

PARTITIONS WITH CONGRUENCE CONDITIONS

M. M. ROBERTSON

ABSTRACT. Let $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^q \{a(i) + \nu M: \nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, where q , M and the $a(i)$ are positive integers such that $a(1) < a(2) < \dots < a(q) \leq M$. Asymptotic formulae are obtained for $p(n, k, A)$, $p^*(n, k, A)$ the number of partitions of n into k parts, k unequal parts respectively, where all the parts belong to A .

Since the celebrated Hardy-Ramanujan paper [4] which gave an asymptotic formula for $p(n)$, the number of unrestricted partitions of the positive integer n , many authors have evaluated different cases of $p(n, A)$, the number of partitions of n into parts belonging to

$$A = \bigcup_{i=1}^q \{a(i) + \nu M: \nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots\},$$

where q , M and the $a(i)$ are positive integers such that $a(1) < a(2) < \dots < a(q) \leq M$. The Hardy-Ramanujan circle method as modified by Rademacher [9] was employed to obtain convergent series expressions for $p(n, A)$. When $q = 2$, $a(2) = M - a(1)$, Niven [8] investigated the case $M = 6$, Lehner [6] the case $M = 5$ and Livingood [7] the case where M is any prime > 3 . Later Iseki [5] evaluated $p(n, A)$ when M is any composite > 3 and $a(1)$, M are relatively prime. Then Hagis [3] considered the case of all odd primes M , where $q = 2r$ and $a(h) + a(2r - h) = M$ for $1 \leq h \leq r$.

In all these cases, A is symmetric in the sense that $a(h) \in A$ implies that $M - a(h) \in A$ and this ensures that the generating function of the $p(n, A)$ is a modular form. Rademacher's method then leads to a convergent series representation of $p(n, A)$. Grosswald [2] investigated the case where M is any odd prime and A is an arbitrary asymmetrical set. Then the above method cannot be applied and only asymptotic results are obtained.

In [1], Erdős and Lehner by means of more elementary methods investigated $p(n, k)$, the number of partitions of n into k parts, and proved that $p(n, k) \sim n^{k-1}/k!(k-1)!$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, provided that $k = o(n^{1/3})$. This formula does not appear to have been generalized in the above manner and it is the object of this note to do so.

We denote by $p(n, k, A)$, $p^*(n, k, A)$ the number of partitions of n into k parts, k unequal parts respectively, where all the parts belong to A . For every

Received by the editors September 29, 1975.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 10J20, 10A45; Secondary 10A10.

Key words and phrases. Partition, asymptotic, congruence.

© American Mathematical Society 1976

positive integer n , we define r by $r \equiv n \pmod{M}$, $1 \leq r \leq n$. We write

$$(1) \quad P(x, k, A) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^q x^{a(i)} \right\}^k = \sum_{\nu=1}^{kM} c_{\nu} x^{\nu},$$

$$(2) \quad S_r\{P(x, k, A)\} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} c_{\nu M+r}$$

and d, δ for the greatest common divisors $(a(1), \dots, a(q), M)$, $(a(2) - a(1), \dots, a(q) - a(1), M)$. $\{\delta = M$ for $q = 1\}$. Clearly $d = (a(1), \delta)$. We can now state our result.

THEOREM. For any given k, r for which $S_r\{P(x, k, A)\} \neq 0$,

$$p(Mn + r, k, A) \sim p^*(Mn + r, k, A) \sim n^{k-1} S_r\{P(x, k, A)\} / k! (k-1)!$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If δ divides r , $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $k \rightarrow \infty$ through multiples of δ/d subject to the condition that $k = o(n^{1/4})$, then

$$p(Mn + r, k, A) \sim p^*(Mn + r, k, A) \sim n^{k-1} q^k \delta / M \{k! (k-1)!\}.$$

We observe that the second part of our theorem is established only for $k = o(n^{1/4})$ instead of under the condition $k = o(n^{1/3})$ of Erdős and Lehner. The proof here is, in fact, quite different from that of [1] where the condition on k is obtained by using the fact that the number of partitions of n into k unequal parts is $p(n - \frac{1}{2}k(k-1), k)$. This appears to have no obvious generalization for partitions into parts belonging to A .

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. We write

$$\begin{aligned} G(y) &= G(y, x, A) = \prod_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^q \{1 - x^{\nu M + a(i)} y\}^{-1} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g(k) y^k, \end{aligned}$$

where $g(k) = g(k, x, A)$ is the generating function of $p(n, k, A)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \log G(y) &= - \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^q \log \{1 - x^{\nu M + a(i)} y\} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^q \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} h^{-1} x^{h(\nu M + a(i))} y^h \\ &= \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} h^{-1} y^h \beta(h), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3) \quad \beta(h) = \beta(h, x, A) = (1 - x^{hM})^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^q x^{ha(i)}.$$

Hence

$$G(y) = \exp \left\{ \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} h^{-1} y^h \beta(h) \right\}$$

and so,

$$(4) \quad g(k) = \sum_{(k)} \prod_m \{h(m)!\}^{-1} \{m^{-1} \beta(m)\}^{h(m)},$$

where the sum is taken over all unrestricted partitions of k of the form $k = \sum_{m=1}^k h(m)m$ and the product is taken over all the different parts m of the partition.

The term on the right-hand side of (4) corresponding to the partition of k into k units is

$$\begin{aligned} (k!)^{-1} (1 - x^M)^{-k} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^q x^{\alpha(i)} \right\}^k \\ = (k!)^{-1} (1 - x^M)^{-k} \sum_{\nu=1}^{kM} c_{\nu} x^{\nu} \end{aligned}$$

by (1), and the coefficient of x^{Mn+r} in this term is

$$(5) \quad (k!)^{-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} c_{\nu M+r} \binom{n - \nu + k - 1}{k - 1}.$$

It follows that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, this coefficient

$$\begin{aligned} \sim n^{k-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} c_{\nu M+r} / k! (k - 1)! \\ = .n^{k-1} S_r \{P(x, k, A)\} / k! (k - 1)! \end{aligned}$$

by (2).

From (3), the general term on the right-hand side of (4) is

$$\prod_m \{h(m)!\}^{-1} m^{-h(m)} (1 - x^{mM})^{-h(m)} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^q x^{m\alpha(i)} \right\}^{h(m)}.$$

Since, for all $\nu > 0$, the coefficient of x^{ν} in $\sum_{i=1}^q x^{m\alpha(i)}$ is not greater than the coefficient of x^{ν} in $\{\sum_{i=1}^q x^{\alpha(i)}\}^m$, the coefficient of x^{Mn+r} in this general term is less than

$$Cn^{-1} \prod_m nm^{-h(m)} (n/m)^{h(m)-1} S_r \{P(k, A)\} / h(m)! \{h(m) - 1\}!,$$

where C is independent of n, k . Hence, in order to show that

$$p(Mn + r, k, A) \sim n^{k-1} S_r \{P(x, k, A)\} / k! (k - 1)!$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we must prove that

$$(6) \quad n^{-k} k! (k - 1)! \sum_{(k)} \prod_m n^{h(m)} m^{1-2h(m)} / h(m)! \{h(m) - 1\}! = o(1)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where the sum is taken over all partitions $\sum h(m)m$ of k into less than k parts.

Now, since any partition of k into $k - \mu$ parts, where $\mu < \frac{1}{2}k$, must contain at least $k - 2\mu$ units, we have

$$\prod_m h(m)! \{h(m) - 1\}! \geq \Lambda(k - 2\mu)$$

where $\Lambda(k - 2\mu) = (k - 2\mu)! (k - 2\mu - 1)!$ for $\mu < \frac{1}{2}k$ and $\Lambda(k - 2\mu) = 1$ for $\mu \geq \frac{1}{2}k$. Also, the number of partitions of k into $k - \mu$ parts is less than or equal to $p(\mu)$, the number of unrestricted partitions of μ , according as $\mu > \frac{1}{2}k$ or $\mu \leq \frac{1}{2}k$. Therefore, since for all $\mu > 0$, $p(\mu) < \exp\{\pi\sqrt{(2\mu/3)}\}$, the left-hand side of (6) is less than

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\mu=1}^{k-1} \exp\{\pi\sqrt{(2\mu/3)}\} k^{4\mu} n^{-\mu} \\ & < \sum_{\mu=1}^{k-1} \exp\{\pi\sqrt{(2\mu/3)} + 4\mu \log k - \mu \log n\} \\ & < C' \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\mu \log(n/k^4)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where C' is independent of n, k . (6) follows immediately.

If we write

$$\begin{aligned} G^*(y) &= G^*(y, x, A) = \prod_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^q \{1 + x^{\nu M + a(i)} y\} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g^*(k) y^k, \end{aligned}$$

then it follows that

$$\log G^*(y) = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{h-1} h^{-1} y^h \beta(h)$$

and

$$(7) \quad g^*(k) = \sum_{(k)} \prod_m \{h(m)!\}^{-1} \{(-1)^{m-1} m^{-1} \beta(m)\}^{h(m)}.$$

The proof of the asymptotic formula for $p^*(Mn + r, k, A)$ then follows from (7) exactly as the proof of the formula for $p(Mn + r, k, A)$ followed from (4).

In order to prove the second part of the theorem, we let ω be a primitive M th root of unity. Then, from (1) and (2),

$$P(\omega^h, k, A) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{kM} c_{\nu} \omega^{\nu h} = \sum_{r=1}^M S_r \omega^{rh}$$

for $1 \leq h \leq M$, where $S_r = S_r\{P(x, k, A)\}$. It is a simple application of alternant theory to solve these equations and obtain

$$(8) \quad S_r = M^{-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{M-1} \omega^{-r\nu} P(\omega^\nu, k, A)$$

for $1 \leq r \leq M$. From the definition of δ , $\sum_{i=1}^q \omega^{\nu a(i)} = q \omega^{\nu a(1)}$ whenever M/δ divides ν and $|\sum_{i=1}^q \omega^{\nu a(i)}| < q$ otherwise. Hence, since δ divides r and $(a(1), \delta) = d$, we see from (1) and (8) that $S_r \sim q^k \delta/M$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ through multiples of δ/d . The second part of the theorem now follows from (5) by letting $n, k \rightarrow \infty$, since (6) follows as before for $k = o(n^{1/4})$.

REFERENCES

1. P. Erdős and J. Lehner, *The distribution of the number of summands in the partitions of a positive integer*, Duke Math. J. **8** (1941), 335–345. MR 3, 69.
2. E. Grosswald, *Some theorems concerning partitions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **89** (1958), 113–128. MR 20 #3840.
3. P. Hagsis, *A problem on partitions with a prime modulus $p \geq 3$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **102** (1962), 30–62. MR 26 #3688.
4. G. H. Hardy and S. Ramanujan, *Asymptotic formulae in combinatory analysis*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **17** (1918), 75–115.
5. S. Iseki, *A partition function with some congruence condition*, Amer. J. Math. **81** (1959), 939–961. MR 21 #7189.
6. J. Lehner, *A partition function connected with the modulus five*, Duke Math. J. **8** (1941), 631–655. MR 3, 166.
7. J. Livingood, *A partition function with the prime modulus $p > 3$* , Amer. J. Math. **67** (1945), 194–208. MR 6, 259.
8. I. Niven, *On a certain partition function*, Amer. J. Math. **62** (1940), 353–364. MR 1, 201.
9. H. Rademacher, *On the partition function $p(n)$* , Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **43** (1937), 241–254.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SURREY, GUILDFORD, SURREY, ENGLAND