

WEAKLY COMPACT GROUPS OF OPERATORS

T. A. GILLESPIE AND T. T. WEST

ABSTRACT. It is shown that the weakly closed algebra generated by a weakly compact group of operators on a Banach space is reflexive and equals its second commutant. Also, an example is given to show that the generator of a monothetic weakly compact group of operators need not have a logarithm in the algebra of all bounded linear operators on the underlying space.

Let X be a complex Banach space, $B(X)$ the algebra of all bounded linear operators on X , and I the identity operator on X . By a group in $B(X)$ we shall mean a multiplicative group with unit I . The weak operator topology on $B(X)$ is denoted by the letter w . Given a nonempty subset \mathfrak{G} of $B(X)$, \mathfrak{G}' and \mathfrak{G}'' denote the first and second commutants of \mathfrak{G} , and $A(\mathfrak{G})$ is the w -closed subalgebra of $B(X)$ generated by \mathfrak{G} and I . The lattice of all \mathfrak{G} -invariant closed subspaces of X is denoted by $\text{Lat } \mathfrak{G}$, and

$$\text{Alg Lat } \mathfrak{G} = \{T \in B(X) : T(L) \subset L \ (L \in \text{Lat } \mathfrak{G})\}.$$

A subalgebra A of $B(X)$ is *reflexive* if $\text{Alg Lat } A = A$. It is clear that reflexive algebras are w -closed and contain I . Finally, \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{T} are the complex numbers, the reals, the integers and the unit circle.

We present several results concerning w -compact groups in $B(X)$. Such groups come within the general framework discussed by de Leeuw in [1], where the underlying space is called a G -space. The monothetic (singly generated) case has been considered in [4], [5], where an operator in $B(X)$ generating a w -compact group (with unit I) is called a G -operator. It was shown in [4] that, if \mathfrak{G} is a monothetic w -compact group, then $A(\mathfrak{G})$ is reflexive and $\mathfrak{G}'' = A(\mathfrak{G})$. In fact the methods developed there and in [5] can be extended to prove

Theorem 1. *Let \mathfrak{G} be an abelian w -compact group in $B(X)$ (with unit I). Then $A(\mathfrak{G})$ is reflexive and $\mathfrak{G}'' = A(\mathfrak{G})$.*

Received by the editors February 4, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 47D10, 47A15, 46L20;
Secondary 43A22, 43A05.

Problem A. Does Theorem 1 remain valid if the hypothesis that \mathcal{G} be abelian is omitted?

G -operators have occurred in the work of Ljubić [8], where a study is made of the spectral properties of an operator $S \in B(X)$ satisfying $\|\exp(i r S)\| \leq M$ ($r \in \mathbf{R}$) and a certain almost-periodic condition. (The space X is taken to be weakly sequentially complete.) It is shown that each such S has a total set of eigenvectors corresponding to real eigenvalues, from which it is easily seen (via [4, Theorem 1.2]) that $\exp(iS)$ is a G -operator.

Problem B. Can every G -operator be written as $\exp(iS)$ for some bounded S ?

Solution A. Theorem 1 does indeed extend to the nonabelian case and we sketch the main ideas of the proof.

Let \mathcal{G} be a w -compact group in $B(X)$.

Lemma 2 [2, Theorem 8.1]. X is the closed linear span of finite dimensional \mathcal{G} -invariant subspaces.

An easy consequence of this is the following description of $\text{Lat } \mathcal{G}$ (cf. Corollary 1.4 of [4]).

Lemma 3. Each subspace in $\text{Lat } \mathcal{G}$ is spanned by finite dimensional \mathcal{G} -irreducible subspaces.

Write $X^{(n)}$ for the direct sum of n copies of X and $T^{(n)} \in B(X^{(n)})$ for the n th direct sum of T . Putting $\mathcal{G}^{(n)} = \{T^{(n)}: T \in \mathcal{G}\}$, it is easy to see that $\mathcal{G}^{(n)}$ is a w -compact group in $B(X^{(n)})$ with unit $I^{(n)}$.

Lemma 4. Let $S \in \text{Alg Lat } \mathcal{G}$. Then $S^{(n)} \in \text{Alg Lat } \mathcal{G}^{(n)}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

This is the key result and we sketch its proof. A straightforward argument reduces the proof to the case $n = 2$. It is then sufficient, by Lemma 3, to show that each finite dimensional $\mathcal{G}^{(2)}$ -irreducible subspace M of $X^{(2)}$ is $S^{(2)}$ -invariant. Using irreducibility, this is easily done in the case when M contains $(0, x)$ for some $x \neq 0$. Suppose therefore that M contains no elements of this form. Then there is a finite dimensional subspace N of X and a linear operator $U: N \rightarrow X$ such that $M = \{(x, Ux): x \in N\}$. The hypotheses on M imply that N and UN belong to $\text{Lat } \mathcal{G}$ and that $(UT - TU)(N) = \{0\}$ ($T \in \mathcal{G}$). Also, N is \mathcal{G} -irreducible and thus either

- (i) $U(N) = N$, or
- (ii) $U(N) \cap N = \{0\}$.

In case (i), U commutes with the irreducible set of operators $\mathcal{G}|N$ on N . Hence U is a scalar, from which it follows that $M \in \text{Lat } S^{(2)}$. In case (ii), the subspace $L = (I + U)N$ is \mathcal{G} - and hence S -invariant. Therefore, given $x \in N$, there exists $y \in N$ such that $Sx - y = -SUX + Uy$. The left-hand side of this equation is in N and the right in $U(N)$, since N and $U(N)$ are S -invariant. Therefore both sides are zero, giving $SUX = USx$ ($x \in N$), and hence $M \in \text{Lat } S^{(2)}$.

A standard argument (cf. [9, Lemma 1]) now gives

Theorem 5. $A(\mathcal{G})$ is reflexive.

Given $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathfrak{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$, the $n \times n$ complex matrices, and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^{(n)}$, let $A\mathbf{x}$ denote the element $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ in $X^{(n)}$ defined by $y_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j$. Let M be a finite dimensional \mathcal{G} -invariant subspace of X with basis $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$. Given $T \in \mathcal{G}$, let $Tu_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}(T)u_j$.

Lemma 6. The map $\alpha: T \rightarrow \alpha(T) = [\alpha_{ij}(T)]$ is an anti-representation of $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Define the operator \mathbf{P} in $B(X^{(n)})$ by

$$\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathcal{G}} \alpha(T^{-1})T^{(n)}\mathbf{x} dT,$$

where dT denotes Haar measure on \mathcal{G} . \mathbf{P} is a projection, but this fact is not needed here. What is needed is the following result, which is easily verified using Lemma 6.

Lemma 7. $\mathbf{P}T^{(n)}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{P}\alpha(T)\mathbf{x}$ for $T \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathbf{x} \in X^{(n)}$.

Defining \mathbf{u} in $X^{(n)}$ by $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$, we have $T^{(n)}\mathbf{u} = \alpha(T)\mathbf{u}$ for each $T \in \mathcal{G}$. Therefore, from the definition of \mathbf{P} , $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}$. Since $\mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{0}$, it follows that $\ker \mathbf{P}$ is strictly smaller than $X^{(n)}$. Thus, if X^* is the dual space of X , there exists $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in X^{*(n)}$ with $\mathbf{f} \neq \mathbf{0}$ such that \mathbf{f} annihilates $\ker \mathbf{P}$ (making the obvious identification of the dual space of $X^{(n)}$ with $X^{*(n)}$). Put

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes u_i.$$

Then $F \neq \mathbf{0}$. Using the fact that $T^{(n)}\mathbf{x} - \alpha(T)\mathbf{x}$ belongs to $\ker \mathbf{P}$ for every $T \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathbf{x} \in X^{(n)}$, a routine calculation gives

Lemma 8. $F \in \mathcal{G}'$.

Lemma 9. Let M be \mathcal{G} -irreducible and let $S \in \mathcal{G}''$. Then $M \in \text{Lat } S$.

To see this observe that F and S commute. Further $\{0\} \neq F(X) \subset M$, and since $F \in \mathcal{G}'$, $F(X)$ is \mathcal{G} -invariant. By irreducibility $F(X) = M$; but then $S(M) = SF(X) = FS(X) \subset M$.

Using reflexivity, Lemmas 9 and 3 give $\mathcal{G}'' \subset A(\mathcal{G})$. Since the reverse inclusion always holds, we have thus proved

Theorem 10. $\mathcal{G}'' = A(\mathcal{G})$.

Solution B. We give an example of a G -operator on a weakly sequentially complete space which is not of the form $\exp(iS)$ with S bounded. This example depends on some general facts about logarithms of point measures.

Let G be a LCAG and let $M(G)$ be the commutative Banach algebra of bounded regular complex measures on G under convolution. Given $x \in G$, we show that the point mass δ_x has a logarithm in $M(G)$ if, and only if, x is of finite order in G . The "if" proof follows from elementary spectral theory. For the converse, let $M_d(G)$ be the Banach algebra of discrete measures on G .

Lemma 11. *If a discrete measure μ on G has a logarithm in $M(G)$, then μ has a logarithm in $M_d(G)$.*

This follows from the fact that if $\mu = \exp \nu$ for some $\nu \in M(G)$, then $\mu = \exp \nu_d$ where ν_d is the discrete part of ν .

Lemma 12. *Let $\delta_x = \exp \nu$ in $M(G)$. Then x is of finite order in G .*

By Lemma 11 we may (and do) assume that G is discrete. Then the maximal ideal space of $M(G)$ is the compact group \hat{G} dual to G . The proof can be completed by the following simple argument due to Gavin Brown.

Taking Gelfand transforms in the equation $\delta_x = \exp \nu$, we obtain

$$x(\chi) = \hat{\delta}_x(\chi) = \exp \hat{\nu}(\chi) \quad (\chi \in \hat{G}),$$

where, without loss of generality, $\hat{\nu}(\chi_1) = 0$ for χ_1 the unit of \hat{G} . Since x is a character on \hat{G} , it follows that

$$\hat{\nu}(\chi\psi) = \hat{\nu}(\chi) + \hat{\nu}(\psi) + 2\pi i N(\chi, \psi) \quad (\chi, \psi \in \hat{G})$$

where $N: \hat{G} \times \hat{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is continuous. Let H be the connected component containing χ_1 in \hat{G} . Then $2\pi i N(\chi, \psi) = -\hat{\nu}(\chi_1) = 0$ on H . Hence

$$\hat{\nu}(\chi^n) = n\hat{\nu}(\chi) \quad (\chi \in H, n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

The boundedness of the continuous function $\hat{\nu}$ on the compact group H

gives $\hat{\nu}(\chi) = 0$ ($\chi \in H$). Hence $x(\chi) = 1$ ($\chi \in H$) and so x is of finite order in G [6, 24.20].

We can now give the counterexample for Problem B. Let R_ω be the translation operator on $L^1(\mathbb{T})$ defined by

$$(R_\omega f)(t) = f(t\omega^{-1}) \quad (f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}), t \text{ a.e.}),$$

where $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$ and $\arg \omega$ is an irrational multiple of 2π . R_ω is a G -operator on $L^1(\mathbb{T})$ [4, Example 5.4] and $L^1(\mathbb{T})$ is weakly sequentially complete [3, IV. 8.6].

Theorem 13. R_ω does not have a logarithm in $B(L^1(\mathbb{T}))$.

For suppose $R_\omega = \exp S$ in $B(L^1(\mathbb{T}))$. Since the powers of ω are dense in \mathbb{T} , it follows that S commutes with every translation R_t ($t \in \mathbb{T}$). Hence S is a multiplier on $L^1(\mathbb{T})$ and there exists $\mu \in M(\mathbb{T})$ such that $Sf = \mu * f$ ($f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$) [7, Theorem 0.1.1]. Therefore

$$\delta_\omega * f = R_\omega f = (\exp \mu) * f \quad (f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}))$$

and so $\delta_\omega = \exp \mu$. Lemma 12 gives the required contradiction.

REFERENCES

1. K. de Leeuw, *Linear spaces with a compact group of operators*, Illinois J. Math. 2 (1958), 367–377. MR 21 #819.
2. K. de Leeuw and I. Glicksberg, *Applications of almost periodic compactifications*, Acta Math. 105 (1961), 63–97. MR 24 #A1632.
3. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators. I: General theory*, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 7, Interscience, New York, 1958. MR 22 #8302.
4. T. A. Gillespie and T. T. West, *Operators generating weakly compact groups*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 21 (1972), 671–688.
5. ———, *Operators generating weakly compact groups. II*, Proc. Royal Irish Acad. 73A (1973), 309–326.
6. E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis. Vol. 1: Structure of topological groups. Integration theory, group representations*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 115, Academic Press, New York; Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963. MR 28 #158.
7. R. Larsen, *An introduction to the theory of multipliers*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 175, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
8. Ju. I. Ljubič, *Almost periodic functions in the spectral analysis of operators*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 132 (1960), 518–520 = Soviet Math. Dokl. 1 (1960), 593–595. MR 22 #9863.
9. H. Radjavi and P. Rosenthal, *On invariant subspaces and reflexive algebras*, Amer. J. Math. 91 (1969), 683–692. MR 40 #4796.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH, EDINBURGH,
SCOTLAND

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TRINITY COLLEGE DUBLIN, IRELAND