

## STEINHAUS TYPE THEOREMS FOR SUMMABILITY MATRICES

I. J. MADDOX

ABSTRACT. Necessary and sufficient conditions are given for an infinite matrix to sum all bounded strongly summable sequences. It is shown that the Borel matrix does not sum all such sequences. A corollary is that the bounded summability field of the Borel method is strictly contained in that of the  $(C, 1)$  method. Also, it is proved that no coregular matrix can almost sum all bounded sequences—a generalization of Steinhaus' theorem.

1. **Introduction.** The well-known theorem of Steinhaus asserts that no Toeplitz matrix can sum all bounded sequences. A similar type of result due to Kuttner [4] states that, for  $0 < p < 1$ , no Toeplitz matrix can sum all sequences in  $w_p$  (the space of all strongly  $(C, 1)$  summable sequences with index  $p$ ). These theorems of Steinhaus and Kuttner are also valid if Toeplitz matrices are replaced by coregular matrices [6].

It may be observed that the sequence in [4], which is in  $w_p$  but is not summable by the Toeplitz matrix, is unbounded. Consequently it is natural to consider whether or not a Toeplitz matrix can sum all bounded sequences in  $w_p$ . It is easy to see that there are Toeplitz matrices which do sum all bounded sequences in  $w_p$ . In fact if  $p > 0$  and  $r > 0$  then it is well known that, for bounded sequences,  $w_p$  is equal to  $w_1$  and  $(C, r)$  is equivalent to  $(C, 1)$ . Hence, if  $l_\infty$  denotes the space of bounded sequences, then  $l_\infty \cap w_p \subset l_\infty \cap (C, r)$ , so that the Toeplitz matrix of  $(C, r)$  means sum every sequence in  $l_\infty \cap w_p$ . Obviously the unit matrix does not sum every sequence in  $l_\infty \cap w_p$ , and it is easy to see that there are Toeplitz matrices not equivalent to convergence which do not sum every sequence in  $l_\infty \cap w_p$ . These observations lead us to characterize (in §3) all infinite matrices which sum every sequence in  $l_\infty \cap w_p$ .

---

Received by the editors October 1, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 40C05, 40D20; Secondary 40G05, 40G10.

*Key words and phrases.* Bounded strongly summable sequences, infinite matrix, conservative matrix, Cesàro means, Borel matrix, summability, almost summability.

Copyright © 1974, American Mathematical Society

In §4 we consider Steinhaus type theorems in which summability by a matrix is replaced by 'almost summability'.

2. **Definitions.** By  $l_\infty$  we denote the Banach space of all bounded complex sequences  $x = (x_k)$  with  $\|x\| = \sup |x_k|$ . By  $c$  and  $f$  we denote the closed subspaces of convergent and almost convergent sequences. The almost convergent sequences  $f$  were introduced by Lorentz [5] via Banach limits. With strict inclusion we have  $c \subset f \subset l_\infty$ .

For  $p > 0$  we define  $w_p$  to be the space of all  $x$  such that, for some number  $l$ ,

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k - l|^p \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

The space  $w_p$  has been considered by several authors [1], [4], [6].

If  $A = (a_{nk})$  is an infinite matrix of complex numbers we write  $A_n(x) = \sum a_{nk} x_k$ , all sums being over  $1 \leq k \leq \infty$ , unless otherwise indicated. We write  $Ax = (A_n(x))$ .

For nonempty sets of sequences  $X, Y$  we denote by  $(X, Y)$  the set of all  $A$  such that  $Ax \in Y$  for every  $x \in X$ . Also, we define  $X(A) = \{x: Ax \in X\}$ , so for example  $c(A)$  is the summability field of  $A$ , and  $f(A)$  is the set of all  $x$  which are almost summable by  $A$ . Some results on almost summability may be found in [3].

It is familiar that  $A \in (c, c)$  if and only if  $\|A\| = \sup_n \sum |a_{nk}| < \infty$ ,  $\lim_n a_{nk} = a_k$  (each  $k$ ),  $\lim_n \sum a_{nk} = a$ . The space  $(c, c)$  of all conservative matrices is a Banach algebra under the matrix product, and the characteristic  $\chi$  defined by  $\chi(A) = a - \sum a_k$  is a scalar homomorphism on  $(c, c)$ . The kernel of  $\chi$  is written  $K_0$  and is called the conull matrices. The set  $(c, c) - K_0$  of coregular matrices is written as  $K$ .

Finally, a set  $E$  of positive integers is said to be of zero density if the number of elements of  $E$  which lie in  $[1, n]$  is  $o(n)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For example,  $\{2, 2^2, 2^3, \dots\}$  is of zero density.

3. **Summability of bounded strongly summable sequences.**

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $p > 0$ . Then  $A \in (l_\infty \cap w_p, c)$  if and only if  $A$  is conservative and*

$$(2) \quad \sum_{k \in E} |a_{nk} - a_k| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

for each set  $E$  of zero density.

**Proof.** For the sufficiency let  $x \in l_\infty$  and suppose (1) holds. Since  $A$  is conservative it is sufficient to show that

$$(3) \quad \sum |a_{nk} - a_k| \cdot |x_k - l| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and write  $E = \{k: |x_k - l| \geq \epsilon\}$ . Since  $x_k \rightarrow l(w_1)$  it is clear that  $E$  has zero density. Hence, for all  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in E} |a_{nk} - a_k| \cdot |x_k - l| + \sum_{k \in E} |a_{nk} - a_k| \cdot |x_k - l| \\ \leq 2\|x\| \cdot \sum_{k \in E} |a_{nk} - a_k| + 2\epsilon \|A\|. \end{aligned}$$

Now letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we see that (3) holds.

Consider now the necessity. From  $A \in (l_\infty \cap w_p, c)$  and the fact that  $c \subset l_\infty \cap w_p$  it follows that  $A \in (c, c)$ . Suppose, if possible, that there exists a set  $E$  of zero density such that (2) fails. This implies that  $E$  is an infinite set, so we write  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$ . By the proof of Schur's theorem [7, p. 169] there is a bounded sequence  $z = (z_{e_1}, z_{e_2}, \dots)$  such that the sequence  $(\sum_{k \in E} (a_{nk} - a_k)z_k)$  is divergent. Now define a sequence  $x$  by  $x_k = z_k$  for  $k = e_i$  and  $x_k = 0$  for  $k \neq e_i$ . Then  $x \in l_\infty$ , and since  $E$  has zero density we see that  $x_k \rightarrow 0 (w_p)$ . Hence there exists  $x \in l_\infty \cap w_p$  such that  $x \notin c(A)$ , which is contrary to  $A \in (l_\infty \cap w_p, c)$ . This proves the theorem.

As an application of Theorem 1 we consider the Borel matrix defined by  $a_{nk} = e^{-n} n^k / k!$ , with  $n, k \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 2.** *The Borel matrix does not sum all sequences in  $l_\infty \cap w_p$ .*

**Proof.** Define  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$ , where  $e_k = 4^i + k - 2^i$  for  $2^i \leq k < 2^{i+1}$  and  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Thus  $E = \{1, 4, 5, 16, 17, 18, 19, 64, 65, \dots\}$ . Now take  $n \geq 1$  and determine  $i$  such that  $4^i \leq n < 4^{i+1}$ . Then the number of elements of  $E$  which lie in  $[1, n]$  is less than or equal to  $1 + 2 + \dots + 2^i < 2n^{1/2} = o(n)$ , so that  $E$  is of zero density. Now let  $(a_{nk})$  be the Borel matrix, and write  $m = 4^n$ . Then

$$(4) \quad \sum_{k \in E} |a_{mk}| > \sum_{b=0}^{m^{1/2}-1} a_{m,m+b}.$$

Using the simple inequality  $p! \leq e^{-p+1} p^p p^{1/2}$ , valid for positive integers  $p$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \log a_{m,m+b} &\geq -m + (m+b) \log m - (m+b) \log(m+b) \\ &\quad - \log(m+b)^{1/2} + m + b - 1 \\ &= -(m+b) \log(1 + b/m) - \log(m+b)^{1/2} + b - 1 \\ &\geq -b - b^2/m - \log(2m)^{1/2} + b - 1, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$a_{m,m+b} \geq \exp(-b^2/m)/e(2m)^{1/2} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq b < m^{1/2}.$$

By (4) it now follows that

$$\sum_{k \in E} |a_{mk}| > \frac{1}{e(2m)^{1/2}} \int_0^{m^{1/2}} e^{-t^2/m} dt = \frac{1}{e8^{1/2}} \int_0^1 e^{-u} u^{-1/2} du,$$

which implies that  $\limsup_n \sum_{k \in E} |a_{nk}| > 0$ . By Theorem 1 it follows that  $A \notin (l_\infty \cap w_p, c)$ .

An immediate consequence of Theorem 2 is that if  $S$  is any summability method which is included in the Borel method then  $S \notin (l_\infty \cap w_p, c)$ . In particular this applies when  $S = (E, q)$ , the Euler method [2, Theorem 128]. Another corollary to Theorem 2 is that the bounded summability field of the Borel method is strictly contained in the bounded summability field of the  $(C, 1)$  method. To see this we write  $A_n = a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_n$  and assume that  $(A_n)$  is bounded and Borel summable. Hence  $a_n = o(n)$ , so by [2, Theorem 147], with  $\rho = 1$ , we have that  $(A_n)$  is  $(C, 3)$  summable, and so  $(C, 1)$  summable since  $(A_n)$  is bounded. Thus we have  $l_\infty \cap \text{Borel} \subset l_\infty \cap (C, 1)$ . If equality occurred in this last inclusion then we would obtain a contradiction by Theorem 2.

**4. Almost summability of bounded sequences.** Steinhaus' theorem may be put in the following form: if  $A$  is coregular then the inclusion  $l_\infty \subset c(A)$  is false. Now for any matrix  $A$  we have  $c(A) \subset f(A)$ , so that it seems possible that the inclusion  $l_\infty \subset f(A)$  might be true for coregular matrices. However, in Theorem 3 (iii) we show otherwise. Consequently, we have a generalization of Steinhaus' theorem, viz. that no coregular matrix can almost sum all bounded sequences.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be conservative matrices and suppose that  $A \in (l_\infty, c(B))$ . Then*

- (i)  $BA \in K_0$ .
- (ii) If  $B \in K$  then  $A \in K_0$ .
- (iii) If  $A \in K$  then  $l_\infty \subset f(A)$  is false.

**Proof.** (i) Since  $A \in (l_\infty, c(B))$  we have  $B(Ax) \in c$  for all  $x \in l_\infty$ . Now  $A$  and  $B$  conservative implies  $B(Ax) = (BA)x$  for all  $x \in l_\infty$ , whence  $(BA)x \in c$  for all  $x \in l_\infty$ , so that  $BA \in (l_\infty, c) \subset K_0$ .

(ii) By (i) and the fact that  $\chi$  is a scalar homomorphism we have  $\chi(B)\chi(A) = 0$ , whence the result.

(iii) If  $A \in K$  but  $l_\infty \subset f(A)$ , then  $A \in (l_\infty, f)$  which implies  $A \in (l_\infty, (C, 1))$ . Hence, by (ii), on taking  $B$  to be the coregular  $(C, 1)$  matrix we obtain  $A \in K_0$ , contrary to  $A \in K$ .

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. D. Borwein, *Linear functionals connected with strong Cesàro summability*, J. London Math. Soc. 40 (1965), 628–634. MR 32 #2893.
2. G. H. Hardy, *Divergent series*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1949. MR 11, 25.
3. J. P. King, *Almost summable sequences*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1966), 1219–1225. MR 34 #1752.
4. B. Kuttner, *Note on strong summability*, J. London Math. Soc. 21 (1946), 118–122. MR 8, 375.
5. G. G. Lorentz, *A contribution to the theory of divergent series*, Acta Math. 80 (1948), 167–190. MR 10, 367.
6. I. J. Maddox, *On Kuttner's theorem*, J. London Math. Soc. 43 (1968), 285–290. MR 37 #641.
7. ———, *Elements of functional analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1970.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY OF BELFAST,  
NORTHERN IRELAND