

GLOBAL DIMENSION OF DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR RINGS

K. R. GOODEARL

ABSTRACT. This paper is concerned with finding the global homological dimension of the ring of differential operators $R[\theta]$ over a differential ring R with a single derivation. Examples are constructed to show that $R[\theta]$ may have finite dimension even when R has infinite dimension. For a commutative noetherian differential algebra R over the rationals, with finite global dimension n , it is shown that the global dimension of $R[\theta]$ is the supremum of n and one plus the projective dimensions of the modules R/P , where P ranges over all prime differential ideals of R . One application derives the global dimension of the Weyl algebra over a commutative noetherian ring S of finite global dimension, where S either is an algebra over the rationals or else has positive characteristic.

1. **Introduction.** Throughout this paper, the term *differential ring* will refer to an associative ring R with unit together with a specified derivation δ on R . The *ring of differential operators* over R (also called the *Ore-extension* of R with respect to δ) is additively the group of polynomials over R in an indeterminate θ , with multiplication subject to the requirement $\theta a = a\theta + \delta a$ for all $a \in R$. We denote this ring by $R[\theta]$, or by $(R, \delta)[\theta]$ if δ needs to be emphasized.

Most arguments involving right-hand properties of $R[\theta]$ can be used, with appropriate changes of sign, for the corresponding left-hand properties. The precise relationship is given by the following proposition, whose proof is routine.

Proposition 1. *Let R be a differential ring with derivation δ . If R^0 denotes the opposite ring of R together with the derivation $-\delta$, then $R^0[\theta]$ is isomorphic to the opposite ring of $R[\theta]$.*

In particular, Proposition 1 can be used to show that all of the results in

Presented to the Society, January 18, 1974 under the title *Homological dimension of differential operator rings*; received by the editors April 24, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 16A60; Secondary 12H05.

Key words and phrases. Global dimension, rings of linear differential operators, differential algebra, Weyl algebras.

this paper on the right global dimension of $R[\theta]$ carry over to the left global dimension, hence we shall not state the left-hand versions explicitly.

2. **General differential rings.** In considering the global dimension of $R[\theta]$ several authors [1], [3], [4], [10] have stated as "well-known" the inequalities

$$r. \text{ gl. dim. } R \leq r. \text{ gl. dim. } R[\theta] \leq 1 + r. \text{ gl. dim. } R.$$

While the right-hand inequality is true in general, the left-hand one may fail if $r. \text{ gl. dim. } R$ is allowed to be infinite, and we present examples of this at the end of the section. For clarity, therefore, we now derive the valid cases of these inequalities.

Given a module A over a ring S , we use $\text{pd}_S(A)$ and $\text{wd}_S(A)$ to denote the projective and weak dimensions of A .

Proposition 2. *Let R be a differential ring. If A is any right $R[\theta]$ -module, then*

$$\text{pd}_R(A) \leq \text{pd}_{R[\theta]}(A) \leq 1 + \text{pd}_R(A),$$

and

$$\text{wd}_R(A) \leq \text{wd}_{R[\theta]}(A) \leq 1 + \text{wd}_R(A).$$

Proof. Inasmuch as $(R[\theta])_R$ is free, any projective resolution for $A_{R[\theta]}$ is also a projective resolution for A_R , whence $\text{pd}_R(A) \leq \text{pd}_{R[\theta]}(A)$. As in the case of polynomials [6, Part III, Theorem 6], there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \otimes_R R[\theta] \rightarrow A \otimes_R R[\theta] \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ of right $R[\theta]$ -modules, from which we infer that $\text{pd}_{R[\theta]}(A) \leq 1 + \text{pd}_R(A)$.

The inequalities for weak dimension are proved in the same way.

Proposition 3. *If R is any nonzero differential ring, then*

$$1 \leq r. \text{ gl. dim. } R[\theta] \leq 1 + r. \text{ gl. dim. } R.$$

In case $r. \text{ gl. dim. } R < \infty$, then also

$$r. \text{ gl. dim. } R \leq r. \text{ gl. dim. } R[\theta].$$

Proof. The inequality $r. \text{ gl. dim. } R[\theta] \leq 1 + r. \text{ gl. dim. } R$ is clear from Proposition 2. Observing that $R[\theta]/\theta R[\theta]$ cannot be isomorphic to a right ideal of $R[\theta]$, we see that $r. \text{ gl. dim. } R[\theta] \geq 1$.

Now assume that $r. \text{ gl. dim. } R = n < \infty$, and choose a right R -module A with $\text{pd}_R(A) = n$. There is an obvious exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow A \otimes_R R[\theta] \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$, and certainly $\text{pd}_R(B) \leq n$, hence we infer from the long exact sequence

for Ext that $\text{pd}_R(A \otimes_R R[\theta]) = n$. In view of Proposition 2, it now follows that $\text{r. gl. dim. } R[\theta] \geq n$.

We now give an example of a differential ring R with $\text{r. gl. dim. } R = \infty$ and $\text{r. gl. dim. } R[\theta] = 1$.

Choose a field F of characteristic 2 and consider the derivation $\partial/\partial x$ on $F[x]$. The ideal (x^2) is a differential ideal because of characteristic 2, hence we obtain a differential ring $R = F[x]/(x^2)$. As an F -algebra, R has a basis $1, w$ such that $w^2 = 0$ and $\delta w = 1$. Observing an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow wR \rightarrow R \rightarrow wR \rightarrow 0$, we infer that $\text{gl. dim. } R = \infty$.

Given any right $R[\theta]$ -module A , we may choose a basis $\{b_\alpha\}$ over F for the vector space $B = \{b \in A \mid bw = 0\}$. Set $a_\alpha = b_\alpha\theta$ for all α , and note that $a_\alpha w = b_\alpha$. It is routine to check that A_R is free with basis $\{a_\alpha\}$, hence we obtain $\text{pd}_R R[\theta](A) \leq 1$ from Proposition 2, and thus $\text{r. gl. dim. } R[\theta] = 1$.

This example may be extended somewhat as follows. Set $R_1 = R$ as above, and for $n > 1$ set $R_n = R[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ and extend δ to a derivation of R_n by defining $\delta x_i = 0$ for all i . This choice of δ ensures that the x_i all commute with θ in $R_n[\theta]$, whence $R_n[\theta] \cong R[\theta][x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ and so $\text{r. gl. dim. } R_n[\theta] = n$. We summarize these examples as follows:

For each positive integer n , there exists a commutative noetherian differential ring R_n such that $\text{gl. dim. } R_n = \infty$ and $\text{r. gl. dim. } R_n[\theta] = n$.

3. Commutative noetherian differential rings. For some of the results in this section, we require that R be an algebra over the rationals, in which case we follow Kaplansky [5] in using the term *Ritt algebra* to denote a commutative differential ring which is an algebra over the rationals.

Lemma 4. *Let R be a noetherian Ritt algebra. If A is any nonzero finitely generated right $R[\theta]$ -module, then there exists a chain of $R[\theta]$ -submodules $A_0 = 0 < A_1 < \dots < A_k = A$ and a collection of prime ideals P_1, \dots, P_k of R such that for each i , either $A_i/A_{i-1} \cong R[\theta]/P_i R[\theta]$ or else P_i is a differential ideal and A_i/A_{i-1} is a torsion-free (R/P_i) -module.*

Proof. As observed in [1, p. 68], $R[\theta]$ is right noetherian, and so A has ACC on $R[\theta]$ -submodules. Thus it suffices to show that there exists a nonzero $R[\theta]$ -submodule B of A and a prime ideal P in R satisfying one of the two relationships described.

Choose a nonzero $x \in A$ whose R -annihilator $P = \{r \in R \mid xr = 0\}$ is maximal among the R -annihilators of nonzero elements of A , and set $B = xR[\theta]$. According to [7, Theorem 6], P is a prime ideal of R . If P is a differential ideal, then $R[\theta]P = PR[\theta]$ and we obtain $BP = 0$. In this case the maximality

of P now ensures that $B_{R/P}$ is torsion-free. Clearly $B \cong R[\theta]/J$ for some right ideal J of $R[\theta]$ such that $PR[\theta] \subseteq J$ and $J \cap R = P$. If P is not a differential ideal, then an easy argument due to Hart in [4, Lemma 2.4] shows that $J = PR[\theta]$.

Theorem 5. *Let R be a noetherian Ritt algebra with $\text{gl. dim. } R = n < \infty$. If $\text{pd}_R(R/P) < n$ for all prime differential ideals P of R , then $\text{r. gl. dim. } R[\theta] = n$.*

Proof. If $n = 0$, then R must contain a prime ideal P which is generated by an idempotent e . Differentiating the identities $e^2 = e$ and $(1 - e)^2 = 1 - e$, we find that $\delta e = 0$ and so P is a differential ideal. But $\text{pd}_R(R/P) < 0$ is impossible, hence we must have $n \neq 0$.

We have $\text{r. gl. dim. } R[\theta] \geq n$ by Proposition 3, hence it suffices to prove that $\text{pd}_{R[\theta]}(A) \leq n$ for any nonzero finitely generated $A_{R[\theta]}$. Since $R[\theta]$ is right noetherian, it is enough to show that $\text{wd}_{R[\theta]}(A) \leq n$. In view of Lemma 4, we may also assume that there is a prime ideal P in R such that either $A \cong R[\theta]/PR[\theta]$ or else P is a differential ideal and A is a torsion-free (R/P) -module.

If $A \cong R[\theta]/PR[\theta]$, then obviously $\text{wd}_{R[\theta]}(A) \leq \text{wd}_R(R/P) \leq n$.

Now assume that P is a differential ideal and that A is a torsion-free (R/P) -module. Then A_R can be embedded in some direct product T of copies of the quotient field of R/P , and since R/P is noetherian we see that $T_{R/P}$ is flat. We are given $\text{pd}_R(R/P) \leq n - 1$, and we infer from [2, Proposition 4.1.2, p. 117] that $\text{wd}_R(T) \leq n - 1$ also. There is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow T \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$, and certainly $\text{wd}_R(C) \leq n$, hence it follows from the long exact sequence for Tor that $\text{wd}_R(A) \leq n - 1$. By Proposition 2, $\text{wd}_{R[\theta]}(A) \leq n$.

Lemma 6. *Let R be a commutative noetherian differential ring with $\text{gl. dim. } R = n < \infty$. If R is local with maximal ideal M , and if M is a differential ideal, then $\text{r. gl. dim. } R[\theta] = n + 1$.*

Proof. We already have $\text{r. gl. dim. } R[\theta] \leq n + 1$ by Proposition 3. According to [6, Part III, Theorems 12, 13], R has classical Krull dimension n , and by [8, (h)] R must also have Krull dimension n in the sense of [8].

Inasmuch as M is a differential ideal, R/M is a differential ring and $MR[\theta]$ is a two-sided ideal of $R[\theta]$ with $R[\theta]/MR[\theta] \cong (R/M)[\theta]$. Observing that the right Krull dimension of $(R/M)[\theta]$ is at least 1, we see from [1, Lemma 2.c.1] that the right Krull dimension of $R[\theta]$ is at least $n + 1$.

Now $R[\theta]$ is a filtered noetherian ring whose associated graded ring is the commutative regular noetherian ring $R[x]$. According to a theorem of Roos in [11] (quoted in [1, p. 78]), the right Krull dimension of $R[\theta]$ is at most r . $\text{gl. dim. } R[\theta]$, hence we obtain $r. \text{ gl. dim. } R[\theta] \geq n + 1$.

Lemma 7. *Let R be a commutative differential ring, S any multiplicative subset of R .*

(a) δ induces a derivation on R_S by the rule $\delta(r/s) = [(\delta r)s - r(\delta s)]/s^2$.

(b) *The natural map $\phi: R[\theta] \rightarrow R_S[\theta]$ makes $R_S[\theta]$ into a flat left $R[\theta]$ -module such that the multiplication map $R_S[\theta] \otimes_{R[\theta]} R_S[\theta] \rightarrow R_S[\theta]$ is an isomorphism.*

(c) $r. \text{ gl. dim. } R_S[\theta] \leq r. \text{ gl. dim. } R[\theta]$.

Proof. (a) is an easy verification.

(b) We check that $\ker \phi = \{x \in R[\theta] \mid xs = 0 \text{ for some } s \in S\}$, that each element of $\phi(S)$ is invertible in $R_S[\theta]$, and that every element of $R_S[\theta]$ may be written in the form $(\phi x)(\phi s)^{-1}$ for suitable $x \in R[\theta]$, $s \in S$. Thus $R_S[\theta]$ is just the classical localization of $R[\theta]$ with respect to the multiplicative set S , and the required properties follow as in the commutative case.

(c) According to (b), $R_S[\theta]$ is a right localization of $R[\theta]$ in the sense of [12], hence this inequality follows from [12, Corollary 1.3].

Theorem 8. *Let R be a commutative noetherian differential ring with $\text{gl. dim. } R = n < \infty$. If R contains a prime differential ideal P such that $\text{pd}_R(R/P) = n$, then $r. \text{ gl. dim. } R[\theta] = n + 1$.*

Proof. We already have $r. \text{ gl. dim. } R[\theta] \leq n + 1$ by Proposition 3. According to [6, Part III, Theorem 11], $\text{gl. dim. } R_P \leq n$. There is a natural exact sequence $0 \rightarrow R/P \rightarrow R_P/PR_P \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$, and we have $\text{wd}_R(R/P) = n$, $\text{wd}_R(A) \leq n$, hence it follows from the long exact sequence for Tor that $\text{wd}_R(R_P/PR_P) = n$. Because $(R_P)_R$ is flat, the weak dimension of R_P/PR_P over R_P must be at least n , and we conclude that $\text{gl. dim. } R_P = n$.

Now R_P is a differential ring as in Lemma 7, and PR_P is a differential ideal of R_P because P is a differential ideal of R , hence we obtain $r. \text{ gl. dim. } R_P[\theta] = n + 1$ from Lemma 6. Therefore $r. \text{ gl. dim. } R[\theta] \geq n + 1$, by Lemma 7.

Corollary 9. *Let R be a commutative noetherian differential ring with $\text{gl. dim. } R = n < \infty$, and assume that R has prime characteristic p . If R contains a prime ideal Q such that $\delta^p(Q) \subseteq Q$ and $\text{pd}_R(R/Q) = n$, then $r. \text{ gl. dim. } R[\theta] = n + 1$.*

Proof. Because of characteristic p , it follows from Leibnitz' rule that δ^p is a derivation on R . Likewise, we obtain $\theta^p a = a\theta^p + \delta^p a$ for all $a \in R$, whence the set $R[\theta^p]$ is a subring of $R[\theta]$ isomorphic to $(R, \delta^p)[\theta]$. Since Q is a prime differential ideal in (R, δ^p) , Theorem 8 says that $\text{r. gl. dim. } R[\theta^p] = n + 1$. Observing that $R[\theta]$ is a free right and left $R[\theta^p]$ -module, we obtain $\text{r. gl. dim. } R[\theta] \geq n + 1$ from [1, Lemma 2.b.4], and by Proposition 3 we are done.

Combining Theorems 5 and 8 immediately yields the following formula:

Theorem 10. *Let R be a noetherian Ritt algebra with $\text{gl. dim } R = n < \infty$. If $k = \sup\{\text{pd}_R(R/P) \mid P \text{ is a prime differential ideal of } R\}$, then $\text{r. gl. dim. } R[\theta] = \max\{n, k + 1\}$.*

4. Applications and remarks. (a) The Weyl algebra $A_1(S)$ over a ring S is just $S[x][\theta]$, where we use the derivation $\delta = \partial/\partial x$ on $S[x]$. If S is a field of characteristic 0, then $\text{r. gl. dim. } A_1(S) = 1$, while if S is a field of positive characteristic, then $\text{r. gl. dim. } A_1(S) = 2$ [9, Theorem, p. 345]. We generalize these results as follows:

Theorem 11. *Let S be a commutative noetherian ring with $\text{gl. dim. } S = n < \infty$. If S is an algebra over the rationals, then $\text{r. gl. dim. } A_1(S) = n + 1$, while if S has positive characteristic, then $\text{r. gl. dim. } A_1(S) = n + 2$.*

Proof. Let R denote the ring $S[x]$ with the derivation $\partial/\partial x$.

Assuming that S is an algebra over the rationals, let P be any differential ideal of R , and set $J = P \cap S$. It is easy to see that $P = JR[x]$, whence $\text{pd}_R(R/P) \leq \text{pd}_S(S/J) \leq n$. According to Theorem 5, $\text{r. gl. dim. } A_1(S) = n + 1$.

Now assume that S has positive characteristic. In view of [6, Part III, Theorems 11, 13], S is a regular noetherian ring, hence [7, Theorem 168] says that S is a finite direct product of domains. Thus we need only consider the case when S is a domain, and here the characteristic of S must be a prime p .

In view of [7, Exercise 7, p. 53], we must have $\text{pd}_S(S/P) = n$ for some prime ideal P of S . Let $Q = P + xR$, which is a prime ideal of R such that $R/Q \cong S/P$. Now $\text{pd}_R(R/Q) = n + 1$ by [6, Part III, Theorem 3], and it is clear that $\delta^p(Q) \subseteq Q$, hence Corollary 9 says that $\text{r. gl. dim. } A_1(S) = n + 2$.

(b) For any ring S , one can define a ring $F_1(S) = S[[x]][\theta]$ using the derivation $\partial/\partial x$ on $S[[x]]$. Proceeding as in Theorem 11, we obtain the following results, of which the first part is a special case of [1, Theorem 4.2].

Theorem 12. *Let S be a commutative noetherian ring with $\text{gl. dim. } S =$*

$n < \infty$. If S is an algebra over the rationals, then $r. \text{ gl. dim. } F_1(S) = n + 1$, while if S has positive characteristic, then $r. \text{ gl. dim. } F_1(S) = n + 2$.

(c) [4, Theorem 2.6] says that if R is a commutative noetherian integral domain (not a field) with finite Krull dimension, and if δ is a derivation on R such that $R[\theta]$ is a simple ring, then $r. \text{ gl. dim. } R[\theta] = \text{ gl. dim. } R$. As noted in [4, Lemma 2.4], it follows from these hypotheses that R is a Ritt algebra and that the only differential ideals in R are 0 and R . Thus for the case $\text{ gl. dim. } R < \infty$, this theorem is a consequence of Theorem 5. For the case $\text{ gl. dim. } R = \infty$, however, the proof of this theorem relies on the inequality $\text{ gl. dim. } R \leq r. \text{ gl. dim. } R[\theta]$ and so is open to question.

(d) [3, Theorem 2] is another theorem which relies on the inequality $\text{ gl. dim. } R \leq r. \text{ gl. dim. } R[\theta]$ in the infinite-dimensional case and so is open to question. In the finite-dimensional case, this result is an easy consequence of Theorem 8.

(e) We conclude by noting that Theorems 5 and 10 may fail in cases of positive characteristic. For example, let F be any algebraically closed field of positive characteristic, and set $R = F[x]$, $\delta = \partial/\partial x$. Since the maximal ideals of R are generated by linear polynomials, none of them can be differential ideals. Thus we have $\text{pd}_R(R/P) = 0 < \text{ gl. dim. } R$ for all prime differential ideals P of R , whereas Theorem 11 says that

$$r. \text{ gl. dim. } R[\theta] = 2 > \text{ gl. dim. } R.$$

Perhaps Theorems 5 and 10 may be made to hold in general by using more differential ideals P than just the prime ones.

Added in proof.

(f) Some results related to those in this paper have appeared in S. M. Bhatwadekar, *On the global dimension of Ore-extensions*, Nagoya Math. J. 50 (1973), 217–225. Bhatwadekar's Theorem 2.3 gives the rational case of our Theorem 11, and his Theorem 1.1 can be obtained as a direct consequence of our Theorem 5.

(g) Other results related to the present paper appear in G. S. Rinehart and A. Rosenberg, *The global dimension of Ore extensions and Weyl algebras*, Communications in Algebra (to appear). Their Theorem 2.6 generalizes our Theorem 11 to the case of Weyl algebras of arbitrary degree.

(h) In a sequel to the present paper, we generalize the results given here to the case of a commutative noetherian ring with a finite collection of commuting derivations. Also, we answer the question raised in Remark (e): Theorem 10 holds for commutative noetherian differential rings R with

gl. dim. $R < \infty$, provided k is set equal to the supremum of $\text{pd}_R(R/P)$ where P ranges over all *primary* differential ideals of R .

REFERENCES

1. J.-E. Björk, *The global homological dimension of some algebras of differential operators*, *Invent. Math.* **17** (1972), 67–78.
2. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1956. MR **17**, 1040.
3. N. S. Gopalakrishnan and R. Sridharan, *Homological dimension of Ore-extensions*, *Pacific J. Math.* **19** (1966), 67–75. MR **34** #223.
4. R. Hart, *Krull dimension and global dimension of simple Ore-extensions*, *Math. Z.* **121** (1971), 341–345. MR **45** #6811.
5. I. Kaplansky, *An introduction to differential algebra*, *Actualités Sci. Indust.*, no. 1251, Hermann, Paris, 1957. MR **20** #177.
6. ———, *Fields and rings*, Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill., 1969. MR **42** #4345.
7. I. Kaplansky, *Commutative rings*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, Mass., 1970. MR **40** #7234.
8. R. Rentschler and P. Gabriel, *Sur la dimension des anneaux et ensembles ordonnés*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A–B* **265** (1967), A712–A715. MR **37** #243.
9. G. S. Rinehart, *Note on the global dimension of a certain ring*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **13** (1962), 341–346. MR **25** #1196.
10. J.-E. Roos, *Détermination de la dimension homologique globale des algèbres de Weyl*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A–B* **274** (1972), A23–A26. MR **45** #1995.
11. ———, *The Weyl algebras are Gorenstein rings. Generalizations and applications* (to appear).
12. L. Silver, *Noncommutative localizations and applications*, *J. Algebra* **7** (1967), 44–76. MR **36** #205.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF UTAH, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH
84112