

## RESTRICTIONS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS. III

MARVIN ROSENBLUM AND JAMES ROVNYAK<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. Characterizations are given of all real and all pure imaginary functions on a real Borel set which occur as restrictions of boundary functions of functions holomorphic and having positive imaginary part in the upper half-plane.

1. **Introduction.** Let  $f$  be a function which is holomorphic and has positive imaginary part in the upper half-plane  $\Pi_+$ . Then  $f$  is determined by the restriction of its boundary function to any real Borel set  $\Delta$  of positive measure. Previous work [3], [4] has been concerned with characterizations of restrictions of this kind and a method by which the holomorphic function can be recaptured from a given restriction. To a large degree our work has been motivated by attempts to solve two problems concerning Hilbert transforms which arose out of [2]. Recall that if  $f \in L^2(\Delta)$ , then the Hilbert transform  $\tau_\Delta f$  of  $f$  is defined a.e. on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  by

$$(\tau_\Delta f)(x) = PV \frac{1}{\pi} \int_\Delta \frac{f(t)}{t-x} dt.$$

*Problem I (Generalized Loewner Problem).* Characterize all real valued measurable functions  $u$  on  $\Delta$  such that

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\Delta \times \Delta} \frac{u(x) - u(t)}{x-t} f(t) f(x)^* dt dx \geq 0$$

for all  $f \in L^2(\Delta)$  such that  $uf \in L^2(\Delta)$ .

The integral in (1) is taken as

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\Delta \times \Delta} \chi_{\{|x-t|>\epsilon\}}(x, t) \frac{u(x) - u(t)}{x-t} f(t) f(x)^* dt dx \\ = \langle \tau_\Delta(uf), f \rangle_{L^2(\Delta)} - \langle \tau_\Delta f, uf \rangle_{L^2(\Delta)}. \end{aligned}$$

*Problem II.* Characterize all nonnegative measurable functions  $v$  on  $\Delta$  such that

$$(2) \quad \int_\Delta |(\tau_\Delta f)(t)|^2 v(t) dt \leq \int_\Delta |f(t)|^2 v(t) dt$$

for all  $f \in L^2(\Delta)$  such that  $vf \in L^2(\Delta)$ .

Received by the editors August 12, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 30A76; Secondary 30A88, 44A25.

*Key words and phrases.* Hilbert transform, analytic function with positive imaginary part, exponential representation.

<sup>1</sup>Research supported by NSF Grant GP 31483X. The first author also acknowledges support from the Center for Advanced Studies of the University of Virginia.

Copyright © 1975, American Mathematical Society

Quite remarkably, these problems have simple solutions involving functions in the class  $R$  of functions holomorphic and having nonnegative imaginary part in  $\Pi_+$ . In [3] we showed that a real valued function  $u$  on  $\Delta$  is a solution to the generalized Loewner problem if and only if there exists  $w$  in  $R$  such that

$$(3) \quad u(x) = w(x + i0) \quad \text{a.e. on } \Delta.$$

In this paper we show that a nonnegative function  $v$  on  $\Delta$  is a solution to Problem II if and only if there exists  $w$  in  $R$  such that

$$(4) \quad iv(x) = w(x + i0) \quad \text{a.e. on } \Delta.$$

**2. Exponential representations.** Let  $\Delta$  be a real Borel set such that neither  $\Delta$  nor its complement  $\Delta^c$  is a Lebesgue null set. Let  $U(\Delta)$  be the class of real valued functions  $u$  on  $\Delta$  of form (3) for some  $w \in R$ , and let  $V(\Delta)$  be the class of nonnegative functions  $v$  on  $\Delta$  of form (4) for some  $w \in R$ .

In this section we collect three elementary results concerning exponential representations for functions in  $U(\Delta)$  and  $V(\Delta)$ . From [1] we know that  $w \in R$  if and only if  $w$  has a representation of the form

$$(5) \quad w(z) = C \exp\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1 + tz}{t - z} \frac{f(t)}{1 + t^2} dt\right), \quad z \in \Pi_+,$$

where  $C$  is a nonnegative constant and  $f$  is a measurable function such that  $0 \leq f \leq 1$  a.e. on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . Then

$$(6) \quad w(x + i0) = C \exp\left(PV \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1 + tx}{t - x} \frac{f(t)}{1 + t^2} dt + \pi i f(x)\right)$$

for almost all  $x$  in  $(-\infty, \infty)$ .

From (5) and (6) we easily derive the following two theorems.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose  $w \in R$ .

(i)  $\text{Im } w(x + i0) = 0$  a.e. on  $\Delta$  if and only if (5) holds, where  $C \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq f(t) \leq 1$  a.e. on  $\Delta^c$ , and  $f(t) = \chi_E$  a.e. on  $\Delta$  for some Borel subset  $E$  of  $\Delta$ .

(ii)  $\text{Re } w(x + i0) = 0$  a.e. on  $\Delta$  if and only if (5) holds, where  $C \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq f(t) \leq 1$  a.e. on  $\Delta^c$ , and  $f(t) = 1/2$  a.e. on  $\Delta$ .

**Theorem 2.** (i) A real valued function  $u$  on  $\Delta$  is in  $U(\Delta)$  if and only if  $u$  is given a.e. on  $\Delta$  by

$$u(x) = C \exp\left(PV \int_E \frac{1 + tx}{t - x} \frac{dt}{1 + t^2} + i\pi \chi_E(x)\right) \exp\left(PV \int_{\Delta^c} \frac{1 + tx}{t - x} \frac{f(t)}{1 + t^2} dt\right)$$

where  $C \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq f(t) \leq 1$  a.e. on  $\Delta^c$ , and  $E$  is a Borel subset of  $\Delta$ .

(ii) A nonnegative function  $v$  on  $\Delta$  belongs to  $V(\Delta)$  if and only if  $v$  is

given a.e. on  $\Delta$  by

$$v(x) = C \exp\left(PV \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Delta} \frac{1+tx}{t-x} \frac{dt}{1+t^2}\right) \exp\left(PV \int_{\Delta^c} \frac{1+tx}{t-x} \frac{f(t)}{1+t^2} dt\right)$$

where  $C \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq f(t) \leq 1$  a.e. on  $\Delta^c$ .

A bounded real valued function on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  which occurs as the boundary function of an  $H^\infty$  function is necessarily a constant a.e. In contrast, there exist many bounded real valued functions on  $\Delta$  which are restrictions of boundary functions of  $H^\infty$  functions.

**Theorem 3.** *A bounded real valued function  $f$  on  $\Delta$  is the restriction of the boundary function of an  $H^\infty$  function if and only if  $f$  is given a.e. on  $\Delta$  by*

$$(7) \quad f(x) = \lambda[v(x) - 1]/[v(x) + 1]$$

where  $\lambda$  is a real constant and  $v \in V(\Delta)$ .

**Proof.** This follows from the fact that  $F \in H^\infty$  if and only if  $F(z) = \lambda[W(z) - i]/[W(z) + i]$  where  $\lambda$  is a real constant and  $W \in R$ .

**3. Main result.** The following properties of  $\tau_\Delta$  will be useful for our consideration of Problem II.

(i) Suppose  $f \in L^p(\Delta)$  where  $p > 1$ . Then  $\tau_\Delta f \in L^p(\Delta)$  and  $\tau_\Delta$  is a bounded operator on  $L^p(\Delta)$  to  $L^p(\Delta)$ .

(ii) Suppose  $f, g \in L^2(\Delta)$ . Then

$$(8) \quad \int_{\Delta} [(\tau_\Delta f)(t)g(t)^* + f(t)(\tau_\Delta g)(t)^*] dt = 0.$$

(iii) Suppose  $f \in L^p(\Delta), g \in L^q(\Delta)$  where  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} < 1$ . Then

$$(9) \quad \tau_\Delta [f(\tau_\Delta g) + (\tau_\Delta f)g] = (\tau_\Delta f)(\tau_\Delta g) - fg \quad \text{a.e. on } \Delta.$$

See [5, Chapter V] and [6, Chapter IV]. We first prove our main result in a special case.

**Lemma.** *Let  $v$  be a nonnegative function in  $L^1(\Delta) \cap L^\infty(\Delta)$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) for any  $f \in L^2(\Delta) \cap L^\infty(\Delta)$ ,

$$\int_{\Delta} |(\tau_\Delta f)(t)|^2 v(t) dt \leq \int_{\Delta} |f(t)|^2 v(t) dt;$$

(ii)  $-\tau_\Delta v \in U(\Delta)$ ;

(iii)  $v \in V(\Delta)$ .

**Proof.** By (9), (i) is equivalent to having  $-\int_{\Delta} (\tau_\Delta [f(\tau_\Delta f)^* + (\tau_\Delta f)f^*])v dt \geq 0$  for all  $f \in L^2(\Delta) \cap L^\infty(\Delta)$ , and by (8) this holds if and only if

$$\int_{\Delta} [f(\tau_{\Delta} f)^* + (\tau_{\Delta} f)f^*](\tau_{\Delta} v) dt \geq 0$$

for all  $f \in L^2(\Delta) \cap L^{\infty}(\Delta)$ . By [3, Theorem 8] this last condition is equivalent to (ii).

Assume that (ii) holds, so there exists  $w$  in  $R$  such that  $-(\tau_{\Delta} v)(x) = w(x + i0)$  a.e. on  $\Delta$ . Then

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Delta} \frac{v(t)}{t - z} dt + w(z), \quad z \in \Pi_+,$$

defines a function  $p$  in  $R$  such that

$$p(x + i0) = (\tau_{\Delta} v)(x) + iv(x) + w(x + i0) = iv(x) \quad \text{a.e. on } \Delta,$$

and therefore (iii) holds.

Assume that (iii) holds, so there exists  $p$  in  $R$  such that  $iv(x) = p(x + i0)$  a.e. on  $\Delta$ . Set

$$(10) \quad w(z) = p(z) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Delta} \frac{v(t)}{t - z} dt, \quad z \in \Pi_+.$$

Since  $v$  is essentially bounded on  $\Delta$ ,  $\text{Im } w(z)$  is bounded below in  $\Pi_+$  and hence  $\exp(iw(z))$  is in  $H^{\infty}$ . But

$$\text{Im } w(x + i0) = \chi_{\Delta^c}(x) \text{Im } p(x + i0) \geq 0$$

a.e. on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ , so the boundary function of  $\exp(iw(z))$  is bounded by 1 a.e. on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . Therefore  $\exp(iw(z))$  is bounded by 1 in  $\Pi_+$  and  $w \in R$ . By construction

$$w(x + i0) = p(x + i0) - (\tau_{\Delta} v)(x) - i\chi_{\Delta}(x)v(x) = -(\tau_{\Delta} v)(x) \quad \text{a.e. on } \Delta,$$

so (ii) holds and the proof is complete.

**Theorem 4.** *A nonnegative measurable function  $v$  on  $\Delta$  satisfies*

$$(11) \quad \int_{\Delta} |(\tau_{\Delta} f)(t)|^2 v(t) dt \leq \int_{\Delta} |f(t)|^2 v(t) dt$$

for all  $f$  in  $L^2(\Delta)$  such that  $vf \in L^2(\Delta)$  if and only if  $v \in V(\Delta)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $v$  be a nonnegative measurable function on  $\Delta$ , and let  $\Delta_1 \subseteq \Delta_2 \subseteq \dots$  be Borel subsets of  $\Delta$  such that  $\bigcup_1^{\infty} \Delta_n = \Delta$  and the restriction  $v_n$  of  $v$  to  $\Delta_n$  is in  $L^1(\Delta_n) \cap L^{\infty}(\Delta_n)$ .

If  $v \in V(\Delta)$ , then  $v_n \in V(\Delta_n)$  for every  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . By the Lemma,

$$(12) \quad \int_{\Delta_n} |(\tau_{\Delta_n} f)(t)|^2 v_n(t) dt \leq \int_{\Delta_n} |f(t)|^2 v_n(t) dt$$

for all  $f \in L^2(\Delta_n) \cap L^{\infty}(\Delta_n)$ . A routine approximation argument shows that (11) holds for all  $f \in L^2(\Delta)$  such that  $vf \in L^2(\Delta)$ .

Conversely suppose (11) holds for all  $f \in L^2(\Delta)$  such that  $vf \in L^2(\Delta)$ .

Then (12) holds for all  $f \in L^2(\Delta_n) \cap L^\infty(\Delta_n)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . By the Lemma  $v_n \in V(\Delta_n)$  and so there exists  $w_n \in R$  such that  $iv_n(x) = w_n(x + i0)$  a.e. on  $\Delta_n$ . Since functions in  $R$  are determined by their boundary functions on sets of positive measure,  $w_1(z) = w_2(z) = \dots$  in  $\Pi_+$ , and denoting this function by  $w(z)$  we obtain  $iv(x) = w(x + i0)$  a.e. on  $\Lambda$ . Thus  $v \in V(\Lambda)$ .

## REFERENCES

1. N. Aronszajn and W. F. Donoghue, Jr., *On exponential representations of analytic functions in the upper half-plane with positive imaginary part*, J. Analyse Math. 5 (1956/57), 321–388; Supplement, *ibid.* 12 (1964), 113–127. MR 29 #6025.
2. M. Rosenblum and J. Rovnyak, *Two theorems on finite Hilbert transforms*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 48 (1974), 708–720.
3. ———, *Restrictions of analytic functions. I*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 48 (1975), 113–119.
4. ———, *Restrictions of analytic functions. II*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1975), 335–343.
5. E. C. Titchmarsh, *Introduction to the theory of Fourier integrals*, 2nd ed., Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1948.
6. F. G. Tricomi, *Integral equations*, Interscience, New York, 1957. MR 20 #1177.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA, CHARLOTTESVILLE,  
VIRGINIA 22903