ONE MORE METRIZATION THEOREM

H. H. HUNG

Abstract. We give here a metrization theorem proved via the method of symmetries. From our theorem follow the theorem of Stone-Arhangelskii and one in terms of a countable strongly refining sequence of open coverings.

We propose a new metrization theorem the proof of which uses the method of symmetries [4], [5], [8]. The new theorem implies Stone-Arhangelskii's [1], [4], [9] and that in terms of a countable strongly refining sequence of open coverings [2], [3], [6], [7].

1. Main theorem. We propose the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. A $T_0$-space $X$ is metrizable if (and only if) there exists a neighbourhood base $\{V_{x,n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of $x$ at every $x \in X$ with the following properties.

(i) For all $x, y \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in V_{y,n} \Rightarrow y \in V_{x,n}$.

(ii) For each compact set $K$ in $X$ and each open set $U$ containing $K$, there is such a bounded function $n$ from $K$ into $\mathbb{N}$ that $K \subset \bigcup_{x \in K} V_{x,n(x)} \subset U$.

Proof (of the sufficiency part) of Theorem 1.1. Given any $T_0$-space $X$ and neighbourhood bases of the description in the hypothesis, we can define a nonnegative real valued function $\rho$ on $X \times X$ as follows. For all $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$, we can define $\rho(x, y)$ such that $1/\rho(x, y) =$ smallest $i$ for which $y \notin V_{x,i}$ which is always possible as long as $X$ is $T_0$. Indeed $\rho(x, y) = \rho(y, x)$ always. For all $x \in X$, $\rho(x, x)$ is defined to be 0. Such a $\rho$ is obviously a symmetric and we refer to it in the following as our symmetric.

To prove that our symmetric induces a sufficiently large topology, it suffices to produce, for every $y \in X$ and every open neighbourhood $A$ of $y$, a ball of some finite radius $r$ centered at $y$, $N(y, r) = \{x \in X: \rho(x, y) < r\}$, totally within $A$. To prove that the topology so induced is not excessively large and therefore just right, we need only exhibit, for any ball of any (finite) radius about any point, a neighbourhood of the point within that ball. For both our tasks, we need only note that, for all $x \in X$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\bigcap_{j \leq i, j \in \mathbb{N}} V_{x,j}$ is $N(x, 1/i)$. The space $X$ can therefore be considered a symmetric space.

If we bring in property (ii) at this juncture, we can plainly see that for any compact set $K$ and any disjoint closed set $C$ on $X$, $\rho(K, C) > 0$. Martin's Theorem [8] and metrizability follow. Q.E.D.
Corollary 1.2. A $T_0$-space $X$ is metrizable if (and only if) there exists a neighbourhood base $\{V_{x,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of $x$ at every $x \in X$ with the following properties. For each $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

(i) $x \in V_{x,n}$ for all $y \in V_{x,n}$,

(ii) there exist such an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and such an open neighbourhood $W$ of $x$ that $V_{y,m} \subset V_{x,n}$, for all $y \in W$.

Proof (of the sufficiency part) of Corollary 1.2. Given any $T_0$-space $X$ and neighbourhood bases of the description in the hypothesis, we have, for any compact set $K$ and any open set $U$ containing $K$, an open cover $\mathcal{K} = \{W(x) : x \in K\}$ of $K$, for every member of which there exists such an $m(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ that $V_{y,m(x)} \subset U$, for all $y \in W(x)$. Because $K$ is compact, there is such a finite subset $F \subset K$ that $\mathcal{V} = \{W(x) : x \in F\}$ is a cover of $K$, and we can define a bounded function $n$ from $K$ into $\mathbb{N}$ of the kind described in (ii) of Theorem 1.1 by the following formula:

$$n(y) = \min\{m(x) : y \in W(x), x \in F\}, \quad \text{for all } y \in K,$$

and invoke Theorem 1.1. Q.E.D.

2. Applications. We show that our Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 imply in a very straightforward manner each of the theorems below.

Theorem A (Arhangel’skiï [1], [4], Stone [9]). For a $T_0$-space to be metrizable it is necessary and sufficient that there exists on $X$ a countable collection of open coverings $\mathcal{A}_i \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying the following condition. Given any point $x \in X$ and any neighbourhood $U$ of it, there exist an $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and a (smaller) neighbourhood $V$ of $x$ such that $\text{St}(V, \mathcal{A}_i) \subset U$.

Theorem B (Arhangel’skiï [2], 3], F. B. Jones [6], [7]). The class of $(T_0)$ spaces which have a countable strongly refining sequence of open coverings coincides precisely with the class of metric spaces.

Proof (of the sufficiency part) of Theorem A. For each $x \in X$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $\text{St}(x, \mathcal{A}_i)$ be $V_{x,i}$. Clearly the families $\{V_{x,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ so obtained have all the properties described in Corollary 1.2. Indeed if $y \in \text{St}(x, \mathcal{A}_i)$, there is $A \in \mathcal{A}_i$ in which are both $x$ and $y$ and consequently $x \in \text{St}(y, \mathcal{A}_i)$. Further, given $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist an $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and an open neighbourhood $V$ of $x$ such that $\text{St}(V, \mathcal{A}_i) \subset \text{St}(x, \mathcal{A}_n)$ and consequently

$$\text{St}(y, \mathcal{A}_i) \subset \text{St}(x, \mathcal{A}_n), \quad \text{for all } y \in V.$$

Q. E.D.

(The sufficiency part of) Theorem B can obviously be proved with the proof of Theorem A, except we should invoke Theorem 1.1 rather than its corollary.
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