

## A KOROVKIN TYPE THEOREM ON WEAK CONVERGENCE

A. MEIR

ABSTRACT. A weak convergence theorem is proved for a sequence of positive contractions on  $L_1(0, 1)$ .

In this note we shall prove the following result.

THEOREM. Let  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of positive and contractive linear operators on  $L_1(0, 1)$ , satisfying

$$(1) \quad T_n 1 \xrightarrow{w} 1, \quad T_n x \xrightarrow{w} x.$$

Then

$$(2) \quad T_n f \xrightarrow{w} f$$

for every  $f \in L_1(0, 1)$ .

For the proof we shall need a classical result.

LEMMA [1, COROLLARY IV. 8.11]. A subset  $S$  of  $L_1(0, 1)$  is weakly sequentially compact if and only if it is bounded and

$$\lim_{\mu E \rightarrow 0} \int_E f = 0$$

uniformly for  $f \in S$ .

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. Let  $r$ ,  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ , be fixed. Since  $|x - r| \leq 1$  on  $(0, 1)$ , we have by the positivity of the operators for any measurable  $E \subset (0, 1)$

$$\int_E T_n(|x - r|) \leq \int_E T_n 1.$$

By the Lemma, the right-hand integral tends to zero, uniformly for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , as  $\mu E \rightarrow 0$ . Hence, again by the Lemma,  $\{T_n(|x - r|)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is weakly sequentially compact. It follows that there exists a subsequence  $T_{n_j}(|x - r|)$  which converges weakly to some  $g \in L_1(0, 1)$ . Now let  $E$  be any measurable subset of  $(0, 1)$ . We have on account of (1) and the positivity of the operators

$$\int (x - r) \cdot \chi_E \leftarrow \int T_{n_j}(x - r) \cdot \chi_E \leq \int T_{n_j}(|x - r|) \cdot \chi_E \rightarrow \int g \cdot \chi_E.$$

---

Received by the editors December 24, 1975.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 41A35; Secondary 47B99.

© American Mathematical Society 1976

Thus  $x - r \leq g$  a.e. Similarly  $r - x \leq g$  a.e. Therefore  $|x - r| \leq g$  a.e. On the other hand, it follows from the contractive nature of the operators that  $\|g\| \leq \liminf \|T_{n_j}(|x - r|)\| \leq \|x - r\|$ . The above imply that  $g = |x - r|$  a.e., in other words

$$T_{n_j}(|x - r|) \xrightarrow{w} |x - r|.$$

By Cantor's diagonal argument, there exists a subsequence, say  $\{l_j\}$ , of  $\{n_j\}$  such that

$$(3) \quad T_{l_j}(|x - r|) \xrightarrow{w} |x - r|$$

for every rational  $r$ .

The linear span of the functions  $1, x, \{|x - r|: r \text{ rational}\}$  is the set of polygonal lines with rational vertices; hence it is dense in  $L_1(0, 1)$ . From (1) and (3) it follows, therefore, that

$$(4) \quad T_{l_j}f \xrightarrow{w} f$$

for every  $f \in L_1(0, 1)$ .

Since from every subsequence of  $\{T_n\}$  we can select a further subsequence satisfying (4), (2) must be true for the sequence  $\{T_n\}$  itself.

The following result of Wulbert [2] is an immediate consequence of the Theorem.

**COROLLARY.** Let  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of positive and contractive linear operators on  $L_1(0, 1)$  satisfying

$$(7) \quad T_n 1 \xrightarrow{s} 1, \quad T_n x \xrightarrow{w} x.$$

Then  $T_n f \xrightarrow{s} f$  for every  $f \in L_1(0, 1)$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $E$  be any measurable subset of  $(0, 1)$  and  $\bar{E}$  its complement. Since  $\chi_E = 1 - \chi_{\bar{E}}$ , we have on  $(0, 1)$

$$T_n \chi_E - \chi_E = (T_n 1 - 1) \cdot \chi_E - (T_n \chi_{\bar{E}}) \cdot \chi_E + (T_n \chi_E) \cdot \chi_{\bar{E}}.$$

Hence

$$\|T_n \chi_E - \chi_E\| \leq \|T_n 1 - 1\| + \int (T_n \chi_{\bar{E}}) \cdot \chi_E + \int (T_n \chi_E) \cdot \chi_{\bar{E}}.$$

The first quantity on the right side tends to zero on account of (7), the second and third tend to zero by our Theorem. Hence  $T_n \chi_E \xrightarrow{s} \chi_E$  for every measurable  $E$ . The conclusion now follows, since  $\{\chi_E\}$  are dense in  $L_1(0, 1)$ .

**REMARKS.** (i) The assumption  $\|T_n\| \leq 1$  cannot be removed. For, if

$$(Tf)(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{2} \int_{x-1/3}^{x+1/3} f, & \frac{1}{3} < x < \frac{2}{3}, \\ f(x), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $T1 \equiv 1$ ,  $Tx \equiv x$ , but  $T\chi_E = \frac{1}{2}\chi_E$  for  $E = [\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}]$ .

(ii) The conclusion  $T_n f \xrightarrow{w} f$  cannot be replaced by  $T_n f \xrightarrow{s} f$ . For, if

$$(T_n f)(x) = \begin{cases} 2n \int_{k/n}^{(k+1)/n} f, & \frac{k}{n} < x < \frac{2k+1}{2n}; 0 \leq k < n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then  $T_n f \xrightarrow{w} f$  for every  $f \in L_1(0, 1)$ , but  $\|T_n 1 - 1\| = 1$ . This example is due to J. B. Gamlen.

#### REFERENCES

1. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators. I: General theory*, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 7, Interscience, New York, 1958. MR 22 #8302.
2. D. E. Wulbert, *Convergence of operators and Korovkin's theorem*, J. Approximation Theory 1 (1968), 381-390. MR 38 #3679.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON, ALBERTA, CANADA