

ON THE CAUCHY PROBLEM OF THE DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR S_μ

W. Y. LEE

ABSTRACT. I. M. Gelfand and G. E. Shilov have obtained the uniqueness and correctness class of the Cauchy problem of the differential operator $i(\partial/\partial x)$. If S_μ is some particular differential operator, then the uniqueness class of the differential operator S_μ is given in this paper.

According to [10, pp. 679–682], we define the spaces $B_{\mu,b}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{\mu,b}^{2q}$ as follows:

Definition 1. $\phi \in B_{\mu,b}$ if ϕ is a smooth function, $\phi(x) = 0$ for $x > b$, and

$$\gamma_{b,k}^\mu(\phi) \triangleq \sup_{0 < x < \infty} |(x^{-1}D)^k(x^{-\mu-1/2}\phi(x))| < \infty, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $b > 0$ is a constant and μ is any real number.

Definition 2. For each $q = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, $\Phi \in \mathcal{Y}_{\mu,b}^{2q}$ if $z^{-\mu-1/2}\Phi$ is an even entire function and

$$\alpha_{b,k}^{\mu,2q}(\Phi) \triangleq \sup_{z=x+iy} |e^{-b|y}|^{2q} |(z^{2k-\mu-1/2}\Phi(z))| < \infty, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $b > 0$ is a constant and μ is any real number. Here $z^{-\mu-1/2}$ is understood to be a principal value.

The topology of the spaces $B_{\mu,b}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{\mu,b}^{2q}$ is generated by the seminorms $\{\gamma_{b,k}^\mu\}_{k=0}^\infty$ and $\{\alpha_{b,k}^{\mu,2q}\}_{k=0}^\infty$ respectively. It follows from Definitions 1 and 2 that $B_{\mu,b}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{\mu,b}^{2q}$ are Fréchet spaces. If we define

$$\sigma_{b,k}^\mu(\phi) = \max_{0 \leq \nu \leq k} \gamma_{b,\nu}^\mu(\phi), \quad \beta_{b,k}^{\mu,2q}(\Phi) = \max_{0 \leq \nu \leq k} \alpha_{b,\nu}^{\mu,2q}(\Phi)$$

then $\sigma_{b,k}^\mu$ and $\beta_{b,k}^{\mu,2q}$ define a norm on the spaces $B_{\mu,b}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{\mu,b}^{2q}$ respectively.

For $\mu \geq -1/2$, let \mathcal{H}_μ be the Hankel transformation defined by

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{H}_\mu \phi(x) = \int_0^\infty \phi(x)(xy)^{1/2} J_\mu(xy) dx$$

Received by the editors December 19, 1972 and, in revised form, April 29, 1974.
 AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 35A10; Secondary 44A15.

Key words and phrases. Cauchy problem, differential operator S_μ , Hankel transformation, reduced order, Phragmén-Lindelöf theorem.

where $J_\mu(xy)$ is the Bessel function of the first kind; then an application of Griffith's theorem [3, pp. 109–115] and Zemanian's theorem [10, pp. 683–684] yields

Theorem 1. For $\mu \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ and for each $q = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, the Hankel transformation \mathcal{H}_μ is an isomorphism from the space $B_{\mu,b}$ onto the space $\mathcal{Y}_{\mu,b}^{2q}$.

Consider the differential operator N_μ defined by [11, p. 135]

$$N_\mu = x^{\mu+1/2} D_x x^{-(\mu+1/2)}.$$

Then the inverse N_μ^{-1} is given by

$$N_\mu^{-1} \phi(x) = x^{\mu+1/2} \int_\infty^x y^{-(\mu+1/2)} \phi(y) dy.$$

Let m be any nonnegative integer such that $\mu + m \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ for any fixed real number μ . Define the Hankel transformation $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,m}$ for $\phi \in B_{\mu,b}$ by [11, p. 163]

$$(2) \quad \Phi(y) \triangleq \mathcal{H}_{\mu,m} \phi(x) = (-1)^m y^{-m} \mathcal{H}_{\mu+m} N_{\mu+m-1} \dots N_{\mu+1} N_\mu \phi(x).$$

Then the inverse Hankel transformation $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,m}^{-1}$ is defined for $\Phi \in \mathcal{Y}_{\mu,b}^{2q}$ by

$$(3) \quad \phi(x) \triangleq \mathcal{H}_{\mu,m}^{-1} \Phi(y) = (-1)^m N_\mu^{-1} N_{\mu-1}^{-1} \dots N_{\mu+m-1}^{-1} \mathcal{H}_{\mu+m} y^m \Phi(y).$$

Note that N_μ is an isomorphism from $B_{\mu,b}$ onto $B_{\mu+1,b}$ and N_μ^{-1} is an isomorphism from $B_{\mu+1,b}$ onto $B_{\mu,b}$. Thus Theorem 1 leads us to

Theorem 2. For any real number μ , the Hankel transformation $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,m}$ defined by (2) is an isomorphism from the space $B_{\mu,b}$ onto the space $\mathcal{Y}_{\mu,b}^{2q}$ where m is a nonnegative integer such that $\mu + m \geq -\frac{1}{2}$. Moreover the inverse Hankel transformation $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,m}^{-1}$ is given by (3).

Theorem 2 was first proved by Koh [14, Lemma 3, p. 324]. Let f and ϕ belong to the spaces $(\mathcal{Y}_{\mu,b}^{2q})'$ and $B_{\mu,b}$ respectively. Then the generalized Hankel transformation \mathcal{H}'_μ for any real number μ is defined by

$$(4) \quad \langle \mathcal{H}'_\mu f, \phi \rangle = \langle f, \mathcal{H}_{\mu,m} \phi \rangle$$

where m is a nonnegative integer chosen as before. From Theorem 2 and equation (4), we have

Theorem 3. For any real number μ , the generalized Hankel transformation \mathcal{H}'_μ is an isomorphism from the dual space $(\mathcal{Y}_{\mu,b}^{2q})'$ onto the dual space $(B_{\mu,b})'$.

The following lemma is an easy consequence of Definition 2.

Lemma 1. For any real number $a > 0$ and for each $q = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, let Ψ be an even entire function such that

$$|\Psi(z)| \leq C e^{a|y|^{2q}} (1 + |z|^{2m})$$

where C is any positive constant and m is any nonnegative integer. Then $\Phi \mapsto \Psi\Phi$ is a continuous linear mapping from the space $\mathcal{Y}_{\mu,b}^{2q}$ into $\mathcal{Y}_{\mu,a+b}^{2q}$.

Let $\mathcal{S}_e(R^2)$ be the space of even smooth functions of rapid descent. Let $\mathcal{S}_{e,\mu}(z)$ be the subspace of the functions $z^{\mu+1/2}f$ where $f \in \mathcal{S}_e(R^2)$. Then the following algebraic inclusion relations are apparent:

$$\mathcal{S}_{e,\mu}(z) \subset \mathcal{Y}_{\mu,b}^{2q} \subset \mathcal{Y}_{\mu,a+b}^{2q} \subset z^{\mu+1/2} \cdot \mathcal{S}_e(R^2).$$

Observe that $\mathcal{S}_{e,\mu}(z)$ and $\mathcal{S}_e(R^2)$ carry their Fréchet space topologies. Note also that the topology of $\mathcal{Y}_{\mu,b}^{2q}$ is identical with the induced topology by $\mathcal{Y}_{\mu,a+b}^{2q}$. Since $\mathcal{S}_{e,\mu}(z)$ is dense in $z^{\mu+1/2} \cdot \mathcal{S}_e(R^2)$ [7, Theorem 15.5, p. 160], we immediately get

Lemma 2. For any two positive real numbers a and b , the space $\mathcal{Y}_{\mu,b}^{2q}$ is dense in $\mathcal{Y}_{\mu,a+b}^{2q}$ for each $q = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

Theorems 1 and 2 and Lemma 2 yield

Lemma 3. For any real number μ and for any two positive real numbers a and b , the space $B_{\mu,b}$ is dense in $B_{\mu,a+b}$.

Consider now the Cauchy problem of the differential operator $S_\mu \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \partial^2/\partial x^2 - (4\mu^2 - 1)/4x^2$:

$$(5) \quad \partial u(x, t)/\partial t = P(S_\mu)u(x, t),$$

$$(6) \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$$

where $u(x, t)$ is an $m \times 1$ column vector, and P is an $m \times m$ polynomial matrix with constant coefficients.

Theorem 4. Let μ be a real number $\geq -1/2$. Then the Cauchy problem (5)–(6) admits a unique solution $u(x, t)$ in the dual space $(B_{\mu,a+b})'$ for the interval $0 \leq t \leq T$, $T = t_0 + (a + b) c_0^{-1} 2^{-4p_0}$ and for any initial function

$u_0(x) \in (B_{\mu, a+b})'$ where p_0 is the reduced order of the system (5)–(6) with S_μ replaced by $i(\partial/\partial x)$ and c_0 is a constant depending on P .

Proof. From the fundamental theorem [2, pp. 35–48], the Cauchy problem (5)–(6) has a unique solution in a dual space E' if the Cauchy problem:

$$(7) \quad \partial\phi(x, t)/\partial t = \tilde{P}(S_\mu)\phi(x, t),$$

$$(8) \quad \phi(x, t_0) = \phi_0(x)$$

has a solution in the space E where \tilde{P} is the adjoint of p . In our case E turned out to be $B_{\mu, a+b}$. Let μ be a real number $\geq -\frac{1}{2}$. From [8, p. 565] or [9, p. 686], the Hankel transformation \mathcal{H}_μ of (7)–(8) gives

$$(9) \quad \partial\Phi(y, t)/\partial t = \tilde{P}(-y^2)\Phi(y, t),$$

$$(10) \quad \Phi(y, t_0) = \Phi_0(y)$$

where $\Phi(y, t) = \mathcal{H}_\mu\phi(x, t)$. Obviously the formal solution of (9)–(10) is given by

$$\Phi(y, t) = \exp[(t - t_0)\tilde{P}(-y^2)]\Phi_0(y).$$

Define $Q(\eta, t_0, t) = \exp[(t - t_0)\tilde{P}(-\eta^2)]$ where $\eta = u + iv$. Let p_0 be the reduced order of the system (5)–(6) where S_μ is replaced by $i(\partial/\partial x)$. Upon utilizing [2, p. 53], we obtain

$$\|Q(\eta, t_0, t)\| \leq C(1 + |\eta|^2)^{p_0(m-1)} \exp[c_0|t - t_0| |\eta|^{4p_0}]$$

where c_0 is a constant depending on p_0 . Since $|\eta|^{4p_0} \leq 2^{4p_0}(|u|^{4p_0} + |v|^{4p_0})$, it follows that

$$(11) \quad \|Q(\eta, t_0, t)\| \leq C_1 \exp[c_0 2^{4p_0}|t - t_0| |u|^{4p_0}] \exp[c_0 2^{4p_0}|t - t_0| |v|^{4p_0}].$$

Choose a positive integer q greater than $2p_0$. Applying the Phragmén-Lindelöf theorem [6, pp. 176–181] in the domain $-\pi/2 + \epsilon \leq \arg \eta \leq \pi/2 - \epsilon$, $\epsilon > 0$, and Lemma 1, we can see from (11) that $\Phi \mapsto Q(\eta, t_0, t)$ is a continuous linear mapping from the space $\mathcal{Y}_{\mu, b}^{2q}$ into $\mathcal{Y}_{\mu, a+b}^{2q}$ where t is chosen such that $|t - t_0| \leq c_0^{-1} 2^{-4p_0}(a + b)$. Thus the Cauchy problem (9)–(10) has a unique solution in $\mathcal{Y}_{\mu, a+b}^{2q}$. Since $\mathcal{H}_\mu^{-1}\mathcal{Y}_{\mu, a+b}^{2q} = B_{\mu, a+b}$ for $\mu \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ from Theorem 1, and since $B_{\mu, a}$ is dense in $B_{\mu, a+b}$ from Lemma 3, the Cauchy problem (7)–(8) has a unique solution in $B_{\mu, a+b}$. It follows from the fundamental theorem [2, pp. 35–48] that the Cauchy problem (5)–(6) admits a

unique solution in the dual space $(B_{\mu, a+b})'$ for the interval $0 \leq t \leq T$, $T = c_0^{-1} 2^{-4p_0} (a+b)$. This completes the proof.

For $\mu < -\frac{1}{2}$, an application of $\mathcal{H}_{\mu, m}$ defined by (2) instead of \mathcal{H}_μ in the above proof shows us

Corollary 1. *Theorem 4 holds for whatever the choice of μ may be.*

Remark 1. If p_0 is the reduced order of the system (5)–(6) with S_μ replaced by $i(\partial/\partial x)$, we have to select q greater than $2p_0$ so that $\Phi \mapsto Q(\eta, t_0, t)\Phi$ is a continuous linear mapping from $\mathcal{Y}_{\mu, b}^{2q}$ into $\mathcal{Y}_{\mu, a+b}^{2q}$.

Remark 2. Let p_0 be defined as in Remark 1. Then the reduced order of the system (5)–(6) is $2p_0$.

Remark 3. If the coefficients of the system (5)–(6) depend on t , upon utilizing [2, pp. 58–60], it is not hard to verify the validity of Theorem 4 and Corollary 1 in this case too.

Problem. Let h be the genus of the system (5)–(6) with respect to the differential operator $i(\partial/\partial x)$ [2, pp. 107–115]. Then what is the genus of the same system with $i(\partial/\partial x)$ replaced by S_μ ? We conjecture the answer to be $2h$. Once this problem is solved, we may be able to give a correctness class of the system (5)–(6).

REFERENCES

1. I. M. Gel'fand and G. E. Šilov, *Generalized functions*. Vol. 2: *Spaces of fundamental functions*, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1958; English transl., Academic Press; Gordon and Breach, New York, 1968. MR 21 #5142a; 37 #5693.
2. ———, *Generalized functions*. Vol. 3: *Some questions on the theory of differential equations*, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1958; English transl., Academic Press, New York, 1967. MR 21 #5142b; 36 #506.
3. J. L. Griffith, *Hankel transforms of functions zero outside a finite interval*, J. Proc. Roy. Soc. New South Wales 89 (1955), 109–115 (1956). MR 17, 1066.
4. W. Y. Lee, *The space of type H_μ and their Hankel transformations*, Ph.D. Thesis, SUNY at Stony Brook, 1971.
5. L. Schwartz, *Théorie des distributions*. Vols. 1, 2, *Actualités Sci. Indust.*, nos. 1091, 1122, Hermann, Paris, 1950, 1951. MR 12, 31; 833.
6. E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of functions*, Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1964.
7. F. Trèves, *Topological vector spaces. Distributions and kernels*, Academic Press, New York, 1967. MR 37 #726.
8. A. H. Zemanian, *A distributional Hankel transformation*, J. SIAM Appl. Math. 14 (1966), 561–576. MR 34 #1807.
9. ———, *Hankel transforms of arbitrary order*, Duke Math. J. 34 (1967), 761–769. MR 36 #6883.
10. ———, *The Hankel transformation of certain distributions of rapid growth*, J. SIAM Appl. Math. 14 (1966), 678–690. MR 35 #2093.

11. A. H. Zemanian, *Generalized integral transformations*, Interscience, New York, 1968.
12. ———, *Distribution theory and transform analysis. An introduction to generalized functions, with applications*, Internat. Series in Pure and Appl. Math., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965. MR 31 #1556.
13. L. Hörmander, *Linear partial differential operators*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 116, Academic Press, New York; Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963. MR 28 #4221.
14. E. L. Koh, *The Hankel transformation of negative order for distributions of rapid growth*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 1 (1970), 322–327. MR 42 #2297.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, CAMDEN, NEW JERSEY
08012