. And, since $\gamma(n+1) \subset \varphi(\gamma(n)) \in \mathcal{B}_{j(n)+1}$, $j(n) < j(n+1)$. Therefore $\gamma(n+1) \subset \varphi_n(\gamma(n))$ for all n in N and $\bigcap \{\gamma(n) : n \in N\} \neq \emptyset$.

Case 2. Suppose that no U in \mathcal{T}^* satisfies 2.3. Suppose $U \in \mathcal{T}^*$ and define, by induction, a sequence $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in N}$ such that, for each n in N , $\mathcal{U}_n \subset \{V \in \mathcal{T}^* : V \subset U\}$, $\varphi_n[\mathcal{U}_n]$ is a disjoint family such that $\bigcup \varphi_n[\mathcal{U}_n]$ is dense in U , and \mathcal{U}_{n+1} refines $\varphi_n[\mathcal{U}_n]$. Since U does not satisfy 2.3, there is a sequence $(U_n)_{n \in N}$ such that, for each n in N , $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$, $U_{n+1} \subset \varphi_n(U_n)$, and $\text{int}[\bigcap \{U_n : n \in N\}] \neq \emptyset$. Let $\varphi(U) = \text{int}[\bigcap \{U_n : n \in N\}]$. Suppose $\gamma : N \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^*$ is such that $\gamma(n+1) \subset \varphi(\gamma(n))$ for all n in N . For each n , there is V_n in \mathcal{T}^* such that $\varphi(\gamma(n)) \subset \varphi_n(V_n) \subset V_n \subset \gamma(n)$. So $V_{n+1} \subset \gamma(n+1) \subset \varphi(\gamma(n)) \subset \varphi_n(V_n)$, and $\bigcap \{\gamma(n) : n \in N\} = \bigcap \{V_n : n \in N\} \neq \emptyset$.

Case 3. Suppose neither Case 1 nor Case 2 holds. Then there are U, V in \mathcal{T}^* such that $U \cap V = \emptyset$, $U \cup V$ is dense in X , U satisfies 2.3 and no non-empty open subset of V satisfies 2.3. Therefore U and V , and hence X , are α -favorable.

2.4 REMARKS. (1) It follows from 2.1 (a) that, if X is a Baire space which is a dense subset of the quasi-regular, α -favorable space Y , and X has the property of Baire in Y , then X is α -favorable.

(2) A G_δ subset X of an α -favorable space Y need not be α -favorable even if Y is metrizable, X is a Baire space, and X is a closed subset of Y . (Let X be a subspace of the real line R which is a Baire space that is not α -favorable, and let $Y = R^2 \sim \{(x, y) \in R^2 : x \notin X, y = 0\}$.)

REFERENCES

1. G. Choquet, *Lectures on analysis*. Vol. I: *Integration and topological vector spaces*, Benjamin, New York, 1969. MR 40 #3252.
2. J. C. Oxtoby, *Cartesian products of Baire spaces*, *Fund. Math.* **49** (1960/61), 157–166. MR 25 #4055; 26, 1453.
3. M. Bhaskara Rao and K. P. S. Bhaskara Rao, *A category analogue of Hewitt-Savage zero-one law*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **44** (1974), 497–499.
4. H. E. White, Jr., *Topological spaces that are α -favorable for a player with perfect information*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (to appear).