

## COINCIDENCE POINT RESULTS FOR SPACES WITH FREE $Z_p$ -ACTIONS

FRED COHEN AND EWING L. LUSK

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $X$  support a free cyclic group action of prime order. We consider the question of determining when any map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  must identify two points of an orbit, and that of finding the minimum possible dimension of the union of such orbits when they exist.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $X$  be a path-connected Hausdorff space which supports a free  $\pi_p$ -action, where  $\pi_p$  is the cyclic group of prime order  $p$ . Let  $Y$  be any space and consider the question of whether there exists for any map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  a point  $x \in X$  such that  $f(x) = f(\sigma^i x)$  for some  $i \neq 0$ . The classical Borsuk-Ulam Theorem gives an affirmative answer for the case in which  $p = 2$ ,  $X = S^n$ , and  $Y = R^n$ . Various generalizations have appeared in [5], [9], [4], and [3].

We are particularly interested in the line of inquiry begun in [4], and present here some extensions of the above-mentioned results to the case in which  $p$  is an arbitrary prime and  $Y$  is a path-connected complex or manifold of dimension at least 2. (The assumptions on  $Y$  can be weakened; they are present in order to facilitate explicit calculations.) Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and define

$$A(X, f) = \{x \in X \mid f(\sigma^i x) = f(\sigma^j(x)) \text{ for some } i \neq j, 0 \leq i, j \leq p-1\}.$$

We will define a number  $N$  depending on  $Y$  and  $p$  and prove the following two theorems. All cohomology is taken with  $Z_p$  coefficients.

We would like to thank the referee for pointing out the present (and much better) version of Lemma 2 and for the remarks which are contained in §6.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $X$  is a path-connected Hausdorff space and  $H^i(X) = 0$  for  $0 < i \leq N$ , then  $A(X, f) \neq \emptyset$ .*

**Theorem 2.** *If  $X$  is a closed  $m$ -manifold with  $H^i(X) = 0$  for  $0 \leq i \leq N$ , then  $\dim A(X, f) \geq m - N - 1$ .*

---

Received by the editors February 12, 1974 and, in revised form, March 29, 1974.  
AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 55C20, 55C35, 54H25.

*Key words and phrases.* Coincidence points, cyclic group actions, configuration spaces.

**Remark.** Here  $\dim A$  means the covering dimension of  $A$ . By the argument which appears in [9], to prove  $\dim A \geq k$  it is sufficient to prove that  $\bar{H}^k(A) \neq 0$ , where  $\bar{H}$  denotes Alexander-Spanier cohomology.

We now define  $N$ . Consider the subspace  $F(Y, p) = \{(y_1, \dots, y_p) \mid y_i \neq y_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}$  of  $Y^p$ . There is a free  $\pi_p$ -action on  $F(Y, p)$  given by cyclic permutation of coordinates. These spaces have been studied in [2]. If  $E\pi_p$  is a contractible free  $\pi_p$ -space, there is an equivariant map  $\phi$  inducing the following covering space maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(Y, p) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & E\pi_p \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F(Y, p)/\pi_p & \xrightarrow{\hat{\phi}} & B\pi_p \end{array}$$

The classifying space  $B\pi_p$  is known to have nonzero mod  $p$  cohomology in all dimensions. We define  $N = N(Y, p)$  to be the largest integer such that  $\hat{\phi}^* H^N(B\pi_p) \neq 0$ .

Some estimates on  $N$  for certain spaces  $Y$  are given in §3 below. In §4 are some examples which give bounds on possible improvements of the main theorems.

**2. Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2.** Define  $\psi: X \rightarrow Y^p$  by

$$\psi(x) = (f(x), f(\sigma x), \dots, f(\sigma^{p-1}x)).$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.** If  $A(X, f) = \emptyset$ , then  $\psi$  is an equivariant map of  $X$  into  $F(Y, p)$ . Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{\psi} & F(Y, p) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & E\pi_p \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X/\pi_p & \xrightarrow{\hat{\psi}} & F(Y, p)/\pi_p & \xrightarrow{\hat{\phi}} & B\pi_p \end{array}$$

Since  $H^i(X) = 0$  for  $i \leq N$ , it follows from the naturality of the spectral sequence for a covering (see [1]) that  $(\hat{\phi}\hat{\psi})^*$  is a monomorphism in degrees less than or equal to  $N + 1$ . This contradicts the fact that  $\hat{\phi}^* H^{N+1}(B\pi_p) = 0$ .

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Observe that  $\psi$  restricts to a map of  $X - A(X, f)$  into  $F(Y, p)$ . We may assume that  $X - A(X, f)$  is path-connected. By the above argument,  $H^j(X - A(X, f)) \neq 0$  for some  $0 < j \leq N$ , and hence  $H_j(X - A(X, f)) \neq 0$  for some  $0 < j \leq N$ . By Alexander duality  $\bar{H}^{m-i}(X, A(X, f)) \neq 0$ . Similarly  $H^j(X) = 0$  implies  $\bar{H}^{m-i}(X) = 0$  and therefore by the cohomology exact sequence

$$\bar{H}^{m-i-1}(A(X, f)) \neq 0.$$

The result follows.

3. Estimation of  $N$ . In this section we give some estimates of  $N$  for some spaces  $Y$ . The following lemma, whose proof is postponed until §5, is useful.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $H^i(F(Y, p)) = 0$  for  $i \geq K$  and  $H^1(F(Y, p)) = 0$ , then  $N(Y, p) < K$ .*

This means that an upper bound for  $N(Y, p)$  can be found by finding the maximum dimension for which  $H^i(F(Y, p)) \neq 0$ . If  $Y$  is a manifold this is easy to do, using the Serre spectral sequence for the fibration

$$F(Y - pt, j - 1) \rightarrow F(Y, j) \rightarrow Y.$$

Some specific examples which have been calculated by this method are given in the table below. For each  $X$  appearing there we give an  $r$  such that if  $X$  is any  $r$ -connected space supporting a free  $\pi_p$ -action generated by  $\sigma$  then there is an  $x \in X$  such that  $f(x) = f(\sigma^i x)$  for some  $i$ .

$Y$	$r(\geq N(Y, p))$
$R^n$	$(n - 1)(p - 1)$
$S^n$	$(n - 1)(p - 1) + 1$
$S^n \times R^m$	$pm + (n - 1)(p - 1)$
$S^n \times S^m, m \geq n$	$pm + (n - 1)(p - 1) + 1$

Further, observe that  $N(Y', p) \leq N(Y, p)$  if  $Y'$  embeds in  $Y$ . Consequently

$$N(Y, p) \leq [ED(Y) - 1](p - 1),$$

where ED is the embedding dimension of  $Y$ . Hence one gets estimates of  $N(Y, p)$  for spaces such as  $RP^n$  and in some cases improved estimates for  $S^n \times R^m$  and  $S^n \times S^m$ .

4. Examples. Here we give some examples to show that in some senses our results are the best possible for arbitrary  $X$ .

**Example 1.** Let  $X = S^3 \times S^3$  with  $\pi_2$ -action  $\sigma(x, y) = (-x, y)$ . Define  $f: S^3 \times S^3 \rightarrow S^3$  to be quaternionic multiplication. Then  $f(x, y) \neq f(-x, y)$  for all  $(x, y) \in S^3 \times S^3$ , so  $A(X, f) = \emptyset$  although  $H^i(X) = 0$  for  $0 < i \leq N(S^3, 2) - 1 = 2$ . Consequently Theorem 1 is the best possible in the sense that  $N$  cannot be replaced by  $N - 1$ .

**Example 2.** Define  $f: S^3 \rightarrow R^2$  by  $f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (x_1, x_2)$  and let

$S^3$  have the antipodal  $\pi_2$ -action. It is easy to see that  $A(S^3, f) \cong S^1$  and  $N(R^2, 2) = 1$ . Therefore Theorem 2 is the best possible in the sense that  $m - N - 1$  cannot be replaced by  $m - N$ ; i.e.,  $N$  cannot be replaced by  $N - 1$  in the conclusion.

In case one puts more restrictive hypotheses on  $X$ , then the results of Theorems 1 and 2 may not be the best possible. For instance if  $Y = RP^2$  and  $X = S^n$  with antipodal action, then it follows from [5] that  $\dim A \geq n - 2$ , although Theorem 2 only gives  $\dim A \geq n - 4$ .

For odd primes, it may be possible to improve the theorems, but not very much. The following example, with  $p = 3$ , shows that  $N$  cannot be replaced by  $N - 2$  in Theorems 1 and 2.

**Example 3.** Regard  $S^3$  as  $\{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \mid |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1\}$  and let  $z_1 = x_1 + iy_1, z_2 = x_2 + iy_2$ . Define  $\sigma: S^3 \rightarrow S^3$  by

$$\sigma(z_1, z_2) = (e^{2\pi i/3}z_1, e^{2\pi i/3}z_2),$$

and  $f: S^3 \rightarrow R^2$  by  $f(z_1, z_2) = (x_1, y_1)$ . Then  $\sigma$  generates a  $\pi_3$ -action on  $S^3$  and

$$A(S^3, f) = \{(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) \mid y_1 = -\sqrt{3}x_1, y_2 = -\sqrt{3}x_2\} \cong S^1.$$

We have  $H^i(S^3) = 0$  for  $0 < i \leq N(R^2, 3)$ , but the dimension of  $A$  is not greater than or equal to  $m - (N - 2) - 1$ . If we define  $g: S^3 \rightarrow R^1$  by

$$g(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = (y_1 + \sqrt{3}x_1)^2 + (y_2 + \sqrt{3}x_2)^2$$

and set

$$h(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = (f(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2), g(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2)),$$

then  $h: S^3 \rightarrow R^3$  and  $A(S^3, h) = \emptyset$  although  $H^i(S^3) = 0$  for  $0 < i \leq 2 = N(R^3, 3) - 2$ . Similar maps  $f: S^{2n-1} \rightarrow R^n$  and  $h: S^{2n-1} \rightarrow R^{n+1}$  can be constructed for larger  $p$  and  $n$ . They show that in general  $N$  cannot be replaced by  $N - (n(p - 3) + 2)$ .

**Example 4.** As in Example 3, regard  $S^3$  as

$$\{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \mid |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1\}.$$

Define  $f: S^3 \rightarrow S^2$  to be the Hopf map, which takes a pair  $(z_1, z_2)$  to its equivalence class under the relation  $(z_1, z_2) \sim (z'_1, z'_2)$  if there is a  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\tau| = 1$  such that  $z_1 = \tau z'_1, z_2 = \tau z'_2$ . Let  $z_j = x_j + iy_j, j = 1, 2$ , and define  $\lambda: S^3 \rightarrow S^3$  by  $\lambda(z_1, z_2) = (x_1 + ix_2, y_1 + iy_2)$ . Let  $w = a + ib$  be any complex number with  $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$  and  $b \neq 0$ . Define  $\sigma: S^3 \rightarrow S^3$  by

$\sigma(z_1, z_2) = (wz_1, \bar{w}z_2)$ , and finally define  $\rho: S^3 \rightarrow S^3$  to be  $\lambda\sigma\lambda$ . By evaluating the determinant of the appropriate  $4 \times 4$  matrix, one can show that  $\rho(z_1, z_2) \neq \tau \cdot (z_1, z_2)$  for all  $(z_1, z_2) \in S^3$  and  $\tau$  such that  $|\tau| = 1$ . For any odd prime  $p$  we let  $w = e^{2\pi i/p}$ , so that  $\rho$  generates a  $\pi_p$ -action. With this action on  $S^3$  and this  $f: S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ , we have  $A(S^3, f) = \emptyset$ . (Of course for  $p > 3$  we must apply the above calculation for powers of  $w$  as well, but since  $p$  is odd all powers have nonzero imaginary part, so the calculation goes through.) For  $p = 3$  this example shows that  $N$  cannot be replaced by  $N - 1$  in Theorem 1.

**Example 5.** If  $Y$  is a connected open 2-manifold, then by Corollary 3 of [4], whenever  $\pi_1(X)$  is torsion the conclusion of Theorem 1 holds. Evidently Theorems 1 and 2 can be improved when  $Y$  is a connected open 2-manifold.

**5. Proof of Lemma 1.** Our proof uses a lemma which may be of independent interest and which describes the differentials in the spectral sequence for a covering. We will present the argument in a more general setting than that required for Lemma 1.

Recall that a finite group  $G$  is said to have  $p$ -period  $d$  if  $\hat{H}^i(G; A)$  and  $\hat{H}^{i+d}(G; A)$  have isomorphic  $p$ -primary components for all  $i$  and  $A$ , where  $\hat{H}^i(G; A)$  is the Tate cohomology of  $G$  with coefficients in  $A$ . We assume that the periodicity homomorphism

$$\phi: \hat{H}^i(G; A) \rightarrow \hat{H}^{i+d}(G; A)$$

is given by  $\phi(m) = m \cup u$  for  $u$  a fixed element in  $\hat{H}^d(G; Z_p)$ , where " $\cup$ " denotes the internal cup product and  $G$  acts trivially on  $Z_p$ . Some examples are  $Z_p$ , in which case  $d = 2$  [8], and all subgroups of  $\Sigma_j$ , the symmetric group on  $j$  letters, for  $j < 2p$  [11].

If  $G$  acts freely on  $X$ , there is a spectral sequence for the covering  $X \rightarrow X/G$ , which is a spectral sequence of algebras, converging to  $H^*(X/G)$  with  $E_2^{**} = H^*(G, H^*(X))$ . Let  $[x]_r$  denote a class in  $E_r$  which is represented by the class  $[x]_2$  in  $E_2$  (of course  $[x]_r$  may not be defined for all  $[x]_2$  in  $E_2$ ). Observe that we may consider the class  $u$  in  $H^d(G; Z_p)$  which induces the periodicity homomorphism to lie in  $E_2^{d,0}$ . In general we identify  $x$  with  $[x]_2$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group having  $p$ -period  $d$  and let  $X \rightarrow X/G$  be a principal covering. Suppose that  $u \in H^d(G; Z_p)$  generates the periodicity. Then  $[u]_r$  exists for all  $r \geq 2$ , and*

$$- \cup [u]_r: E^{i,*} \rightarrow E^{i+d,*}$$

is an isomorphism for  $i \geq r - 1 \geq 1$  and an epimorphism for  $i \geq 0, r \geq 2$ .

**Remark.** A version of this lemma has recently appeared in [2] for the case in which  $G$  is a cyclic group of order  $p$ . See also Skjelbred [10].

**Proof of Lemma 2.** By the hypothesis on the periodicity of  $G$ , the lemma is true for  $r = 2$ , since  $\hat{H}^i(G; \mathbb{Z}_p) = H^i(G; \mathbb{Z}_p)$  for  $i > 0$  and the map

$$H^0(G; \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \hat{H}^0(G; \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

is an epimorphism [1, Chapter XII]. Assume that it is true with  $r - 1$  replacing  $r$ , and consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{im}[d_{r-1}: E_{r-1}^{i-r+1, *+r-2} \rightarrow E_{r-1}^{i,*}] & \rightarrow & \ker[d_{r-1}: E_{r-1}^{i,*} \rightarrow E^{i+r-1, *-r+2}] & \rightarrow & E_r^{i,*} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \cup[u]_{r-1} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cup[u]_{r-1} & & \downarrow \cup[u]_r \\ \text{im}[d_{r-1}: E_{r-1}^{i+d-r+1, *+r-2} \rightarrow E_{r-1}^{i+d,*}] & \rightarrow & \ker[d_{r-1}: E_{r-1}^{i+d,*} \rightarrow E_{r-1}^{i+d+r-1, *-r+2}] & \rightarrow & E_r^{i+d,*} & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

The conclusion follows by induction and the Five Lemma.

Lemma 1 follows directly from Lemma 3 below. Lemma 3 may be well known but for completeness we give a proof.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $G$ , a finite group of  $p$ -period  $d$ , act freely on a path connected Hausdorff space  $X$  and suppose that

- (a)  $H^i(X) = 0$  for  $i > N$ ;
- (b)  $H^i(X/G) = 0$  for  $i > K$ ;
- (c) If  $f: X/G \rightarrow BG$  is the classifying map for the covering  $X \rightarrow X/G$

then  $f^*(u) \neq 0$ .

Then  $H^i(X/G) = 0$  for  $i > N$ .

**Remark.** Since the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(BG) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^*(G; H^{\circ}X) = E_2^{*,0} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^*(X/G) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & E_{\infty}^{*,0} \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} 0 \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 \end{array}$$

condition (c) of Lemma 3 is equivalent to the condition that  $[u]_2$  persists to  $E_{\infty}$ .

**Proof of Lemma 3.** Let  $[x]_2 \in E_2^{st}$  be an infinite cycle, with  $s + t > N$ . Since  $H^i(X/G) = 0$  for  $i > K$ , there is some  $i$  and some  $r$  such that

$[x]_r[u]_r^i \in E_r^{s+id,t}$  is a boundary. That is there is some  $r \geq 2$  such that  $[x]_r[u]_r^i = d_r[y]_r, [y]_r \in E^{s+id-r,t+r-1}$ . Since we may as well assume that  $[y]_2 \neq 0$ , condition (a) of the lemma says that  $t+r-1 \leq N$ , which together with  $s+t > N$  yields  $s+id-r \geq id$ , so that by Lemma 2  $[y]_r = [z]_r[u]_r^i$  for some  $z$ . Then we have  $[x]_r[u]_r^i = d_r([z]_r[u]_r^i) = (d_r[z]_r) \cdot [u]_r^i$ , so by Lemma 2  $[x]_r$  is a boundary.

6. **An application of Lemma 2.** We wish to thank the referee for pointing out to us that Lemma 2 gives a simple proof of the main result in [7], which is:

**Theorem.** *Let  $G$  be a finite 2-group with maximal subgroup  $H$ . Then the sequence*

$$H^*(G; Z_2) \xrightarrow{f} H^*(G; Z_2) \xrightarrow{r} H^*(H; Z_2)$$

is exact, where  $r$  is the restriction and  $f$  is cup product with  $\pi^*(u)$ ,  $u \in H^1(Z_2; Z_2)$  being the generator and  $\pi: G \rightarrow G/H = Z_2$  the projection.

**Proof.** Clearly  $i: BH \rightarrow BG$  is a principal  $Z_2$ -covering and  $i^* = r$ . Let  $F^s$  denote the standard decreasing filtration of  $H^*(BG)$ . Then  $F^0 H^*(BG) = H^*(BG)$  and the sequence

$$F^1 H^*(BG) \rightarrow F^0 H^*(BG) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^*(BH)$$

is exact. By Lemma 2,  $F^1 H^*(BG)$  is precisely the image of  $H^*(BG)$  via cupping with the class  $\pi^*(u)$ . The result follows.

Note that the above method fails in the analogous situation for odd primes since in that case the periodicity class  $u$  lies in dimension 2.

REFERENCES

1. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1956. MR 17, 1040.
2. T. Chang and T. Skjelbred, *Group actions on Poincaré duality spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1972), 1024–1026.
3. F. Cohen and J. E. Connett, *A coincidence theorem related to the Borsuk-Ulam theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **44** (1974), 218–220.
4. J. E. Connett, *A generalization of the Borsuk-Ulam theorem*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **7** (1973), 64–66.
5. P. E. Conner and E. E. Floyd, *Differentiable periodic maps*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, N. F., Band 33, Academic Press, New York; Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1964. MR 31 #750.
6. E. Fadell and L. Neuwirth, *Configuration spaces*, Math. Scand. **10** (1962), 111–118. MR 25 #4537.

7. D. L. Johnson, *On the cohomology of finite 2-groups*, *Invent. Math.* **7** (1969), 159–173. MR 39 #6986.
8. S. Mac Lane, *Homology*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967.
9. H. J. Munkholm, *Borsuk-Ulam type theorems for proper  $Z_p$ -actions on (mod  $p$  homology)  $n$ -spheres*, *Math. Scand.* **24** (1969), 167–185 (1970). MR 41 #2672.
10. T. Skjelbred, *A lemma on the spectral sequence of the action of a finite group with periodicity* (unpublished).
11. R. G. Swan, *The  $p$ -period of a finite group*, *Illinois J. Math.* **4** (1960), 341–346. MR 23 #A188.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NORTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY,  
DEKALB, ILLINOIS 60115