

## CODIMENSION OF COMPACT $M$ -SEMILATTICES

J. W. LEA, JR. AND A. Y. W. LAU

ABSTRACT. This paper is a generalization of [5] and gives a partial answer to Question 31 in [1], i.e., if  $S$  is a compact  $M$ -semilattice of finite codimension and  $x \neq y$ , then there exists a closed subsemilattice  $A$  of  $S$  such that  $A$  separates  $x$  and  $y$  in  $S$  and  $\text{cd } A < \text{cd } S$ .

A topological semilattice is a Hausdorff space with an associative continuous operation which is commutative and idempotent. We denote

$$M(x) = \{y \in S \mid x \leq y\}, \quad L(x) = \{y \in S \mid y \leq x\}, \quad [x, y] = M(x) \cap L(y),$$

and  $F(A)$  the boundary of  $A$  in  $S$ . The breadth of  $S$  ( $\text{Br } S$ ) is the smallest integer  $n$  such that any finite subset  $F$  of  $S$  contains a subset  $G$  of at most  $n$  elements such that  $\inf F = \inf G$ . The codimension of  $S$  is the smallest integer  $n$  such that  $i^*: H^n(S) \rightarrow H^n(A)$  is onto for each closed subset  $A$  and inclusion  $i$ .

**I. Lemma 1.1.** *If  $S$  is a topological semilattice and  $a \in S$ , then  $F(M(a))$  is an ideal of  $M(a)$  if  $F(M(a)) \neq \emptyset$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $p \in F(M(a))$  and  $q \in M(a)$ . Suppose  $pq$  belongs to the interior of  $M(a)$ . Then there exists an open set  $U$  of  $p$  such that  $Uq \subset M(a)$ . For each  $z \in U$ ,  $zq \in M(a)$  implies  $z \in M(a)$ . Hence  $p \in U$  which is contained in  $M(a)$ , contrary to  $p \in F(M(a))$ .

A topological semilattice  $S$  is said to be an  $M$ -semilattice if  $M(x)$  is connected for each  $x \in S$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** *If  $S$  is an  $M$ -semilattice, then  $F(M(a))$  is an  $M$ -semilattice if nonempty.*

**Proof.** Let  $x, y \in F(M(a))$  and  $x < y$ . Then  $M(x)$  is connected. Since  $F(M(a))$  is an ideal of  $M(a)$ , then  $yM(x) \subset F(M(a)) \cap L(y) \cap M(x)$  and also  $yM(x)$  contains  $x$  and  $y$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** *If  $S$  is a compact  $M$ -semilattice, then  $S$  is locally connected.*

**Proof.** Let  $x \in W$  and  $W$  be open in  $S$ . We can assume  $W$  convex since  $S$  is a compact partially ordered space. Let  $x \in V$  and  $V$  open in  $S$  and  $V^2 \subset W$ . For all  $p, q \in V$ ,  $pq \in V^2$ . Then there exist arc-chains  $A$  and  $B$  from  $pq$  to  $p$  and  $pq$  to  $q$  respectively. Since  $W$  is convex, then  $A$  and  $B$

---

Received by the editors February 2, 1974 and, in revised form, August 13, 1974.  
AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 22A15; Secondary 06A20,

54F05.

are contained in  $W$ . Hence  $S$  is connected im kleinem at each  $x \in S$ ;  $S$  is locally connected.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $S$  is a compact  $M$ -semilattice, then  $F(M(a))$  is a compact connected locally connected semilattice and if  $S$  has finite codimension, then  $S$  has a basis of closed neighborhoods each of which is a subsemilattice.*

**Proof.** Apply Lemma 1.3 and Theorem 3.4 of [2].

II. If  $S$  is a compact semilattice with identity, we can define

$$a \vee b = \inf\{x \mid a \leq x \text{ and } b \leq x\}$$

which exists and is the least upper bound for  $a$  and  $b$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $S$  be a compact semilattice and  $\{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \in D\}$  is an increasing net in  $S$ . Then  $x_\alpha$  converges to  $x$  if and only if  $x = \text{lub}\{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \in D\}$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $x_\alpha$  converges to  $x$ . Let  $\beta \in D$ . Then  $x_\beta x_\alpha$  converges to  $x_\beta x$ . But  $x_\beta \leq x_\alpha$  residually. Hence  $x_\beta x = x_\beta$ . Thus  $x_\beta \leq x$ , i.e.,  $\text{lub}\{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \in D\} \leq x$ . Suppose  $x \not\leq \text{lub}\{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \in D\}$ . Then there are open sets  $U \in \mathcal{U}_x$ ,  $V \in \mathcal{U}_{\text{lub}\{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \in D\}}$  such that  $(U \times V) \cap \leq = \emptyset$ . Choose any  $x_\beta \in U$ . Then  $x_\beta \leq \text{lub}\{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \in D\}$ , a contradiction. Hence  $x = \text{lub}\{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \in D\}$ .

Suppose  $x = \text{lub}\{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \in D\}$ . Let  $U \in \mathcal{U}_x$  open in  $S$ . Suppose there exists a cofinal subset  $E$  of  $D$  such that  $\{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \in E\} \cap U = \emptyset$ . Then there exists a subnet  $y_\beta$  of  $\{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \in E\}$  such that  $y_\beta$  converges to some  $y \notin U$ . By the previous paragraph,  $y = \text{lub}\{y_\beta \mid \beta \in I\} = \text{lub}\{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \in E\} = x$ . We have a contradiction.

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $S$  is a compact semilattice and  $x_\alpha$  is an increasing net converging to  $x$ , then  $a \vee x_\alpha$  is an increasing net converging to  $a \vee x$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $a \vee x_\alpha \leq a \vee x$  for each  $\alpha$ , then  $\text{lub}(a \vee x_\alpha) \leq a \vee x$ . Also for each  $\alpha$ ,  $x_\alpha \leq a \vee x_\alpha$ . Hence  $x \leq \text{lub}(a \vee x_\alpha)$  which yields  $a \vee x \leq \text{lub}(a \vee x_\alpha)$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $S$  is a compact  $M$ -semilattice with identity, then  $\text{cd } S = \text{Br } S$ .*

**Proof.** A generalization of Corollary 2.4 of [4].

**Theorem 2.** *If  $S$  is a compact  $M$ -semilattice of positive codimension  $n$ , then  $\text{cd}(F(M(a))) < n$ .*

**Proof.** We first show that if  $a \neq x \in F(M(a))$ , then  $\text{cd}[a, x] < n$ . Choose a closed neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $V \cap L(a) = \emptyset$  and  $V^2 = V$ . Since  $x \in F(M(a))$ , then  $U \cap S \setminus M(a) \neq \emptyset$  for each open set  $U$  containing  $x$ . Hence there is a net  $\{x_\alpha\} \subset V$  such that  $x_\alpha \notin M(a)$  and  $x_\alpha$  converges to  $x$ . Let  $y_\alpha = \inf\{x_\beta \mid \alpha \leq \beta\}$ . Then  $y_\alpha \in V \setminus M(a)$ . If  $W$  is a closed neighborhood of  $x$

and  $W^2 = W$ , then there exists  $\alpha$  such that for each  $\beta \geq \alpha$ ,  $x_\beta \in W$ . Then  $\inf\{x_\beta \mid \beta \geq \gamma\} \in W$  for all  $\gamma \geq \alpha$ . Hence  $y_\alpha$  is an increasing net converging to  $x$ .

For each  $\alpha \in D$ ,  $[a, a \vee y_\alpha]$  has breadth less than  $n$  [6, Lemma 1.1] since  $\text{Br } L(x) = \text{cd } L(x) \leq n$ . Since  $\{[a, a \vee y_\alpha]\}$  is a chain of subsemilattices, then

$$\text{Br}(\bigcup [a, a \vee y_\alpha]) = \text{Br}((\bigcup [a, a \vee y_\alpha])^*) < n,$$

where  $*$  denotes closure in  $S$ . Since  $y_\alpha$  converges to  $x$ , then  $a \vee y_\alpha$  converges to  $a \vee x$  and  $(a \vee y_\alpha)M(a)$  converges to  $(a \vee x)M(a)$  in terms of  $\lim \inf$  and  $\lim \sup$ . Since  $[a, a \vee y_\alpha] = (a \vee y_\alpha)M(a)$  and  $[a, x] = [a, a \vee x] = (a \vee x)M(a)$  and  $[a, a \vee y_\alpha] \subset [a, a \vee x]$ , then  $[a, x] = (\bigcup [a, a \vee y_\alpha])^*$ . Thus  $\text{Br}[a, x] < n$ . Since  $[a, x]$  is a compact  $M$ -semilattice with identity, then  $\text{cd}[a, x] = \text{Br}[a, x] < n$ .

Suppose  $F(M(a)) \neq \emptyset$ . Then by Theorem 1 and [3, Corollary 2],  $F(M(a))$  has a point  $x$  such that  $\text{cd}(F(M(a))) = \text{cd}(F(M(a)) \cap L(x))$ . But

$$\text{cd}(F(M(a)) \cap L(x)) \leq \text{cd}[a, x] < n.$$

**Theorem 3.** *If  $S$  is a compact  $M$ -semilattice and  $x \neq y$ , then there exists a closed subsemilattice  $A$  of  $S$  such that  $A$  separates  $x$  and  $y$  in  $S$  and  $\text{cd } A < \text{cd } S$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $x \neq y$ . Then  $x \not\leq y$  or  $y \not\leq x$ . Assume  $x \not\leq y$ . There exist open sets  $U, V$  containing  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $(U \times V) \cap \leq = \emptyset$ . Let  $K$  be a closed neighborhood of  $x$  contained in  $U$  and  $K^2 = K$ . Choose  $a = \inf K$ . Then  $x$  belongs to the interior of  $M(a)$  and  $y \notin M(a)$ . Hence  $F(M(a))$  separates  $x$  and  $y$ . Also  $\text{cd } F(M(a)) < \text{cd } S$  by Theorem 2.

#### REFERENCES

1. D. R. Brown and A. R. Stralka, *Problems on compact semilattices*, Semigroup Forum 6 (1973), 265–270.
2. J. D. Lawson, *Topological semilattices with small semilattices*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 1 (1969), 719–724. MR 40 #6516.
3. ———, *Dimensionally stable semilattices*, Semigroup Forum 5 (1972/73), 181–185. MR 47 #4874.
4. ———, *The relation of breadth and codimension in topological semilattices*, II, Duke Math. J. 38 (1971), 555–559. MR 44 #125.
5. J. W. Lea, Jr., *The codimension of the boundary of a lattice ideal*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 43 (1974), 36–38.
6. E. D. Shirley and A. R. Stralka, *Homomorphisms on connected topological lattices*, Duke Math. J. 38 (1971), 483–490. MR 43 #5497.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIDDLE TENNESSEE STATE UNIVERSITY, MURFREESBORO, TENNESSEE 37132

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTH TEXAS STATE UNIVERSITY, DENTON, TEXAS 76203