

## SEMIGROUPS OF MULTIPLIERS ASSOCIATED WITH SEMIGROUPS OF OPERATORS

A. OLUBUMMO

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $G$  be an infinite compact group with dual object  $\Sigma$ . Corresponding to each semigroup  $\mathcal{F} = \{T(\xi); \xi \geq 0\}$  of operators on  $L_p(G)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , which commutes with right translations, there is a semigroup  $\mathcal{E} = \{E_\xi(\sigma); \xi \geq 0, \sigma \in \Sigma\}$  of  $L_p(G)$  multipliers. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is strongly continuous, then  $\{E_\xi(\sigma); \xi \geq 0\}$  is uniformly continuous for each  $\sigma$ . Conversely a semigroup  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $L_p(G)$ -multipliers determines a semigroup  $\mathcal{F}$  of operators on  $L_p(G)$ .  $\mathcal{F}$  is strongly continuous if each  $E_\xi(\sigma)$  is uniformly continuous; and then there exist a function  $A$  on  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma_0 \subset \Sigma$  such that  $E_\xi(\sigma) = E_0(\sigma)\exp(\xi A_\sigma)$  if  $\sigma \in \Sigma_0$  and  $E_\xi(\sigma) = 0$  if  $\sigma \notin \Sigma_0$ .

**1. Introduction.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space and denote by  $B(X)$  the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $X$  with the operator norm. A family  $\mathcal{T} = \{T(\xi); \xi \geq 0\}$  of operators in  $B(X)$  is called a *strongly continuous semigroup of operators on  $X$*  if

- (i)  $T(\xi_1 + \xi_2)x = T(\xi_1)[T(\xi_2)x]$ ,  $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $x \in X$ ;
- (ii)  $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0^+} T(\xi)x = T(0)x$ ,  $x \in X$ .

If (i) holds and (ii) is replaced by

$$(iii) \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0^+} \|T(\xi) - T(0)\| = 0,$$

then  $\mathcal{T}$  is called a *uniformly continuous semigroup of operators on  $X$* .

Let  $G$  be an infinite compact group with dual object  $\Sigma$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma)$  the set  $PB_{\sigma \in \Sigma}(H_\sigma)$ , where  $H_\sigma$  is the representation space of the representation  $U^{(\sigma)}$  [1, 28.24]. Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are subsets of  $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma)$ . An element  $E$  of  $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma)$  is said to be an  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -multiplier if  $EA \in \mathcal{B}$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  [1, 35.1]. If  $E$  is an  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ -multiplier, we shall call  $E$ , simply, an  $\mathcal{A}$ -multiplier.

Throughout this paper,  $G$  denotes an infinite, compact group with dual object  $\Sigma$ . Haar measure on  $G$  is denoted by  $\lambda$ , and it will be assumed that  $\lambda$  is normalized so that  $\lambda(G) = 1$ . For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $L_p(G)$  denotes the usual Lebesgue space formed with respect to  $\lambda$ . The set of Fourier transforms  $\hat{f}$  of  $f \in L_p(G)$  will be denoted by  $L_p(G)^\wedge$ . It is shown in [1, 28.34] that  $L_p(G)^\wedge$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma)$ . To simplify our notation, we shall write ' $L_p(G)$ -multiplier' in place of ' $L_p(G)^\wedge$ -multiplier'.

Received by the editors November 5, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 43A22, 43A30, 47D05.

By a *semigroup of  $L_p(G)$ -multipliers* we shall mean a function  $E$  on  $[0, \infty) \times \Sigma$  such that

- (i) for each pair  $(\xi, \sigma)$ ,  $E_\xi(\sigma) \in B(H_\sigma)$ ;
- (ii) for each fixed  $\xi$ ,  $E_\xi(\cdot)$  is an  $L_p(G)$ -multiplier;
- (iii) for each fixed  $\sigma$ ,  $\{E_\xi(\sigma); \xi \geq 0\}$  is a semigroup of operators on  $H_\sigma$ .

The results of this paper can be summarized as follows. Given a semigroup  $\mathcal{T} = \{T(\xi); \xi \geq 0\}$  of operators on  $L_p(G)$ , the elements of which commute with right translations, we associate with  $\mathcal{T}$  a semigroup  $\mathcal{E} = \{E_\xi(\sigma); \xi \geq 0, \sigma \in \Sigma\}$  of  $L_p(G)$ -multipliers. We show that if  $\mathcal{T}$  is strongly continuous, then  $\{E_\xi(\sigma); \xi \geq 0\}$  is uniformly continuous for each  $\sigma$ . Conversely, given a semigroup  $\mathcal{E} = \{E_\xi(\sigma); \xi \geq 0, \sigma \in \Sigma\}$  of  $L_p(G)$ -multipliers, we associate with  $\mathcal{E}$  a semigroup  $\mathcal{T} = \{T(\xi); \xi \geq 0\}$  of operators on  $L_p(G)$ , the members of which commute with right translations. We prove, moreover, that if  $\{E_\xi(\sigma); \xi \geq 0\}$  is uniformly continuous for each  $\sigma$ , then  $\mathcal{T}$  is strongly continuous. Furthermore, we show that there exist  $A = (A_\sigma)$  in  $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma)$  and a subset  $\Sigma_0$  of  $\Sigma$  such that  $E_\xi(\sigma) = E_0(\sigma)\exp(\xi A_\sigma)$  if  $\sigma \in \Sigma_0$  and  $E_\xi(\sigma) = 0$  if  $\sigma \notin \Sigma_0$ . Finally, we prove that if  $\mathcal{U}$  is the infinitesimal operator of  $\mathcal{T}$ , then  $A$  is a  $(D(\mathcal{U}), L_p(G))$ -multiplier.

The results and proofs in the present paper generalize to arbitrary infinite compact groups those of Hille [2, Theorems 20.3.1 and 20.3.2] for the circle group and those obtained in [5] for compact Abelian groups. It will be clear, however, that the orientation here is somewhat different from that of [2] and [5]. Moreover, it is hoped that our proofs and results shed some light on the classical situation.

**2. Preliminaries.** Let  $G$  and  $\Sigma$  be as defined above. It will be assumed throughout this paper that, for each  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , a fixed representation  $U^{(\sigma)}$  with representation space  $H_\sigma$  has been chosen and that, in each  $H_\sigma$ , a fixed conjugation  $D_\sigma$  has been chosen. It will be understood that all Fourier-Stieltjes transforms and Fourier transforms are defined in terms of these fixed  $U^{(\sigma)}$ 's and  $D_\sigma$ 's. In this and other definitions and notation, we follow Hewitt and Ross [1] where any undefined terms concerning harmonic analysis, used in this paper, will be found. Similarly, the reader is referred to Hille and Phillips [2] for an account of the theory of semigroups of operators on a Banach space.

**2.1. Lemma.** *Let  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  and for  $U^{(\sigma)}$  in  $\sigma$  with representation space  $H_\sigma$  let  $\mathfrak{S}_\sigma(G)$  denote the set of all finite complex linear combinations of functions of the form  $x \rightarrow \langle U_x^{(\sigma)} \xi, \eta \rangle$  as  $\xi, \eta$  vary over  $H_\sigma$ . Then  $\{\hat{f}(\sigma): f \in \mathfrak{S}_\sigma(G)\} = B(H_\sigma)$ .*

This is [1, Theorem (28.39)(i)].

**2.2. Lemma.** *Let  $T$  be a bounded linear operator on  $L_p(G)$  which commutes with right translations. Then there exists a unique  $E \in \mathfrak{C}(\Sigma)$  such that  $(Tf)\hat{(\sigma)} = E(\sigma)\hat{f}(\sigma)$  for all  $f \in L_p(G)$  and all  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $T$  commutes with right translations a routine argument shows that  $T(f * g) = (Tf) * g$  for all  $f, g \in L_p(G)$  (see e.g. [1, p. 376]). The result now follows from Theorem 35.8 of [1] and Lemma 2.1 above.

**3. Semigroups of operators and semigroups of multipliers.**

**3.1. Theorem.** *Let  $\mathfrak{J} = \{T(\xi); \xi \geq 0\}$  be a semigroup of bounded linear operators on  $L_p(G)$ , each of which commutes with right translations. Then  $\mathfrak{J}$  defines a semigroup  $\mathfrak{E} = \{E_\xi(\sigma); \xi \geq 0, \sigma \in \Sigma\}$  of  $L_p(G)$ -multipliers. If, in addition,  $\mathfrak{J}$  is strongly continuous, then, for each  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , the set  $\{E_\xi(\sigma); \xi \geq 0\}$  is a uniformly continuous semigroup of operators on  $H_\sigma$ .*

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.2, there exists, for each  $\xi \geq 0$ , a unique  $E_\xi \in \mathfrak{C}(\Sigma)$  such that  $(T(\xi)f)\hat{(\sigma)} = E_\xi \hat{f}$  for every  $f \in L_p(G)$ . To complete the proof of the first assertion of the theorem, we only need to show that for each fixed  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , the set  $\{E_\xi(\sigma); \xi \geq 0\}$  is a semigroup of operators on  $H_\sigma$ . We have, for  $f \in L_p(G)$  and  $\xi_1, \xi_2 \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\xi_1 + \xi_2}(\sigma)\hat{f}(\sigma) &= (T(\xi_1 + \xi_2)f)\hat{(\sigma)} = [T(\xi_1)(T(\xi_2)f)]\hat{(\sigma)} \\ &= E_{\xi_1}(\sigma)(T(\xi_2)f)\hat{(\sigma)} = E_{\xi_1}(\sigma)E_{\xi_2}(\sigma)\hat{f}(\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Since, by Lemma 2.1, there exists  $f \in \mathfrak{A}_\sigma(G)$  such that  $\hat{f}(\sigma) = I_\sigma$ , we have  $E_{\xi_1 + \xi_2}(\sigma) = E_{\xi_1}(\sigma)E_{\xi_2}(\sigma)$ . Hence,  $\mathfrak{E} = \{E_\xi(\sigma); \xi \geq 0, \sigma \in \Sigma\}$  is a semigroup of  $L_p(G)$ -multipliers.

Suppose that  $T(\xi)$  is strongly continuous and let  $\sigma$  be a fixed element of  $\Sigma$ . By Lemma 2.1, there exists  $t \in \mathfrak{A}(G)$  such that  $\hat{t}(\sigma) = \mathfrak{A}_\sigma$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ ; there exists  $\gamma > 0$  such that  $\| [T(\xi) - T(0)]t \|_p < \epsilon$  for all  $\xi$  satisfying  $0 < \xi < \gamma$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_\xi(\sigma) - E_0(\sigma)\|_{B(H_\sigma)} &= \|E_\xi(\sigma) - E_0(\sigma)\|_{\phi_\infty} \quad [1, D.42] \\ &= \|[E_\xi(\sigma) - E_0(\sigma)]\hat{t}(\sigma)\|_{\phi_\infty} = \|([T(\xi) - T(0)]t)\hat{(\sigma)}\|_{\phi_\infty} \\ &\leq \|([T(\xi) - T(0)]t)\hat{(\sigma)}\|_\infty \quad [1, 28.34] \\ &\leq \|[T(\xi) - T(0)]t\|_1 \quad [1, 28.36] \\ &\leq \|[T(\xi) - T(0)]t\|_p < \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\xi$  satisfying  $0 < \xi < \gamma$ . This concludes the proof.

**3.2. Corollary.** *If  $\mathcal{J}$  is strongly continuous, then there exist a subset  $\Sigma_0$  of  $\Sigma$  and an  $A \in \mathcal{E}(\Sigma)$  such that*

$$E_\xi(\sigma) = \begin{cases} E_0(\sigma) \exp(\xi A_\sigma) & \text{if } \sigma \in \Sigma_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \sigma \notin \Sigma_0. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** By Theorem 9.6.1 of [2],  $E_0(\sigma)$  is, for each  $\sigma$ , a projection operator and

$$E_\xi(\sigma) = E_\xi(\sigma)E_0(\sigma) = E_0(\sigma)E_\xi(\sigma).$$

In particular, if  $E_0(\sigma) = 0$ , then  $E_\xi(\sigma) = 0$  for all  $\xi$ . If for a given  $\sigma$ ,  $E_0(\sigma)$  is not the zero operator, then there exists a (unique)  $A_\sigma \in B(H_\sigma)$  such that  $E_\xi(\sigma) = E_0(\sigma)\exp(\xi A_\sigma)$ . Now define  $A \in \mathcal{E}(\Sigma)$  by setting  $A(\sigma) = A_\sigma$  for each  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , and set  $\Sigma_0 = [\sigma \in \Sigma: E_0(\sigma) \neq 0]$ .

**3.3. Theorem.** *Let  $\mathcal{E} = \{E_\xi(\sigma); \xi \geq 0, \sigma \in \Sigma\}$  be a semigroup of  $L_p(G)$ -multipliers. Then  $\mathcal{E}$  defines a semigroup  $\mathcal{T} = \{T(\xi); \xi \geq 0\}$  of bounded linear operators on  $L_p(G)$ , each of which commutes with right translations. If, in addition, for each  $\sigma$ , the set  $\{E_\xi(\sigma); \xi \geq 0\}$  is a uniformly continuous semigroup of operators on  $H_\sigma$ , then  $\mathcal{T}$  is a strongly continuous semigroup of operators on  $L_p(G)$ .*

**Proof.** For each  $\xi \geq 0$ , we define  $T(\xi)$  on  $L_p(G)$  by  $(T(\xi)f)^\wedge = E_\xi \hat{f}$ ,  $f \in L_p(G)$ . Then, by [1, 35.2],  $T(\xi)$  is a bounded linear operator on  $L_p(G)$ . That the operators  $T(\xi)$  have the semigroup property follows directly from the definition. We show that  $T(\xi)$  commutes with right translations. First, we note that if  $f \in L_p(G)$ , then, for each  $x \in G$ ,

$$(1) \quad \hat{f}_x(\sigma) = \hat{f}(\sigma)\bar{U}_{x^{-1}}^{(\sigma)}$$

for each  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ . In fact, for all  $\xi, \eta \in H_\sigma$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{f}(\sigma)\bar{U}_{x^{-1}}^{(\sigma)}\xi, \eta \rangle &= \langle \hat{f}(\sigma)(\bar{U}_{x^{-1}}^{(\sigma)}\xi), \eta \rangle \\ &= \int_G \langle \bar{U}_y^{(\sigma)}(\bar{U}_{x^{-1}}^{(\sigma)}\xi), \eta \rangle f(y) d\lambda(y) = \int_G \langle \bar{U}_{yx^{-1}}^{(\sigma)}\xi, \eta \rangle f(y) d\lambda(y) \\ &= \int_G \langle \bar{U}_y^{(\sigma)}\xi, \eta \rangle f_x(y) d\lambda(y) = \langle \hat{f}_x(\sigma)\xi, \eta \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $\hat{f}_x(\sigma) = \hat{f}(\sigma)U_{x^{-1}}^{(\sigma)}$ ,  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ .

Now  $(T(\xi)f_x)^\wedge(\sigma) = E_\xi(\sigma)\hat{f}_x(\sigma)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} ([T(\xi)f]_x)^\wedge(\sigma) &= (T(\xi)f)^\wedge(\sigma)\bar{U}_{x^{-1}}^{(\sigma)} \quad (\text{by (1)}) \\ &= E_\xi(\sigma)\hat{f}(\sigma)\bar{U}_{x^{-1}}^{(\sigma)} = E_\xi(\sigma)\hat{f}_x(\sigma) \quad (\text{again by (1)}). \end{aligned}$$

We therefore have  $(T(\xi)f_x)^\wedge(\sigma) = ([T(\xi)/f_x]^\wedge)(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , which implies that  $T(\xi)f_x = (T(\xi)f_x)$  for each  $x \in G$ .

Suppose now that for each  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , the set  $\{E_\xi(\sigma); \xi \geq 0\}$  is a uniformly continuous semigroup of operators on  $H_\sigma$ . To show that  $\{T(\xi); \xi \geq 0\}$  is strongly continuous, we shall first show that for every coordinate function  $u$ ,  $\|[T(\xi) - T(\xi_0)]u\|_p \rightarrow 0$  as  $\xi \rightarrow \xi_0$ . Let  $\sigma$  be an arbitrary, but fixed, element of  $\Sigma$ . Let  $U^{(\sigma)} \in \sigma$  and let  $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{d_\sigma}\}$  be a basis in  $H_\sigma$ . We consider the coordinate function  $u_{jk}^{(\sigma)}$  defined on  $G$  by  $u_{jk}^{(\sigma)}(x) = \langle U_x^{(\sigma)} \xi_k, \xi_j \rangle$ , where  $j, k$  is a fixed pair from  $\{1, 2, \dots, d_\sigma\}$ . We have, for all  $\sigma' \in \Sigma$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} ([T(\xi) - T(\xi_0)]u_{jk}^{(\sigma)})^\wedge(\sigma') &= (E_\xi - E_{\xi_0})(\sigma')\hat{u}_{jk}^{(\sigma)}(\sigma') \\ &= \begin{cases} (E_\xi - E_{\xi_0})(\sigma)u_{jk}^{(\sigma)}(\sigma) & \text{if } \sigma' = \sigma, \\ 0 & \text{if } \sigma' \neq \sigma, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

by [1, p. 80, (2)]. Thus  $([T(\xi) - T(\xi_0)]u_{jk}^{(\sigma)})^\wedge \in \mathfrak{C}_{00}(\Sigma)$  and hence

$$[T(\xi) - T(\xi_0)]u_{jk}^{(\sigma)}$$

is a trigonometric polynomial [1, 28.39]. We now have

$$\begin{aligned} \|[T(\xi) - T(\xi_0)]u_{jk}^{(\sigma)}\|_p &\leq \|[T(\xi) - T(\xi_0)]u_{jk}^{(\sigma)}\|_u \\ &\leq \|[T(\xi) - T(\xi_0)]u_{jk}^{(\sigma)}\|_{A(G)} \quad [1, 34.6] \\ &= \sum_{\sigma' \in \Sigma} d_{\sigma'} \|[T(\xi) - T(\xi_0)]u_{jk}^{(\sigma)}(\sigma')^\wedge\|_{\phi_1} \quad [1, 34.4] \\ &= d_\sigma \|[T(\xi) - T(\xi_0)]u_{jk}^{(\sigma)}(\sigma)^\wedge\|_{\phi_1} \\ &= d_\sigma \|[E_\xi(\sigma) - E_{\xi_0}(\sigma)]\hat{u}_{jk}^{(\sigma)}(\sigma)\|_{\phi_1} \\ &\leq d_\sigma \|E_\xi(\sigma) - E_{\xi_0}(\sigma)\|_{\phi_\infty} \cdot \|\hat{u}_{jk}^{(\sigma)}(\sigma)\|_{\phi_1} \quad [1, D.52] \\ &= d_\sigma \|E_\xi(\sigma) - E_{\xi_0}(\sigma)\|_{B(H_\sigma)} \cdot \|\hat{u}_{jk}^{(\sigma)}(\sigma)\|_{\phi_1} \quad [1, D.42] \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \xi \rightarrow \xi_0, \end{aligned}$$

by the uniform continuity of  $E_\xi(\sigma)$ . Hence,  $\|[T(\xi) - T(\xi_0)]u\|_p \rightarrow 0$  as  $\xi \rightarrow \xi_0$  for every coordinate function  $u$ . By the linearity of the operators  $T(\xi)$ ,

$$\|[T(\xi) - T(\xi_0)]t\|_p \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \xi \rightarrow \xi_0$$

for every function  $t \in \mathfrak{F}(G)$ . That  $\|[T(\xi) - T(\xi_0)]f\|_p \rightarrow 0$  as  $\xi \rightarrow \xi_0$  for every  $f \in$

$L_p(G)$  now follows from the last assertion, the fact that  $\mathfrak{X}(G)$  is dense in  $L_p(G)$  and the continuity of the operators  $T(\xi)$ . This concludes the proof.

3.4. Let  $\mathfrak{E}$  be as in Theorem 3.3 and suppose that, for each  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , the set  $\{E_\xi(\sigma); \xi \geq 0\}$  is a uniformly continuous semigroup of operators on  $H_\sigma$ . Then there exist a subset  $\Sigma_0$  of  $\Sigma$  and an  $A \in \mathfrak{E}(\Sigma)$  such that

$$E_\xi(\sigma) = \begin{cases} E_0(\sigma) \exp(\xi A_\sigma) & \text{if } \sigma \in \Sigma_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \sigma \notin \Sigma_0. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\mathfrak{Q}_0$  denote the infinitesimal operator of the semigroup  $\mathfrak{J}$  generated by  $\mathfrak{E}$ . The following theorem gives some information about the relation between  $\mathfrak{Q}_0$  and  $A$ . Here, as is usual, we set  $E_0(\sigma) = I_\sigma$ .

3.5. **Theorem.** *For each  $f$  in the domain  $D(\mathfrak{Q}_0)$  of  $\mathfrak{Q}_0$  and  $\sigma \notin \Sigma_0$ , we have  $\hat{f}(\sigma) = 0$ . Furthermore,  $(\mathfrak{Q}_0 f)^\wedge = A\hat{f}$  for  $f \in D(\mathfrak{Q}_0)$ ; i.e.  $A$  is a  $(D(\mathfrak{Q}_0), L_p(G))$ -multiplier. If, in particular,  $\mathfrak{J}$  is of class (A) with infinitesimal generator  $\mathfrak{Q}$ , then  $\Sigma_0 = \Sigma$ . If, in addition,  $A \in \mathfrak{E}_\infty(\Sigma)$ , then*

$$D(\mathfrak{Q}) = [f \in L_p(G) : A\hat{f} \in L_p(G)^\wedge],$$

and  $(\mathfrak{Q}f)^\wedge = A\hat{f}$  for  $f \in D(\mathfrak{Q})$ , so that  $A$  is a  $(D(\mathfrak{Q}), L_p(G))$ -multiplier.

**Proof.** Let  $\epsilon > 0$ ; then there exists  $\gamma > 0$  such that

$$\|\mathfrak{Q}_0 f - [T(\eta)f - f]/\eta\|_p < \epsilon$$

for  $0 < \eta < \gamma$ . This implies that if  $\sigma \notin \Sigma_0$ , then  $\hat{f}(\sigma) = 0$ , and if  $\sigma \in \Sigma_0$ ,

$$(\mathfrak{Q}_0 f)^\wedge(\sigma) = A_\sigma \hat{f}(\sigma), \quad f \in D(\mathfrak{Q}_0).$$

Let  $\mathfrak{J}$  be of class (A). Then  $D(\mathfrak{Q}_0) \subset D(\mathfrak{Q})$  is dense in  $L_p(G)$ . Suppose there exists  $\sigma_0 \in \Sigma$  such that  $\sigma_0 \notin \Sigma_0$  and choose  $f \in L_p(G)$  such that  $\hat{f}(\sigma_0) \neq 0$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $f' \in D(\mathfrak{Q}_0)$  such that  $\|f - f'\|_p < \epsilon$ . Then

$$\|\hat{f}'(\sigma_0) - \hat{f}(\sigma_0)\|_{B(H_{\sigma_0})} \leq \|f' - f\|_p < \epsilon,$$

which, by the first part of the theorem, implies that  $f(\sigma_0) = 0$  a contradiction. This proves that  $\Sigma_0 = \Sigma$ .

To prove the last assertion of the theorem, let  $\omega_0$  be the type of the semigroup  $\mathfrak{J}$  and set  $\mathfrak{L}_0 = \bigcup \{T(\xi)[L_p(G)]; \xi \geq 0\}$ . For  $\lambda$  with  $\text{Re}(\lambda) > \omega_0$ , let  $R(\lambda; \mathfrak{Q})$  denote the resolvent of  $\mathfrak{Q}$ . Then [2, p. 342] there exists  $\omega_1 > \omega_0$  such that

$$R(\lambda; \mathfrak{Q})f = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda\xi} T(\xi)f d\xi, \quad f \in \mathfrak{L}_0, \text{Re}(\lambda) > \omega_1.$$

For each  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , write  $S_\sigma(f) = \hat{f}(\sigma)$ ,  $f \in L_p(G)$ . Then  $S_\sigma$  is a bounded linear

transformation on  $L_p(G)$  into  $B(H_\sigma)$ , and for all  $f \in \mathfrak{L}_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S_\sigma(R(\lambda; \mathfrak{U})f) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda\xi} S_\sigma(T(\xi)f)d\xi = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda\xi} E_\xi(\sigma)\hat{f}(\sigma)d\xi \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda I_\sigma \xi} e^{\xi A_\sigma} \hat{f}(\sigma)d\xi = \int_0^\infty e^{\xi(A_\sigma - \lambda I_\sigma)} d\xi \hat{f}(\sigma) = (\lambda I_\sigma - A_\sigma)^{-1} \hat{f}(\sigma), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\lambda$  with  $\text{Re}(\lambda) > \max(\omega_1, \|A\|_\infty)$ , [2, (11.2.3)]. Since  $\mathfrak{L}_0$  is dense in  $L_p(G)$ , [2, p. 342], we have

$$(R(\lambda; \mathfrak{U})f)^\wedge(\sigma) = (\lambda I_\sigma - A_\sigma)^{-1} \hat{f}(\sigma)$$

for all  $f \in L_p(G)$ ,  $\text{Re}(\lambda) > \max(\omega_1, \|A\|_\infty)$ . We now make use of the last assertion to prove that

$$D(\mathfrak{U}) = [f \in L_p(G) : A\hat{f} \in L_p(G)^\wedge].$$

Let  $f \in D(\mathfrak{U})$  and let  $\sigma$  be an arbitrary element of  $\Sigma$ . Choose  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda > \max(\omega_1, \|A\|_\infty)$ . Then there exists  $g \in L_p(G)$  such that  $f = R(\lambda; \mathfrak{U})g$ , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{U}f)^\wedge(\sigma) &= [\lambda R(\lambda, \mathfrak{U})g - g]^\wedge(\sigma) = \lambda(\lambda I_\sigma - A_\sigma)^{-1} \hat{g}(\sigma) - \hat{g}(\sigma) \\ &= A_\sigma(\lambda I_\sigma - A_\sigma)^{-1} \hat{g}(\sigma) = A_\sigma \hat{f}(\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sigma$  was arbitrary,  $(\mathfrak{U}f)^\wedge(\sigma) = A_\sigma \hat{f}(\sigma)$  for every  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ . Thus, if  $f \in D(\mathfrak{U})$ , then  $A\hat{f} \in L_p(G)^\wedge$ . Conversely, suppose that  $f$  is an element of  $L_p(G)$  such that  $A\hat{f} \in L_p(G)^\wedge$ . Thus, there exists  $h \in L_p(G)$  such that  $A_\sigma \hat{f}(\sigma) = \hat{h}(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ . The function  $g = \lambda f - h \in L_p(G)$  for all complex numbers  $\lambda$ . If  $\lambda > \max(\omega_1, \|A\|_\infty)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (R(\lambda; \mathfrak{U})g)^\wedge(\sigma) &= (\lambda I_\sigma - A_\sigma)^{-1} \hat{g}(\sigma) \\ &= (\lambda I_\sigma - A_\sigma)^{-1} (\lambda \hat{f}(\sigma) - A_\sigma \hat{f}(\sigma)) = \hat{f}(\sigma), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ . Hence  $R(\lambda; \mathfrak{U})g = f$ , which implies that  $f \in D(\mathfrak{U})$ . This concludes the proof.

**3.6. Remarks.** As an example of the situation described in Theorem 3.1, we mention the heat-diffusion semigroup  $\{T^t; t \geq 0\}$  of operators on  $L_p(G)$  for a compact Lie group  $G$  discussed by Stein [4, p. 38]. Also, one obtains an illustration of Theorem 3.3 by considering the Fourier-Stieltjes transforms of the semigroup  $\{\mu_t; t \geq 0\}$  of measures in  $M(G)$  studied by Hunt [3].

REFERENCES

1. E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis*. Vol. II: *Structure and analysis for compact groups. Analysis on locally compact Abelian groups*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 152, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1970. MR 41 #7378; erratum, 42, p. 1825.

2. E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *Functional analysis and semi-groups*, rev. ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 31, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1957. MR 19, 664.
3. G. A. Hunt, *Semi-groups of measures on Lie groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1956), 264–293. MR 18, 54.
4. E. M. Stein, *Topics in harmonic analysis related to the Littlewood-Paley theory*, Ann. of Math. Studies, no. 63, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J.; Univ. of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1970. MR 40 #6176.
5. V. A. Babalola and A. Olubummo, *Semigroups of operators commuting with translations*, Colloq. Math. **31** (1974), 241–246.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF IBADAN, IBADAN, NIGERIA