

ANY UNITARY PRINCIPAL SERIES
REPRESENTATION OF GL_n OVER A p -ADIC
FIELD IS IRREDUCIBLE

ROGER HOWE AND ALLAN SILBERGER¹

ABSTRACT. This paper proves that for the group GL_n over the p -adics every unitary principal series representation is irreducible.

We have an application [3] for the titled fact. Since we were unable to find any proof in the literature, we are presenting two here.

Let F be a nonarchimedean local field and let $G = GL_n(F)$. Let D be the diagonal subgroup of G and U the upper unipotent matrices. Let $B = D \cdot U$ be the group of all nonsingular upper triangular matrices and write δ for the modular function of B . (If $d_l b$ is a left Haar measure for B , then $\delta(b)d_l b$ is a right Haar measure.) Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of G and recall that $G = KB$.

Let χ be any (unitary) character of D and regard it as a character of B . The induced representation $\pi_\chi = \text{Ind}_B^G(\chi\delta^{1/2})$ is called the (unitary) principal series representation attached to χ . To describe the unitary representation π_χ explicitly, we let \mathcal{H}_χ denote the Hilbert space of all complex-valued measurable functions h on G such that $h(gb) = \chi^{-1}(b)\delta^{-1/2}(b)h(g)$ ($g \in G, b \in B$) and such that $\int_K |h(k)|^2 dk < \infty$. Then π_χ is just left translation in \mathcal{H}_χ : $(\pi_\chi(x)h)(g) = h(x^{-1}g)$ ($h \in \mathcal{H}_\chi; g, x \in G$).

The Theorem we wish to prove twice is:

THEOREM. π_χ is irreducible.

Our first proof is essentially folklore, and depends on results of Mackey.

PROOF. (1). Let P be the subgroup of G which consists of all matrices with zero entries in the top row except in the first place. Then $P \cdot B$ is a dense open subset of G whose complement has Haar measure zero. The Mackey subgroup theorem [4], therefore, implies that $\pi_\chi|_P = \text{Ind}_{B \cap P}^P(\chi\delta^{1/2})$. Write N for the unipotent radical of P and note that $(B \cap P) \cdot N = H$ is a closed solvable subgroup of P . Inducing in stages, we obtain $\pi_\chi|_P = \text{Ind}_H^P(\text{Ind}_{B \cap P}^H(\chi\delta^{1/2}))$.

First, observe that $(\text{Ind}_{B \cap P}^H(\chi\delta^{1/2}))|_N$ is the regular representation of N . Next, letting \hat{N} denote the Pontrjagin dual of the abelian group N and noting that N is a normal subgroup of P , we obtain an action Ad^* of P on \hat{N} . The restricted action $\text{Ad}^*|_{B \cap P}$ has an open dense orbit $\mathcal{Q} \subset \hat{N}$ and the measure of $\hat{N} - \mathcal{Q}$ is zero. Let $\eta \in \mathcal{Q}$ and let C (resp. Q) be the isotropy

Received by the editors November 11, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 22E50; Secondary 22E35.

Key words and phrases. Principal series, unitary representation, irreducibility, p -adic group.

¹ The authors would like to thank the Sonderforschungsbereich "Theoretische Mathematik" at the University of Bonn for supporting this research.

subgroup of η in H (resp. P). Of course, $N \subseteq C \subseteq Q$. There is a unique extension of η to C which agrees with $\chi\delta^{1/2}$ on $C \cap B$. Call this extension $\phi\delta^{1/2}$. It is easy to see that $\text{Ind}_C^H(\phi\delta^{1/2}) \sim \text{Ind}_{B \cap P}^H(\chi\delta^{1/2})$. Thus, $\pi_\chi|P \sim \text{Ind}_Q^P(\text{Ind}_C^Q(\phi\delta^{1/2}))$, and by Mackey's irreducibility criterion [4], $\pi_\chi|P$ is irreducible if and only if $\text{Ind}_C^Q(\phi\delta^{1/2})$ is irreducible.

To check this irreducibility let \tilde{Q} be a complement to N in Q , so that $Q = \tilde{Q} \times N$ (semidirect product). Put $\tilde{C} = C \cap \tilde{Q}$ and $\tilde{\phi} = \phi|_{\tilde{C}}$. Then $\text{Ind}_C^Q(\phi\delta^{1/2})|_{\tilde{Q}} = \text{Ind}_{\tilde{C}}^{\tilde{Q}}(\tilde{\phi}\delta^{1/2})$ and, as one sees, the irreducibility of this last representation is our Theorem for GL_{n-1} instead of $GL_n = G$. To complete the proof apply mathematical induction.

Note that we have, in fact, established that $\pi_\chi|P$ is irreducible. An easy proof for the case $n = 2$ results from appropriately simplifying the above argument.

Our second proof depends upon a deep result of Harish-Chandra and the fact that our Theorem is known to be true for GL_2 .

PROOF. (2). For PGL_2 the Theorem is proved in [6, Theorem 3.4] by a simple computation; the case of GL_2 is not different. A proof for GL_2 which is more in the spirit of what will follow, results from observing that if χ , regarded as a character of the diagonal group D , is fixed by the Weyl group, then (for GL_2 !) the Plancherel measure is zero at χ [5], [6]. This implies that π_χ is irreducible [2, Corollary 5.4.2.3].

Now let $n \geq 3$. The theorem of Harish-Chandra which we shall use is too complicated to explain in detail here, so we give a simplified version. We set $\omega = \chi$ and $P = B$ and obtain a space $L(\chi, B)$ as defined in [1, §11]. We also need the operators ${}^\circ c_{B|B}(s : \chi : 0)$ (cf. *ibid.*). Let $W(\chi)$ be the subgroup of the Weyl group $W = N_G(D)/Z_G(D)$ such that $\chi^s = \chi$. Harish-Chandra's theorem [2, Theorem 5.5.3.3] implies that: There is a representation

$$s \mapsto {}^\circ c_{B|B}(s : \chi : 0)$$

of $W(\chi)$ on $L(\chi, B)$ which is trivial if and only if π_χ is irreducible.

Observe that $W(\chi)$ is always (in the case of GL_n !) generated by reflections corresponding to reduced roots of G . Without loss of generality, we may in fact assume that these reduced roots are simple. Thus, it suffices to show that ${}^\circ c_{B|B}(s : \chi : 0)$ is the identity when s is a reflection in $W(\chi)$ with respect to a fixed simple root.

Let D' be the maximal subtorus of D which is fixed by s . There is a maximal parabolic subgroup $P' = M'N'$ of G , where $M' = Z_G(D')$ is isomorphic to a product of GL_m 's ($m < n$), N' is the unipotent radical of P' , and $P' \supset B$. The group ${}^*B = M' \cap B$ is a Borel subgroup of M' , i.e. it is concretely a product of upper triangular subgroups. The space $L(\chi, {}^*B) \supset L(\chi, B)$. We need the following fact [2, Theorem 5.3.5.3]:

$${}^\circ c_{B|B}(s : \chi : 0) = {}^\circ c_{{}^*B|{}^*B}(s : \chi : 0)|_{L(\chi, B)}.$$

But now the proof is finished, because $\text{Ind}_{{}^*B}^{M'}(\delta^{1/2} \chi) = \pi'_\chi$ is a representation of the principal series of M' , so it is equivalent to a tensor product of principal series representations of GL_m 's ($m < n$); thus π'_χ is irreducible. Therefore, ${}^\circ c_{{}^*B|{}^*B}(s : \chi : 0)$ is already the identity on $L(\chi, {}^*B)$.

REFERENCES

1. Harish-Chandra, *Harmonic analysis on reductive p -adic groups*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 26, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1974, pp. 167—192.
2. ———, Notes on lectures at the Institute for Advanced Study, 1971—1973 (to appear).
3. R. Howe and A. Silberger, *Why any unitary principal series representation of SL_n over a p -adic field decomposes simply*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1975), 599—601.
4. G. W. Mackey, *Unitary representations of group extensions*. I, Acta Math. **99** (1958), 265—311. MR **20** #4789.
5. F. I. Mautner, *Spherical functions over \mathfrak{F} -adic fields*. I, II, Amer. J. Math. **80** (1958), 441—457; *ibid.* **86** (1964), 171—200. MR **20** #82; **29** #3582.
6. A. J. Silberger, *PGL_2 over the p -adics: its representations, spherical functions, and Fourier analysis*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 166, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1970. MR **44** #2891.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, STONY BROOK, NEW YORK 11794

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS, AMHERST, MASSACHUSETTS 01002

Current address (Roger Howe): Department of Mathematics, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520

Current address (Allan Silberger): Department of Mathematics, Cleveland State University, Cleveland, Ohio 44115