

## ON THE CONVOLUTION OF A MEASURE AND A FUNCTION

S. K. BERBERIAN

ABSTRACT. Complements to a theorem of Bourbaki on the convolution of a measure and a function.

The setting is as follows [3, Chapter VIII, §4, No. 1]:  $X$  is a locally compact space,  $G$  is a locally compact group acting continuously on the left in  $X$ , and  $\beta$  is a nonzero positive measure on  $X$  that is quasi-invariant under  $G$ ; more precisely,

$$\gamma(s)\beta = \chi(s^{-1}, \cdot) \cdot \beta$$

for all  $s \in G$ , where  $\chi$  is universally measurable and everywhere  $> 0$  on  $G \times X$ .

The following proposition is central to the discussion of convolution of functions in [3]:

**Proposition** [3, Chapter VIII, §4, No. 1, Proposition 2]. *Let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $G$ ,  $f$  a locally  $\beta$ -integrable complex function on  $X$ . Assume that one of the following conditions is verified:*

- (i)  $f$  and  $\chi$  are continuous;
- (ii)  $G$  operates properly in  $X$ , and  $f$  is zero on the complement of a denumerable union of compact sets;
- (iii)  $\mu$  is carried by a denumerable union of compact sets.

*If  $\mu$  and  $f$  are convolvable relative to  $\beta$ , then the function  $s \mapsto f(s^{-1}x)\chi(s^{-1}, x)$  is essentially  $\mu$ -integrable for locally  $\beta$ -almost all  $x$ ; and if  $\mu * f$  denotes any locally  $\beta$ -integrable function such that  $(\mu * f) \cdot \beta = \mu * (f \cdot \beta)$ , then*

$$(\mu * f)(x) = \int f(s^{-1}x)\chi(s^{-1}, x) d\mu(s)$$

*locally  $\beta$ -almost everywhere.*

Case (ii) apparently needs an additional hypothesis, and the proof of Case (iii) given in [3] has some sizable gaps. The aim of this paper is to clarify these points; also, we reformulate condition (iii) so as to make it more flexible in applications, one of which is given. All notations and terminology are taken from [1]–[3].

By way of motivation, we remark that the roughly comparable result in

---

Received by the editors October 21, 1974.  
AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 28A70.

the treatise of E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross is Lemma (20.6) of [5]. There, the function  $f$  is assumed to be a Borel function, therefore the function  $(s, x) \mapsto f(s^{-1}x)$  is a Borel function on the product space. This smoothes the way for an application of Fubini's theorem [5, Theorem (13.9)]; moreover, all of the partial functions  $s \mapsto f(s^{-1}x)$ ,  $x \mapsto f(s^{-1}x)$  are Borel functions. Consequently, the measurability problem considered in Lemma 3 below does not arise in [5]; on the other hand, the Bourbaki formulation is more flexible in that non-Borel functions are allowed.

**Lemma 1.** *The following conditions on a measure  $\mu$  are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\mu$  is carried by a denumerable union of compact sets;
- (b)  $\mu$  is carried by a denumerable union of essentially  $\mu$ -integrable sets;
- (c)  $\mu$  is carried by a  $\mu$ -moderated,  $\mu$ -measurable set;
- (d)  $|\mu| = \sum_1^\infty \mu_n$ , where  $(\mu_n)$  is a summable sequence of bounded positive measures.

**Proof.** We can suppose  $\mu \geq 0$ . It is trivial that (a) implies (b).

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (d). Suppose  $\mu$  is carried by  $S = \bigcup_1^\infty S_n$ , where the  $S_n$  are essentially  $\mu$ -integrable and, as we may suppose, disjoint. The measures  $\mu_n = \phi_{S_n} \cdot \mu$  ( $\phi$  denotes characteristic function) are bounded [2, §5, No. 3, Corollary of Theorem 1]; since  $\mu = \phi_S \cdot \mu$  and  $\phi_S = \sum_1^\infty \phi_{S_n}$ , it is an elementary consequence of the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem that  $\mu = \sum_1^\infty \mu_n$  [1, Chapter IV, §4, No. 3, Corollary 2 of Theorem 2], [2, §2, No. 1].

(d)  $\Rightarrow$  (c). Suppose  $\mu = \sum_1^\infty \mu_n$ , where the  $\mu_n$  are bounded positive measures. For each  $n$ , write  $\mu_n = f_n \cdot \mu$  with  $f_n$  essentially  $\mu$ -integrable [2, §5, No. 5, Theorem 2 and No. 3, Corollary of Theorem 1]. We can suppose that  $f_n$  is  $\mu$ -integrable [2, §5, No. 3, Corollary 2 of Proposition 3]. Then for every  $n$ , the set  $A_n = \{s: f_n(s) \neq 0\}$  is  $\mu$ -measurable, and  $\mu$ -moderated [2, §1, No. 3, Corollary of Proposition 9], hence so is  $A = \bigcup_1^\infty A_n$ . For all  $n$ , evidently  $\phi_A \cdot \mu_n = \mu_n$ , that is,  $CA$  is locally  $\mu_n$ -negligible; it follows that  $CA$  is locally  $\mu$ -negligible [2, §2, No. 2, Corollary 2 of Proposition 1], in other words  $A$  carries  $\mu$ .

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Suppose  $A$  is a  $\mu$ -moderated,  $\mu$ -measurable set such that  $\phi_A \cdot \mu = \mu$ . Then  $A \subset \bigcup_1^\infty K_n \cup N$ , where the  $K_n$  are compact and  $N$  is  $\mu$ -negligible. Writing  $S = \bigcup_1^\infty K_n$ , the relation  $CS \subset N \cup CA$  shows that  $CS$  is locally  $\mu$ -negligible.

**Lemma 2.** *If  $f$  is a locally  $\beta$ -integrable function on  $X$  and if  $\mu$  is a measure on  $G$  such that  $\mu$  and  $f$  are convolvable relative to  $\beta$ , then the function  $F(s, x) = f(s^{-1}x)\chi(s^{-1}, x)$  on  $G \times X$  is measurable for  $\mu \otimes \beta$ .*

**Proof.** For every  $h \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ , the function  $(1 \otimes h)F$  is essentially integrable for  $\mu \otimes \beta$  [3, Chapter VIII, §4, No. 1, proof of Proposition 2], hence

$\mu \otimes \beta$ -measurable. Then  $F$  is  $\mu \otimes \beta$ -measurable by a routine application of the principle of localization [1, Chapter IV, §5, No. 2, Proposition 4]. Incidentally, in view of the hypotheses on  $\chi$ , it is the same to say that the function  $(s, x) \mapsto f(s^{-1}x)$  is  $\mu \otimes \beta$ -measurable.

**Lemma 3.** *With hypotheses as in Lemma 2, let  $M = \{x: F(\cdot, x) \text{ is not } \mu\text{-measurable}\}$ .*

- (1) *If  $f$  and  $\chi$  are continuous, then  $M = \emptyset$ .*
- (2) *If  $F$  (equivalently, the function  $(s, x) \mapsto f(s^{-1}x)$ ) is moderated for  $\mu \otimes \beta$ , then  $M$  is  $\beta$ -negligible.*
- (3) *If  $\mu$  is carried by a denumerable union of compact sets, then  $M$  is locally  $\beta$ -negligible.*

**Proof.** (1) For every  $x$ ,  $F(\cdot, x)$  is continuous.

(2) In view of Lemma 2, this is immediate from [2, §8, No. 2, Proposition (2a)].

(3) In view of criterion (d) of Lemma 1, this follows from the proof of [2, §8, No. 2, Proposition (2b)].

**Proof of the Proposition** (under an added hypothesis in Case (ii)). We can suppose  $f \geq 0$  and  $\mu \geq 0$ . Let  $F(s, x) = f(s^{-1}x)\chi(s^{-1}, x)$ , and let  $g: X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{R}}_+$  be the function defined by the formula  $g(x) = \int^\bullet F(\cdot, x) d\mu$ . One has  $g(x) = \int^* F(\cdot, x) d\mu$  in Case (i) (because every  $F(\cdot, x)$  is continuous [2, §1, No. 1, Proposition 4]) and in Case (ii) (because, for each  $x$ ,  $F(\cdot, x)$  vanishes outside a denumerable union of compact sets [3, Chapter III, §4, No. 5, Theorem (1b)]).

Cases (i), (ii). As shown in [3],  $g$  is locally  $\beta$ -integrable and  $g \cdot \beta = \mu * (f \cdot \beta)$ , that is,  $g$  is a determination of  $\mu * f$ . In particular, the set  $N = \{x: g(x) = +\infty\}$  is locally  $\beta$ -negligible. In Case (i), this means (in view of part (1) of Lemma 3) that  $F(\cdot, x)$  is  $\mu$ -integrable for locally  $\beta$ -almost all  $x$ . In Case (ii), if one assumes that the function  $(s, x) \mapsto f(s^{-1}x)$  is  $\mu \otimes \beta$ -moderated, then it results from part (2) of Lemma 3 that  $F(\cdot, x)$  is  $\mu$ -integrable for locally  $\beta$ -almost all  $x$ .

Case (iii). Suppose  $\mu$  is carried by a denumerable union  $S$  of compact sets. Since  $\phi_S = 1$  locally  $\mu$ -almost everywhere and  $S$  is  $\mu$ -moderated, one has

$$g(x) = \int^\bullet F(\cdot, x)\phi_S d\mu = \int^* F(\cdot, x)\phi_S d\mu$$

for all  $x \in X$ . As shown in [3],  $g$  is again locally  $\beta$ -integrable and is a determination of  $\mu * f$ . In particular,  $g(x) < +\infty$  locally  $\beta$ -a.e.; in view of part (3) of Lemma 3, this means that  $F(\cdot, x)$  is essentially  $\mu$ -integrable for locally  $\beta$ -almost all  $x$  [2, §1, No. 3, Proposition 9].

The following application is a slight extension of [3, Chapter VIII, §4, No. 5, Proposition 10]:

**Corollary.** *Let  $\beta$  be a relatively invariant, nonzero positive measure on  $G$ , and let  $f, g$  be locally  $\beta$ -integrable functions on  $G$  such that  $f$  and  $g$  are convolvable relative to  $\beta$ . If one of  $f, g$  is continuous or is zero outside a denumerable union of essentially  $\beta$ -integrable sets, then*

$$(f * g)(x) = \int g(s^{-1}x)f(s)\chi(s^{-1})d\beta(s) = \int f(xs^{-1})g(s)\chi'(s^{-1})d\beta(s)$$

for locally  $\beta$ -almost all  $x$ .

**Proof.** Here  $\chi$  and  $\chi'$  denote the left and right multipliers of  $\beta$ , which are continuous [3, Chapter VII, §1, No. 8]. It is straightforward to show that the two essential integrals (or integrals) exist simultaneously and are then equal, thus it is immaterial whether the conditions are imposed on  $f$  or on  $g$ . We can suppose  $f \geq 0, g \geq 0$ . Let  $\mu = f \cdot \beta$ .

If  $g$  is continuous, one applies Case (i) of the Proposition to  $\mu, g$ .

Suppose  $f$  is zero outside  $S = \bigcup_1^\infty A_n$ , where the  $A_n$  are essentially  $\beta$ -integrable. For each  $n$ , let  $h_n$  be a  $\beta$ -integrable function such that  $\phi_{A_n} = h_n$  locally  $\beta$ -a.e. Let  $B_n = \{x: h_n(x) = 1\}$ . From  $\phi_{B_n} = h_n \phi_{B_n}$ , we see that  $B_n$  is  $\beta$ -integrable. Since  $h_n^2 = h_n$  locally  $\beta$ -a.e., it results that  $h_n = \phi_{B_n}$  locally  $\beta$ -a.e. (indeed,  $\beta$ -a.e. [2, §1, No. 3, Lemma 1]), therefore  $\phi_{A_n} = \phi_{B_n}$  locally  $\beta$ -a.e. It follows easily that  $S \subset B \cup N$ , where  $B = \bigcup_1^\infty B_n$  is  $\beta$ -moderated and  $N$  is locally  $\beta$ -negligible; one can even suppose that  $B$  is a denumerable union of compact sets [2, §1, No. 2, Proposition 5]. Since  $N$  is also locally negligible for  $\mu = f \cdot \beta$  [2, §5, No. 5, Theorem 2], it follows that  $\mu$  is carried by  $B$ ; thus we are in the situation of Case (iii) of the Proposition.

**Remark.** In the Corollary, it also suffices that one of  $f, g$  be equal locally  $\beta$ -a.e. to a continuous function. More generally, suppose  $f, g, f', g'$  are locally  $\beta$ -integrable functions such that  $f = f'$  locally  $\beta$ -a.e. and  $g = g'$  locally  $\beta$ -a.e. It is elementary that if  $f$  and  $g$  are convolvable relative to  $\beta$ , then so are  $f'$  and  $g'$ , and one then has  $f * g = f' * g'$  locally  $\beta$ -a.e. Suppose, in addition, that  $f * g$  has a determination  $h$  such that  $h(x)$ , for locally  $\beta$ -almost all  $x$ , is given by the (coexisting) integral formulas of the Corollary; for such an  $x$ , the first formula shows that  $f$  may be replaced by  $f'$  (in both formulas), the second that  $g$  may be replaced by  $g'$ ; thus  $f' * g'$  is also given by such formulas locally  $\beta$ -a.e.

#### REFERENCES

1. N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique*. Fasc. XIII. Livre VI: *Intégration*. Chaps. I–IV, 2ième éd., Actualités Sci. Indust., no. 1175, Hermann, Paris, 1965. MR 36 #2763.

2. N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique*. Fasc. XXI. Livre VI: *Intégration*. Chap. V. 2ième éd., Actualités Sci. Indust., no. 1244, Hermann, Paris, 1967. MR 35 #322.
3. ———, *Éléments de mathématique*. Fasc. XXIX. Livre VI: *Intégration*. Chaps. VII–VIII, Actualités Sci. Indust., no. 1306, Hermann, Paris, 1963. MR 31 #3539.
4. ———, *Éléments de mathématique*. Fasc. III. Livre III: *Topologie générale*. Chaps. III–IV, 3ième éd., Actualités Sci. Indust., no. 1143, Hermann, Paris, 1960.
5. E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis*, Vol. I: *Structure of topological groups. Integration theory, group representations*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 115, Academic Press, New York; Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963. MR 28 #158.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS, AUSTIN, TEXAS 78712