

**THE APPROXIMATION OF ONE-ONE MEASURABLE
 TRANSFORMATIONS BY MEASURE PRESERVING
 HOMEOMORPHISMS**

H. E. WHITE, JR.

ABSTRACT. This paper contains two results related to the material in [2]. Suppose f is a one-one transformation of the open unit interval I^n (where $n \geq 2$) onto I^n . 1. If f is absolutely measurable and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there is an absolutely measurable homeomorphism φ_ε of I^n onto I^n such that $m(\{x: f(x) \neq \varphi_\varepsilon(x) \text{ or } f^{-1}(x) \neq \varphi_\varepsilon^{-1}(x)\}) < \varepsilon$, where m denotes n -dimensional Lebesgue measure. 2. Suppose μ is either (1) a nonatomic, finite Borel measure on I^n such that $\mu(G) > 0$ for every nonempty open subset G of I^n , or (2) the completion of a measure of type (1). If f is μ -measure preserving and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there is a μ -measure preserving homeomorphism φ_ε of I^n onto I^n such that $\mu(\{x: f(x) \neq \varphi_\varepsilon(x)\}) < \varepsilon$.

1. For any subset S of n -dimensional Euclidean space R^n , denote by $\mathcal{M}(S)$ the set of all measures μ such that μ is either (1) a nonatomic, finite, Borel measure on S such that $\mu(G) > 0$ for every nonempty open subset G of S or (2) the completion of a measure of type (1). If, for $i=1, 2$, S_i is a subset of R^n and $\mu_i \in \mathcal{M}(S_i)$, and f is a one-one transformation of S_1 onto S_2 , then we say that f carries μ_1 into μ_2 provided $f[D(\mu_1)] = D(\mu_2)$ and $\mu_2(f[A]) = \mu_1(A)$ for every A in $D(\mu_1)$, where $D(\mu_i)$ is the domain of μ_i . If $S_1 = S_2$ and $\mu_1 = \mu_2$, then we say that f is μ_1 -measure preserving.

In this note, we show how a minor modification of the proof of Theorem 5 of [2] yields the following result.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}(I^n)$, where $n \geq 2$ and I^n denotes the open unit interval in R^n , and f is a one-one transformation of I^n onto I^n which carries μ_1 into μ_2 . For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a homeomorphism φ_ε of I^n onto I^n which carries μ_1 into μ_2 such that*

$$\mu_1(\{x: f(x) \neq \varphi_\varepsilon(x)\}) = \mu_2(\{x: f^{-1}(x) \neq \varphi_\varepsilon^{-1}(x)\}) < \varepsilon.$$

Presented to the Society, July 31, 1972; received by the editors July 6, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 28A65.

Key words and phrases. Absolutely measurable transformation, measure preserving transformation, homeomorphism.

© American Mathematical Society 1974

REMARKS. The author has been informed recently by J. C. Oxtoby that he has, in his paper *Approximation by measure-preserving homeomorphisms*, generalized Theorem 1 (with $\mu_1 = \mu_2$). In doing so, he re-proved this statement. His work was done independently and was done after the work in this paper.

A one-one transformation f of I^n onto I^n is called absolutely measurable [2] if $f[A]$ and $f^{-1}[A]$ are Lebesgue measurable for every Lebesgue measurable subset A of I^n .

We then obtain the following result as a corollary to Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. *If f is an absolutely measurable, one-one transformation of I^n onto I^n (where $n \geq 2$) and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there is an absolutely measurable homeomorphism φ_ε of I^n onto I^n such that*

$$m(\{x: f(x) \neq \varphi_\varepsilon(x) \text{ or } f^{-1}(x) \neq \varphi_\varepsilon^{-1}(x)\}) < \varepsilon,$$

where m denotes n -dimensional Lebesgue measure.

2. In this section n will always denote a fixed integer ≥ 2 . By an $(n-1)$ -dimensional interval in R^n we mean a set of the form

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^n: x_k = c\} \cap \prod \{[a_j, b_j]: j = 1, \dots, n\},$$

where k is an integer such that $1 \leq k \leq n$, c is a real number, and, for $j=1, \dots, n$, a_j and b_j are real numbers such that $a_j < b_j$. For any subset A of R^n , we denote the interior of A , the closure of A , and the boundary of A by $\text{int } A$, $\text{cl } A$, and $\text{bdry } A$, respectively.

DEFINITION. A subset P of R^n is called a p -set if P is a combinatorial n -ball (see p. 18 of [1]) and $\text{bdry } P$ is the union of a finite number of $(n-1)$ -dimensional intervals.

REMARKS. (1) The p -sets used in the proof of Theorem 1 (and Theorem 5 of [2]) can be chosen to be very simple "snake-like" objects.

(2) The author wishes to thank Dr. L. C. Glaser for answering a number of questions concerning Lemma 5 of [2].

The following statement follows from Corollary 3 of [3] and Lemma 5 of [2].

LEMMA 1. *Suppose, for $i=1, 2$, that $\{P(i, j): j=1, \dots, r\}$ is a disjoint family of p -sets contained in the interior of the p -set $P(i)$. For $i=1, 2$, let $Q(i) = P(i) \sim \bigcup \{\text{int } P(i, j): j=1, \dots, r\}$, and suppose $\mu_i \in \mathcal{M}(Q(i))$ and $\mu_i(\text{bdry } Q(i)) = 0$. If $\mu_1(Q(1)) = \mu_2(Q(2))$, then every homeomorphism φ of $\text{bdry } P(1)$ onto $\text{bdry } P(2)$ can be extended to a homeomorphism φ^* of $Q(1)$ onto $Q(2)$ which carries μ_1 into μ_2 such that $\varphi^*[\text{bdry } P(1, j)] = \text{bdry } P(2, j)$ for $j=1, \dots, r$.*

The following statement follows easily from the definition of sectionally zero dimensional set [2, p. 263].

LEMMA 2. *Suppose K is a sectionally zero dimensional, compact set contained in the interior of the p -set P such that $m(K) < \gamma < m(P)$. Then there is a p -set Q such that $K \subset \text{int } Q$, $Q \subset \text{int } P$, and $m(Q) = \gamma$.*

LEMMA 3. *Suppose P, Q are p -sets contained in I^n , and S and T are compact, sectionally zero dimensional sets contained in $\text{int } P$ and $\text{int } Q$, respectively. If φ is an m -measure preserving homeomorphism of S onto T and $m(P) = m(Q)$, then φ can be extended to an m -measure preserving homeomorphism of P onto Q .*

We obtain a proof of Lemma 3 by making the following modifications in the proof of Theorem 1 of [2]. At the k th step of the definition of the auxiliary sets, since $m(S_{j_1 \dots j_k}) = m(T_{j_1 \dots j_k})$ for $j_1 \leq m_1, \dots, j_k \leq m_{j_1 \dots j_{k-1}}$, by Lemma 2, the p -sets $P_{j_1 \dots j_k}, Q_{j_1 \dots j_k}$ can be chosen so that $m(P_{j_1 \dots j_k}) = m(Q_{j_1 \dots j_k})$ for $j_1 \leq m_1, \dots, j_k \leq m_{j_1 \dots j_{k-1}}$. Then, at the k th step in defining the extension of φ , instead of Lemma 5 of [2], we use Lemma 1.

REMARK. In proving Theorem 1 of [2], C. Goffman uses Lemma 4 of [2]. Lemma 4 of [2] is false. However, if the following sentence is added to the hypothesis of Lemma 4, then the resulting lemma is true. For each i , there is an interval J_i such that $F_i \subset \text{int } J_i$ and $J_i \subset P$. The modified version of Lemma 4 of [2] is sufficient for the proof of Lemma 3 (and Theorem 1 of [2]).

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. If $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = m$, Theorem 1 follows from Lemma 3 in exactly the same way as Theorem 5 of [2] follows from Theorem 1 of [2]. Now, suppose μ_1, μ_2 are arbitrary elements of $\mathcal{M}(I^n)$ and f is as hypothesized. First, note that either both μ_1 and μ_2 are of type (1) or both μ_1 and μ_2 are of type (2). Hence, we may assume that both μ_1 and μ_2 are of type (2) and that $\mu_1(I^n) = 1$. By Theorem 2 of [3], there are homeomorphisms ψ and φ of $\text{cl } I^n$ onto $\text{cl } I^n$ such that ψ carries m into μ_1 and φ carries μ_2 to m . Then $f^* = \varphi \circ f \circ \psi$ is m -measure preserving. If θ is an m -measure preserving homeomorphism of I^n onto I^n such that $m(\{x: f^*(x) \neq \theta(x)\}) < \varepsilon$, then $\varphi_\varepsilon = \varphi^{-1} \circ \theta \circ \psi^{-1}$ is the required homeomorphism.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Suppose f is as hypothesized. For any Lebesgue measurable subset A of I^n , let $\mu(A) = m(f^{-1}[A])$. Then $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(I^n)$ and f carries m into μ . Let $\delta > 0$ be such that $\delta \leq \varepsilon$ and, if $m(A) < \delta$, then $\mu(A) < \varepsilon$. By Theorem 1, there is a homeomorphism φ_ε of I^n onto I^n carrying m into μ such that $m(\{x: f(x) \neq \varphi_\varepsilon(x)\}) < \delta$. It is clear that φ_ε is the required homeomorphism.

REMARKS. In proving Theorem 1 with $\mu_1 = \mu_2$, J. C. Oxtoby showed that φ_ε could be chosen to be a homeomorphism of $\text{cl } I^n$ onto $\text{cl } I^n$ such that φ_ε is equal to the identity outside of some closed interval contained in I^n . It is clear that (a) the proof of Theorem 1 given here yields this, too, and (b) the φ_ε in Theorem 2 may be chosen to have these properties. Furthermore, in Theorem 1, φ_ε can be chosen to be a homeomorphism of $\text{cl } I^n$ onto $\text{cl } I^n$ which is equal to the identity on $\text{bdry } I^n$.

REFERENCES

1. L. C. Glaser, *Geometrical combinatorial topology*. Vol. I, Van Nostrand-Reinhold, New York, 1970.
2. C. Goffman, *One-one measurable transformations*, Acta Math. **89** (1953), 261–278. MR **15**, 204.
3. J. C. Oxtoby and S. M. Ulam, *Measure-preserving homeomorphisms and metrical transitivity*, Ann. of Math. (2) **42** (1941), 874–920. MR **3**, 211.

251 N. BLACKBURN ROAD, RT. #5, ATHENS, OHIO 45701