## HIGHER DERIVATIONS ON FINITELY GENERATED INTEGRAL DOMAINS. II

## WILLIAM C. BROWN

ABSTRACT. We prove

Theorem. Let  $A = k[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$  be a finitely generated integral domain over a field k of characteristic zero. Then A regular, i.e. the local ring  $A_q$  is regular for every prime ideal  $q \subseteq A$ , is equivalent to the following two conditions: (1) no prime of A of height greater than one is differential, and (2) for all  $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_k(A, A), \phi \in \operatorname{Der}_k^n(A)$  if and only if  $\Delta \phi \in \mathbf{\Sigma}_{k=1}^{n-1} \operatorname{Der}_k^i(A) \cup \operatorname{Der}_k^{n-i}(A)$   $(n = 1, 2, \ldots)$ .

Here  $\Delta$  denotes the Hochschild coboundary operator,  $\cup$  denotes the cup product, and  $\operatorname{Der}_{k}^{n}(R)$  is the module of higher derivations of rank n.

Introduction. Throughout this paper,  $A = k[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$  will denote a finitely generated integral domain over a field k of characteristic zero. For each  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ , we shall let  $\operatorname{Der}_k^n(A)$  denote the A-module of all *n*th order derivations of A to A which vanish on k. Thus,  $\phi \in \operatorname{Der}_k^n(A)$  if and only if  $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_k(A, A)$ , and for all  $a_0, \ldots, a_n \in A$  we have

(1)

$$\stackrel{\phi(a_{0}a_{1}\cdots a_{n})}{=\sum_{s=1}^{n}(-1)^{s-1}\sum_{i_{1}\cdots i_{s}}a_{i_{1}}\cdots a_{i_{s}}\phi(a_{0}\cdots \check{a}_{i_{1}}\cdots \check{a}_{i_{s}}\cdots a_{n}).$$

The author refers the reader to [5] for the various properties of the modules  $\operatorname{Der}_{k}^{n}(A)$  which are used in this paper.

It follows from [5, Proposition 4 and Corollary 6.1] that any composite  $\delta_1 \dots \delta_j \ (1 \le j \le n)$  of j-derivations  $\delta_i \in \operatorname{Der}_k^1(A)$  is an *n*th order derivation. The A-submodule of  $\operatorname{Der}_k^n(A)$  spanned by all such composites will be denoted by  $\operatorname{der}_k^n(A)$ .

We shall say that a prime ideal p in A is differential if  $\delta(p) \subseteq p$  for all  $\delta \in \text{Der}_{k}^{1}(A)$ . We shall call A a regular ring if for all prime ideals  $q \subseteq A$ ,

Copyright © 1975, American Mathematical Society

Received by the editors February 28, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 13H05.

Key words and phrases. nth order derivation,  $der_{k}^{n}(A)$ .

the local ring  $A_a$  is regular. With these definitions, the author proved [1]

**Theorem 1.** Let  $A = k[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$  be a finitely generated integral domain over a field k of characteristic zero. Then A is a regular ring if and only if the following two properties are satisfied: (a) no prime p of A of height greater than one is differential and (b)  $\operatorname{Der}_k^n(A) = \operatorname{der}_k^n(A)$  for all n.

Thus, the arithmetic condition (b) on the modules  $\operatorname{Der}_k^n(A)$  in the presence of (a) implies regularity. The purpose of this paper is to show that the condition (b) can be replaced by another quite different arithmetic condition on the modules  $\operatorname{Der}_k^n(A)$ .

Recall that if  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are k-linear mappings of A into itself, then the Hochschild coboundary  $\Delta \phi$  of  $\phi$  is the k-bilinear mapping  $\Delta \phi: A \times A \to A$ given by  $\Delta \phi(a, b) = \phi(ab) - a\phi(b) - b\phi(a)$  for all  $a, b \in A$ . The cup product  $\phi \cup \psi: A \times A \to A$  is the k-bilinear mapping defined by  $\phi \cup \psi(a, b) =$  $\phi(a)\psi(b)$ . If P and Q are two A-submodules of  $\operatorname{Hom}_k(A, A)$ , then  $P \cup Q$ will denote the set of all k-bilinear mappings of  $A \times A \to A$  which are finite sums of mappings of the form  $\phi \cup \psi$  for  $\phi \in P, \psi \in Q$ .

Let us set

$$\sum (A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \operatorname{Der}_{k}^{i}(A) \cup \operatorname{Der}_{k}^{n-i}(A).$$

Then it follows from [5, Proposition 3] that  $\phi \in \text{Der}_k^n(A)$  if  $\Delta \phi \in \Sigma(A)$ . In [3], Y. Ishibashi proved

**Theorem 2.** Let A be a finitely generated algebra over a field k such that  $\Omega_k^i(A)$  is A-projective for every  $i \ge 1$ . Let  $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_k(A, A)$ . Then  $\phi \in \operatorname{Der}_k^n(A)$  if and only if  $\Delta \phi \in \Sigma(A)$ . Here  $\Omega_k^i(A)$  denotes the A-module of ith order differentials.

If A is a finitely generated integral domain over a field k of characteristic zero, then we shall prove a partial converse to Theorem 2.

## Main results.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $A = k[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$  be a finitely generated integral domain over a field k of characteristic zero. Then A is a regular ring if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:

(a) no prime ideal p of A of height greater than one is differential; and
(b) for every positive integer n and every φ ∈ Hom<sub>k</sub>(A, A) φ ∈
Der<sup>n</sup><sub>k</sub>(A) if and only if Δφ ∈ Σ(A).

**Proof.** Suppose A is a regular ring. Then by Theorem 1, A satisfies

(a) and for each positive integer n,  $\operatorname{Der}_{k}^{n}(A) = \operatorname{der}_{k}^{n}(A)$ . But if every  $\phi \in \operatorname{Der}_{k}^{n}(A)$  is a linear combination of composites of first order derivations, then a simple induction argument shows  $\Delta \phi \in \Sigma(A)$ . Thus, one direction of Theorem 3 follows immediately from Theorem 1.

Now suppose A satisfies conditions (a) and (b). We shall first show that A is integrally closed. Let Q denote the quotient field of A, and let  $\overline{A}$  denote the integral closure of A in Q. Let us assume that  $A \neq \overline{A}$ . Then the conductor C of A is a proper ideal in A. By [6, Corollary, p. 169], C is a differential ideal. Let p be an associated prime of C. Then by [7, Theorem 1], p is differential, and thus by condition (a) p is a minimal prime of A.

Now let  $R = A_p$  (A localized at p). Then  $\overline{R}$ , the integral closure of Rin Q, is given by  $\overline{A}_p$ . The conductor of R in  $\overline{R}$  is just CR. We note that  $\overline{R}$  is a semilocal ring with maximal ideals say  $p_1, \ldots, p_s$ . If we set  $V_i = \overline{R}_{p_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, s$ , then  $V_i$  is a discrete rank one valuation ring, and  $\overline{R} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{s} V_i$ . Since  $\Omega_k^n(R) = \Omega_k^n(A) \otimes_A A_p$  [5, Theorem 9], and  $A_p$  is a flat Amodule, we see that  $\operatorname{Der}_k^n(R) = \operatorname{Der}_k^n(A) \otimes_A A_p$ . So any derivation  $\phi$  in  $\operatorname{Der}_k^n(R)$  has the form  $(1/s)\phi'$  with  $\phi' \in \operatorname{Der}_k^n(A)$ , s an element of A not in p. Thus, since A satisfies (b), one easily sees that if  $\phi \in \operatorname{Der}_k^n(R)$ , then  $\Delta \phi \in \Sigma(R)$ . On the other hand, if  $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_k(R, R)$ , and  $\Delta \phi \in \Sigma(R)$ , then it follows from [5, Proposition 3] that  $\phi \in \operatorname{Der}_k^n(R)$ . Thus, R satisfies condition (b) in the theorem.

Let the tanscendence degree of Q over k be r, and let  $\Omega_k^1(T)$  denote the module of first order differentials of any k-algebra T. It follows from [4, Theorem 3'] that  $\Omega_k^1(V_i)$  is a free  $V_i$ -module necessarily of rank r. Since  $\overline{R}$  is semilocal, it follows that  $\operatorname{Der}_k^1(\overline{R})$  is a free  $\overline{R}$ -module of rank r. Let  $\overline{d}: \overline{R} \to \Omega_k^1(\overline{R})$  denote the canonical derivation of  $\overline{R}$  into  $\Omega_k^1(\overline{R})$ .

Since the depth of p is r-1, the quotient field of A/p has transcendence degree r-1 over k. Hence there exist elements  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{r-1} \in A - p$  such that the quotient field of A/p is a separable algebraic extension of  $k(\overline{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \overline{\alpha}_{r-1})$ . Here  $\overline{\alpha}_i$  of course denotes the image of  $\alpha_i$  in A/p. Note that the field  $F = k(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{r-1})$  is contained in R.

Using [8, Theorem 18, p. 45], we can find an element  $\beta \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{s} p_i$  such that  $\beta$  generates the maximal ideal in each local ring  $V_i$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, s$ . It now follows from the proof of [4, Theorem 3'] and Nakayama's lemma that  $\{\overline{d}(\beta), \overline{d}(\alpha_1), \ldots, \overline{d}(\alpha_{r-1})\}$  is a free  $\overline{R}$ -module basis of  $\Omega_k^1(\overline{R})$ . Since  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(\Omega_k^1(\overline{R}), \overline{R}) \cong \operatorname{Der}_k^1(\overline{R})$ , there exist derivations  $\delta_0, \delta_1, \ldots, \delta_{r-1} \in \operatorname{Der}_k^1(\overline{R})$  such that the following equations are satisfied:

2) 
$$\delta_0(\beta) = 1, \quad \delta_0(\alpha_i) = 0 = \delta_i(\beta), \quad i = 1, ..., r - 1,$$

and

(

$$\delta_i(\alpha_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j, i, j = 1, \dots, r-1, \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $\{\delta_0, \ldots, \delta_{r-1}\}$  is a free  $\overline{R}$ -module basis of  $\operatorname{Der}_k^1(\overline{R})$  and also a Q-algebra basis for  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Der}_k^n(Q)$ .

If  $\phi \in \operatorname{Der}_{k}^{n}(R)$ , then by [5, Theorem 15]  $\phi \in \operatorname{Der}_{k}^{n}(Q)$ . Thus,  $\phi$  can be written uniquely as a polynomial (with coefficients in Q) in  $\delta_{0}, \ldots, \delta_{r-1}$  of degree less than or equal to n. Let  $J = \bigcap_{i=1}^{s} p_{i}$ , the Jacobson radical of  $\overline{R}$ . We need the following

Lemma. Let  $\phi \in \text{Der}_k^n(R)$ . Then  $\phi = g(\delta_0, \ldots, \delta_{r-1})$ , where  $g(X_0, \ldots, X_{r-1})$  is a polynomial in indeterminates  $X_i$  with coefficients in Q. Furthermore, the coefficient of  $\delta_0^n$  in g is an element of  $J^n$ .

**Proof.** Only the last statement in the Lemma needs proof. We proceed via induction on *n*. Suppose  $\phi \in \operatorname{Der}_k^1(R)$ . By [6, Corollary, p. 169], *CR* is differential under  $\phi$ . Since  $\phi \in \operatorname{Der}_k^1(\overline{R})$ ,  $C\overline{R}$  is differential under  $\phi$ . Thus, every associated prime of  $C\overline{R}$  is differential under  $\phi$ . Since every  $p_i$  is an associated prime of  $C\overline{R}$ , we have  $\phi(p_i) \subseteq p_i$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, s$ . Thus,  $\phi(\beta) \in J$ . But if  $\phi = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a_i \delta_i$ ,  $a_i \in Q$ , then  $\phi(\beta) = a_0$ . Thus the Lemma is proven in the case n = 1.

We shall sketch the proof of the n = 2 case before proceeding to the inductive step. Suppose  $\phi \in \operatorname{Der}_k^2(R)$ . Since R satisfies condition (b), there exist  $\lambda_l$ ,  $\psi_l \in \operatorname{Der}_k^1(R)$ , and elements  $e_l \in R$  such that for all a and b in R we have

(3) 
$$\phi(ab) = a\phi(b) + b\phi(a) + \sum_{l} e_{l}\psi_{l}(a)\lambda_{l}(b).$$

One easily checks using [5, Theorem 15] that (3) holds for all  $a, b \in Q$ . Now write

(4) 
$$\phi = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a_i \delta_i + \sum_{i_1, i_2=0}^{r-1} a_{i_1 i_2} \delta_{i_1} \delta_{i_2} \quad \text{with} \ a_i, \ a_{i_1 i_2} \in Q$$

and

(5) 
$$\psi_l = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \mu_{il} \delta_i, \quad \lambda_l = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \gamma_{il} \delta_i \quad \text{with } \mu_{il}, \gamma_{il} \in Q.$$

From the case n = 1, we have  $\mu_{0l}$ ,  $\gamma_{0l} \in J$ . Now substitute (4) and (5) into (3) and obtain

(6)  $\sum_{i_{1},i_{2}}^{a} a_{i_{1}i_{2}}(\delta_{i_{1}}(a)\delta_{i_{2}}(b) + \delta_{i_{1}}(b)\delta_{i_{2}}(a)) \\= \sum_{l}^{c} e_{l} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \mu_{il}\delta_{i}(a)\right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \gamma_{il}\delta_{i}(b)\right).$ 

If we now substitute  $a = b = \beta$  in (6), we get  $2a_{00} = \sum_l e_l \mu_{0l} \gamma_{01} \in J^2$ . Thus  $a_{00} \in J^2$  and the case n = 2 is complete.

Assume we have now proven the Lemma for t = 1, 2, ..., n - 1  $(n \ge 3)$ , and consider  $\phi \in \operatorname{Der}_{k}^{n}(R)$ . Then by condition (b) there exist elements  $e_{l,j} \in R$  and derivations  $\psi_{l}^{(j)}, \lambda_{l}^{(j)} \in \operatorname{Der}_{k}^{j}(R), j = 1, ..., n - 1$ , such that for all  $a, b \in R$  we have

(7)  

$$\phi(ab) = a\phi(b) + b\phi(a) + \sum e_{l1}\psi_l^{(1)}(a)\lambda_l^{(n-1)}(b) + \sum_l e_{l2}\psi_l^{(2)}(a)\lambda_l^{(n-2)}(b) + \dots + \sum_l e_{ln-1}\psi_l^{(n-1)}(a)\lambda_l^{(1)}(b)$$

Now write  $\phi$  as a polynomial  $g(\delta_0, \ldots, \delta_{r-1})$  of degree less than or equal to *n*. If the coefficient of  $\delta_0^n$  in *g* is zero, then we have nothing to prove. Thus, without loss of generality, we can assume that the coefficient of  $\delta_0^n$  in *g* is not zero. By the induction hypothesis, we can write each  $\psi_l^{(j)}$  and  $\lambda_l^{(j)}$  as a polynomial in  $\delta_0, \delta_1, \ldots, \delta_{r-1}$  whose coefficient of  $\delta_0^j$  is in  $J^j$ . Say

(8) 
$$\psi_{l}^{(j)} = \sum c_{t}^{l,j} \delta_{t} + \sum c_{t_{1}t_{2}}^{l,j} \delta_{t_{1}} \delta_{t_{2}} + \dots + \sum c_{t_{1}\cdots t_{j}}^{l,j} \delta_{t_{1}} \cdots \delta_{t_{j}}$$

and

$$\lambda_l^{(j)} = \sum b_t^{l,j} \delta_t + \sum b_{t_1 t_2}^{l,j} \delta_{t_1} \delta_{t_2} + \dots + \sum b_{t_1 \dots t_j}^{l,j} \delta_{t_1} \dots \delta_{t_j}$$

We now substitute  $\phi = g(\delta_0, \ldots, \delta_{r-1})$  and the expressions in (8) into (7). Using the relations in (2), we can eliminate all terms in (7) which involve any  $\delta_i$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, r-1$ . Thus, (7) reduces to an equation of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \delta_{0}^{i}(ab) = a \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \delta_{0}^{i}(b) \right) + b \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \delta_{0}^{i}(a) \right)$$

$$(9) \qquad + \sum_{l} e_{l1}(c_{0}^{l,1} \delta_{0}(a))(b_{0}^{l,n-1} \delta_{0} + \dots + b_{0}^{l,n-1} \delta_{0}^{n-1})(b)$$

$$+ \dots + \sum_{l} e_{l,n-1}(c_{0}^{l,n-1} \delta_{0} + \dots + c_{0}^{l,n-1} \delta_{0}^{n-1})(a)(b_{0}^{l,1} \delta_{0}(b)).$$

Here  $c_{0...0}^{l,j}$ ,  $b_{0...0}^{l,j} \in J^j$  for each j = 1, ..., n-1, when the number of zeros appearing in the subscript is j.

If we now make various substitutions of the form  $a = \beta^s$ ,  $b = \beta^t$  and eliminate sums which are equal, we easily see that  $a_n \in J^n$ . Since  $a_n$  is the coefficient of  $\delta_0^n$  appearing in g, the proof is complete.

Using the Lemma, we can easily argue that A is integrally closed. Let  $c \in CR$ . Then  $c\delta_0^n \in \operatorname{Der}_k^n(R)$  for every n. Thus by the Lemma,  $c \in J^n$ . Since c is arbitrary, we have  $CR \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} J^n$ . Since J is the Jacobson radical of  $\overline{R}$ , we have  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} J^n = 0$ . Thus CR = (0). But we are assuming  $A \neq \overline{A}$ , and thus  $CR \neq (0)$ , a contradiction. Thus, we have shown that conditions (a) and (b) imply that A is integrally closed.

We now show that A is a regular ring. Let q be a minimal prime of A. Then  $A_q$  is a discrete rank one valuation ring. In particular,  $A_q$  is a regular local ring. Assume we have shown that  $A_q$  is a regular local ring for all primes q of height less than or equal to t. Let q be a prime of height t + 1. Then by (a) q is not differential under  $\text{Der}_k^1(A)$ . Then  $qA_q$  is not differential under  $\text{Der}_k^1(A_q)$ . We note that the induction hypothesis implies that every proper localization of  $A_q$  is regular. It now follows from [7, Theorem 5] that  $A_q$  is regular. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

It follows from [2, 16.12.12] that if A is regular, then A is a smooth algebra over k. Thus Theorem 3 can be viewed as a partial converse of Theorem 2.

Example. In studying the proofs of Theorems 1 and 3, we see that the following theorem proven by A. Seidenberg [6] is of crucial importance.

**Theorem 4.** Let R be an integral domain containing the rational numbers k, and let R' be the ring of elements in the quotient field of R which are quasi-integral over R. Let  $\delta \in \operatorname{Der}_{k}^{1}(R)$ . Then  $\delta \in \operatorname{Der}_{k}^{1}(R')$ .

It is well known that this theorem is false if k is a field of characteristic not zero. It is somewhat surprising that this theorem is also false (in the characteristic zero case) for higher derivations. We give an example.

Consider the curve  $x^2 = y^3$  over the rational numbers k. That is, let  $A = k[X, Y]/(X^2 - Y^3) = k[x, y]$ . One easily checks that A is an integral domain whose integral closure  $\overline{A}$  in the quotient field Q of A is given by  $\overline{A} = A[x/y]$ . Since Q is a separable algebraic extension of k(y), it follows that any  $\phi \in \text{Der}_k^2(Q)$  is uniquely determined by its values on y and  $y^2$ . A simple calculation shows that if  $\phi(y) = a$  and  $\phi(y^2) = b$ , then

$$\phi(x) = \frac{3y}{8}\left(\frac{2ya+b}{x}\right), \quad \phi(x^2) = 3yb - 3y^2a \text{ and } \phi(xy) = \frac{5y^2}{8}\left(\frac{3b-2ya}{x}\right).$$

In particular, if we set a = 1 and b = -2y, then  $\phi \in \operatorname{Der}_{k}^{2}(A)$  and is given by

(10) 
$$\phi(y) = 1$$
,  $\phi(y^2) = -2y$ ,  $\phi(x) = 0$ ,  $\phi(x^2) = -9y^2$  and  $\phi(xy) = -5x$ .

The conductor C of A is given by C = (x, y). Note that  $\phi(C) \notin C$ . Using [5, Theorem 15], we see that  $\phi(x/y) = x/y^2 \notin \overline{A}$ . Thus, higher derivations on A need not map  $\overline{A}$  into  $\overline{A}$ .

Finally the author notes that in the above example (and all other examples investigated so far) if  $\phi \in \operatorname{Der}_k^2(A)$  satisfies  $\Delta \phi \in \operatorname{Der}_k^1(A) \cup \operatorname{Der}_k^1(A)$ , then  $\phi(\overline{A}) \subset \overline{A}$ . This leads to the following conjecture. Suppose A is a finitely generated integral domain over a field k of characteristic zero. Let  $\phi \in \operatorname{Der}_k^n(A)$ , and suppose  $\Delta \phi \in \Sigma(A)$ . Suppose further that the derivations  $\psi_l^{(i)}$ ,  $\lambda_l^{(i)}$  (as in (7)) used in  $\Delta \phi$  map the integral closure  $\overline{A}$  of A into itself. Then  $\phi$  maps  $\overline{A}$  to  $\overline{A}$ .

## REFERENCES

1. W. C. Brown, Higher derivations on finitely generated integral domains, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 42 (1974), 23-27.

2. A. Grothendieck, Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV, Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. IV, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 32, (1967). MR 39 # 220.

3. Y. Ishibashi, Some remarks on high order derivations, Pacific J. Math. 52 (1974), 419-424.

4. Y. Nakai, On the theory of differentials in commutative rings, J. Math. Soc. Japan 13 (1961), 63-84. MR 23 #A2437.

5. \_\_\_\_, Higher order derivations. I, Osaka J. Math. 7 (1970), 1-27. MR 41 #8404.

6. A. Seidenberg, Derivations and integral closure, Pacific J. Math. 16 (1966), 167-173. MR 32 # 5686.

7. \_\_\_\_, Differential ideals in rings of finitely generated type, Amer. J. Math. 89 (1967), 22-42. MR 35 # 2902.

8. O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative algebra*. Vol. II, University Series in Highter Math., Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1960. MR 22 # 11006.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MICHIGAN 48824