

## WHEN IS THE MAXIMAL RING OF QUOTIENTS PROJECTIVE?

DAVID HANDELMAN

ABSTRACT. Let  $R$  be an associative ring with 1, and  $Q$  its maximal ring of right quotients. If  $r$  belongs to  $R$ , a right insulator for  $r$  in  $R$  is a finite subset of  $R$ ,  $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^m$ , such that the right annihilator of  $\{rr_i; i = 1, \dots, m\}$  is zero. Then we have: If  $Q$  is a projective right  $R$ -module,  $Q$  is finitely generated; if  $R$  is nonsingular, then  $Q$  is projective as a right  $R$ -module if and only if there exists  $e = e^2$  in  $R$  such that  $eR$  is injective and  $e$  has a right insulator in  $R$ ; under these circumstances,  $R = Q$  if and only if  $e$  has a left insulator in  $R$ . We prove some related results for torsionless  $Q$ , and give an example of a prime ring  $R$  such that  $Q$  is a cyclic projective right  $R$ -module, but  $R \neq Q$ .

Let  $R$  be an associative ring with 1;  $Q$  (or  $Q(R)$ ) will denote the complete (or maximal) ring of right quotients of  $R$  (e.g. [6, p. 94 on]). For a subset  $A$  of  $R$ , we denote its right (left) annihilator by  $A^r$  ( $A^l$ ). Definitions of the terms essential, dense, singular submodule, etc. can be found in [6].

The term (right) *insulator* is defined in the abstract; left insulator is similarly defined. If every nonzero element of  $R$  has a (right) insulator, then  $R$  is (right) strongly prime [4], and is obviously prime. Strongly prime rings are studied in [8], [9] under the name ATF, but detailed descriptions are given in [4], [5].

We note that if  $R$  is right strongly prime, then the right singular ideal ( $Z(R)$ ) is zero, and if  $R \subset S \subset Q(R)$ , then  $S$  is right strongly prime and  $Q(S) = Q(R)$  is simple [4, Proposition IV.1] and [9, Proposition 1.8].

$Q_R$  will indicate  $Q$  considered as a right  $R$ -module. We wish to determine when  $Q_R$  is projective, to answer a question of Viola-Prioli [9, Question 5].

**Lemma 1** [6, Exercises 1, 2, p. 86]. *A right  $R$ -module  $M$  is projective if and only if there exist  $\{f_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \text{Hom}(M, R)$  such that  $f_i(m) = 0$  for almost all  $i$ , and there exists  $\{m_i\}_{i \in I} \subset M$  such that  $m = \sum_{i \in I} m_i f_i(m)$  for all  $m$  in  $M$ . Further,  $M$  is finitely generated projective if the  $I$  can be chosen finite.*

**Lemma 2.** *If  $S$  is a ring such that  $R \subset S \subset Q(R)$ , and  $S_R$  is projective*

---

Presented to the Society, April 23, 1974; received by the editors March 22, 1974 and, in revised form, June 24, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 16A08, 16A50; Secondary 16A12, 16A42, 16A52.

Key words and phrases. Ring of quotients, projective, injective, torsionless, nonsingular, prime ring, regular ring, simple ring.

(as a right  $R$ -module), then  $S_R$  is finitely generated.

**Proof.** Let  $f \in \text{Hom}(S_R, R)$ . If  $f(1) = 0$ , then for all  $s$  in  $S$ ,

$$f(s) \cdot s^{-1}R = f(s \cdot (s^{-1}R)) = f(1) \cdot (s(s^{-1}R)) = 0.$$

Since  $s^{-1}R$  is a dense right ideal of  $R$  and  $f(s) \in R$ , we have  $f(1) = 0$  implies  $f = 0$ . If  $I$  is the index set of the preceding lemma, set  $J = \{i \in I \mid f_i(1) \neq 0\}$ .  $J$  is finite, and if  $i \notin J$ ,  $f_i = 0$ ; so  $J$  may take the place of  $I$ , and thus  $S_R$  is finitely generated.

**Lemma 3** (e.g. [9, Lemma 2.1]). *If  $E$  is an injective module and  $M$  is nonsingular, then any homomorphism  $f: E \rightarrow M$  splits (that is,  $E \simeq \ker f \oplus \text{im } f$  via  $f$ , so  $\ker f$  and  $\text{im } f$  are injective).*

**Theorem 4.** *If  $R$  is nonsingular, then  $Q_R$  is projective if and only if there exists  $e = e^2 \in R$  such that  $QeQ = Q$  and  $eQ \subset R$ . Further, for this  $e$ ,  $R \neq Q$  if and only if  $ReR \neq R$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $Q_R$  is projective; by Lemma 2,  $Q_R$  is finitely generated, hence there exist  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \text{Hom}(Q_R, R)$  and  $\{q_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset Q$ , such that  $q = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i f_i(q)$  for all  $q$  in  $Q$ . Since  $Q_R$  is injective and  $R$  is nonsingular, we may apply Lemma 3; thus  $\text{im } f_i$  is injective. Therefore  $\text{im } f_i = e_i R$  for some idempotent  $e_i$  in  $R$ . Now  $e_i Q$  is always an essential extension of  $e_i R$ ; hence  $e_i R = e_i Q$ .  $\sum e_i R = \sum e_i Q$  is a finitely generated right  $Q$ -ideal, so there exists  $e^2 = e \in Q$  such that  $\sum e_i Q = eQ$ , and obviously  $eQ \subset R$ . Finally,  $1 \in \sum q_i f_i(1) \in QeQ$ , so  $QeQ = Q$ .

If  $eQ \subset R$  and  $QeQ = Q$ , there exist  $q_i, p_i \in Q$  such that  $1 = \sum q_i e p_i$ . Define  $f_i: Q_R \rightarrow R$  by  $f_i(q) = e p_i q \in eQ \subset R$ . Then,  $q = \sum q_i f_i(q)$ , so  $Q$  is a finitely generated projective right  $R$ -module.

If  $ReR \neq R$ , then as  $QeQ = Q$ , clearly  $R \neq Q$ .

If  $ReR = R$ ,  $R$  is Morita equivalent to a self-injective ring  $eRe = eQe$ , so  $R$  is self-injective, and thus  $R = Q$ .  $\square$

Theorem 4 can be restated as:  $R$  contains an injective right ideal  $J$  such that  $\text{End}(J_R)$  is Morita equivalent to  $Q$ , or that  $R$  contains a nonzero right ideal of  $Q$ ,  $J$ , such that  $QJ = Q$ .

Observe that in the proof we showed that the number of generators of  $Q_R$  is the size of the left insulator in  $Q$  chosen for  $e$ . We can even have the insulator of size 1 (so  $Q_R$  is cyclic) and  $R \neq Q$ , as will be shown.

**Lemma 5.** *If  $A$  is a subset of  $R$ , then  $A^r$  is an essential  $R$ -submodule of the right annihilator in  $Q$  of  $A$ .*

**Proof.** This may be deduced from [6, Exercise 6, p. 100].  $\square$

Thus if  $a$  belongs to  $R$ , a right insulator for  $a$  in  $R$  is a right insulator for  $a$  in  $Q$ .

A ring is a (right)  $F$ -ring if every proper finitely generated left ideal has nonzero right annihilator. Obviously, all regular rings are two-sided  $F$ -rings.

**Lemma 6.** *If  $R$  is a right  $F$ -ring, then  $r \in R$  has a right insulator in  $R$  if and only if  $RrR = R$ .*

**Proof.** If  $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is a right insulator for  $r$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^n Rrr_i$  is a finitely generated left ideal with zero right annihilator. So  $\sum Rrr_i = R$ , thus  $RrR = R$ . Conversely, if  $1 = \sum t_i r r_i$ , then  $\{r_i\}$  is a right insulator for  $r$ .

**Theorem 4'.** *If  $R$  is nonsingular, then  $Q_R$  is projective if and only if  $R$  contains an injective right ideal,  $eR$  for some  $e = e^2 \in R$ , such that  $e$  has a right insulator in  $R$ . For this  $e$ ,  $R = Q$  if and only if  $e$  has a left insulator in  $R$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $Q_R$  is projective. Then by Theorem 4, there exists  $eQ = eR \subset R$  such that (i)  $eR$  is injective, and (ii)  $QeQ = Q$ . From (ii),  $e$  has a right insulator,  $\{q_i\}$ , in  $Q$ ; clearly  $\{eq_i\}$  is also a right insulator for  $e$ , and as  $eQ \subset R$ , it is an insulator for  $e$  in  $R$ .

Assume the converse condition. Since  $eR$  is injective,  $eR = eQ$  (as in the proof of Theorem 4); since  $e$  has a right insulator in  $R$ , by Lemma 5, it has a right insulator in  $Q$ . Now  $Q$  is regular, so by Lemma 6,  $QeQ = Q$ ; thus  $e$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4 and  $Q_R$  is projective.

If this  $e$  has a left insulator in  $r$ ,  $\{r_i\}$ , then  $\sum r_i e R = \sum r_i e Q$  is a finitely generated ideal of the regular ring  $Q$ , so there exists  $f^2 = f \in Q$  such that  $fQ = \sum r_i e Q$ . Clearly,  $fQ \subset R$ , and as  $\{r_i e\}^1 = (0)$ , we must have  $f^1 = (0)$ ; thus  $f = 1$ , and  $Q (= fQ) = R$ .

If  $R = Q$ ,  $R$  is regular, so if  $e$  has a right insulator, by Lemma 6, it has a left insulator.

**Corollary 7.** *If  $Q$  is simple, then  $Q_R$  is projective and  $R \neq Q$  if and only if there exists an injective right ideal of  $R$ ,  $J$ , such that  $(0) \neq RJ \neq R$ .*

For instance if  $R$  is right strongly prime,  $Q$  is simple. Necessary and sufficient conditions for  $Q$  to be simple will be given (with examples) in [3].

**Corollary 8.** *If  $Q_R$  is projective and  $R$  satisfies any one of the following conditions:*

- (i)  $R$  is left strongly prime and right nonsingular,
- (ii)  $R$  is a right  $F$ -ring and right nonsingular,
- (iii)  $R$  is commutative semiprime,

then  $R = Q$ .

**Proof.** (i) is immediate from Theorem 4'.

(ii) By Lemma 6, if  $e \in R$  has a right insulator, it also has a left insulator.

(iii) For a commutative ring, semiprime is equivalent to nonsingular.  $\square$   
 Condition (ii) above generalizes Theorem 2.1 of [10].

**Corollary 9.** *If  $Z(R) = (0)$  and  $Q_R$  is a projective module, and  $R \subset S \subset Q$ , then  $Q_S$  is projective.*

**Proof.** Clearly  $Q(R) = Q(S)$ ; as  $eR = eQ$ , we have  $eS = eQ$ .

To obtain interesting examples of  $Q_R$  projective, we consider the following

**Lemma 10.** *If  $T$  is an overring of  $R$ , and  $a \in R$  has no left insulator in  $R$ , then  $a$  has no left insulator in  $S = \langle aT, R \rangle$ , the subring of  $T$  generated by  $aT$  and  $R$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $aT$  is a right  $R$ -module, every element of  $S$  can be written in the form:  $\sum r_i at_i + r$ ;  $t_i \in T$ ;  $r, r_i \in R$ . Suppose that  $\{f_k = \sum_{jk} r_{jk} at_{jk} + r_k\}$  is a finite subset of  $S$ . Since  $a$  has no left insulator in  $R$ , the finite set  $\{r_k, r_{jk}\}_{j,k}$  is not a left insulator for  $a$ ; hence there exists nonzero  $u$  in  $R$  such that  $ur_k a = ur_{jk} a = 0$  for all  $k, jk$ . It is clear that  $uf_k a = 0$  for all  $k$ , so  $\{f_k\}$  is not a left insulator for  $a$  in  $S$ .

**Corollary 11.** *If  $a \in R$  has no left insulator in  $R$ , and  $Q$  is simple, then the ring  $S = \langle aQ, R \rangle$  has  $Q(S) = Q$ ,  $S \neq Q$ , and if  $a$  is nonzero,  $Q_S$  is a finitely generated projective right  $S$ -module. Further if  $R$  is prime (right strongly prime) then  $S$  is prime (right strongly prime).*

**Proof.** Follows immediately from Corollary 7 and the preceding lemma.  $\square$

If  $Q$  is simple self-injective and  $e = e^2 \in Q$ , then  $R = eQ + Q(1 - e)$  has  $Q = Q(R)$  (both on the right and the left) and  $Q$  is projective.  $eQ(1 - e)$  is a nilpotent ideal of  $R$ . If, in particular,  $Q = M_2 D$  where  $D$  is a division ring, then we obtain the overworked example:

$$R = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & c \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b, c, \in D \right\}.$$

We now construct a right strongly prime (and hence right nonsingular prime) ring,  $S$ , such that  $Q(S)$  is a cyclic projective right  $S$ -module. This answers in the negative two questions of Viola-Prioli [9, Questions 5 and 6].

Let  $F$  be a field, and  $D = F[x, y]$ , the free noncommuting algebra on two variables.  $D$  is a domain, so is right strongly prime. Further  $DxD = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} y^i xD \simeq \bigoplus^{\aleph_0} D_D$ ; i.e.,  $DxD$  is a countably generated free right  $D$ -module. Now,  $DxD^l = (0)$ , so the map (of rings)

$$D \rightarrow \text{End}(DxD_D), \quad r \mapsto \hat{r}; \quad \hat{r}(d) = rd$$

is a monomorphism, and  $R = \text{End}(DxD)$  is an essential extension of  $D$  as a

right  $D$ -module, so  $D \subset R \subset Q(D) = Q(R)$ . Hence  $R$  is right strongly prime. But  $R \cong \text{End}(\bigoplus D_D)$ , the ring of  $\aleph_0 \times \aleph_0$  matrices over  $D$ , with finitely many nonzero entries in each column. We show  $R$  has a proper torsion ideal [7, Chapter 2] on the left.

Let  $r \in R$ , write  $r = (r_{ij})$ , where  $r_{ij}$  is the  $i, j$  entry on the  $\aleph_0 \times \aleph_0$  matrix that  $r$  represents, and let  $e_i$  be the matrix with a 1 in the  $i, i$  position and 0 elsewhere. Then  $K = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i R$  is a two-sided ideal and  $K = \{r \in R \mid \text{there exists } N_r \text{ such that } i \geq N_r \text{ implies } r_{ij} = 0\}$  (each element of  $K$  contains only finitely many rows with nonzero entries).

*Claim.*  $R/K$  is flat as a right  $R$ -module.

In view of the proof of [7, Proposition 2.3], it suffices to show, given  $k \in K$ , there exists  $k' \in K$  such that  $k'k = k$ . If  $k = (k_{ij}) \in K$ , there exists  $N_k$  such that  $i \geq N_k$  implies  $k_{ij} = 0$ . Set  $k' = \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} e_i \in K$ ; then  $k'k = k$ .

Since  $R/K$  is right flat, it follows from [7, Proposition 2.3], that  $K$  is a left torsion ideal. Since the corresponding torsion theory is closed under (in particular) submodules, direct sums and factors, it follows that no element of a proper torsion ideal may have a left insulator; in particular,  $e_1$  has no left insulator in  $R$ . Since  $D$  is a domain and thus strongly prime,  $Q(D) = Q(R)$  is simple; set  $S = \langle e_1 Q, R \rangle$ . By Corollary 11,  $Q(S) = Q(D)$  is a finitely generated projective right  $S$ -module,  $S$  is right strongly prime (hence prime nonsingular) and  $S \neq Q(S)$ .

We note that since  $D$  is a domain, every element in  $Q(D) = Q(S)$  has a one-element insulator: choose nonzero  $q$  in  $Q$ ; there exists  $d \in D$  such that  $qd$  is nonzero and belongs to  $D$ . Therefore  $(qd)^r$  is zero, so the right annihilator in  $Q$  of  $qd$  is zero by Lemma 5. So  $\{d\}$  is the desired insulator. Since  $Q$  is regular, there exists  $u, v \in Q$  such that  $ue_1v = 1$ ; hence  $Q = uQ = ue_1Q = ue_1S$ ; thus  $Q$  is a cyclic right  $S$ -module.  $\square$

A module  $M$  is *torsionless* if there exists a monomorphism  $M \rightarrow \pi R$ . Obviously a projective module is torsionless.

In [1], conditions for a nonsingular semiprime ring to have  $Q$  torsionless are given. As noted in [1], for any ring, the sum of right ideals which are injective as right modules is a two-sided ideal. We say a two-sided ideal is right insulated if it contains a finite set whose right annihilator is zero.

**Theorem 12.** *If  $Z(R) = (0)$ , then  $Q_R$  is torsionless if and only if the sum of the injective right ideals is a faithful right  $R$ -module. Further  $R = Q$  if and only if the sum of the injective right ideals is left insulated.*

**Proof.** As  $Q_R$  is torsionless, for all  $q$  in  $Q$ , there exists  $f_q \in \text{Hom}(Q_R, R)$  such that  $f_q(q) \neq 0$ . By Lemma 3,  $f_q(Q)$  is an injective right ideal, and  $\sum_{q \in Q} f_q(Q)$  is obviously faithful.

If  $\{e_j R\}$  are injective and their sum is faithful, then  $\pi e_j R$  is a faithful module. Hence there exists a monomorphism  $R \rightarrow \pi e_j R$ ; as  $\pi e_j R$  is injective

and  $Q$  is the injective hull of  $R$ , there exists a monomorphism  $Q \rightarrow \prod e_j R \subset \pi R$ .

If  $R = Q$ , 1 belongs to the sum of the injective right ideals.

If  $R \neq Q$ , proceed as in Theorem 4'.

**Corollary 13.** *For  $Z(R) = (0)$ ,  $Q_R$  is torsionless if  $R$  contains a faithful injective right ideal.*

The condition of Corollary 13 is sufficient but not necessary: Put  $Q = \prod F_j$  (an infinite product of fields), and  $R = (\bigoplus F_j, 1)$ . Then  $Q_R = Q(R)_R$  is torsionless, but  $R$  contains no faithful injective right ideals.

**Corollary 14.** *If  $Q$  is prime regular, then  $Q_R$  is torsionless if and only if  $R$  contains a nonzero injective right ideal.*

**Corollary 15.** *If  $Z(R) = (0)$ , and  $Q_R$  is torsionless, then  $Q$  is an essential extension of  $R$  as a left  $R$ -module.*

**Proof.** Let  $K$  be the sum of the injective right ideals of  $R$ , and  $q$  a nonzero element of  $Q$ . Then  $Kq \neq (0)$  as  $K$  is faithful, and  $Kq \subset K$  since any injective right ideal of  $R$  is of the form  $eR = eQ$ . Hence  $(0) \neq Kq \subset K \subset R$ .

**Corollary 16** [1, Theorem 4]. *If  $R$  is semiprime, right nonsingular, then  $Q_R$  is torsionless if and only if the sum of the injective right ideals is essential as a right ideal.*

**Proof.** In a semiprime ring, a two-sided ideal is (right) faithful if and only if it is essential as a right ideal.

#### REFERENCES

1. E. P. Armendariz, *A note on semiprime rings with torsionless injective envelopes*, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 16 (1973), 429–431.
2. C. C. Faith, *Lectures on injective modules and quotient rings*, Lecture Notes in Math., no. 49, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1967. MR 37 #2791.
3. K. Goodearl and D. Handelman, *Simple self-injective rings* (to appear).
4. D. Handelman and J. Lawrence, *Strongly prime rings*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 211 (1975), 209–223.
5. K. Goodearl, D. Handelman and J. Lawrence, *Strongly prime, completely torsion-free and simple self-injective rings*, *Carleton Mathematical Series*, no. 109, 1974.
6. J. Lambek, *Lectures on rings and modules*, Blaisdell, Waltham, Mass., 1966. MR 34 #5857.
7. ———, *Torsion theories, additive semantics, and rings of quotients*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 177, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1971. MR 44 #1685.
8. R. A. Rubin, *Absolutely torsion-free rings*, *Pacific J. Math.* 46 (1973), 503–514.
9. J. Viola-Prioli, *On absolutely torsion-free rings and kernel functors*, Ph.D. Dissertation, Rutgers University, 1973.
10. V. C. Cateforis, *On regular self-injective rings*, *Pacific J. Math.* 30 (1969), 39–45. MR 40 #1432.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MCGILL UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 6070, STATION A, MONTREAL, QUEBEC, CANADA H3C 3G1

*Current address:* Mathematisches Institut, Universität Giessen, 6300 Giessen, West Germany