

## ON COMPACT\* SPACES AND COMPACTIFICATIONS

SERGIO SALBANY<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** The space  $\beta X$  of  $Z$ -ultrafilters on  $X$  with the standard filter space topology is shown to be compact\*. Without considering the reflection associated with compact\* spaces, we also prove that products of compact\* spaces are compact\*, in response to a request for a direct proof.

**Introduction.** Compact\* spaces were defined by W. W. Comfort [2] as completely regular Hausdorff spaces  $X$  for which every maximal ideal in  $C^*(X)$  is fixed. He proved without the axiom of choice that every completely regular Hausdorff space  $X$  can be densely  $C^*$ -embedded in a compact\* space  $\beta X$  and deduced that products of compact\* spaces are compact\*. The problem of proving directly the productivity of compactness\* was raised and left open.

In §1 of this note we establish a one-to-one correspondence between the maximal ideals of  $C^*(X)$  and the  $Z$ -ultrafilters on  $X$  without the axiom of choice and show that  $X$  is compact\* if and only if every  $Z$ -ultrafilter on  $X$  converges. We then have a topological method for the study of compactness\*.

We use the above method to show in §2 that the space  $\beta X$  of  $Z$ -ultrafilters on  $X$  [3] is compact\* and that the classical characterizations of  $\beta X$  [3] hold independently of the axiom of choice.

Finally, in §3 we prove directly that products of compact\* spaces are compact\* and that closed subspaces of compact\* spaces are compact\*. The method of proof differs from that of §2 in that it involves a consideration of maximal ideals in rings of real valued bounded continuous functions. W. W. Comfort's theorem referred to above is a consequence of the results of this section.

An alternative construction of  $\beta X$  has recently been given by R. E. Chandler [1].

---

Received by the editors October 26, 1972 and, in revised form, February 11, 1973.  
*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 54C45, 54D30; Secondary 04A25.

*Key words and phrases.* Stone-Čech compactification, compact\* spaces, products of compact\* spaces, axiom of choice.

<sup>1</sup>Grants from the University of Cape Town and the South African Council for Scientific and Industrial Research are acknowledged.

Our standard reference is L. Gillman and M. Jerison's *Rings of continuous functions* [3].  $\square$  indicates the end of a proof.

We wish to thank two referees for pointing out a number of inaccuracies in earlier versions of this paper and for their comments concerning the presentation. We also want to thank Dr. K. Hardie for the many ways he has helped our research.

**1. Alternative characterization of compactness\*:** We establish directly a one-to-one correspondence between the maximal ideals of  $C^*(X)$  (and  $C(X)$ ) and the  $Z$ -ultrafilters on  $X$  without the axiom of choice. In what follows, let  $C$  denote  $C^*(X)$  or  $C(X)$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $M$  be a maximal ideal in  $C$ . Let  $A(M)$  consist of the nonempty zero sets  $Z$  such that  $\inf |m| [Z] = 0$  for all  $m$  in  $M$ . Then  $A(M)$  is a  $Z$ -ultrafilter on  $X$ .*

**Proof.** It is clear that zero sets which contain a zero set in  $A = A(M)$  are also in  $A$ . Also, by definition of  $A$ , if  $Z \in A$ , then  $Z$  intersects every  $Z_\delta(m) = |m|^{-1} [0, \delta]$ ,  $m \in M$ ,  $\delta > 0$ . Moreover, if  $m \in M$ , then  $Z_\delta(m) \in A$  for every  $\delta > 0$ . Otherwise there is  $m' \in M$  and  $\delta' > 0$  such that  $Z_\delta(m)$  and  $Z_{\delta'}(m')$  are disjoint, but then  $m^2 + (m')^2 \geq \min\{\delta^2, (\delta')^2\}$  which is impossible since  $M$  contains no invertible elements. We now show that  $A$  is a filter. Suppose  $Z_0, Z_1$  are in  $A$ , and that  $Z_0 \cap Z_1$  is not in  $A$ . Then there is  $m \in M$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $Z_0 \cap Z_1 \cap Z_\delta(m) = \emptyset$ . Thus  $Z_0$  and  $Z_1 \cap Z_\delta(m)$  are disjoint zero sets, so there is  $h: X \rightarrow [0, 2]$  such that  $h = 0$  on  $Z_0$  and  $h = 2$  on  $Z_1 \cap Z_\delta(m)$ . Now  $h \in M$ , otherwise  $2 = kh + m''$ , for some  $k \in C$  and  $m'' \in M$ . Hence  $m'' = 2$  on  $Z_0$ , which is impossible since then  $Z_1(m'')$  and  $Z_0$  would be disjoint, contradicting  $Z_0 \in A$ . But now we get that  $Z_1(h)$  is disjoint from  $Z_1 \cap Z_\delta(m)$ , which is not possible as  $Z_1(h) \cap Z_1 \cap Z_\delta(m) \supset Z_1 \cap Z_k(h^2 + m^2)$ , where  $k = \min\{\delta^2, 1\}$ , since  $Z_1 \in A$  and  $h^2 + m^2 \in M$ . Thus  $Z_0 \cap Z_1 \in A$  if  $Z_0, Z_1 \in A$ . Finally the  $Z$ -ultrafilter property is an immediate consequence of the fact that  $Z_\delta(m) \in A$  for all  $m \in M$ , and  $\delta > 0$ .  $\square$

The inverse correspondence has a more straightforward proof which we omit.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $A$  be a  $Z$ -ultrafilter on  $X$ . Let  $M(A)$  consist of the functions  $m$  in  $C$  such that  $\inf |gm| [Z] = 0$  for all  $g \in C$  and  $Z \in A$ . Then  $M(A)$  is a maximal ideal in  $C$ .*

It is interesting to note that it is the maximality of  $A$  that ensures that

$M(A)$  is closed under addition. Also, if  $C = C^*(X)$ , then  $M(A)$  consists of those  $m$  such that  $\inf |m|[Z] = 0$ , for all  $Z \in A$ .

The requirements of maximality in both propositions cannot be dropped as shown in the following example.

**Example.** Let  $X = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$  have the discrete topology.

(a) Let  $M$  be the ideal generated by  $j(n) = 1/n$ . Then  $\{-1, -2, \dots\}$  and  $\{1, 2, \dots\}$  are disjoint zero sets in  $A(M)$ .

(b) Let  $F$  be the filter generated by the sets  $A_n = \{x \in X | x^2 \geq n^2\}$ . Then  $M(F)$  contains both functions  $f, g$  given below but does not contain  $f + g$ , where  $f(n) = 1/n$  if  $n > 0$  and  $f(n) = 1$  if  $n \leq 0$ ;  $g(n) = 1$  if  $n \geq 0$  and  $g(n) = 1/n$  if  $n < 0$ .

**Proposition 3.** *There is a one-to-one correspondence between the maximal ideals of  $C$  and the  $Z$ -ultrafilters on  $X$  given by  $M(A(M)) = M$  and  $A(M(A)) = A$ .*

If  $C = C(X)$ , the above correspondence coincides with the  $Z$ -correspondence in [3].

It is now simple to prove an alternative characterization of compactness\*. Again we omit the proof.

**Proposition 4.**  *$X$  is compact\* if and only if every  $Z$ -ultrafilter on  $X$  converges.*

2. **Characterization of  $\beta X$ .** The following proposition is analogous to Theorem 6.4 of [3] and serves as a preparation for the characterizations of  $\beta X$  given in Theorem 1.

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $T$  be a topological space and  $X$  a subspace such that every point of  $T$  is the limit of a  $Z$ -ultrafilter on  $X$ . The statements (1) to (4) are equivalent and (4) implies (5).*

(1) *Every continuous map into a compact\* space  $Y$  has an extension to a continuous map from  $T$  into  $Y$ .*

(2)  *$X$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $T$ .*

(3) *Any two disjoint zero sets in  $X$  have disjoint closures in  $T$ .*

(4) *For any two zero sets  $Z_1, Z_2$  in  $X$ ,  $\text{cl}_T(Z_1 \cap Z_2) = \text{cl}_T Z_1 \cap \text{cl}_T Z_2$ .*

(5) *Every point  $p$  of  $T$  is the limit of a unique  $Z$ -ultrafilter  $A_p$  in  $X$ .*

**Proof.** It is clear that (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) without the axiom of choice.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): It follows from (3) that if  $A$  is a  $Z$ -ultrafilter on  $X$  which converges to  $p$  and if  $p \in \text{cl}_T Z$ , then  $Z \in A$ . Thus, if  $p \in \text{cl}_T Z_1 \cap \text{cl}_T Z_2$  then  $Z_1, Z_2 \in A$ , hence  $Z_1 \cap Z_2 \in A$ , so that  $p \in \text{cl}_T(Z_1 \cap Z_2)$ . Thus (4) is proved.

It is clear that (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5). We now prove that (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be continuous and suppose  $Y$  is compact\*. Let  $p \in T$  and let  $A_p$  be the unique  $Z$ -ultrafilter on  $X$  which converges to  $p$ . Let  ${}_pA$  be the family of zero sets  $E \subset Y$  which intersect every zero set  $F$  such that  $f^{-1}[F] \in A_p$ . We show that  ${}_pA$  is a  $Z$ -ultrafilter.

Suppose  $E_0, E_1 \in {}_pA$ . If  $E_0 \cap E_1 \notin {}_pA$ , then there is  $F$  such that  $f^{-1}[F] \in A_p$  and  $E_0 \cap E_1 \cap F = \emptyset$ . Let  $h: Y \rightarrow [0, 2]$  be such that  $h = 0$  on  $E_0$  and  $h = 2$  on  $E_1 \cap F$ . Now  $h^{-1}[1, 2] \cap E_0 = \emptyset$ , so  $f^{-1}[h^{-1}[1, 2]] \notin A_p$ , hence  $f^{-1}[h^{-1}[0, 1]] \in A_p$ . But then  $E_1$  is disjoint from the zero set  $F_1 = h^{-1}[0, 1] \cap F$  and  $f^{-1}[F_1] \in A_p$ , which is impossible. Hence  ${}_pA$  is closed under finite intersections. It is simple to prove that  ${}_pA$  is in fact a  $Z$ -ultrafilter. Now  $Y$  is compact\* so  ${}_pA$  converges to a unique point,  $\bar{f}(p)$ , say. Thus  $\bar{f}(p)$  is the only element of  $\bigcap \{F \mid F \in {}_pA\}$ . If  $x \in X$ , then  $x \in \bigcap \{E \mid E \in A_x\}$  and  $f(x) \in \bigcap \{F \mid F \in {}_x A\}$ , otherwise there is  $F \in {}_x A$  such that  $f(x) \notin F$  so that there is a zero set  $H$  which contains  $f(x)$  and is disjoint from  $F$ , but this is not possible since  $F$  must intersect  $H$  by definition of  ${}_x A$ . Thus  $\bar{f}(x) = f(x)$ , if  $x \in X$ . Finally, the continuity of  $\bar{f}$ . Note that if  $F$  is a zero set in  $Y$  which is not in  ${}_pA$ , then by definition of  ${}_pA$ , there is a zero set  $E$  disjoint from  $F$  such that  $f^{-1}[E] \in A_p$  and (3) implies  $\text{cl}_T f^{-1}[E] \cap \text{cl}_T f^{-1}[F] = \emptyset$ , and we have remarked that  $p \in \text{cl}_T f^{-1}[E]$ , hence  $p \notin \text{cl}_T f^{-1}[F]$ . The proof that  $\bar{f}$  is continuous can now be completed as in [3].  $\square$

*Note.* The above proposition requires a more elaborate proof than that of Theorem 6.4 of [3]. This is due to two factors. Firstly we do not assume  $T$  is completely regular, so the proof of (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) in [3] does not apply. Secondly, we have not been able to prove that (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) without the axiom of choice. However (5) does imply (1) under an added assumption on how  $X$  is embedded in  $T$ , as shown in Proposition 6.

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $X$  be dense in  $T$  and such that if  $Z$  is a zero set in  $X$  and  $p \in \text{cl}_T Z$ , then there is a  $Z$ -ultrafilter on  $X$  which contains  $Z$  and converges to  $p$ . Then, any two disjoint zero sets in  $X$  have disjoint closures in  $T$  if and only if every point of  $T$  is the limit of a unique  $Z$ -ultrafilter on  $X$ .*

**Proof.** The hypotheses of the theorem ensure that every point of  $T$  is the limit of a  $Z$ -ultrafilter on  $X$ , so one implication has been proved in Proposition 5. Conversely, suppose  $p \in \text{cl}_T Z_1 \cap \text{cl}_T Z_2$ . Let  $A_p$  be the unique  $Z$ -ultrafilter on  $X$  converging to  $p$ . By hypothesis,  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are both members of  $A_p$ , hence  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are not disjoint.  $\square$

**Proposition 7.** *Let  $T$  be a topological space and  $X$  a subspace such that every point of  $T$  is the limit of some  $Z$ -filter on  $X$ . If the sets  $\text{cl}_T Z$ ,  $Z$  a zero set in  $X$ , form a base for the closed sets of  $T$  and any of (1) to (4) hold, then  $T$  is completely regular.*

**Proof.** Let  $p \in T$  and  $F \subset T$  be a closed set not containing  $p$ . By hypothesis there is a zero set  $Z$  in  $X$  such that  $F \subset \text{cl}_T Z$  and  $p \notin \text{cl}_T Z$ . Hence there is  $Z_1 \in A_p$  such that  $Z_1 \cap \text{cl}_T Z = \emptyset$ . Thus  $Z_1 \cap Z = \emptyset$ , so there is a continuous map  $h: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $h = 0$  on  $Z$  and  $h = 1$  on  $Z_1$ . But  $X$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $T$  so  $h$  has an extension  $\bar{h}$  to  $T$ . Then  $\bar{h} = 1$  on  $\text{cl}_T Z_1$  and  $\bar{h} = 0$  on  $\text{cl}_T Z$ , proving complete regularity of  $T$ , since  $p \in \text{cl}_T Z_1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 8.** *If  $X$  is  $C^*$ -embedded as a dense subspace of a completely regular space  $T$  and every  $Z$ -ultrafilter on  $X$  converges in  $T$ , then every  $Z$ -ultrafilter on  $T$  converges.*

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be a  $Z$ -ultrafilter in  $T$ . Let  $A_0$  denote the family of zero sets in  $X$  which intersect every  $Z_\delta(f) = |f|^{-1}[0, \delta]$ , where  $Z(f) \in A$ . The proof that  $A_0$  is a  $Z$ -ultrafilter on  $X$ , is analogous to the proof that  ${}_p A$  is a  $Z$ -ultrafilter in Proposition 5, (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $p \in T$  be the limit of  $A_0$ . It is easy to see that  $A$  also converges to  $p$ .  $\square$

We can now prove that there is a  $*$ -compactification  $\beta X$  of  $X$ , as in [3].

**Theorem 1.** *For every completely regular Hausdorff space  $X$  there is a compact\* space  $\beta X$  containing  $X$  as a dense subspace with the following equivalent properties.*

(1) *Every continuous map into a compact\* space  $Y$  has an extension to a continuous map from  $\beta X$  into  $Y$ .*

(2)  *$X$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $\beta X$ .*

(3) *Any two disjoint zero sets in  $X$  have disjoint closures in  $\beta X$ .*

(4) *For any two zero sets  $Z_1, Z_2$  in  $X$ ,  $\text{cl}_{\beta X}(Z_1 \cap Z_2) = \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z_1 \cap \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z_2$ .*

(5) *Every point  $p$  of  $\beta X$  is the limit of a unique  $Z$ -ultrafilter in  $X, A_p$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\beta X$  be the set of all  $Z$ -ultrafilters on  $X$ . For each zero set  $Z$  in  $X$ , define  $p \in \bar{Z}$  if  $Z \in p$ , where  $p \in \beta X$ . As shown in [3], the sets  $\bar{Z}$  form a base for closed sets,  $\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z = \bar{Z}$  and  $\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z_1 \cap \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z_2 = \text{cl}_{\beta X}(Z_1 \cap Z_2)$ . By Propositions 5 and 6 it follows that  $\beta X$  has all the properties (1) to (5) stated in the theorem and these properties are equivalent. The proof that  $\beta X$  is Hausdorff is the same as in [3]. By Propositions 7 and 8 it follows that  $\beta X$  is compact\*.  $\square$

It is interesting to note that the characterizations of the maximal ideals of  $C^*(X)$  and  $C(X)$  using the points of  $\beta X$  (see [3]) also hold without the axiom of choice.

**3. Products and closed subspaces of compact\* spaces.** In [2] W. W. Comfort posed the problem of giving a direct proof that products of compact\* spaces are compact\*. We could give such a direct proof. In fact our proof shows that products of compact\* spaces are compact\* and that products of realcompact spaces are realcompact all at once. As before, let  $C$  denote  $C^*(X)$  or  $C(X)$ .

**Definition.** A completely regular space is  $C$ -compact if every maximal ideal  $M$  such that  $C/M$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}$  is fixed.

Note that when  $C = C(X)$ ,  $C$ -compact is identical to realcompact. When  $C = C^*(X)$ ,  $C$ -compact and compact\* are identical, since  $C^*(X)/M \cong \mathbf{R}$  as shown by W. W. Comfort in [2].

**Theorem 2.** *Products of  $C$ -compact spaces are  $C$ -compact.*

**Proof.** Suppose  $X_i$  is  $C$ -compact for each  $i$  in a set  $I$ . Let  $X = \prod X_i$ . Suppose  $X$  is not empty and let  $M$  be a maximal ideal in  $C (= C^*(X)$  or  $C(X)$ ). Let  $\pi_i: X \rightarrow X_i$  denote the projection map and  $\pi_i^*: C_i \rightarrow C$ , the induced ring homomorphism ( $C_i = C^*(X_i)$  or  $C(X_i)$ ). Let  $q$  denote the quotient map  $q: C \rightarrow C/M \cong \mathbf{R}$ . Then  $q_i = q \circ \pi_i^*$  is a ring homomorphism from  $C_i$  onto  $\mathbf{R}$  for each  $i$  (note that  $q_i(c) = q(c) = c$  for all  $c$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ ). Hence  $M_i = q_i^{-1}[0] = \pi_i^{*-1}[M]$  is a maximal ideal in  $C_i$ . Since each  $X_i$  is  $C$ -compact, it follows that there is an element  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $M_i = \{f \in C_i \mid f(\pi_i(x_0)) = 0\}$ . We show that  $M = \{f \in C \mid f(x_0) = 0\}$ , or equivalently, that  $q(f) = 0$  iff  $f(x_0) = 0$ . First observe that if  $f(x_0) \neq 0$ , then  $f^2 + m$  is invertible in  $C$  for some  $m \in M$ . For suppose  $f^2(x_0) = 2\delta > 0$ , then there are open sets  $V_{ij}$  in  $X_{ij}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , such that  $x_0 \in V = \bigcap \pi_{ij}^{-1}[V_{ij}]$  and  $f^2 > \delta$  on  $V$ .

Let  $g_{ij} \geq 0$  be such that  $g_{ij}(x_{ij}) = 0$  and  $g_{ij} = 1$  off  $V_{ij}$ , where  $x_{ij} = \pi_{ij}(x_0)$  fixes  $M_{ij}$ . Then  $g_{ij} \in M_{ij}$ , hence  $\pi_{ij}^*(g_{ij}) = g_{ij} \circ \pi_{ij} \in M$ . Now the function  $g = f^2 + \sum g_{ij} \circ \pi_{ij}$  is bounded away from zero, hence invertible in  $C$ . As a consequence, we have that  $q(f) = 0$  implies  $f(x_0) = 0$ .

For the converse implication, suppose  $f(x_0) = 0$  and  $q(f) \neq 0$ . Then  $f \notin M$ , so that  $1 = kf + m$  for some  $k \in C$  and some  $m \in M$ . Then  $m(x_0) = 1$ . By above there is  $m' \in M$  such that  $m^2 + m'$  is invertible in  $C$ , which is impossible.  $\square$

**Proposition 9.** *Closed subspaces of  $C$ -compact spaces are  $C$ -compact.*

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be a closed subspace of  $X$  and  $i: A \rightarrow X$  the injection map. There is an induced ring homomorphism  $j: C_X \rightarrow C_A$  ( $C_X = C(X)$  or  $C^*(X)$ ,  $C_A = C(A)$  or  $C^*(A)$ , respectively) given by  $j(f) = f \circ i$ . Let  $M$  be a maximal ideal in  $C_A$ , and  $q$  the quotient map  $q: C_A \rightarrow C_A/M \cong \mathbf{R}$ . Let  $p = q \circ j$ , then  $p$  is a ring homomorphism onto  $\mathbf{R}$  since  $p(c) = c$  for all  $c \in \mathbf{R}$ . Hence  $M_1 = \ker p = j^{-1}[M]$  is a maximal ideal in  $C_X$ .  $X$  is  $C$ -compact so there is  $x \in X$  such that  $M_1 = \{f \in C_X \mid f(x) = 0\}$ . Then  $x \in A$ , otherwise there is  $h: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , continuous, such that  $h(x) = 0$ ,  $h = 1$  on  $A$ . But then  $h \in M_1$ , so that  $j(h) = h \circ i \in M$ , which is impossible since  $h \circ i = 1$ .  $\square$

That the category of  $C$ -compact spaces is reflective now follows from the general theory of reflections because this category is closed under taking products and closed subspaces.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. E. Chandler, *An alternative construction of  $\beta X$  and  $vX$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **32** (1972), 315–318.
2. W. W. Comfort, *A theorem of Stone-Čech type, and a theorem of Tychonoff type, without the axiom of choice; and their realcompact analogues*, Fund. Math **63** (1968), 97–110. MR **38** #5174.
3. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1960. MR **22** #6994.
4. J. F. Kennison, *Reflective functors in general topology and elsewhere*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** (1965), 303–315. MR **30** #4812.

TOPOLOGY RESEARCH GROUP, UNIVERSITY OF CAPE TOWN, CAPE TOWN, SOUTH AFRICA