

## A NOTE ON LIFTING BRAUER CHARACTERS

STEPHEN M. GAGOLA, JR.

**ABSTRACT.** A Brauer character of a finite group may be lifted to an ordinary character if it lies in a block whose defect groups are contained in a normal  $p$ -solvable subgroup.

By the Fong-Swan theorem [2, Theorem 72.1], an irreducible Brauer character of a finite  $p$ -solvable group  $G$  may be lifted to an ordinary (complex) character of  $G$ . In other words, every Brauer character  $\phi$  is the restriction of some ordinary character  $\chi$  to the  $p$ -regular elements of  $G$ . Professor I. M. Isaacs has shown [5] that the character  $\chi$  may be chosen to satisfy certain extra conditions which when  $p$  is odd, uniquely determine  $\chi$ . By extending a theorem which appears in that paper [5, Theorem 3.1], the hypothesis of  $p$ -solvability on  $G$  may be weakened somewhat.

Specifically, the main result of this paper is the following

**Theorem.** *Let  $\phi$  be an irreducible Brauer character of the finite group  $G$ , and assume that  $\phi$  lies in a block whose defect groups are contained in a normal  $p$ -solvable subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $\phi$  may be lifted to an ordinary character  $\chi$  of  $G$ .*

We will not be concerned with general uniqueness questions here.

For the remainder of this paper,  $G$  denotes a finite group, and  $F$  is a field of characteristic  $p$  which is a splitting field for all subgroups of  $G$ . If  $V$  is an  $F[G]$ -module, let  $J(V)$  be the intersection of all maximal submodules of  $V$ . Finally, if  $U$  and  $V$  are  $F[G]$ -modules affording the Brauer characters  $\phi$  and  $\mu$ , respectively, and if  $V$  is irreducible, then the multiplicity of  $\mu$  in  $\phi$  is the multiplicity of  $V$  as a composition factor of  $U$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $N \triangleleft G$  and let  $W$  be an irreducible  $F[N]$ -module which affords the Brauer character  $\mu$ . Assume that  $\mu$  can be lifted to an ordinary character  $\Psi$  in such a way that the inertia groups  $\mathcal{I}_G(\mu)$  and  $\mathcal{I}_G(\Psi)$  coincide. Denote by  $\mu^G$  the Brauer character which the induced module  $W^G$  affords. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  denote the set of all irreducible Brauer characters  $\phi$  of  $G$  which are constituents of  $\mu^G$ , but which are not afforded by any composition factor of  $J(W^G)$ . Finally, let  $\mathcal{T}$  denote the set of ordinary irreducible characters  $\chi$  of  $G$  which are constituents of  $\Psi^G$  and which have the property that the*

---

Received by the editors December 24, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 20C20.

Key words and phrases. Lifting Brauer characters, vertices, defect groups.

decomposition number  $d_{\chi\phi}$  does not vanish for some  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ . Then, restriction to  $p$ -regular elements is a 1-1 correspondence between the elements of  $\mathcal{J}$  and the elements of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Proof.** Write  $\mu^G = \sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{S}} f_{\phi}\phi + \Phi$ , where no constituent of  $\Phi$  lies in  $\mathcal{S}$ . We first compute the restriction of  $\phi$  to  $N$ , where  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ . Let  $W$  and  $V$  be irreducible  $F[N]$ - and  $F[G]$ -modules affording  $\mu$  and  $\phi$ , respectively. By Clifford's theorem,  $V_N$  is completely reducible and, since  $F$  is a splitting field for  $N$ , the multiplicity of  $W$  as a composition factor of  $V_N$  is the  $F$ -dimension of  $\text{hom}_{F[N]}(W, V_N)$ . By the Nakayama relations [4, p. 556], this dimension equals the  $F$ -dimension of  $\text{hom}_{F[G]}(W^G, V)$ . Since  $V$  is irreducible, this last space is naturally isomorphic to  $\text{hom}_{F[G]}(W^G/J(W^G), V)$ .

Since  $W^G/J(W^G)$  is completely reducible, and  $F$  is a splitting field for  $G$ , this last space has  $F$ -dimension equal to the multiplicity of  $V$  in  $W^G/J(W^G)$ . However,  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ , which means that  $V$  is not a composition factor of  $J(W^G)$ , so that the multiplicity of  $V$  in  $W/J(W^G)$  is  $f_{\phi}$ . Therefore,  $\mu$  appears in  $\phi_N$  with multiplicity  $f_{\phi}$ . We may write

$$\phi_N = f_{\phi}(\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_t),$$

where  $\mu = \mu_1$  and  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_t$  are the distinct  $G$ -conjugates of  $\mu$ .

Similarly write  $\Psi^G = \sum e_{\chi}\chi + X$ , where each  $\chi$  lies in  $\mathcal{J}$ , and no constituent of  $X$  lies in  $\mathcal{J}$ . Since  $f_G(\mu) = f_G(\Psi)$ , the number of distinct  $G$ -conjugates of  $\Psi$  is  $t$ , and by Frobenius reciprocity,

$$\chi_N = e_{\chi}(\Psi_1 + \dots + \Psi_t),$$

where  $\Psi = \Psi_1, \Psi_2, \dots, \Psi_t$  are the distinct  $G$ -conjugates of  $\Psi$ .

Let  $R$  and  $S$  denote the set of  $p$ -regular elements of  $G$  and  $N$ , respectively. We now use the equations

$$(\Psi|_S)^G = (\Psi^G)_R \quad \text{and} \quad (\chi|_R)_N = (\chi_N)|_S.$$

(The first equation follows from the fact that the values of  $\mu^G$  may be computed by the usual formulas for an induced class function, a fact proved in [1, §25].) The first equation may be rewritten as

$$\sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{S}} f_{\phi}\phi + \Phi = \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{J}} e_{\chi}\chi_R + X_R.$$

This implies that for  $\chi \in \mathcal{J}$ ,

$$\chi_R = \sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{S}} d_{\chi\phi}\phi + \eta_{\chi},$$

where  $\eta_{\chi}$  has constituents appearing in  $\Phi$ . Now, no  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  appears as a constituent of  $\chi_R$ , so that

(\*) 
$$f_{\phi} = \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{J}} e_{\chi}d_{\chi\phi}.$$

For  $\chi \in \mathcal{J}$ , the equation  $(\chi|_R)_N = (\chi_N)|_S$  implies

$$\sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{S}} d_{\chi\phi} \phi_N + (\eta_\chi)_N = e_\chi(\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_t),$$

and since  $\phi_N = f_\phi(\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_t)$ , we get

$$(**) \quad e_\chi \geq \sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{S}} d_{\chi\phi} f_\phi.$$

Now, combining (\*) and (\*\*):

$$\begin{aligned} f_\phi &= \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{J}} e_\chi d_{\chi\phi} \geq \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{\phi' \in \mathcal{S}} d_{\chi\phi'} f_{\phi'} d_{\chi\phi} \\ &= \sum_{\phi' \in \mathcal{S}} \left( \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{J}} d_{\chi\phi'} d_{\chi\phi} \right) f_{\phi'} \\ &\geq \left( \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{J}} d_{\chi\phi}^2 \right) f_\phi \geq f_\phi. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is valid since (\*) implies that, for every  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ , there exists  $\chi \in \mathcal{J}$  with  $d_{\chi\phi} \neq 0$ . We now have that equality holds throughout, in the above chain of inequalities, and, in particular,  $\sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{J}} d_{\chi\phi}^2 = 1$  holds for every  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ . Therefore, for every  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ , there exists a unique  $\chi \in \mathcal{J}$  with  $d_{\chi\phi} = 1$ , and  $d_{\chi'\phi} = 0$  for  $\chi' \neq \chi$  in  $\mathcal{J}$ . But then (\*) implies that  $f_\phi = e_\chi$ , and since  $\mu(1) = \Psi(1)$ ,  $\chi$  must be a lift of  $\phi$ . We have now proved that every  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$  has a unique lift  $\chi$  in  $\mathcal{J}$ . Finally, if  $\chi \in \mathcal{J}$ , then, by definition, there exists  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$  with  $d_{\chi\phi} \neq 0$ . But, by the above,  $\chi$  is a lift of  $\phi$ . Thus, the map  $\chi \mapsto \chi_R$  is a 1-1 correspondence between  $\mathcal{J}$  and  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Definition.** Let  $V$  be an  $F[G]$ -module and  $N$  a subgroup of  $G$ .  $V$  is  $N$ -reducible if every exact sequence of  $F[G]$ -modules  $U \mapsto V \mapsto Y$ , which splits when considered as a sequence of  $F[N]$ -modules, necessarily splits as a sequence of  $F[G]$ -modules. Thus, every module is  $G$ -reducible, and a module is 1-reducible iff it is completely reducible. (By using the other two positions of the exact sequence, one can define the usual notions of  $N$ -injectivity and  $N$ -projectivity.) !

**Lemma 2.** Let  $V$  be an  $F[G]$ -module and  $N$  a subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $T$  be a set of coset representatives for the right cosets of  $N$  in  $G$ . Assume that there exists  $\alpha \in C_{F[G]}(N)$  such that  $\sum_{x \in T} x^{-1} \alpha x$  acts like the identity on  $V$ . Then  $V$  is  $N$ -reducible.

**Proof.** This is essentially the proof of (d)  $\rightarrow$  (a) of Theorem 1 of [3].

**Lemma 3.** Let  $N \triangleleft G$  and let  $\mu$  be an irreducible Brauer character of  $N$ . Assume that  $\mu$  can be lifted to an ordinary character  $\Psi$  with the property that  $\mathcal{I}_G(\mu) = \mathcal{I}_G(\Psi)$ . Finally, let  $B$  be a  $p$ -block of  $G$  whose defect groups are contained in  $N$ . Then the restriction to  $p$ -regular elements defines a

1-1 correspondence between the set of irreducible constituents of  $\Psi^G$  which lie in  $B$  and the set of irreducible Brauer constituents of  $\mu^G$  which lie in  $B$ .

**Proof.** Define  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  as in the statement of Lemma 1, and again let  $R$  denote the set of  $p$ -regular elements of  $G$ . Then  $\chi \mapsto \chi_R$  is a 1-1 correspondence between the elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{I}$ . Clearly  $\chi \in B$  iff  $\chi_R \in B$ . It suffices to show that all the irreducible Brauer constituents of  $\mu^G$  which belong to  $B$  necessarily lie in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Let  $W$  afford  $\mu$  and let  $e$  denote the centrally primitive idempotent of  $F[G]$  which corresponds to the block  $B$ . Then  $W^G = (W^G)e + (W^G)(1 - e)$ . The composition factors of  $(W^G)e$  afford Brauer characters in  $B$ , and no composition factor of  $(W^G)(1 - e)$  belongs to  $B$ . We must show that  $J(W^G) \subseteq (W^G)(1 - e)$ , and this is equivalent to the statement that  $(W^G)e$  is completely reducible.

Since  $B$  has a defect group contained in  $N$ , it follows that the block idempotent  $e$  has a representation of the form  $e = \sum_{x \in T} x^{-1} \alpha x$ , where  $T$  is a set of coset representatives for  $N$  in  $G$ , and  $\alpha \in C_{F[G]}(N)$ . (This is essentially Lemma 54.8 of [2, p. 346] with  $F$  in place of  $R$ .)

Thus,  $(W^G)e$  is  $N$ -reducible by Lemma 2. Therefore,  $(W^G)e$  is completely reducible as an  $F[G]$ -module iff  $(W^G)e|_N$  is completely reducible as an  $F[N]$ -module. However  $(W^G)e|_N$  is a summand of  $(W^G)_N = \sum_{x \in T} W \otimes x$  where each  $W \otimes x$  is simple. Hence,  $(W^G)e$  is completely reducible, and we are done.

In order to prove the main theorem of this paper, we need the strengthened version of the Fong-Swan theorem appearing in [5].

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $N$  be a  $p$ -solvable group and  $\mu$  an irreducible Brauer character of  $N$ . Then there exists an ordinary irreducible character  $\Psi$  of  $N$  which lifts  $\mu$  and satisfies  $\mathcal{I}_A(\mu) = \mathcal{I}_A(\Psi)$ , where  $A$  is the automorphism group of  $N$ .*

**Proof.** This is contained in Theorem 5.4 of [5].

We now present a proof of the theorem quoted at the beginning of the paper.

Let  $\phi$  be an irreducible Brauer character of  $G$  and assume that  $\phi$  lies in a block whose defect groups are contained in the normal  $p$ -solvable subgroup  $N$ . Let  $\mu$  be a constituent of  $\phi_N$  and lift  $\mu$  to an ordinary character  $\Psi$  satisfying the conclusion of Lemma 4. Since  $G$  induces on  $N$  a group of automorphisms, clearly  $\mathcal{I}_G(\mu) = \mathcal{I}_G(\Psi)$ . Lemma 3 now implies that  $\phi$  has a lift (which is a constituent of  $\Psi^G$ ).

We remark that by replacing  $N$  by the largest normal  $p$ -solvable subgroup of  $G$  (so as to assume that  $N$  is characteristic in  $G$ ), it is easy to show

that  $\phi$  has a lift  $\chi$  which satisfies  $\mathcal{I}_{\text{Aut}(G)}(\phi) = \mathcal{I}_{\text{Aut}(G)}(\chi)$ .

**Closing remarks.** I would like to thank the referee for his careful reading of this paper and for pointing out that, in the situation of Lemma 1, the set  $\mathcal{S}$  consists precisely of those irreducible Brauer constituents of  $\mu^G$  which have vertices contained in  $N$ . (Of course, the vertex of a Brauer character means the vertex of an irreducible  $F[G]$ -module which affords it.) This observation follows from the equivalence of (i) and (iii) in the following

**Proposition.** *Let  $N \triangleleft G$ ,  $W$  an irreducible  $F[N]$ -module and  $V$  an irreducible  $F[G]$ -module. Assume that  $V$  is a composition factor of  $W^G$ . Then the following conditions on  $V$  are equivalent.*

- (i) *A vertex for  $V$  is contained in  $N$ .*
- (ii)  *$W^G = U \dot{+} S$  where no composition factor of  $U$  is isomorphic to  $V$ , and  $S$  is a direct sum of simple modules all being isomorphic to  $V$ .*
- (iii)  *$V$  is not a composition factor of  $J(W^G)$ .*

**Proof.** (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii). Write  $W^G = U \dot{+} S$  with  $S$  isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of  $V$  and with  $\dim_F U$  minimal. Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are submodules of  $U$  with  $Y \leq X$  and  $X/Y \cong V$ . Then  $Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow V$  is an exact sequence of  $F[G]$ -modules. Since  $X$  is a submodule of  $W^G$ , and  $W^G|_N$  is completely reducible, the sequence splits when regarded as a sequence of  $F[N]$ -modules. However, a vertex of  $V$  is contained in  $N$ , so  $V$  is  $N$ -projective and the sequence splits as a sequence of  $F[G]$ -modules. Thus  $V$  is isomorphic to a submodule  $V_1$  of  $X$  and, hence, of  $U$ . By considering the sequence  $V_1 \rightarrow U \rightarrow U/V_1$  and using the fact that  $V_1$  is  $N$ -injective, we have  $U = U_0 \dot{+} V_1$ . But then  $W^G = U_0 \dot{+} (V_1 \dot{+} S)$ , contradicting the minimality of  $\dim_F U$ , and thereby proving (ii).

(ii)  $\rightarrow$  (iii). The hypothesis of (ii) implies  $J(W^G) \subseteq U$  and (iii) is immediate.

(iii)  $\rightarrow$  (i). Since  $V$  is a composition factor of  $W^G$ , it follows from the Nakayama relations and the semisimplicity of  $V_N$  that  $W$  is a summand (and, hence, a homomorphic image) of  $V_N$ . By the Nakayama relations again,  $V$  is isomorphic to a submodule, say  $V_1$ , of  $W^G$ . Since  $V_1 \not\subseteq J(W^G)$ , we have  $W^G = V_1 \dot{+} M$  for some maximal submodule  $M$  of  $W^G$ . This equation implies that  $V_1$  is  $N$ -projective, and so  $N$  contains a vertex of  $V_1$ , proving (i).

As the referee has kindly pointed out, this Proposition, together with Lemmas 1 and 4 imply the following strengthened version of the main theorem of this paper:

**Theorem.** *Let  $\phi$  be an irreducible Brauer character of  $G$  and assume that a vertex for a module affording  $\phi$  is contained in a normal  $p$ -solvable subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $\phi$  may be lifted to an ordinary character  $\chi$  of  $G$ .*

#### REFERENCES

1. R. Brauer and C. Nesbitt, *On the modular characters of groups*, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 42 (1941), 556–590. MR 2, 309.

2. L. Dornhoff, *Group representation theory. Part B*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972.
3. D. G. Higman, *Modules with a group of operators*, *Duke Math. J.* 21 (1954), 369–376. MR 16, 794.
4. B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen. I*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 134, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1967. MR 37 #302.
5. I. M. Isaacs, *Lifting Brauer characters of  $p$ -solvable groups*, *Pacific J. Math.* 53 (1974), 171–188.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING,  
MICHIGAN 48824