

## BALANCED VALUATIONS AND FLOWS IN MULTIGRAPHS

F. JAEGER

**ABSTRACT.** A balanced valuation of a multigraph  $H$  is a mapping  $w$  of its vertex-set  $V(H)$  into  $R$  such that  $\forall S \subseteq V(H)$  the number of edges of  $H$  with exactly one vertex in  $S$  is greater than or equal to  $|\sum_{v \in S} w(v)|$ ; we apply the theory of flows in networks to obtain known and new results on balanced valuations such as:

A cubic multigraph has chromatic index 3 if and only if it has a balanced valuation with values in  $\{-2, +2\}$  (Bondy [5]).

Every planar cubic 2-edge connected multigraph has a balanced valuation with values in  $\{-5/3, +5/3\}$ .

Every planar 5-regular 4-edge connected multigraph has a balanced valuation with values in  $\{-3, +3\}$ .

### I. Introduction.

1. *Basic definitions and notations.* A multigraph  $H$  (respectively: directed multigraph  $G$ ) is a finite nonempty set  $V(H)$  (respectively  $V(G)$ ) of vertices together with a finite family  $E(H)$  (respectively  $A(G)$ ) of 2-element subsets of  $V(H)$  called edges (respectively: of ordered pairs of elements of  $V(G)$  called arcs).

When we replace each edge  $e = \{v, v'\}$  ( $v, v' \in V(H)$ ) of a multigraph  $H$  by an arc  $(v, v')$  or  $(v', v)$  we obtain a directed multigraph  $G$  (with  $V(G) = V(H)$ ) which will be called an orientation of  $H$ .

For a directed multigraph  $G$ , for any  $S \subseteq V(G)$ , let

$$\omega_G^+(S) = \{a \in A(G) | a = (v, v'), v \in S, v' \notin S\}.$$

$$\omega_G^-(S) = \omega_G^+(V(G) - S).$$

$$\omega_G(S) = \omega_G^+(S) \cup \omega_G^-(S).$$

For any vertex  $v$  of  $G$ :

$$\text{The outdegree of } v \text{ in } G \text{ is } d_G^+(v) = |\omega_G^+(\{v\})|.$$

$$\text{The indegree of } v \text{ in } G \text{ is } d_G^-(v) = |\omega_G^-(\{v\})|.$$

$$\text{The degree of } v \text{ in } G \text{ is } d_G(v) = |\omega_G(\{v\})| = d_G^+(v) + d_G^-(v).$$

For a multigraph  $H$ , for any  $S \subseteq V(H)$ , let

$$\omega_H(S) = \{e \in E(H) | |e \cap S| = 1\}.$$

For any vertex  $v$  of  $H$ , the degree of  $v$  in  $H$  is  $d_H(v) = |\omega_H(\{v\})|$ . Other definitions not given here will be found in [1] and [2].

2. *Flows in directed multigraphs.* Let  $G$  be a directed multigraph with  $|A(G)| = m \geq 1$  and  $A(G) = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ . A flow in  $G$  with values  $\phi_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ) is a vector  $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m) \in Z^m$  such that

$$\forall S \subseteq V(G) \quad \sum_{a_i \in \omega_G^+(S)} \phi_i - \sum_{a_i \in \omega_G^-(S)} \phi_i = 0.$$

Received by the editors June 15, 1975.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 05C15.

© American Mathematical Society 1976

The flows in  $G$  form a submodule of  $Z^m$ . For every  $p$  and  $q$  in  $Z$  let  $[p, q] = \{z \in Z \mid p \leq z \leq q\}$ . Let  $b_1, \dots, b_m, c_1, \dots, c_m$  be elements of  $Z$  with  $b_i \leq c_i \forall i \in [1, m]$ . Then we have [1]

**FEASIBLE FLOW THEOREM.** *There is a flow  $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m)$  in  $G$  with  $\phi_i \in [b_i, c_i] \forall i \in [1, m]$  if and only if*

$$\forall S \subseteq V(G) \quad \sum_{a_i \in \omega_G^+(S)} c_i - \sum_{a_i \in \omega_G^-(S)} b_i \geq 0.$$

3. *Flows and face colorings for planar multigraphs.*

**PROPOSITION 1.** *A planar directed multigraph  $G$  is face colorable with  $k$  colors ( $k \geq 2$ ) if and only if there is a flow in  $G$  with values in*

$$[-k + 1, -1] \cup [1, k - 1].$$

**REMARK.** The two preceding equivalent properties imply that  $G$  has no acyclic arc (bridge).

**SKETCH OF A PROOF.** Through duality for planar multigraphs, flows become tensions or potential differences. Proposition 1 is a consequence of the following result: a multigraph  $G$  is  $k$ -colorable ( $k \geq 2$ ) if and only if there is a potential difference in  $G$  with values in  $[-k + 1, -1] \cup [1, k - 1]$ , this result is essentially Minty's Theorem on colorings of multigraphs [3] interpreted with the help of the "Feasible Potential Difference Theorem".

An easy and well-known consequence of Proposition 1 is that a planar multigraph is face colorable with 2 colors if and only if it is eulerian.

We are then led to the following

**DEFINITION.** Let  $H$  be a multigraph. A  $[p, q]$ -orientation of  $H$  is an orientation  $G$  of  $H$  such that there is a flow in  $G$  with values in  $[p, q]$ ; if such an orientation  $G$  exists,  $H$  will be said to be  $[p, q]$ -orientable.

Proposition 1 now becomes

**PROPOSITION 2.** *A planar multigraph  $H$  is face colorable with  $k$  colors ( $k \geq 2$ ) if and only if it is  $[1, k - 1]$ -orientable.*

This is our motivation for the study of the  $[p, q]$ -orientability property for general multigraphs; as we shall see in the next section, this property is of interest even in the nonplanar case.

**II. [1,3]-orientability of cubic multigraphs and their chromatic index.**

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $H$  be a cubic multigraph.  $H$  is [1,3]-orientable if and only if it has chromatic index 3.*

**PROOF.** Suppose first that the edges of  $H$  are colored with 3 colors  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$ . Let  $G$  be any orientation of  $H$ , with every arc colored as the corresponding edge of  $H$ . It is easy to construct two flows  $\phi'$  and  $\phi''$  in  $G$  such that

arcs colored with  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  have flow values  $+1$  or  $-1$  in  $\phi'$ , arcs colored  $\gamma$  have flow values  $0$  in  $\phi'$ .

arcs colored with  $\alpha$  or  $\gamma$  have flow values  $+1$  or  $-1$  in  $\phi''$ , arcs colored  $\beta$  have flow values  $0$  in  $\phi''$ .

Then  $\phi' + 2\phi''$  is a flow in  $G$  with values in  $[-3, -1] \cup [1, 3]$ ; hence  $H$  is  $[1, 3]$ -orientable.

Conversely let  $G$  be an  $[1,3]$ -orientation of  $H$  and  $\phi$  a flow in  $G$  with values in  $[1,3]$ . The value of an edge of  $H$  will be defined as the value of  $\phi$  in the corresponding arc of  $G$ . It will be easily seen that, due to the conservation of flow at each vertex, the edges of  $H$  with value 2 form a perfect matching of  $H$ .

Hence the edges of  $H$  with values 1 or 3 form a 2-factor  $F$  of  $H$ ; the orientation  $G$  of  $H$  defines an orientation  $K$  of  $F$ . It will be easily checked that if we reverse each arc of  $K$  corresponding to an edge of value 3 we obtain an orientation  $K'$  of  $F$  such that:

$$\forall v \in V(H) = V(K') \quad |d_{K'}^+(v) - d_{K'}^-(v)| = 2.$$

Hence  $F$  is bipartite and  $H$  has chromatic index 3. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

**REMARK.** According to Proposition 2, Theorem 1 is a generalization of the following result of Heawood [4]: A cubic planar 2-edge connected multigraph is face colorable with four colors if and only if it has chromatic index 3.

**III. Balanced valuations of a multigraph.**

1. *Definitions.* Let  $H$  be a multigraph. A *balanced valuation* of  $H$  is a mapping  $w$  of  $V(H)$  into  $R$  such that  $\forall S \subseteq V(H) \quad |\sum_{v \in S} w(v)| \leq |\omega_H(S)|$ . Bondy [5] has shown that a cubic graph  $H$  has chromatic index 3 if and only if there is a balanced valuation of  $H$  with values in  $\{-2, +2\}$ . We shall see that this result is a consequence of Theorem 1.

2. *Realization of a sequence of outdegrees by an orientation of a multigraph.*

**PROPOSITION 3.** *Let  $H$  be a multigraph with  $V(H) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ . Let  $k_1, \dots, k_n$  be nonnegative integers. There is an orientation  $G$  of  $H$  such that  $d_G^+(v_i) = k_i \quad \forall i \in [1, n]$  if and only if the valuation  $w$  of  $H$  defined by*

$$\forall i \in [1, n] \quad w(v_i) = 2k_i - d_H(v_i)$$

*is balanced.*

**PROOF.**  $w$  is balanced if and only if

$$\forall S \subseteq V(H) \quad -|\omega_H(S)| \leq 2 \sum_{v_i \in S} k_i - \sum_{v_i \in S} d_H(v_i) \leq |\omega_H(S)|.$$

For every  $S \subseteq V(H)$  let  $H_S$  be the submultigraph of  $H$  induced by  $S$ ; then

$$\sum_{v_i \in S} d_H(v_i) = 2|E(H_S)| + |\omega_H(S)|.$$

Hence  $w$  is balanced if and only if

$$\forall S \subseteq V(H) \quad |E(H_S)| \leq \sum_{v_i \in S} k_i \leq |E(H_S)| + |\omega_H(S)|.$$

It will be easily checked that this condition is equivalent to the following

- (i)  $\forall S \subseteq V(H) \quad \sum_{v_i \in S} k_i \geq |E(H_S)|,$
- (ii)  $\sum_{i \in [1, n]} k_i = |E(H)|.$

Hakimi [6] has shown that conditions (i) and (ii) are necessary and sufficient for  $H$  to have an orientation  $G$  with  $d_G^+(v_i) = k_i \quad \forall i \in [1, n]$ . This completes the proof.

3. *Nonnull flows and balanced valuations of a multigraph.*

**THEOREM 2.** *A multigraph  $H$  is  $[p,q]$ -orientable ( $0 < p < q$ ) if and only if there is a balanced valuation  $w$  of  $H$  such that*

$$\forall v \in V(H) \quad \exists k_v \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad k_v \equiv d_H(v) \pmod{2}: w(v) = (q + p)k_v / (q - p).$$

**PROOF.** Let  $G$  be an orientation of  $H$ . According to the Feasible Flow Theorem  $G$  is a  $[p,q]$ -orientation of  $H$  if and only if

$$\forall S \subseteq V(G) \begin{cases} q|\omega_G^+(S)| \geq p|\omega_G^-(S)|, \\ q|\omega_G^-(S)| \geq p|\omega_G^+(S)|. \end{cases}$$

(The second condition is the first condition where  $S$  is replaced by  $V(G) - S$ .) This is equivalent to

$$\forall S \subseteq V(G) \begin{cases} (q + p)(|\omega_G^-(S)| - |\omega_G^+(S)|) \leq (q - p)(|\omega_G^-(S)| + |\omega_G^+(S)|), \\ (q + p)(|\omega_G^+(S)| - |\omega_G^-(S)|) \leq (q - p)(|\omega_G^+(S)| + |\omega_G^-(S)|), \end{cases}$$

or

$$\frac{q + p}{q - p} \left| |\omega_G^+(S)| - |\omega_G^-(S)| \right| \leq |\omega_G(S)| = |\omega_H(S)| \quad \forall S \subseteq V(G).$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \forall S \subseteq V(G) \quad |\omega_G^+(S)| - |\omega_G^-(S)| &= \sum_{v \in S} d_G^+(v) - \sum_{v \in S} d_G^-(v) \\ &= \sum_{v \in S} (2d_G^+(v) - d_H(v)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $G$  is a  $[p,q]$ -orientation of  $H$  if and only if the valuation  $w$  of  $H$  defined by

$$\forall v \in V(H) \quad w(v) = \frac{q + p}{q - p} (2d_G^+(v) - d_H(v))$$

is balanced. The result now follows from Proposition 3 and from the fact that  $(q + p)/(q - p) > 1$ .

**REMARK.** The values of any balanced valuation  $w$  of a multigraph  $H$  are restricted by the condition:  $\forall v \in V(H) \quad |w(v)| \leq d_H(v)$ .

**IV. Applications.**

1. *Cubic multigraphs.*

**PROPOSITION 4.** *A cubic multigraph  $H$  is  $[p,q]$ -orientable ( $0 < p < q$ ) if and only if there is a balanced valuation of  $H$  with values in*

$$\{ -(q + p) / (q - p), (q + p) / (q - p) \}.$$

**PROOF.** If  $w(v) = (q + p)k_v / (q - p)$  with  $|w(v)| \leq 3$  and  $k_v \equiv 3 \pmod{2}$ , then  $|k_v| = 1$ ; the result now follows from Theorem 2.

**PROPOSITION 5 (BONDY [5]).** *A cubic multigraph has chromatic index 3 if and only if it has a balanced valuation with values in  $\{-2, +2\}$ .*

This is a consequence of Theorem 1 and Proposition 4.

PROPOSITION 6. Let  $w$  be a balanced valuation of a cubic multigraph  $H$  with values in  $\{-(q+p)/(q-p), (q+p)/(q-p)\}$ ; let

$$W^+ = \{v \in V(H) | w(v) > 0\}$$

and  $W^- = V(H) - W^+$ . Then  $|W^+| = |W^-|$ ; moreover  $H_{W^+}$  and  $H_{W^-}$  are forests, each component of which having at most  $[q/p] - 1$  vertices ( $[q/p]$  is the integer part of  $q/p$ ).

We omit the easy proof.

PROPOSITION 7. A cubic multigraph is [1,2]-orientable if and only if it is bipartite.

PROOF. According to Proposition 6, for  $p = 1$  and  $q = 2$ ,  $(W^+, W^-)$  form a coloring of  $H$ . Conversely, every bicoloring is of this type.

REMARK. Proposition 7 is a generalization of the well-known result [2] that a planar cubic multigraph is face colorable with 3 colors if and only if it is bipartite.

PROPOSITION 8. Every planar cubic 2-edge connected multigraph has a balanced valuation with values in  $\{-5/3, 5/3\}$ .

This is exactly the Five Color Theorem for planar multigraphs, formulated with the help of Propositions 2 and 4.

PROPOSITION 9. The vertices of every planar cubic 2-edge connected multigraph can be colored with 2 colors in such a way that

The two colors form two sets of vertices of the same cardinality.

There is no monochromatic elementary cycle and no monochromatic tree on 4 vertices.

This is a direct consequence of Propositions 8 and 6.

2. Other applications. Any result or problem about colorings of planar graphs could be stated in a "balanced valuation" formulation. For instance:

FOUR COLOR PROBLEM. Does every 2-edge connected planar multigraph  $H$  have a balanced valuation  $w$  with  $w(v) \equiv 2d_H(v) \pmod{4} \forall v \in V(H)$ ?

REMARK. The Four Color Problem can be restricted to cubic multigraphs, or to 5-regular multigraphs; in both cases the corresponding balanced valuations have values in  $\{-2, +2\}$ .

PROPOSITION 10. A planar 2-edge connected multigraph  $H$  is face colorable with 3 colors if and only if it has a balanced valuation  $w$  with  $w(v) \equiv 3d_H(v) \pmod{6} \forall v \in V(H)$ .

REMARKS. From a theorem of Grötzsch [7] this is true for 4-edge connected planar multigraphs.

For 5-regular or 7-regular multigraphs the corresponding balanced valuations have values in  $\{-3, +3\}$ .

Propositions 10 and 3 allow us to write:

PROPOSITION 11. A planar 2-edge connected multigraph  $H$  is face colorable with 3 colors if and only if there is an orientation  $G$  of  $H$  such that:

$$\forall v \in V(G) \quad d_G^+(v) - d_G^-(v) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}.$$

It can be seen that Heawood's result [4] relating vertex assignments modulo 3 and edge colorations with 3 colors in a planar cubic multigraph  $H$  is a consequence of Proposition 11 applied to the "radial graph" of  $H$ .

3. *Further problems.* So far we have been concerned only with  $[p, q]$ -orientability for  $p = 1$ . An infinite class of problems arises when we consider other values of  $p$ . For instance:

CONJECTURE. Every planar 2-edge connected multigraph is  $[2, 7]$ -orientable. This would be better than the Five Color Theorem but weaker than the Four Color Theorem.

NOTES ADDED IN PROOF (SEPTEMBER 1975). (1) It can be proved, using a result of Tutte (Theorem 5.44 in [8]), that a multigraph  $H$  is  $[1, k - 1]$ -orientable ( $k \geq 2$ ) if and only if every orientation  $G$  of  $H$  has a colour-cycle (nowhere-zero flow) over  $Z_k$  (the cyclic group of order  $k$ ); see [9] and [10].

Hence  $[1, k - 1]$ -orientability can be studied using Tutte's theory of dichromatic polynomials [9].

(2) Theorem 1 has been proved by Minty in [11]; an elementary proof appears in [10].

(3) Tutte has conjectured that:

every 2-edge-connected multigraph is  $[1, 4]$ -orientable (see [9]);

every 4-edge-connected multigraph is  $[1, 2]$ -orientable.

It is proved in [10] that:

every 2-edge-connected multigraph is  $[1, 7]$ -orientable;

every 4-edge-connected multigraph is  $[1, 3]$ -orientable.

#### REFERENCES

1. C. Berge, *Graphes et hypergraphes*, Dunod, Paris, 1974.
2. O. Ore, *The four-color problem*, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 27, Academic Press, New York and London, 1967. MR 36 #74.
3. G. J. Minty, *A theorem on  $n$ -coloring the points of a linear graph*, Amer. Math. Monthly 69 (1962), 623-624.
4. P. J. Heawood, *On the four-colour map theorem*, Quart. J. Math. 19(1898), 270-285.
5. J. A. Bondy, *Balanced colourings and the four colour conjecture*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 33(1972), 241-244. MR 45 #3246.
6. S. L. Hakimi, *On the degrees of the vertices of a directed graph*, J. Franklin Inst. 279(1965), 290-308. MR31 #4736.
7. H. Grötzsch, *Zur Theorie der diskreten Gebilde, VII. Ein Dreifarbensatz für dreikreisfreie Netze auf der Kugel*, Wiss. Z. Martin-Luther-Univ. Halle-Wittenberg. Math.-Nat. Reihe 8(1958/59), 109-120. MR22 #7113c.
8. W. T. Tutte, *Lectures on matroids*, J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards Sect. B 69B (1965), 1-47. MR 31 #4023.
9. ———, *A contribution to the theory of chromatic polynomials*, Canad. J. Math. 6 (1954), 80-91. MR 15, 814.
10. F. Jaeger, *On nowhere zero flows in multigraphs*, Proc. Fifth British Combinatorial Conference, Aberdeen, July 1975 (to appear).
11. G. J. Minty, *A theorem on three-coloring the edges of a trivalent graph*, J. Combinatorial Theory 2 (1967), 164-167. MR 34 #5703.

I.R.M.A., UNIVERSITÉ SCIENTIFIQUE ET MÉDICALE DE GRENOBLE, BOITE POSTALE 53, 38041 GRENOBLE/CÉDEX, FRANCE