

UNDECIDABILITY OF THE THEORY OF ABELIAN GROUPS WITH A SUBGROUP

WALTER BAUR¹

ABSTRACT. The theory of abelian groups with an additional predicate denoting a subgroup is undecidable.

0. Introduction. Let L be the first order language with nonlogical symbols $0, +, P$, where P is a unary predicate symbol. For any class K of abelian groups let $T(K)$ denote the L -theory of the class of structures $\langle A, B \rangle$, where $A \in K$ and $B \subseteq A$ is an arbitrary subgroup. Kozlov and Kokorin [4] showed that $T(K)$ is decidable if K is the class of torsion free groups. The main result of this paper is the following:

THEOREM 1. *Let p be a prime number and let K be the class of abelian groups A such that $p^9 A = 0$. Then $T(K)$ is undecidable.*

An immediate consequence is

COROLLARY 2. *$T(\text{class of all abelian groups})$ is undecidable.*

Corollary 2 answers a few questions asked in [4].

1. Proof of Theorem 1. Let S be a finitely presented semigroup on two generators α_1, α_2 and defining relations $V_\nu(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = W_\nu(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) (\nu < n)$ such that S has undecidable word problem (see e.g. Davis [2]). We are going to define a finite extension T^* of $T(K)$ and an effective map associating with every pair $\langle V, W \rangle$ of words in α_1, α_2 an L -sentence φ such that $V = W$ holds in S if and only if $T^* \vdash \varphi$.

Let A be a p -group and $a \in A$. Put $\tau(a) = \langle h(a), h(pa), h(p^2 a) \rangle$ where h is the p -height, i.e. $h(x) = k$ if and only if $x \in p^k A - p^{k+1} A$. Put $\tau_0 = \langle 0, 2, 7 \rangle$, $\tau_1 = \langle 0, 3, 6 \rangle$, $\tau_2 = \langle 0, 4, 5 \rangle$. Note that for each pair $\langle i, j \rangle$, $i, j \leq 2$, $i \neq j$, τ_i has a component which is greater than the corresponding component of τ_j .

For $j = 1, 2$ let $\varphi_j(x, y)$ be the L -formula

$$x = y = 0 \vee \exists x', y' (P(x') \ \& \ P(y') \ \& \ x = p^3 x' \ \& \ y = p^3 y' \\ \& \ \tau(x') = \tau_0 \ \& \ \tau(y') = \tau_j \ \& \ h(x' - y') = 1).$$

Let T^* be the theory obtained from $T(K)$ by adjoining axioms (i) and (ii) below.

Received by the editors March 26, 1975.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 02G05.

¹ Supported by Schweizerischer Nationalfonds.

(i) $\forall x(h(x) \geq 8 \rightarrow \exists! y(h(y) \geq 8 \ \& \ \varphi_j(x,y))), \quad j = 1, 2.$

(i) simply says that φ_j defines a function f_j on the set of elements of height ≥ 8 . Therefore every word $W(f_1, f_2)$ in f_1, f_2 defines a function $\overline{W}(f_1, f_2)$, and a definition of this function can easily be written down in terms of φ_1, φ_2 .

(ii) $\forall x(h(x) \geq 8 \rightarrow \overline{V_\nu}(f_1, f_2)(x) = \overline{W_\nu}(f_1, f_2)(x)), \quad \nu < n.$

From (ii) it follows immediately that

$$T^* \vdash \forall x(h(x) \geq 8 \rightarrow \overline{V}(f_1, f_2)(x) = \overline{W}(f_1, f_2)(x))$$

whenever $V(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = W(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ holds in S . Since every countable semigroup can be embedded in the semigroup of endomorphisms of a countable vector space over the field F with p elements, the converse (and the theorem) clearly follow from

Claim. For any pair g_1, g_2 of endomorphisms of a countably infinite vectorspace V over F there exists a model $\langle A, B \rangle$ of $T(K)$ satisfying (i) such that $\langle V, g_1, g_2 \rangle \cong \langle p^8 A, f_1, f_2 \rangle$ where f_1, f_2 are defined by φ_1, φ_2 .

PROOF OF CLAIM. Put $M = \{1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9\}$ and for $i \in M$ put $A_i = (\mathbf{Z}/p^i \mathbf{Z})^{(\omega)}$, $A = \bigoplus_{i \in M} A_i$, and let $(a_{i,k})_{k \in \omega}$ be a basis of A_i . Identify V with $p^8 A = p^8 A_9$ and let $a_{9,k}^{(j)} \in A_9$ such that $g_j(p^8 a_{9,k}) = p^8 a_{9,k}^{(j)}, j = 1, 2, k \in \omega$. For $k \in \omega$ put

$$\begin{aligned} b_{0,k} &= p^5 a_{9,k} && + p a_{3,k} && + a_{1,k} \\ b_{1,k} &= p^5 a_{9,k}^{(1)} && + p^4 a_{7,k} && + p^2 a_{4,k} && + a_{1,k} \\ b_{2,k} &= p^5 a_{9,k}^{(2)} && + p^3 a_{6,k} && && + a_{1,k} \end{aligned}$$

and let B be the subgroup of A generated by all the $b_{j,k}$'s. Note that $\tau(b_{j,k}) = \tau_j$. The important property of these generators is

(*) if $b = \sum_{i \leq 2} \sum_{k \in \omega} r_{i,k} b_{i,k}, r_{i,k} \in \mathbf{Z}$, and $\tau(b) = \tau_j$, then p divides $r_{i,k}$ for all $\langle i, k \rangle$ such that $i \neq j$.

Assume, e.g., $\tau(b) = \tau_1$. Then p divides $r_{0,k}$ because otherwise $h(pb) = 2$, and p divides $r_{2,k}$ because otherwise $h(p^2 b) = 5$. The remaining two cases are similar.

Next we show that $\varphi_j(a, g_j(a))$ holds in $\langle A, B \rangle$ for all $a \in p^8 A, j = 1, 2$. This is clear if $a = 0$. Assume $a = \sum_k r_k p^8 a_{9,k} \neq 0, 0 \leq r_k < p$. Put $x' = \sum_k r_k b_{0,k}, y' = \sum_k r_k b_{j,k}$ and look at the definition of φ_j and B .

It remains to show that $\langle A, B \rangle$ satisfies (i). Assume $\varphi_1(a, a_1)$ and $\varphi_1(a, a_2)$ both hold in $\langle A, B \rangle$ (the case $j = 2$ is analogous). To show: $a_1 = a_2$. Leaving the simpler case $a = 0$ to the reader we assume $a \neq 0$. By the definition of $\varphi_1(x, y)$ there exist $b'_1, b'_2, b_1, b_2 \in B$ such that for $j = 1, 2$

- (1) $a = p^3 b'_j, a_j = p^3 b_j,$
- (2) $\tau(b'_j) = \tau_0, \tau(b_j) = \tau_1,$
- (3) $h(b'_j - b_j) = 1.$

Write $b'_j = \sum_{i \leq 2} \sum_{k \in \omega} r_{i,k}^{(j)} b_{i,k}, b_j = \sum_{i \leq 2} \sum_{k \in \omega} s_{i,k}^{(j)} b_{i,k}, r_{i,k}^{(j)}, s_{i,k}^{(j)} \in \mathbf{Z}.$ (*) and (2) imply

$$(4) \quad p \text{ divides } r_{1,k}^{(j)}, r_{2,k}^{(j)}, s_{0,k}^{(j)}, s_{2,k}^{(j)} \quad \text{for all } k \in \omega, j = 1, 2.$$

This together with (3) gives

$$(5) \quad r_{0,k}^{(j)} \equiv s_{1,k}^{(j)} \pmod{p} \quad \text{for all } k \in \omega, j = 1, 2.$$

(1) and (4) imply

$$a = \sum_k r_{0,k}^{(j)} p^3 b_{0,k}, \quad a_j = \sum_k s_{1,k}^{(j)} p^3 b_{1,k}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Combining the last two equations with (5) we obtain $s_{1,k}^{(1)} \equiv s_{1,k}^{(2)} \pmod{p}$ for all k , and therefore $a_1 = a_2$. This proves Theorem 1.

REMARK. Although $T(K)$ is undecidable it is impossible to interpret number theory in it. This is a consequence of the fact that $T(K)$ is stable in the sense of Shelah [5] whereas number theory is unstable. Stability of $T(K)$ follows from [1] and the proof of Corollary 3 below.

2. Theories of modules. For any recursive ring R with identity let T_R denote the first order theory of R -modules in the language with nonlogical symbols $0, +, f_r$ ($r \in R$) (cf. Eklof-Sabbagh [3]). Theorem 1 can be used to prove undecidability of T_R for various rings R .

COROLLARY 3. *There exist finite commutative rings R such that T_R is undecidable.*

PROOF. Put $R = R'[X]/(X^2)$ where R' is the prime ring of characteristic 2^9 . If M is an R -module then the pair $\mathfrak{A}_M = \langle \langle \{m \in M \mid Xm = 0\}, XM \rangle$, considered as a pair of abelian groups, is a model of $T(K)$. Conversely assume $\langle A, B \rangle \models T(K)$. Let B_1 be an isomorphic copy of B and define an endomorphism X of $M = A \oplus B_1$ by $Xa = 0$ for $a \in A$, $Xb_1 = b$ for $b_1 \in B_1$. Clearly this provides M with an R -module structure, and $\mathfrak{A}_M = \langle A, B \rangle$. This gives a faithful interpretation of $T(K)$ in T_R , hence T_R is undecidable.

Let F be a finite field. The decidability proof for the theory of abelian groups given by Szmielew [6] applies also to $T_{F[X]}$. In contrast the next corollary shows that $T_{F[X,Y]}$ is undecidable.

COROLLARY 4. *If $R \neq 0$ is any recursive commutative ring then $T_{R[X,Y]}$ is undecidable.*

PROOF. Replacing p by X and making a few obvious changes in the proof of Theorem 1 we obtain that the theory of the class of structures $\langle M, N \rangle$, M an $R[X]$ -module and $N \subseteq M$ a submodule, is undecidable. By an argument similar to the one used in the proof of Corollary 3 it follows that $T_{R[X,Y]}$ is undecidable.

REFERENCES

1. W. Baur, \aleph_0 -categorical modules, *J. Symbolic Logic* **40** (1975), 213–220.
2. M. Davis, *Computability and unsolvability*, McGraw-Hill Ser. in Information Processing and Computers, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1958. MR **23** #A1525.
3. P. C. Eklof and G. Sabbagh, *Model-completions and modules*, *Ann. Math. Logic* **2** (1970/71), no. 3, 251–295. MR **43** #3105.
4. G. T. Kozlov and A. I. Kokorin, *Elementary theory of abelian groups without torsion, with a*

predicate selecting a subgroup, Algebra i Logika **8** (1969), 320–334 = Algebra and Logic **8** (1969), 182–190. MR **41** #3263.

5. S. Shelah, *Stability, the f.c.p., and superstability: Model theoretic properties of formulas in first order theory*, Ann. Math. Logic **3** (1971), no. 3, 271–362. MR **47** #6475.

6. W. Szmielew, *Elementary properties of Abelian groups*, Fund. Math. **41** (1955), 203–271. MR **17**, 233.

SEMINAR FÜR ANGEWANDTE MATHEMATIK DER UNIVERSITÄT ZÜRICH, FREIERSTRASSE 36, 8032 ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND