

ON THE SERIAL COMPLETION OF DELETED SCHAUDER BASES BY DOMAIN ADJUSTMENT

BEN-AMI BRAUN

ABSTRACT. Given a Schauder basis in a Banach function space of a specified type, one can delete any finite number of elements of the basis and still preserve serial totality by making an arbitrarily small adjustment of the domain.

Let $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a system of real valued functions finite almost everywhere and measurable on a set $G \subset [0, 1]$, $\text{mes. } G > 0$. Talalyan [2], [3] proved the following to be equivalent:

(a) $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is total in measure on G , that is, for every measurable function f defined on G , there exists a sequence of finite linear combinations of functions of the system $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ which converges in measure to f on G .

(b) For each positive number ε , there is a measurable set S_ε whose measure exceeds $1 - \varepsilon$, such that $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is total in $L^2(S_\varepsilon)$.

We shall say that $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is serially total in some function space, if for any given function f in the space we can find a series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \phi_k$ which converges to f in the metric of the space. The result of this paper can be viewed as a first step in changing total into serially total.

Let $L(E)$ be a Banach space of measurable functions on a measurable set $E \subset [a, b]$ with natural linear operations. As usual, identify functions equal almost everywhere. Postulate the following on $L(E)$:

- (1) $L(E)$ is contained in $L^1(E)$;
- (2) $L(E)$ contains the function 1;
- (3) if $f \in L(E)$, and if for a measurable function g , $0 \leq g(x) \leq f(x)$ almost everywhere, then $g \in L(E)$;
- (4) if $f \in L(E)$ and χ_A is the characteristic function of the measurable set A , then $\|f\chi_A\| \equiv \|f\|_{\cdot, A}$ goes to zero as $|A|$ goes to zero, where $|A|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of A .

Example of spaces that satisfy (1)–(4) are the L^p spaces $1 \leq p < \infty$ and the separable Orlicz spaces.

Received by the editors March 30, 1972.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 46B15, 46E30; Secondary 41A30.

Key words and phrases. Schauder basis, completion, series representation.

© American Mathematical Society 1974

THEOREM. Let $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a normalized basis for $L(E)$, then given any natural number N_0 and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a set $D = D(N_0, \varepsilon)$, contained in E and satisfying $|D| > |E| - \varepsilon$, such that $\{\phi_k\}_{k=N_0}^\infty$ is serially total in $L(D)$.

We should note that by [1] $\{\phi_k\}_{k=N_0}^\infty$ is serially total in measure on E . We utilize a lemma from [1] as the main tool in the proof of the theorem.

LEMMA. Let $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a normalized Schauder basis for $L(E)$, g a measurable function finite almost everywhere on E . Then given $\varepsilon > 0$ and a natural number N , there exists a set e_0 and real numbers b_{N+1}, \dots, b_m such that

$$e_0 \subset E, \text{ and } |e_0| < \varepsilon;$$

$$|b_k| < \varepsilon \text{ for } N + 1 \leq k \leq m;$$

$$\left\| \sum_{k=N+1}^m b_k \phi_k - g \right\|_{(E \setminus e_0)} < \varepsilon;$$

$$\left\| \sum_{k=N+1}^s b_k \phi_k \right\|_e \leq \varepsilon + \|g\|_e \text{ for all } N + 1 \leq s \leq m,$$

and every measurable subset e of $E \setminus e_0$.

PROOF OF THEOREM. The required set D will be a certain infinite intersection. The individual factors of this intersection are inductively determined.

Choose a sequence of positive terms ε_n with the property

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n = \varepsilon.$$

By virtue of the lemma we may choose a set D_1 whose complement E_1 has measure less than ε_1 , and a Φ -polynomial

$$P_{11} = \sum_{j=\nu(1,0)+1}^{\nu(1,1)} b_j \phi_j \text{ where } \nu(1,0) = N_0$$

satisfying the following conditions:

$$(5) \quad |b_j| < \varepsilon_1, \text{ for } \nu(1,0) < j \leq \nu(1,1),$$

$$(6) \quad \|\phi_1 - P_{11}\|_{D_1} < \varepsilon_1,$$

$$(7) \quad \sup_{s \leq \nu(1,1)} \left\| \sum_{j=\nu(1,0)+1}^s b_j \phi_j \right\|_e < \varepsilon_1 + \|\phi_1\|_e$$

for all measurable subsets e contained in D_1 .

Again applying the lemma twice in succession allows us to choose for

$i=1, 2$, sets D_{2i} with respective complements E_{2i} and Φ -polynomials

$$P_{2i} = \sum_{j=v(2,i-1)+1}^{v(2,i)} b_j \phi_j$$

with $v(1, 1) < v(2, 0) < v(2, 1) < v(2, 2)$ such that

- (8) $|E_{2i}| < \varepsilon_2/2$ for $i = 1, 2$;
- (9) $|b_j| < \varepsilon_2$ if $v(2, 0) < j \leq v(2, 2)$;
- (10) $\|(\phi_1 - P_{11}) - P_{21}\|_{D_{21}} < \varepsilon_2/2$;
- (11) $\|\phi_2 - P_{22}\|_{D_{22}} < \varepsilon_2/2$;
- (12) $\sup_{s \leq v(2,1)} \left\| \sum_{j=v(2,0)+1}^s b_j \phi_j \right\|_e < \varepsilon_2 + \|\phi_1 - P_{11}\|_e$

for all measurable subsets e of D_{21} ;

$$(13) \quad \sup_{s \leq v(2,2)} \left\| \sum_{j=v(2,1)+1}^s b_j \phi_j \right\|_e < \varepsilon_2 + \|\phi_2\|_e$$

for all measurable subsets e of D_{22} .

Let $D_2^* = \bigcap_{i=1}^2 D_{2i}$ and $D_2 = D_1 \cap D_2^*$, then $|D_2| > |E| - \sum_{i=1}^2 \varepsilon_1$. By virtue of (12), (13), (6) and the definition of the set D_2 we obtain

$$(14) \quad \sup_{s \leq v(2,k)} \left\| \sum_{j=v(2,k-1)+1}^s b_j \phi_j \right\|_e \leq \begin{cases} \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_1 & \text{if } k = 1; \\ \varepsilon_2 + 1 & \text{if } k = 2; \end{cases}$$

for all measurable subsets e of D_2 .

In the n th step we apply the lemma successively to the functions

$$(15) \quad \Psi_k = \phi_k - \sum_{j=k}^{n-1} P_{jk} \quad \text{with } k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

$$(16) \quad \Psi_n = \phi_n.$$

The lemma makes it possible to choose for $k=1, 2, \dots, n$, sets D_{nk} with respective complements E_{nk} and Φ -polynomials

$$(17) \quad P_{nk} = \sum_{j=v(n,k-1)+1}^{v(n,k)} b_j \phi_j$$

where $v(n-1, n-1) < v(n, 0) < v(n, 1) < \dots < v(n, n)$ such that the following holds:

- (18) $|E_{nk}| < \varepsilon_n/n$;
- (19) $|b_j| < \varepsilon_n$, for $v(n, 0) < j \leq v(n, n)$;
- (20) $\|\Psi_k - P_{nk}\|_{D_{nk}} < \varepsilon_n/n$;
- (21) $\sup_{s \leq v(n,k)} \left\| \sum_{j=v(n,k-1)+1}^s b_j \phi_j \right\|_e \leq \varepsilon_n + \|\Psi_k\|_e$

for all measurable subsets e of D_{nk} . Let $D_n^* = \bigcap_{k=1}^n D_{nk}$ and $D_n = D_{n-1} \cap D_n^*$, then $|D_n| > |E| - \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k$. In analogous fashion to (14) of the second step we obtain in the n th step

$$(22) \quad \sup_{s \leq \nu(n,k)} \left\| \sum_{j=\nu(n,k-1)+1}^s b_j \phi_j \right\|_e \leq \begin{cases} \varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_{n-1} & \text{if } k < n; \\ \varepsilon_n + 1 & \text{if } k = n; \end{cases}$$

for all measurable subsets e of D_n . Continuing by inductive construction it is easy to see that (18)–(22) holds for each natural number n . Define $D = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty D_n$, then $|D| \geq |E| - \sum_{k=1}^\infty \varepsilon_k \geq |E| - \varepsilon$.

Now we are ready to show that given any function f in $L(D)$ we can find a series from $\{\phi_j : \nu(m, 0) \leq j \leq \nu(m, m), m = 1, 2, \dots\}$ which will converge to f in the $L(D)$ norm. In fact, if $\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k \phi_k$ is the Schauder basis expansion of f then $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \sum_{k=1}^j a_k P_{jk}$ converges to f in the $L(D)$ norm.

Let $\delta > 0$ be given. Choose N_1 so that

$$(23) \quad \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \phi_k - f \right\|_D \leq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \phi_k - f \chi_D \right\| < \frac{\delta}{3} \quad \text{for all } n > N_1.$$

Setting $a = \sup_k |a_k|$, choose $N_2 > N_1$ so that $a \cdot \varepsilon_n < \delta/3$ for all $n > N_2$. By virtue of (20), (15) and the definition of D we obtain

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^j a_k P_{jk} - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \phi_k \right\|_D &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \left(\sum_{j=k}^n P_{jk} - \phi_k \right) \right\|_D \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \left\| \sum_{j=k}^n P_{jk} - \phi_k \right\|_D \\ &\leq n \cdot a \cdot \varepsilon_n / n < \delta/3. \end{aligned}$$

Last, choose $N_3 > N_2$ so that

$$(25) \quad |2 \cdot a_n| < \delta/3 \quad \text{whenever } n > N_3.$$

By virtue of (23) and (24) we obtain

$$(26) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^j a_k P_{jk} - f \right\|_D < \frac{2\delta}{3}, \quad \text{for all } n > N_3.$$

Obviously

$$(27) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^j a_k P_{jk} + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} a_k P_{n+1k} - f \right\|_D < \frac{2\delta}{3} \quad \text{for all } n > N_3.$$

If we add in only part of the second sum; that is,

$$\sum_{k=1}^m a_k P_{n+1k} \quad \text{with } m < n + 1$$

then it is easy to see from (20) that the basis elements $\phi_i, i=1, 2, \dots, m$, will be approximated better than before, by $\varepsilon_{n+1}/(n+1)$ instead of by ε_n/n . Hence via the calculations in (24), and by (26) it is immediate that

$$(28) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^j a_k P_{jk} + \sum_{k=1}^m a_k P_{n+1k} - f \right\|_D < \frac{2\delta}{3}.$$

Finally, if we add to the summations in (28) only part of the Φ -polynomial $a_{m+1} P_{n+1, m+1}$, let us say

$$\sum_{j=\nu(n+1, m)+1}^s a_{m+1} b_j \phi_j \quad \text{where } \nu(n+1, m) < s < \nu(n+1, m+1)$$

then (22) and (25) in addition to (28) give us

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^j a_k P_{jk} + \sum_{k=1}^m a_k P_{n+1k} + \sum_{j=\nu(n+1, m)+1}^s a_{m+1} b_j \phi_j - f \right\|_D < \delta.$$

Thus, we obtain the desired series convergence. Furthermore, the coefficients of ϕ_j go to zero, since the a_n are bounded by a and the b_j go to zero.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Ben-Ami Braun, *An extension of a result by Talalyan on the representation of measurable functions by Schauder bases*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **34** (1972), 440-446. MR **45** #4138.
2. A. A. Talaljan, *On the convergence almost everywhere of subsequences of partial sums of general orthogonal series*, Izv. Akad. Nauk Armyan SSR Fiz.-Mat. Nauk **10** (1957), no. 3, 17-34. (Russian) MR **19**, 742.
3. ———, *The representations of measurable functions by series*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **15** (1960), no. 5 (95), 77-141=Russian Math. Surveys **15** (1960), no. 5, 75-136. MR **23** #A2704.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA, TAMPA, FLORIDA 33620