

UNIQUE BEST NONLINEAR APPROXIMATION IN HILBERT SPACES

CHARLES K. CHUI AND PHILIP W. SMITH

ABSTRACT. Using the notion of curvature of a manifold, developed by J. R. Rice and recently studied by E. R. Rozema and the second named author, the authors prove the following result: Let H be a Hilbert space and F map R^n into H such that F is a homeomorphism onto $\mathcal{F} = F(R^n)$ and is twice continuously Fréchet differentiable. Then if $F'(\alpha) \cdot R^n$ is of dimension n for all $\alpha \in R^n$, the manifold \mathcal{F} has finite curvature everywhere. It follows that there is a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{F} such that each $u \in \mathcal{U}$ has a *unique* best approximation from \mathcal{F} . However, these results do not hold in general for uniformly smooth Banach spaces.

1. **Introduction.** Nonlinear approximation arises naturally in many problems. In particular, where algorithmic solutions are desired, uniqueness questions are of great concern. Many recent papers have been written on this subject; for example, see [3], [4], [5], [6], and [7]. In [4], Rozema and the second named author have studied the question of unique best approximation in uniformly smooth Banach spaces. This note is a completion of [4] in the sense that for a Hilbert space it gives a sufficient condition for finite curvature, and hence unique best approximation, in terms of smoothness of the map.

Let F map R^n into a Hilbert space H . We will denote by \mathcal{F} the image of R^n under F and by $F'(\alpha)$ the Fréchet derivative of F at $\alpha \in R^n$. Throughout this paper we will assume that F satisfies the following three conditions:

- (a) F is a homeomorphism onto its image \mathcal{F} (where \mathcal{F} is given the topology induced by H).
- (b) The first and second Fréchet derivatives of F exist and are continuous. (We will denote the second Fréchet derivative of F at α by $F''(\alpha)$.)
- (c) The dimension of $F'(\alpha) \cdot R^n$ is n for each $\alpha \in R^n$.

As in [4], we define the tangent plane $T(x)$ to \mathcal{F} at $x = F(\alpha)$ by $T(x) = x + F'(\alpha) \cdot R^n$, and the set of normals $\mathcal{N}(x)$ to \mathcal{F} at x by $\mathcal{N}(x) =$

Received by the editors February 6, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 41A50.

Key words and phrases. Nonlinear approximation, curvature, unique best approximation, splines, Hilbert space.

$\{y: (y - x) \perp T(x)\}$. Let x and z be in \mathcal{F} , and for $y \in \mathcal{N}(x)$, we set

$$\rho(x, y, z) = \inf \|y(z) - z\|$$

where the infimum is taken over all the $y(z)$ that lie on the line generated by x and y and satisfy $\|y(z) - x\| = \|y(z) - z\|$. If no $y(z)$ exists, we set $\rho(x, y, z) = \infty$. Let

$$\rho(x, y) = \liminf_{z \rightarrow x, z \in \mathcal{F}} \rho(x, y, z).$$

The radius of curvature $\rho(x)$ of \mathcal{F} at x is defined in [4] as

$$\rho(x) = \inf_{y \in \mathcal{N}(x)} \rho(x, y)$$

and the curvature $\sigma(x)$ of \mathcal{F} at x is defined by $\sigma(x) = 1/\rho(x)$. For simplicity we will only consider real Hilbert spaces.

2. Finite curvature in terms of smoothness. We first establish the following theorem for a Hilbert space H . In the next section we will see that this result does not necessarily hold for a uniformly smooth Banach space in general.

Theorem 1. *Let $F: R^n \rightarrow H$ satisfy (a), (b), and (c). Then the manifold \mathcal{F} has finite curvature everywhere.*

Proof. We first calculate $\rho(x, y, z)$ where $x = F(\alpha)$ and $z = F(\beta)$ with $\alpha, \beta \in R^n$, and y lies on $\mathcal{N}(x)$. We pick the element $y(z) \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ where $y(z) = x + t(y - x)$, $t \in R^1$, so that $\|y(z) - x\| = \|y(z) - z\|$. Hence,

$$\|x\|^2 - 2\langle x + t(y - x), x \rangle = \|z\|^2 - 2\langle x + t(y - x), z \rangle.$$

Solving for t , we obtain

$$t = \frac{\|z\|^2 + \|x\|^2 - 2\langle x, z \rangle}{2\langle y - x, z - x \rangle} = \frac{\|z - x\|^2}{2\langle y - x, z - x \rangle},$$

and

$$\rho(x, y, z) = \|y(z) - z\| = \|y(z) - x\| = \frac{\|z - x\|^2 \|y - x\|}{2|\langle y - x, z - x \rangle|}.$$

Fix $x \in \mathcal{F}$. To show that \mathcal{F} has finite curvature at x , we will bound $\rho(x, y, z)$ from below. Subtracting and adding $\langle y - x, F'(\alpha) \cdot (\beta - \alpha) \rangle$ in the denominator of $\rho(x, y, z)$ yields

$$\langle y - x, z - x \rangle = \langle y - x, (z - x) - F'(\alpha) \cdot (\beta - \alpha) \rangle$$

since y is in $\mathcal{N}(x)$. Using the Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\langle y - x, z - x \rangle \leq \|y - x\| \|(z - x) - F'(\alpha) \cdot (\beta - \alpha)\|.$$

Hence,

$$\rho(x, y, z) \geq \frac{\|z - x\|^2}{2\|(z - x) - F'(\alpha) \cdot (\beta - \alpha)\|}.$$

Next, we want to find an upper bound for the above denominator when z is close to x . Since F is twice continuously Fréchet differentiable in a neighborhood of α , we have (cf. [2, pp. 99, 180])

$$\begin{aligned} & \|F(\beta) - F(\alpha) - F'(\alpha) \cdot (\beta - \alpha)\| \\ &= \left\| \left[\int_0^1 (1-s)F''(\alpha + s(\beta - \alpha)) ds \right] \cdot (\beta - \alpha)^{(2)} \right\| \leq c_1 |\beta - \alpha|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant c_1 independent of β if β is close to α . Here, $(\beta - \alpha)^{(2)}$ stands for $((\beta - \alpha), (\beta - \alpha))$ and $|\cdot|$ is a norm in R^n . This gives, for β close to α (or z close to x),

$$\rho(x, y, z) \geq \frac{\|F(\beta) - F(\alpha)\|^2}{2c_1 |\beta - \alpha|^2}.$$

It is known (cf. [1, p. 219], [4]) that

$$F(\beta) - F(\alpha) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^i t_{ij} F'(\gamma_{ij}) \right) \cdot (\beta - \alpha)$$

when $t_{ji} \geq 0$, $\sum_{j=1}^i t_{ij} = 1$, and γ_{ij} lies on the line segment formed by α and β , $1 \leq j \leq i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$. By assumption (c), we see that there is a positive constant c_2 independent of β so that

$$\|F'(\alpha) \cdot (\beta - \alpha)\| \geq c_2 |\beta - \alpha|$$

for all β . From the continuity of F' , it follows that when β is close to α ,

$$\left\| \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^i t_{ij} F'(\gamma_{ij}) - F'(\alpha) \right\| \leq c_2/2.$$

Thus, for β close to α ,

$$- \left\| \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^i t_{ij} F'(\gamma_{ij}) \right\} \cdot (\beta - \alpha) \right\| + \|F'(\alpha) \cdot (\beta - \alpha)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} c_2 |\beta - \alpha|,$$

or

$$\frac{1}{2}c_2|\beta - \alpha| \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^i t_{ij} F'(y_{ij}) \cdot (\beta - \alpha) \right\| = \|F(\beta) - F(\alpha)\|,$$

so that

$$\rho(x, y, z) \geq \frac{c_2|\beta - \alpha|^2}{2c_1|\beta - \alpha|^2} = c_3 > 0$$

for all $z = F(\beta)$ close to $x = F(\alpha)$. Hence,

$$\rho(x, y) = \liminf_{z \rightarrow x, z \in \mathcal{F}} \rho(x, y, z) \geq c_3$$

and $\rho(x) = \inf_{y \in \mathcal{H}(x)} \rho(x, y) \geq c_3$, or $\sigma(x) \leq 1/c_3 < \infty$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

3. Unique and nonunique best approximation, and an application. As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 above and Theorem 4.1 in [4], we have the following:

Theorem 2. *Let $F: R^n \rightarrow H$ satisfy (a), (b), and (c). There is a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{F} such that each $u \in \mathcal{U}$ has a unique best approximation from \mathcal{F} .*

Theorems 1 and 2 could have been stated in terms of ‘pieces’ of manifolds in the following way. Let Ω be an open subset of R^n and let $F: \Omega \rightarrow H$ satisfy (a), (b), and (c) with the exception that (c) is modified to (c’) by changing ‘for all $\alpha \in R^n$ ’ to ‘for all $\alpha \in \Omega$ ’. In particular, we have the following

Corollary. *Let Ω be an open subset of R^n and let $F: \Omega \rightarrow H$ satisfy (a), (b), and (c’). Then $F(\Omega) = \mathcal{F}$ has a neighborhood \mathcal{U} so that every $u \in \mathcal{U}$ has a unique best approximation in \mathcal{F} .*

The proof of this corollary requires no new idea and will be omitted. As an example, consider the spline mapping $F: R^{2N+n+1} \rightarrow L^2[0, 1]$ defined by

$$F(\alpha) = F(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{2N+n}) = \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j t^j + \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_{n+j} (t - \alpha_{N+n+j})_+^n$$

where for a real number t , $(t)_+ = \max(t, 0)$. Let $\Omega_1 = \{\alpha \in R^{2N+n+1}: 0 < \alpha_{n+N+1} < \dots < \alpha_{n+2N} < 1, \alpha_j \neq 0 \text{ for } n+1 \leq j \leq n+N\}$ and $\Omega = \{\alpha \in \Omega_1:$

$|\alpha| < r < \infty$. Clearly, $F: \Omega \rightarrow L^2[0, 1]$ satisfies (a), (b), and (c') if $n \geq 3$. Indeed, by considering $\alpha \in \Omega_1$, we can guarantee that (c') holds, and in order to guarantee that (a) holds, we must bound $\alpha \in \Omega_1$, and hence, we restrict ourselves to Ω . Finally, for $n \geq 3$, it is clear that (b) holds. Thus the corollary applies and we may conclude that $\mathcal{F} = F(\Omega)$ has a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of uniqueness. That is, every $L^2[0, 1]$ function in \mathcal{U} has a unique spline approximation in $L^2[0, 1]$ from \mathcal{F} . The reader can see from the method of proof that in this example the constants c_1 and c_2 , which determine \mathcal{U} , can be estimated.

These results are in some sense surprising since they are not true in general when the range of F is just a uniformly smooth Banach space. For example, let $l^p(2)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, be the two-dimensional space R^2 with the norm $\|(x, y)\| = (|x|^p + |y|^p)^{1/p}$ and let $F: R^1 \rightarrow l^p(2)$ satisfy (a), (b), and (c) with $F(t) = (\cos t, \sin t)$ in a neighborhood Ω of $t = 0$. Then F is clearly infinitely Fréchet differentiable in Ω . If $p > 2$ and $\epsilon > 0$ is small enough, it is easy to see that the points $(s, 0)$ with $1 - \epsilon < s < 1$ do not have unique best approximations from $\mathcal{F} = F(\Omega)$. Since finite curvature implies the existence of a neighborhood of uniqueness, we can conclude that \mathcal{F} has infinite curvature at $t = 0$.

REFERENCES

1. V. I. Averbuh and O. G. Smoljanov, *Differentiation theory in linear topological spaces*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 22 (1967), no. 6 (138), 201–260 = Russian Math. Surveys 22 (1967), no. 6, 201–258. MR 36 #6933.
2. J. Dieudonné, *Foundations of modern analysis*, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 10, Academic Press, New York, 1960. MR 22 #11074.
3. J. R. Rice, *The approximation of functions*. Vol. II. *Nonlinear and multivariate theory*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969. MR 39 #5989.
4. E. R. Rozema and P. W. Smith, *Nonlinear approximation in uniformly smooth Banach spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 188 (1974), 199–212.
5. I. Singer, *Best approximation in normed vector spaces by elements of vector subspaces*, Ed. Acad. Repub. Soc. România, Bucharest, 1967; English Transl., Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 171, Springer-Verlag, New York and Berlin, 1970. MR 38 #3677; 42 #4937.
6. D. E. Wulbert, *Uniqueness and differential characterization of approximations from manifolds of functions*, Amer. J. Math. 93 (1971), 350–366. MR 45 #4036.
7. ———, *Nonlinear approximation with tangential characterization*, Amer. J. Math. 93 (1971), 718–730. MR 45 #4037.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A & M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS 77843