

## ONE MORE METRIZATION THEOREM

H. H. HUNG

**ABSTRACT.** We give here a metrization theorem proved via the method of symmetric. From our theorem follow the theorem of Stone-Arhangel'skiĭ and one in terms of a countable strongly refining sequence of open coverings.

We propose a new metrization theorem the proof of which uses the method of symmetric [4], [5], [8]. The new theorem implies Stone-Arhangel'skiĭ's [1], [4], [9] and that in terms of a countable strongly refining sequence of open coverings [2], [3], [6], [7].

**1. Main theorem.** We propose the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *A  $T_0$ -space  $X$  is metrizable if (and only if) there exists a neighbourhood base  $\{V_{x,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $x$  at every  $x \in X$  with the following properties.*

(i) *For all  $x, y \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in V_{y,n} \Leftrightarrow y \in V_{x,n}$ .*

(ii) *For each compact set  $K$  in  $X$  and each open set  $U$  containing  $K$ , there is such a bounded function  $n$  from  $K$  into  $\mathbb{N}$  that  $K \subset \bigcup_{x \in K} V_{x,n(x)} \subset U$ .*

**PROOF (OF THE SUFFICIENCY PART) OF THEOREM 1.1.** Given any  $T_0$ -space  $X$  and neighbourhood bases of the description in the hypothesis, we can define a nonnegative real valued function  $\rho$  on  $X \times X$  as follows. For all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \neq y$ , we can define  $\rho(x, y)$  such that  $1/\rho(x, y) =$  smallest  $i$  for which  $y \notin V_{x,i}$  which is always possible as long as  $X$  is  $T_0$ . Indeed  $\rho(x, y) = \rho(y, x)$  always. For all  $x \in X$ ,  $\rho(x, x)$  is defined to be 0. Such a  $\rho$  is obviously a *symmetric* and we refer to it in the following as our symmetric.

To prove that our symmetric induces a sufficiently large topology, it suffices to produce, for every  $y \in X$  and every open neighbourhood  $A$  of  $y$ , a ball of some finite radius  $r$  centered at  $y$ ,  $N(y, r) \equiv \{x \in X : \rho(x, y) < r\}$ , totally within  $A$ . To prove that the topology so induced is not excessively large and therefore just right, we need only exhibit, for any ball of any (finite) radius about any point, a neighbourhood of the point within that ball. For both our tasks, we need only note that, for all  $x \in X$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\bigcap_{j \leq i, j \in \mathbb{N}} V_{x,j}$  is  $N(x, 1/i)$ . The space  $X$  can therefore be considered a symmetric space.

If we bring in property (ii) at this juncture, we can plainly see that for any compact set  $K$  and any disjoint closed set  $C$  on  $X$ ,  $\rho(K, C) > 0$ . Martin's Theorem [8] and metrizability follow. Q.E.D.

---

Received by the editors April 17, 1975 and, in revised form, August 8, 1975 and October 18, 1975.

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 54E35, 54E25.

*Key words and phrases.* Symmetric spaces, metrization.

© American Mathematical Society 1976

**COROLLARY 1.2.** *A  $T_0$ -space  $X$  is metrizable if (and only if) there exists a neighbourhood base  $\{V_{x,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $x$  at every  $x \in X$  with the following properties. For each  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

(i)  $x \in V_{y,n}$  for all  $y \in V_{x,n}$ ,

(ii) *there exist such an  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and such an open neighbourhood  $W$  of  $x$  that  $V_{y,m} \subset V_{x,n}$ , for all  $y \in W$ .*

**PROOF (OF THE SUFFICIENCY PART) OF COROLLARY 1.2.** Given any  $T_0$ -space  $X$  and neighbourhood bases of the description in the hypothesis, we have, for any compact set  $K$  and any open set  $U$  containing  $K$ , an open cover  $\mathcal{W} = \{W(x) : x \in K\}$  of  $K$ , for every member of which there exists such an  $m(x) \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $V_{y,m(x)} \subset U$ , for all  $y \in W(x)$ . Because  $K$  is compact, there is such a finite subset  $F \subset K$  that  $\mathcal{V} = \{W(x) : x \in F\}$  is a cover of  $K$ , and we can define a bounded function  $n$  from  $K$  into  $\mathbb{N}$  of the kind described in (ii) of Theorem 1.1 by the following formula:

$$n(y) = \min\{m(x) : y \in W(x), x \in F\}, \quad \text{for all } y \in K,$$

and invoke Theorem 1.1. Q.E.D.

**2. Applications.** We show that our Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 imply in a very straightforward manner each of the theorems below.

**THEOREM A (ARHANGEL'SKIĬ [1], [4], STONE [9]).** *For a  $T_0$ -space to be metrizable it is necessary and sufficient that there exists on  $X$  a countable collection of open coverings  $\{\mathcal{Q}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfying the following condition. Given any point  $x \in X$  and any neighbourhood  $U$  of it, there exist an  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and a (smaller) neighbourhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $\text{St}(V, \mathcal{Q}_i) \subset U$ .*

**THEOREM B (ARHANGEL'SKIĬ [2], [3], F. B. JONES [6], [7]).** *The class of ( $T_0$ -) spaces which have a countable strongly refining sequence of open coverings<sup>1</sup> coincides precisely with the class of metric spaces.*

**PROOF (OF THE SUFFICIENCY PART) OF THEOREM A.** For each  $x \in X$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we let  $\text{St}(x, \mathcal{Q}_i)$  be  $V_{x,i}$ . Clearly the families  $\{V_{x,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  so obtained have all the properties described in Corollary 1.2. Indeed if  $y \in \text{St}(x, \mathcal{Q}_i)$ , there is  $A \in \mathcal{Q}_i$  in which are both  $x$  and  $y$  and consequently  $x \in \text{St}(y, \mathcal{Q}_i)$ . Further, given  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist an  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and an open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $\text{St}(V, \mathcal{Q}_i) \subset \text{St}(x, \mathcal{Q}_n)$  and consequently

$$\text{St}(y, \mathcal{Q}_i) \subset \text{St}(x, \mathcal{Q}_n), \quad \text{for all } y \in V.$$

Q. E. D.

(The sufficiency part of) Theorem B can obviously be proved with the proof of Theorem A, except we should invoke Theorem 1.1 rather than its corollary.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. V. Arhangel'skiĭ, *New criteria for paracompactness and metrizability of an arbitrary  $T_1$ -*

<sup>1</sup> A countable sequence of open coverings  $\{\mathcal{Q}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  of a space  $X$  is said to be *strongly refining* if for any compact set  $K$  and any neighbourhood  $U$  of  $K$ , there is such a  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $\text{St}(K, \mathcal{Q}_j) \subset U$ .

space, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **141** (1961), 13–15 = Soviet Math. Dokl. **2** (1961), 1367–1369. MR **24** #A1113.

2. ———, *Bicompact sets and the topology of spaces*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **150** (1963), 9–12 = Soviet Math. Dokl. **4** (1963), 561–564. MR **27** #720.

3. ———, *Bicompact sets and the topology of spaces*, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč. **13** (1965), 3–55 = Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. **13** (1965), 1–62. MR **33** #3251.

4. ———, *Mappings and spaces*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **21** (1966), no. 4 (130), 133–184 = Russian Math. Surveys **21** (1966), no. 4, 115–162. MR **37** #3534.

5. H. H. Hung, *Some metrization theorems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (1976), 363–367.

6. F. B. Jones, R. L. Moore's axiom 1' and metrization, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1958), 487. MR (20) #278.

7. ———, *Metrization*, Amer. Math. Monthly **73** (1966), 571–576. MR **33** #7980.

8. H. W. Martin, *Metrization of symmetric spaces and regular maps*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **35** (1972), 269–274. MR **46** #2648.

9. A. H. Stone, *Sequences of coverings*, Pacific J. Math. **10** (1960), 689–691. MR **22** #9955.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE OF MALAYSIA, PENANG, MALAYSIA