

CHARACTERIZATIONS OF C -COMPACT SPACES

LARRY L. HERRINGTON AND PAUL E. LONG

ABSTRACT. This paper gives characterizations of C -compact spaces, some of which make use of nets. Sufficient conditions for a space to be C -compact are given which make use of the graphs of weakly-continuous functions and a class of spaces which contains the class of Hausdorff completely normal and fully normal spaces.

1. Introduction. Our primary interest is the investigation of C -compact spaces. We give several characterizations of such spaces, some of which make use of nets and a type of convergence we define as r -convergence. Some of these characterizations lead immediately to characterizations of H -closed and minimal Hausdorff spaces. We also obtain sufficient conditions for a space to be C -compact through the graph of a weakly-continuous function and a class of spaces which includes the class of Hausdorff completely normal and fully normal spaces.

2. Preliminary definitions and theorems.

Definition 1 [8]. A space X is C -compact if for each closed subset $A \subset X$ and each open cover $\{U_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of A , there exists a finite subcollection $\{U_{\alpha_i} | i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i})$.

Definition 2 [1, p. 97]. A T_2 -space X is H -closed if for each open cover $\{U_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of X , there exists a finite subcollection $\{U_{\alpha_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i})$.

Recall that a set U is regular-open if $\text{Int}(\text{cl}(U)) = U$. In view of the fact that for any open set U , $\text{Int}(\text{cl}(U))$ is regular-open [3, p. 92], it follows immediately that the open sets in Definition 2 may be replaced with regular-open sets and an equivalent definition obtained. We now show the same may be done with Definition 1.

Lemma 1. *The space X is C -compact if and only if for each closed $A \subset X$ and regular-open cover $\{U_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$, there exists a finite subcollection $\{U_{\alpha_i} | i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i})$.*

Proof. If X is C -compact, the condition follows from Definition 1.

Now suppose the condition holds and let $\{U_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be any open cover

Received by the editors April 30, 1974 and, in revised form, July 5, 1974.
AMS(MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 54D30; Secondary 54C10.
Key words and phrases. C -compact spaces, weakly continuous functions, functions with closed graphs.

of A . Then $\{\text{Int}(\text{cl}(U_\alpha)) \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a regular-open cover of A so there exists a finite subcollection $\{\text{Int}(\text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i})) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}[\text{Int}(\text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i}))]$. But for each i , $\text{cl}[\text{Int}(\text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i}))] = \text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i})$. Therefore, $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i})$ which shows X is C -compact.

Definition 3. Let X be a space and let $\emptyset \neq A \subset X$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{A_\alpha \subset A \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a filterbase in A . Then \mathcal{F} r -converges to $a \in A$ ($\mathcal{F} \rightarrow_r a$) if for each open V in X containing a there exists an $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $A_\alpha \subset \text{cl}(V)$. The filterbase \mathcal{F} r -accumulates to $a \in A$ ($\mathcal{F} \alpha_r a$) if for each open V in X containing a and for each $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$, $A_\alpha \cap \text{cl}(V) \neq \emptyset$.

The convergence (accumulation) of filterbases in the usual sense, of course, implies r -convergence (r -accumulation). The converse does not hold, however, as the following example shows.

Example 1. Let N denote the natural numbers and let R denote the reals with the cocountable topology. Define a filterbase \mathcal{F} in $A = [0, \infty)$ by $\mathcal{F} = \{A_n \mid A_n = \{n, n + 1, n + 2, \dots\}, n \in N$. Then for any $a \in A$, $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow_r a$ and $\mathcal{F} \alpha_r a$. However, it is easily shown that $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow_r a$ and $\mathcal{F} \alpha_r a$.

We now list several results concerning r -convergence and r -accumulation whose straightforward proofs are omitted.

Theorem 1. Let X be a topological space and let $A \subset X$. Then

- (a) If \mathcal{F} is a filterbase in A such that $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow_r a \in A$, then $\mathcal{F} \alpha_r a$.
- (b) Let \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 be two filterbases in A and suppose \mathcal{F}_2 is stronger than \mathcal{F}_1 ($\mathcal{F}_2 < \mathcal{F}_1$). If $\mathcal{F}_2 \alpha_r a \in A$, then $\mathcal{F}_1 \alpha_r a$.
- (c) Let \mathcal{M} be a maximal filterbase in A . Then $\mathcal{M} \alpha_r a \in A$ if and only if $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow_r a$.

Definition 4. If D is a directed set and $\phi: D \rightarrow A \subset X$ is a net, then

- (a) ϕ r -converges to $a \in A$ ($\phi \rightarrow_r a$) if for each open $V \subset X$ containing a , there exists a $b \in D$ such that $\phi(T_b) \subset \text{cl}(V)$ where $T_b = \{c \in D \mid c \geq b\}$.
- (b) ϕ r -accumulates to $a \in A$ ($\phi \alpha_r a$) if for each open $V \subset X$ containing a and for every $b \in D$, $\phi(T_b) \cap \text{cl}(V) \neq \emptyset$.

Of course, if $\phi: D \rightarrow A$ is a net in $A \subset X$, the family $\mathcal{F}(\phi) = \{\phi(T_b) \mid b \in D\}$ is a filterbase in A and it is routine to verify that

- (1) $\mathcal{F}(\phi) \rightarrow_r a \in A$ if and only if $\phi \rightarrow_r a$, and
- (2) $\mathcal{F}(\phi) \alpha_r a \in A$ if and only if $\phi \alpha_r a$.

Conversely, every filterbase \mathcal{F} in $A \subset X$ determines a net $\phi: D \rightarrow A$ such that

- (1) $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow_r a \in A$ if and only if $\phi \rightarrow_r a$, and
- (2) $\mathcal{F} \alpha_r a \in A$ if and only if $\phi \alpha_r a$.

The construction of such a net is the same as that of [3, p. 213].

3. Filterbase and net characterizations of C -compact spaces. Theorem 1 of [7] gives several characterizations of C -compact spaces. We offer the following characterizations:

Theorem 2. *In a space X the following are equivalent:*

- (a) X is C -compact.
- (b) For each closed $A \subset X$ and each regular-open cover $\{U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of A there exists a finite subcollection $\{U_{\alpha_i} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i})$.
- (c) For each closed $A \subset X$ and each collection of nonempty regular-closed sets $\{F_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$ such that $(\bigcap_\alpha F_\alpha) \cap A = \emptyset$, there exists a finite subcollection $\{F_{\alpha_i} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Int}(F_{\alpha_i})) \cap A = \emptyset$.
- (d) For each closed $A \subset X$ and each collection of nonempty regular-closed sets $\{F_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$, if each finite subcollection $\{F_{\alpha_i} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ has the property that $(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Int}(F_{\alpha_i})) \cap A \neq \emptyset$, then $(\bigcap_\alpha F_\alpha) \cap A \neq \emptyset$.
- (e) For each closed $A \subset X$ and each filterbase $\mathcal{F} = \{A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$ in A , there exists an $a \in A$ such that $\mathcal{F} \not\rightarrow_r a$.
- (f) For each closed $A \subset X$ and each maximal filterbase $\mathfrak{M} = \{A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$ in A there exists an $a \in A$ such that $\mathfrak{M} \rightarrow_r a$.

Proof. (a) if and only if (b) has been shown in Lemma 1.

(a) implies (c) follows from Theorem 1 of [7].

(c) implies (b). Let $\{U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a regular-open cover of A . Then $A \subset \bigcup_\alpha U_\alpha$ implies $(\bigcap_\alpha (X - U_\alpha)) \cap A = \emptyset$. Since $X - U_\alpha$ is regular-closed for each $\alpha \in \Delta$, the hypothesis of (c) implies there is a finite subcollection $\{X - U_{\alpha_i} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Int}(X - U_{\alpha_i})) \cap A = \emptyset$. It follows that $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n (X - \text{Int}(X - U_{\alpha_i}))$. However, $\text{Int}(X - U_{\alpha_i}) = X - (\text{cl}(X - (X - U_{\alpha_i}))) = X - \text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i})$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Therefore, $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_{\alpha_i})$ which is condition (b).

(c) if and only if (d) is clear.

(a) implies (e). Suppose there exists a filterbase $\mathcal{F} = \{A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$ in A such that $\mathcal{F} \not\rightarrow_r a$ for all $a \in A$. Then for each $a \in A$ there exists an open set $U(a)$ and some $A_{\alpha(a)} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $A_{\alpha(a)} \cap \text{cl}(U(a)) = \emptyset$. The collection $\{U(a) \mid a \in A\}$ is an open cover of A , so by (a) there exists a finite subcollection $\{U(a_i) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U(a_i))$. Let $A_{\alpha_0} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $A_{\alpha_0} \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_{\alpha(a_i)}$. Since $A_{\alpha_0} \neq \emptyset$, there is some $1 \leq j \leq n$

such that $A_{\alpha_0} \cap \text{cl}(U(a_j)) \neq \emptyset$. This implies $A_{\alpha(a_j)} \cap \text{cl}(U(a_j)) \neq \emptyset$ which is a contradiction. Condition (e) follows.

(e) implies (d). Suppose there exist a closed set $A \subset X$ and a collection of regular-closed sets $\{F_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$ such that each finite subcollection $\{F_{\alpha_i} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ has the property that $(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Int}(F_{\alpha_i})) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ but $(\bigcap_{\alpha} F_\alpha) \cap A = \emptyset$. Then the sets $(\text{Int}(F_\alpha)) \cap A$, $\alpha \in \Delta$, together with all finite intersections of the form $(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Int}(F_{\alpha_i})) \cap A$, form a filterbase \mathcal{F} in A . By (e), this filterbase r -accumulates to some point $a \in A$. Thus, for each open $U(a)$ containing a and each $\text{Int}(F_\alpha)$, $\text{cl}(U(a)) \cap (\text{Int}(F_\alpha) \cap A) \neq \emptyset$. The fact that $F_\alpha \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$ and the assumption that $(\bigcap_{\alpha} F_\alpha) \cap A = \emptyset$ give the existence of an $\alpha_0 \in \Delta$ such that $a \notin F_{\alpha_0}$. Therefore, $a \notin \text{Int}(F_{\alpha_0})$ so that $a \in (X - F_{\alpha_0}) \subset (X - \text{Int}(F_{\alpha_0}))$. It then follows that $a \in (X - F_{\alpha_0}) \subset \text{cl}(X - F_{\alpha_0}) \subset (X - \text{Int}(F_{\alpha_0}))$ which implies $\text{cl}(X - F_{\alpha_0}) \cap \text{Int}(F_{\alpha_0}) = \emptyset$. But this means $\mathcal{F} \not\rightarrow_r a$. The contradiction gives $(\bigcap_{\alpha} F_\alpha) \cap A \neq \emptyset$.

(e) implies (f). Let $\mathfrak{M} = \{A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a maximal filterbase in the closed set $A \subset X$. Then $\mathfrak{M} \not\rightarrow_r a \in A$ by (e) so that $\mathfrak{M} \rightarrow_r a$ by Theorem 1(c).

(f) implies (e). Let $\mathcal{F} = \{A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a filterbase in the closed set $A \subset X$. Then there exists a maximal filterbase \mathfrak{M} such that $\mathfrak{M} < \mathcal{F}$. By (f), $\mathfrak{M} \rightarrow_r a \in A$. Applying parts (a) and (b) of Theorem 1, we see that $\mathcal{F} \not\rightarrow_r a$.

Our knowledge of the r -convergence and r -accumulation of nets immediately gives the next theorem.

Theorem 3. *In a space X the following are equivalent:*

- (a) X is C -compact.
- (b) For each closed $A \subset X$ and each net ϕ in A , there is a point $a \in A$ such that $\phi \rightarrow_r a$.
- (c) For each closed $A \subset X$ and each universal net ϕ in A , there exists a point $a \in A$ such that $\phi \rightarrow_r a$.

If we replace the set A in Theorem 2 with the entire space X , the immediate characterizations of H -closed spaces are obtained:

Theorem 4. *In a T_2 -space X the following are equivalent:*

- (a) X is H -closed.
- (b) Each filterbase $\mathcal{F} = \{A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$ in X r -accumulates to some $x \in X$.
- (c) Each maximal filterbase \mathfrak{M} in X r -converges.

Corollary. *In a T_2 -space X the following are equivalent:*

- (a) X is H -closed.
- (b) Each net in X has an r -accumulation point.
- (c) Each universal net in X r -converges.

Definition 5 [1, p. 99]. A T_2 -space X is *minimal T_2* if and only if it is H -closed and semiregular.

It follows from Exercise 19 of [2, p. 146] that a T_2 -space X is minimal T_2 if and only if each open filterbase in X possessing at most one accumulation point is convergent. Thus, characterizations of minimal T_2 -spaces may be given in terms of open filterbases. Open filterbases, of course, determine nets but not every net determines an open filterbase. In terms of nets and r -convergence, we give the following characterization of minimal T_2 -spaces.

Theorem 5. *Let X be a T_2 -space. Then X is minimal T_2 if and only if each net in X possessing at most one r -accumulation point is convergent.*

Proof. Suppose the condition is given and let $\mathcal{F} = \{O_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be an open filterbase in X possessing at most one accumulation point. Since an open filterbase accumulates if and only if it r -accumulates, \mathcal{F} possesses at most one r -accumulation point. Consequently, the filterbase \mathcal{F} determines a net $\phi: D \rightarrow X$ with the property that ϕ possesses at most one r -accumulation point. Therefore, by hypothesis, ϕ converges to some point a in X . Now if $V(a)$ is an open set containing a , there exists some $(c, O_\alpha) \in D$ such that $O_\alpha = \phi(T_{(c, O_\alpha)}) \subset V(a)$. But this implies that $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow a$ showing that X is minimal T_2 .

Conversely, assume that X is minimal T_2 and suppose that the net $\phi: D \rightarrow X$ has at most one r -accumulation point. Since X is H -closed, ϕ r -accumulates to some point $a \in X$. We need to show ϕ converges to a in the usual sense. To do this, we use the fact that our space is semiregular. Thus, suppose there exists a regular-open set $U(a)$ containing a such that for every $d \in D$, $\phi(T_d) \not\subset U(a)$. Then there is some subnet ϕ_s of the net ϕ and a directed set $D_s \subset D$ such that $\phi_s: D_s \rightarrow (X - U(a))$ is a net in $X - U(a)$. Since $X - U(a)$ is regular-closed, it follows from Theorem 3.3(d) of [1, p. 97] that $X - U(a)$ is H -closed. Consequently, by the Corollary to Theorem 4, the net ϕ_s r -accumulates to some point $x \in X - U(a)$. Hence, $\phi \not\rightarrow x$ which is a contradiction since ϕ has the unique r -accumulation point $a \neq x$. We conclude $\phi \rightarrow a$.

Corollary. *Let X be a T_2 -space. Then X is minimal T_2 if and only if each filterbase in X possessing at most one r -accumulation point is convergent.*

4. Weakly continuous functions and strongly closed graphs.

Definition 6 [6, p. 44]. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is *weakly-continuous* if for each $x \in X$ and each open V containing $f(x)$, there exists an open U containing x such that $f(U) \subset \text{cl}(V)$.

We have immediately that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is weakly-continuous at $x \in X$ if and only if for each net $\{x_\alpha\}$ in X such that $\{x_\alpha\} \rightarrow x$, the net $\{f(x_\alpha)\} \rightarrow_r f(x)$.

Definition 7. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ has a *strongly-closed graph* if for each $(x, y) \notin G(f)$, there exist open sets $U \subset X$ and $V \subset Y$ containing x and y , respectively, such that $(U \times \text{cl}(V)) \cap G(f) = \emptyset$.

Example 2. Let $X = [0, 1]$ have the usual subspace topology and let $Y = [0, 1]$ have the topology generated by the usual open sets together with the set $A = \{r \mid r \text{ is rational and } 1/3 < r < 2/3\}$ as a subbase. The identity function $i: X \rightarrow Y$ has a strongly-closed graph. Furthermore i is not continuous and Y is not C -compact, minimal T_2 nor semiregular.

We remark that for any T_2 -space X , the identity map $i: X \rightarrow X$ has a strongly-closed graph.

Theorem 6. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function and let Y be C -compact. If f has a strongly-closed graph, then f is continuous.

Proof. Suppose there exists an open $V \subset Y$ such that $f^{-1}(V)$ is not open. Then there exists an $x \in f^{-1}(V)$ such that $x \in \text{cl}(X - f^{-1}(V))$. Thus there exists a net $\{x_\alpha\}$ in $X - f^{-1}(V)$ such that $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$. Now $\{f(x_\alpha)\}$ is a net in $Y - V$ which implies there exists a point $z \in Y - V$ such that $\{f(x_\alpha)\} \rightarrow_r z$ by Theorem 3(b). Since $(x, z) \notin G(f)$, there exist open sets $U(x)$ and $V(z)$ such that $(U(x) \times \text{cl}(V(z))) \cap G(f) = \emptyset$ because f has a strongly-closed graph. However, $\{x_\alpha\} \rightarrow x$ implies there exists an α_0 such that for every $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$, $x_\alpha \in U(x)$, and $\{f(x_\alpha)\} \rightarrow_r z$ implies there exists some $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_0$ such that $f(x_{\alpha_1}) \in \text{cl}(V(z))$, from which it follows that $(x_{\alpha_1}, f(x_{\alpha_1})) \in U(x) \times \text{cl}(V(z))$. This contradicts our earlier conclusion that $(U(x) \times \text{cl}(V(z))) \cap G(f) = \emptyset$. Hence, $f^{-1}(V)$ is open.

Theorem 7. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function and let Y be minimal T_2 . If f has a strongly-closed graph, then f is continuous.

Proof. If we recall Definition 5 and part (b) of the Corollary to Theorem 4, the proof then parallels that of Theorem 6 if we take the open set $V \subset Y$ to be regular-open.

Our next example shows the strongly-closed graph condition in Theorem 6 cannot be relaxed to a closed graph condition. Also, since a C -compact T_2 -space is minimal T_2 [8], the same example will show that the strongly-

closed graph condition in Theorem 7 cannot be relaxed to a closed graph condition.

Example 3. Let X be the space of Example 1 of [7] and let $A = \{0\} \cup \{1/n | n \in N\}$ have the subspace topology of the reals. We note that A is compact and hence fully normal. Define $f: A \rightarrow X$ by $f(1/n) = (1/n, 0)$ and $f(0) = (1, 1)$. Then f is closed with closed point inverses and A is regular so that f has a closed graph by Corollary 3.9 of [4]. However, f is not weakly-continuous at $0 \in A$ and thus not continuous. Since $G(f)$ is closed there exist open sets $U \subset A$ and $V \subset X$ containing $0 \in A$ and $(0, 0) \in X$, respectively, such that $(U \times V) \cap G(f) = \emptyset$. However, it follows from the nature of the topology on X that $(U \times cl(V)) \cap G(f) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, $G(f)$ is not strongly closed. This example also shows that the class of functions with strongly-closed graphs is smaller than the class of functions with closed graphs.

We now show how to use weakly-continuous functions and functions with strongly-closed graphs to obtain sufficient conditions for a space to be C -compact. To do this we rely on a particular class of spaces described by Professor Kasahara in [5]. This class of spaces, denoted by \mathcal{S} , contains the class of all Hausdorff completely normal and fully normal spaces.

Theorem 8. *A T_1 -space Y is C -compact if for every $X \in \mathcal{S}$, each function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ with a closed graph is weakly-continuous.*

Proof. Suppose Y is not C -compact. Then by Theorem 3(b) there exist a closed set $A \subset Y$ and some net $f: D \rightarrow A \subset Y$ which has no r -accumulation point. That is, for each $a \in A$ there exist an open set $U(a) \subset Y$ and some $b \in D$ such that $f(T_b) \cap cl(U(a)) = \emptyset$. Let $\infty \notin D$ and let $X = D \cup \{\infty\}$. It follows that the power set of D , $P(D)$, together with $\{T_d \cup \{\infty\} | d \in D\}$ is a base for a fully normal and completely normal T_2 -space. Let $p \in A$ and define $g: X \rightarrow A$ by $g|D = f$ and $g(\infty) = p$. Let $(x, a) \notin G(g)$ where $a \in A$ and consider the two cases $x \in D$ and $x = \infty$. If $x \in D$, the fact that $g(x) \neq a$ gives the existence of an open set $V(a) \subset Y - \{g(x)\}$. Now $\{x\}$ is open in X , which implies $(\{x\} \times V(a)) \cap G(g) = \emptyset$. If $x = \infty$, $g(\infty) = p \neq a$. Since $a \in A$ is not an r -accumulation point of the net f , there exist an open $U(a) \subset Y - \{p\}$ and some $d \in D$ so that $f(T_d) \cap cl(U(a)) = \emptyset$. The fact that $g|D = f$ implies $g(T_d) \cap cl(U(a)) = \emptyset$ which furthermore implies $((T_d \cup \{\infty\}) \times U(a)) \cap G(g) = \emptyset$. We have now shown $G(g)$ is closed in the closed subset $X \times A$ of $X \times Y$. Therefore, $G(g)$ is closed in $X \times Y$.

Now the identity function $i: D \rightarrow D \subset X$ defines a net in X and it is clear that $i \rightarrow \infty \in X$. Thus, given an open set $H(\infty)$, there exists some $d \in D$ such that $i(T_d) = T_d \subset H(\infty)$. Since p is not an r -accumulation point of the net f , there exist an open set $W(p)$ and some $d_0 \in D$ such that for all

$d \geq d_0$, $f(T_d) \cap \text{cl}(W(p)) = \emptyset$. But $f(T_d) = g(T_d)$ so that $g(T_d) \cap \text{cl}(W(p)) = \emptyset$. Consequently g is not weakly-continuous at $x = \infty$. We have now shown that $g: X \rightarrow A \subset Y$, $X \in \mathcal{S}$, $G(g)$ is closed, but g is not weakly-continuous. This is contrary to hypothesis and shows our assumption that Y is not C -compact is false.

Remark 1. Let $f: X \rightarrow A \subset Y$ be a function from X into a subset A of Y . We say that $G(f)$ is *strongly-closed with respect to A* if for each point $(x, a) \notin G(f)$ (where $a \in A$), there exist open sets $U \subset X$ and $V \subset Y$ containing x and a , respectively, such that $(U \times \text{cl}(V)) \cap G(f) = \emptyset$. Of course, if $G(f)$ is strongly-closed with respect to A and if A is closed in Y , then $G(f)$ is closed in $X \times Y$. However, if $G(f)$ is strongly-closed with respect to A , then $G(f)$ need not be strongly-closed with respect to Y . To see this, let $I^2 = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ have as a subbase the usual open sets in I^2 along with the collection of sets $\{I^2 - B \times \{0\} : B \subset [0, 1]\}$. It follows that I^2 is an H -closed Urysohn space with the property that for each $B \subset [0, 1]$, $\text{cl}(I^2 - B \times \{0\}) = I^2$. Now let $I = [0, 1]$ have the usual topology and let $A = \{(x, 0) \in I^2 : x \neq 0\} \cup \{(1, 1)\} \subset I^2$. (We note that A is closed in I^2 .) Define $f: I \rightarrow A \subset I^2$ by $f(x) = (x, 0)$ if $x \neq 0$ and $f(0) = (1, 1)$. By Corollary 3.9 of [7], $G(f)$ is closed in $I \times I^2$. Moreover, it is easy to see that $G(f)$ is strongly-closed with respect to A . However, if U and V are open sets containing 0 and $(0, 0)$, respectively, such that $(U \times V) \cap G(f) = \emptyset$, then $(U \times \text{cl}(V)) \cap G(f) \neq \emptyset$. This shows that $G(f)$ is not strongly-closed in $I \times I^2$.

We say $f: X \rightarrow A \subset Y$ is weakly-continuous with respect to A (with respect to Y) if $f: X \rightarrow A$ (resp., $f: X \rightarrow Y$) is weakly-continuous. We note that the function in Remark 1 is weakly-continuous with respect to I^2 at $x = \frac{1}{2}$, but f is not weakly-continuous with respect to A at $x = \frac{1}{2}$. With these observations in mind, we give

Theorem 9. *A T_2 -space Y is C -compact if for every topological space X belonging to class \mathcal{S} and for every closed $A \subset Y$, each $f: X \rightarrow A \subset Y$ with a strongly-closed graph with respect to A is weakly-continuous with respect to Y .*

Proof. Since Y is T_2 , we can choose the open set $V(a) \subset Y - \{g(x)\}$ in Theorem 8 such that $g(x) \notin \text{cl}(V(a))$. Thus $(\{x\} \times \text{cl}(V(a))) \cap G(g) = \emptyset$. We can also choose the open set $U(a) \subset Y - \{p\}$ in Y such that $p \notin \text{cl}(U(a))$. It then follows that $((T_d \cup \{\infty\}) \times \text{cl}(U(a))) \cap G(g) = \emptyset$ which shows $G(g)$ is strongly-closed with respect to A .

If the space Y in Theorem 1 of [5] is Urysohn, it is natural to ask if the sufficient part of the hypothesis can be weakened. We offer

Theorem 10. *A Urysohn space Y is compact if for every X in class \mathcal{S}*

and for every closed $A \subset Y$, each $f: X \rightarrow A$ with a strongly-closed graph with respect to A is weakly-continuous with respect to Y .

Proof. Since a Urysohn space Y is C -compact if and only if it is compact [8], it is enough to show that Y is C -compact. Thus, our theorem follows by applying Theorem 9.

We note that the function defined in Remark 1 shows that not every function into a Urysohn space Y with a closed graph has a strongly-closed graph.

We conclude by noting that the function in Example 3 is closed and has point inverses but is not an open function. With this in mind, we have

Theorem 11. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an open and closed function from a regular space X into a C -compact space Y . If f has closed point inverses, then f is continuous.*

Proof. Suppose there is an $x \in X$ and some $U \subset Y$ containing $f(x)$ such that for every open V containing x , $f(V) \cap (Y - U) \neq \emptyset$. Let $I = \{f(\text{cl}(V)) \mid V \text{ is open and contains } x\}$. Since f is closed, I is a collection of closed sets. Now $f(V) \subset f(\text{cl}(V))$ and f open implies $f(x) \in f(V) \subset \text{Int}(f(\text{cl}(V))) \subset f(\text{cl}(V))$ for every open V containing x . This leads us to conclude that for every finite collection of open sets $\{V_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ each containing x , $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Int}(f(\text{cl}(V_i))) \cap (Y - U) \neq \emptyset$. The reason is that if this were not true, then $\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i$ is open, contains x and

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset &\subset (Y - U) \cap f\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n (V_i)\right) \subset (Y - U) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n f(V_i)\right) \\ &\subset (Y - U) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n f(\text{Int}(\text{cl}(V_i)))\right) \subset (Y - U) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Int}(f(\text{cl}(V_i)))\right) = \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

which shows there exists an open set $W = \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i$ containing x such that $f(W) \cap (Y - U) = \emptyset$ contrary to our initial assumption. Now, since Y is C -compact [7, Theorem 1], $A = \bigcap \{f(\text{cl}(V)) \cap (Y - U) \mid V \text{ is open and contains } x\} \neq \emptyset$.

Consider any $y \in A$. Then $y \notin U$ so that $f(x) \neq y$ and hence $\{x\} \cap f^{-1}(y) = \emptyset$. Since X is regular, there exist open sets G and W containing x and $f^{-1}(y)$, respectively, such that $G \cap W = \emptyset$, hence $\text{cl}(G) \cap W = \emptyset$. Consequently, $y \notin f(\text{cl}(G))$ which implies that $y \notin A$. The contradiction reached concerning A means our initial assumption is false so that f is continuous.

REFERENCES

1. M. P. Berri, J. R. Porter and R. M. Stephenson, Jr., *A survey of minimal topological spaces*, General Topology and Its Relations to Modern Analysis and

Algebra, III (Proc. Conf. Kanpur, 1968), Academia, Prague, 1971, pp. 93–114. MR 43 #3985.

2. N. Bourbaki, *General topology*. Part I, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1966. MR 34 #5044a.

3. J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, Mass., 1966. MR 33 #1824.

4. R. V. Fuller, *Relations among continuous and various non-continuous functions*, Pacific J. Math. 25 (1968), 495–509. MR 37 #3536.

5. Shouro Kasahara, *Characterizations of compactness and countable compactness*, Proc. Japan Acad. 49 (1973), 523–524.

6. Norman Levine, *A decomposition of continuity in topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly 68 (1961), 44–46. MR 23 #A3548.

7. Shozo Sakai, *A note on C -compact spaces*, Proc. Japan Acad. 46 (1970), 917–920. MR 44 #5925.

8. G. Viglino, *C -compact spaces*, Duke Math. J. 36 (1969), 761–764. MR 40 #2000.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS, FAYETTEVILLE, ARKANSAS 72701 (Current address of P. E. Long)

Current address (L. L. Herrington): Department of Mathematics, University of Arkansas, Pine Bluff, Arkansas 71601