

SPHERICAL HARMONIC EXPANSION OF THE POISSON-SZEGÖ KERNEL FOR THE BALL

G. B. FOLLAND

ABSTRACT. By applying the theory of unitary spherical harmonics, we obtain an expansion of the Poisson-Szegö kernel for the unit ball in complex n -space in terms of Jacobi polynomials and hypergeometric functions.

1. **Dictionary.** We list here the various constants and special functions we will be using. The reader should proceed to §2 and refer back here as necessary. For the relevant properties of these special functions we refer to the Bateman Manuscript Project [1].

(A) For $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, ω_n is the area of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n :

$$\omega_n = 2\pi^{n/2} \{\Gamma(n/2)\}^{-1}.$$

(B) For $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$, $d(k; n)$ is the dimension of the space of homogeneous harmonic polynomials of degree k on \mathbb{R}^n :

$$d(k; n) = (2k + n - 2)(k + n - 3)\{(n - 2)!k!\}^{-1}.$$

(C) For $p, q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$, we set

$$D(p, q; n) = \frac{(p + q + n - 1)(p + n - 2)!(q + n - 2)!}{p!q!(n - 1)!(n - 2)!}.$$

(D) For $\alpha, \beta > -1$ and $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $P_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is the Jacobi polynomial of degree m associated to (α, β) :

$$P_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) = \frac{(1 - t)^\alpha (1 + t)^\beta}{m! 2^m} \frac{d^m}{dt^m} [(1 - t)^{\alpha+m} (1 + t)^{\beta+m}].$$

We have

Received by the editors December 19, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 35C10; Secondary 42A56, 33A30, 33A45.

Key words and phrases. Poisson-Szegö kernel, spherical harmonics, Jacobi polynomials, hypergeometric functions.

Copyright © 1975. American Mathematical Society

$$P_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1) = \Gamma(\alpha + m + 1) \{m! \Gamma(\alpha + 1)\}^{-1}.$$

(E) For $p, q = 0, 1, 2, \dots; n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$, and $z = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{C}$, we set

$$H_n^{p, q}(z) = D(p, q; n) r^{|p-q|} e^{i(p-q)\theta} \frac{P_{\min(p, q)}^{(n-2, |p-q|)}(2r^2 - 1)}{\omega_{2n} P_{\min(p, q)}^{(n-2, |p-q|)}(1)}.$$

(F) For $\lambda > -\frac{1}{2}$ and $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, C_m^λ is the Gegenbauer polynomial of degree m associated to λ :

$$C_m^\lambda(t) = \frac{\Gamma(2\lambda + m)\Gamma(\lambda + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(2\lambda)\Gamma(\lambda + m + \frac{1}{2})} P_m^{(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}, \lambda - \frac{1}{2})}(t).$$

We have $C_m^\lambda(1) = \Gamma(2\lambda + m) \{m! \Gamma(2\lambda)\}^{-1}$.

(G) For $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots; n = 3, 4, 5, \dots$, and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we set

$$G_n^k(t) = \frac{d^k k; n}{\omega_n} \frac{C_k^{(n-2)/2}(t)}{C_k^{(n-2)/2}(1)}.$$

(H) For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, c > 0$, and $|t| < 1$, $F(a, b, c; t)$ is the hypergeometric function of t associated to (a, b, c) :

$$F(a, b, c; t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+n)\Gamma(b+n)\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+n)} \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

If $c > a + b$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} F(a, b, c; t)$ exists and equals

$$F(a, b, c; 1) = \Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - a - b) \{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b)\}^{-1}.$$

(I) For $p, q = 0, 1, 2, \dots; n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$, and $0 \leq r \leq 1$, we set

$$S_n^{p, q}(r) = r^{p+q} \frac{F(p, q, p+q+n; r^2)}{F(p, q, p+q+n; 1)}.$$

2. **The Poisson kernel.** We recall some facts from classical potential theory (cf. Stein-Weiss [8]). Let B_n be the open unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n , $\Omega_n = \partial B_n$ the unit sphere, and Δ the Laplace operator. Given $f \in C(\Omega_n)$, the Dirichlet problem of finding $u \in C(\overline{B_n})$ such that $\Delta u = 0$ in B_n and $u|_{\Omega_n} = f$ is solved by the formula

$$u(x) = \int_{\Omega_n} P_n(x, \xi) f(\xi) d\xi,$$

where P_n is the Poisson kernel defined for $(x, \xi) \in B_n \times \Omega_n$ by

$$P_n(x, \xi) = (1 - |x|^2) / \omega_n |x - \xi|^n.$$

If $n \geq 3$, the orthogonal projection π_k of $L^2(\Omega_n)$ onto the space of spherical harmonics of degree k is given by

$$\pi_k f(\eta) = \int_{\Omega_n} G_n^k(\eta \cdot \xi) f(\xi) d\xi,$$

where $\eta \cdot \xi$ denotes the standard scalar product of η and ξ in \mathbf{R}^n . It is well known, and can be shown by the methods used below, that P_n ($n \geq 3$) has the following expansion in spherical harmonics:

$$(1) \quad P_n(r\eta, \xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k G_n^k(\eta \cdot \xi) \quad (0 \leq r < 1; \eta, \xi \in \Omega_n).$$

If $n = 2$, the corresponding formula is of course

$$(2) \quad P_2(re^{i\theta}, e^{i\phi}) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} r^{|k|} e^{ik(\theta-\phi)} = 1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r^k \cos k(\theta - \phi),$$

where we have identified \mathbf{R}^2 with \mathbf{C} .

3. **The Poisson-Szegö kernel.** We now consider B_{2n} and Ω_{2n} as the unit ball and unit sphere in \mathbf{C}^n , and we denote by Δ_B the Laplace-Beltrami operator associated to the Bergman metric on B_{2n} . We have

$$\Delta_B = \frac{4}{n+1} (1 - |z|^2) \sum_{i,j=1}^n (\delta_{ij} - z_i \bar{z}_j) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}.$$

Δ_B is the basic invariant differential operator on the symmetric space $B_{2n} \cong SU(n, 1)/U(n)$. Although Δ_B degenerates at the boundary, the Dirichlet problem of finding $u \in C(\bar{B}_{2n})$ such that $\Delta_B u = 0$ in B_{2n} and $u|_{\Omega_{2n}} = f$ is well posed for $f \in C(\Omega_{2n})$, and the solution is given by

$$u(z) = \int_{\Omega_{2n}} \mathcal{P}_n(z, \zeta) f(\zeta) d\zeta,$$

where \mathcal{P}_n is the Poisson-Szegö kernel defined for $(z, \zeta) \in B_{2n} \times \Omega_{2n}$ by

$$\mathcal{P}_n(z, \zeta) = (1 - |z|^2)^n / \omega_{2n} |1 - z \cdot \zeta|^{2n}.$$

(Here, and in the sequel, $z \cdot \zeta$ denotes the Hermitian scalar product of z and ζ in \mathbb{C}^n .) The uniqueness of the solution u follows from the mean value property of Δ_B .

For the proofs of the foregoing facts, see Stein [7], Hua [4], and Helgason [3].

The object of this note is to derive the analogue of the formula (1) for \mathcal{P}_n ($n \geq 2$). (If $n = 1$, $\Delta_B = \frac{1}{2}(1 - |z|^2)^2 \Delta$ and $\mathcal{P}_1 = P_2$, so the formula in this case is simply (2).) Henceforth we assume $n \geq 2$.

Since Δ_B is invariant under $U(n)$ but not under $O(2n)$, the appropriate analogue of spherical harmonics is the decomposition of $L^2(\Omega_{2n})$ into its $U(n)$ -irreducible components. This theory is developed in Ikeda [5], Vilenkin-Šapiro [9], Folland [2], and Koornwinder [6], and the principal result is as follows.

Proposition 1. $L^2(\Omega_{2n}) = \bigoplus_{p,q=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{H}^{p,q}$, where $\mathfrak{H}^{p,q}$ is the space of restrictions to Ω_{2n} of harmonic polynomials $f(z, \bar{z})$ on \mathbb{C}^n which are homogeneous of degree p in z and degree q in \bar{z} . $\mathfrak{H}^{p,q}$ is $U(n)$ -irreducible, and its dimension is $D(p, q; n)$.

To identify the orthogonal projection onto $\mathfrak{H}^{p,q}$, we use the formula of Koornwinder [6]:

Proposition 2. If $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{D(p,q;n)}$ is any orthonormal basis for $\mathfrak{H}^{p,q}$, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{D(p,q;n)} f_j(\zeta) \overline{f_j(\eta)} = H_n^{p,q}(\zeta \cdot \eta).$$

Consequently, the orthogonal projection $\pi_{p,q}: L^2(\Omega_{2n}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}^{p,q}$ is given by

$$\pi_{p,q} f(\zeta) = \int_{\Omega_{2n}} H_n^{p,q}(\zeta \cdot \eta) f(\eta) d\eta.$$

We now identify the Δ_B -harmonic extensions of elements of $\mathfrak{H}^{p,q}$.

Proposition 3. If $f \in \mathfrak{H}^{p,q}$, the (unique) solution of the Dirichlet problem $\Delta_B u = 0$ in B_{2n} , $u|_{\Omega_{2n}} = f$ is

$$u(r\zeta) = S_n^{p,q}(r) f(\zeta) \quad (0 \leq r \leq 1, \zeta \in \Omega_{2n}).$$

Proof. One element of $\mathfrak{H}^{p,q}$ is the restriction f_0 to Ω_{2n} of $F_0(z) = z^p \bar{z}^q$. Since $\mathfrak{H}^{p,q}$ is irreducible, every element of $\mathfrak{H}^{p,q}$ is a linear combination of translates of f_0 by elements of $U(n)$. Since moreover Δ_B

commutes with the action of $U(n)$, it will suffice to prove the proposition for $f = f_0$. Thus we seek a Δ_B -harmonic function on B_{2n} of the form $u(z) = g(r^2)z_1^p \bar{z}_2^q$ where $r = |z|$. (We choose g to depend on r^2 instead of r because $u(z)$ must be smooth at $z = 0$.) A straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} &= [g''(r^2)z_i \bar{z}_j + \delta_{ij}g'(r^2)]z_1^p \bar{z}_2^q \\ &+ \delta_{i1}pg'(r^2)z_j z_1^{p-1} \bar{z}_2^q + \delta_{j2}qg'(r^2)\bar{z}_i z_1^p \bar{z}_2^{q-1} + \delta_{i1}\delta_{j2}pqg(r^2)z_1^{p-1} \bar{z}_2^{q-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_i} = [r^2 g''(r^2) + (n + p + q)g'(r^2)]z_1^p \bar{z}_2^q,$$

and

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n z_i \bar{z}_j \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} = [r^4 g''(r^2) + (p + q + 1)r^2 g'(r^2) + pqg(r^2)]z_1^p \bar{z}_2^q,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_B u &= \frac{4}{n+1} (1-r^2)z_1^p \bar{z}_2^q [r^2(1-r^2)g''(r^2) \\ &+ \{(p+q+n) - (p+q+1)r^2\}g'(r^2) - pqg(r^2)]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore if $\Delta_B u = 0$, g must satisfy

$$t(1-t)g''(t) + [(p+q+n) - (p+q+1)t]g'(t) - pqg(t) = 0.$$

But this is the hypergeometric equation with parameters $a = p$, $b = q$, $c = p + q + n$, and the only solutions which are smooth at $t = 0$ are multiples of $F(p, q, p + q + n; t)$. Thus, writing $z = r\zeta$ with $\zeta \in \Omega_{2n}$,

$$u(z) = cF(p, q, p + q + n; r^2)z_1^p \bar{z}_2^q = cF(p, q, p + q + n; r^2)r^{p+q}f_0(\zeta),$$

and the requirement that $u|_{\Omega_{2n}} = f$ shows that $c = \{F(p, q, p + q + n; 1)\}^{-1}$.

This completes the proof.

Remark. Except in the trivial cases $p = 0$ or $q = 0$ (when $F(p, q, p + q + n; r^2) \equiv 1$), $S_n^{p,q}(r)$ has a branch point at $r = 1$. Thus, in contradistinction to the real case, the Δ_B -harmonic extension of $f \in \mathfrak{S}^{p,q}$ to B_{2n} cannot be analytically continued outside B_{2n} . This is not surpris-

ing since the boundary is "at infinity" from the point of view of the Bergman metric.

We now state the main result.

Theorem. For $0 \leq r < 1$ and $\eta, \zeta \in \Omega_{2n}$,

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{P}_n(r\eta, \zeta) = \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} S_n^{p,q}(r) H_n^{p,q}(\eta \cdot \zeta).$$

The series on the right converges absolutely and uniformly for $\eta, \zeta \in \Omega_{2n}$ and $0 \leq r \leq \rho$ for each $\rho < 1$.

Proof. We first draw some consequences from Proposition 2. Let $f_1, \dots, f_{D(p,q;n)}$ be any orthonormal basis for $\mathfrak{S}^{p,q}$; then, first,

$$H_n^{p,q}(1) = H_n^{p,q}(\eta \cdot \eta) = \sum_{j=1}^{D(p,q;n)} |f_j(\eta)|^2$$

for any $\eta \in \Omega_{2n}$. Second,

$$\begin{aligned} H_n^{p,q}(1) &= \omega_{2n}^{-1} \int_{\Omega_{2n}} H_n^{p,q}(1) d\eta \\ &= \omega_{2n}^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{D(p,q;n)} \int_{\Omega_{2n}} |f_j(\eta)|^2 d\eta = \omega_{2n}^{-1} D(p, q; n). \end{aligned}$$

Third, by orthogonality of the f_j 's,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_{2n}} |H_n^{p,q}(\eta \cdot \zeta)|^2 d\eta &= \sum_{j=1}^{D(p,q;n)} \int_{\Omega_{2n}} |f_j(\eta)|^2 |f_j(\zeta)|^2 d\eta \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{D(p,q;n)} |f_j(\zeta)|^2 = H_n^{p,q}(1) = \omega_{2n}^{-1} D(p, q; n). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, $H_n^{p,q}(\eta \cdot \zeta)$ is Hermitian-symmetric in η and ζ and is in $\mathfrak{S}^{p,q}$ as a function of η for each fixed ζ ; therefore by the Schwarz inequality,

$$|H_n^{p,q}(\eta \cdot \zeta)| = \left| \int_{\Omega_{2n}} H_n^{p,q}(\eta \cdot \xi) H_n^{p,q}(\xi \cdot \zeta) d\xi \right| \leq \omega_{2n}^{-1} D(p, q; n).$$

Moreover, since $\bigoplus_{p+q=k} \mathfrak{S}^{p,q}$ is the space of all spherical harmonics of degree k ,

$$D(p, q; n) \leq d(p + q; 2n) \leq \text{const}(p + q + 1)^{2n}.$$

Now since $F(p, q, p + q + n; t)$ is an increasing function for $t \in [0, 1]$, we have $|S_n^{p, q}(r)| \leq r^{p+q}$. Thus if $r \leq \rho < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p, q=0}^{\infty} |S_n^{p, q}(r) H_n^{p, q}(\eta \cdot \zeta)| &\leq \text{const} \sum_{p, q=0}^{\infty} \rho^{p+q} (p + q + 1)^{2n} \\ &= \text{const} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho^k (k + 1)^{2n+1} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This establishes the second assertion.

In particular, the right-hand side of (3) converges uniformly to a continuous function of ζ for each fixed $r \in [0, 1)$ and $\eta \in \Omega_{2n}$. Thus to prove (3) it will suffice to show that for each $r \in [0, 1)$, $\eta \in \Omega_{2n}$, and $f \in C(\Omega_{2n})$,

$$\int_{\Omega_{2n}} \mathcal{P}_n(r\eta, \zeta) f(\zeta) d\zeta = \int_{\Omega_{2n}} \sum_{p, q=0}^{\infty} S_n^{p, q}(r) H_n^{p, q}(\eta \cdot \zeta) f(\zeta) d\zeta.$$

But on interchanging the summation and integration, we see from Propositions 2 and 3 that this is true when f is a finite sum of spherical harmonics, and since such functions are dense in $C(\Omega_{2n})$, it is true in general. The proof is complete.

REFERENCES

1. A. Erdélyi et al., *Higher transcendental functions*. Vols. I, II, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953. MR 15, 419.
2. G. B. Folland, *The tangential Cauchy-Riemann complex on spheres*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 171 (1972), 83–133. MR 46 #8266.
3. S. Helgason, *Differential geometry and symmetric spaces*, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 12, Academic Press, New York, 1962. MR 26 #2986.
4. L. K. Hua, *Harmonic analysis of several complex variables in the classical domains*, Science Press, Peking, 1958; English transl., Transl. Math. Monographs, vol. 6, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1963. MR 23 #A3277; 30 #2162.
5. M. Ikeda, *On spherical functions for the unitary group*. I. General theory, Mem. Fac. Engrg. Hiroshima Univ. 3 (1967), 17–29. MR 36 #4042.
6. T. H. Koornwinder, *The addition formula for Jacobi polynomials*. II, Math. Centrum Amsterdam Afd. Toegep. Wisk. Report TW 133, 1972.
7. E. M. Stein, *Boundary behavior of holomorphic functions of several complex variables*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1972.

8. E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces*, Princeton Math. Ser., no. 32, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971. MR 46 #4102.

9. N. Ja. Vilenkin and R. L. Šapiro, *Irreducible representations of the group $SU(n)$ of class I relative to $SU(n-1)$* , *Izv. Vysš. Učebn. Zaved. Matematika* 1967, no. 7 (62), 9–20. (Russian) MR 36 #2741.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98195