

## ON THE HULL OF A LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR

MAYER HUMI

**ABSTRACT.** For a system of linear differential equations with almost periodic coefficients with respect to a group  $G$  we generalize previous results by Bochner and Sell. Furthermore we explore the relation between the solutions of the original system and those in its hull.

**I. Introduction.** In 1962 Bochner [1] considered the existence of almost periodic (a.p.) solutions to linear ordinary differential equations with a.p. coefficients. More recently Sell [2] discussed the same problem for linear partial differential equations. However, if we examine these two papers from a group theoretical point of view it is easy to realize that  $T_m$  (= the group of translations in  $R^m$ ) plays a distinguished role in them. Thus almost periodicity was defined only with respect to (wrt) translations and the operators

$$D^\alpha = \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x_1^{i_1} \cdots \partial x_m^{i_m}}, \quad i_1 + \cdots + i_m = \alpha,$$

are invariant wrt  $T_m$ .

In §II of this paper we generalize the definitions and results of [1], [2] to any group  $G$  of affine transformations on  $R^n$  [3]. In §III we investigate the relationship between the solutions of a given a.p. differential operator and those in its hull (see definition §II) while in §IV we investigate the subgroup structure of the hull. Finally in §V we give some examples.

**II. Almost periodicity in  $R^n$ .** In this section we generalize the results of [1], [2].

**DEFINITION 1.** A function  $f: R^m \rightarrow R^n$  is said to be  $C^k$ -bounded if  $f = (f_1 \cdots f_n)$  together with all its derivatives up to and including order  $k$  are bounded and uniformly continuous on  $R^m$ .

**DEFINITION 2.** Let  $G$  be a group of affine transformations acting on  $R^m$ . We say that  $f: R^m \rightarrow R$  is a.p. wrt  $G$  if for any sequence  $\{g'_k\}$ ,  $g'_k \in G$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{g_k\}$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(g_k t)$  exists and is uniform in  $R^m$ .

**DEFINITION 3.** A system of linear differential equations on  $R^m$  (ordinary or partial) is a set

$$(2.1) \quad \sum L_{ij} u_j = f_i$$

---

Presented to the Society, January 18, 1974 under the title *On the hull of a partial differential operator*; received by the editors March 4, 1975 and, in revised form, January 19, 1976.

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 35B15, 43A60.

*Key words and phrases.* Hull of a differential operator, almost periodic solutions.

© American Mathematical Society 1976

where  $L_{ij}$  is an arbitrary linear differential operator on  $R^m$ ,

$$(2.2) \quad L_{ij} = \sum a_{\alpha ij} D^\alpha$$

(the summation is finite).

In the following we denote such a system by  $(L, f)$  or  $Lu = f$ .

DEFINITION 4. A system of linear differential equations  $Lu = f$  on  $R^m$  is said to be a.p. with respect to  $G$  if  $D^\alpha$  are invariant differential operators wrt  $G$  [3] and  $a_{\alpha ij}, f_i$  are a.p. wrt  $G$ .

From this definition it is clear that the operators  $D^\alpha$  will depend on the group  $G$  under consideration. If  $G = T_m$  then  $D^\alpha$  are those defined in §1, but they will be different if we consider other groups.

DEFINITION 5. Let  $(L, f)$  be a.p. wrt  $G$ . The hull  $H(L, f, G)$  of  $(L, f)$  wrt  $G$  is the collection of all systems  $L^*u = f^*$  where the functions  $a_{\alpha ij}^*, f_i^*$  are related to  $a_{\alpha ij}, f_i$  by

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{\alpha ij}(g_k t) &= a_{\alpha ij}^*(t), \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_i(g_k t) &= f_i^*(t), \end{aligned} \quad g_k \in G, t \in R^m,$$

for some sequence  $g = \{g_k\}$  which is independent of  $\alpha, i, j$  (this limit is pointwise).

REMARKS.1. In the following we denote the operation that transforms  $(L, f)$  into  $(L^*, f^*)$  by  $T(g)$  and write  $T(g)L = L^*, T(g)f = f^*$ .

2. In the following almost periodicity will always mean almost periodicity wrt a group  $G$  acting on  $R^m$ .

To generalize the results in [1], [2] we start by observing that if  $f$  is a.p. then so is  $f(gt), g \in G$ . Moreover if  $\{f_n(t)\}$  is a sequence of a.p. functions which converges uniformly then the limit function is again a.p. From these remarks we obtain the following lemma which is a generalization of Theorem 1 in [1].

LEMMA 1. If  $f$  is a.p. wrt  $G$  and  $\{g'_k\}, \{h'_k\}$  are any infinite sequences in  $G$  then there are infinite subsequences,

$$\{g_l\} = \{g'_{k_l}\}, \quad \{h_l\} = \{h'_{k_l}\},$$

for a common sequence of indices  $\{k_l\}$  such that

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} f(g_r h_s t) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(g_k h_k t).$$

COROLLARY 1. If  $f(t)$  is a.p. then any infinite sequence  $\{g'_k\}$  contains an infinite subsequence  $\{g_k\}$  for which

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} f(g_r g_s^{-1} t) = f(t).$$

Using the operator  $T(g)$  we can rewrite these results in the form

$$(2.6) \quad T(g)T(h)f = T(gh)f,$$

$$(2.7) \quad T(g)T(g^{-1})f = f.$$

DEFINITION 6. We say that  $G$  acts effectively on  $R^n$  if for any  $x, y \in R^n$

there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $gx = y$  (i.e.  $R^n$  is a homogeneous space of  $G$ ).

From now on all groups  $G$  considered will act effectively on  $R^n$ . We now prove the inverse of Lemma 1 (the counterpart of Theorem 1 in [1]).

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $f$  is such that any two infinite sequences in  $G$  contain infinite subsequences for which the equality*

$$(2.8) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} f(\alpha_r \beta_s t) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(\alpha_k \beta_k t)$$

*holds and where each of the three limits is assumed to exist for every  $t$ , then  $f(t)$  is a.p.*

**PROOF.** If we put  $\alpha'_k = \gamma'_k$ ,  $\beta'_k = e$  (the unit element in  $G$ ) then our assumptions imply that every infinite sequence  $\{\gamma'_k\}$  contains an infinite subsequence  $\{\gamma_k\}$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(\gamma_k t) = g(t)$  exists for every  $t$ . We now show that the limit is uniform. If this were not true then nonuniformity would imply that for some  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  there exist monotone sequences of integers  $\{p_k\}$ ,  $\{q_k\}$  and a sequence of points  $\{\delta_l\}$ ,  $\delta_l \in R^n$ , such that

$$(2.9) \quad |f(\gamma_{p_k} \delta_k) - f(\gamma_{q_k} \delta_k)| \geq \epsilon_0.$$

However since  $G$  is effective on  $R^n$  there exists  $\{\beta_l\}$  such that  $\delta_l = \beta_l \cdot \mathbf{0}$ . The assumptions of the theorem imply that the following limits exist (through the choice of subsequences if necessary)

$$(2.10) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} f(\gamma_p \beta_s \cdot \mathbf{0}) = f_p(\mathbf{0}),$$

$$(2.11) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} f(\gamma_q \beta_s \cdot \mathbf{0}) = f_q(\mathbf{0}),$$

but  $\{p_r\}$ ,  $\{q_r\}$  are subsequences of the original sequence, and therefore,

$$g(\delta_s) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} f(\gamma_p \beta_s \cdot \mathbf{0}) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} f(\gamma_q \beta_s \cdot \mathbf{0}).$$

this implies that

$$f_p(\mathbf{0}) = f_q(\mathbf{0}) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} g(\delta_s)$$

while (2.9) implies

$$|f_p(\mathbf{0}) - f_q(\mathbf{0})| \geq \epsilon_0 > 0$$

which is a contradiction.

**DEFINITION 7.** A continuous function  $f: R^m \rightarrow R^n$  is said to be almost automorphic (a.a.) if for every sequence  $\beta'$  there is a subsequence  $\beta$  such that the limit  $T(\beta)f$  exists and  $T(\beta^{-1})T(\beta)f = f$ .

Using this definition we can define an a.a. system of differential equations and the hull of such a system in exactly the same way that these concepts were introduced for the a.p. case.

With these preparations we can generalize the following results of [1], [2] to any group  $G$  which acts effectively on  $R^m$ . The proofs of these results are exactly the same as in [2] except for the generalized meaning of the operators

$T(g)$  (and the replacement of the  $+$  sign by the group multiplication).

LEMMA 2 (SELL). *Let  $f: R^m \rightarrow R^n$  be a continuous function. Then  $f$  is a.p. wrt  $G$  if and only if for any two sequences  $\beta', \gamma'$  there exist subsequences  $\beta, \gamma$  such that*

- (1)  $T(\beta)T(\gamma)f$  is a.a. wrt  $G$ ,
- (2)  $Bf = f$ ,

where  $B = T((\beta\gamma)^{-1})T(\beta)T(\gamma)$ .

LEMMA 3 (BOCHNER-SELL). *Let  $L$  be a linear differential operator of order  $k$  in  $R^n$  which is a.a. wrt  $G$ . If every  $C^k$ -bounded solution of the equation  $L^*v = 0$  for any  $L^* \in H(L, 0, G)$  is a.a. wrt  $G$  then every  $C^k$ -bounded solution of  $L^*u = f^*$ ,  $(L^*, f^*) \in H(L, f, G)$  is a.a. wrt  $G$ .*

THEOREM 2. *Let  $L$  be a linear differential operator of order  $k$  which is a.p. wrt  $G$ . If every  $C^k$ -bounded solution of the equation*

$$L^*v = 0 \quad \text{for any } L^* \in H(L, 0, G)$$

is a.p. wrt  $G$  then every  $C^k$ -bounded solution of

$$L^*u = f^*, \quad (L^*, f^*) \in H(L, f, G),$$

is a.p. wrt  $G$ .

III. **The structure of the hull.** In this section the phrases a.p. and a.a. will always mean a.p. and a.a. wrt  $G$  where  $G$  acts effectively on  $R^n$ . Similarly  $(L, f)$  will denote a system of linear differential equations which is a.p. wrt  $G$ .

LEMMA 4. *If  $(L, f)$  has a  $C^k$ -bounded a.p. solution then every  $(L^*, f^*) \in H(L, f, G)$  has a  $C^k$ -bounded a.p. solution.*

PROOF. By definition there exists  $T(\gamma)$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad T(\gamma)L = L^*, \quad T(\gamma)f = f^*.$$

Let  $u$  be an a.p.  $C^k$ -bounded solution of  $(L, f)$ ; then

$$(3.2) \quad T(\gamma)(Lu) = T(\gamma)f,$$

and by taking a subsequence if necessary [1] we obtain that

$$(3.3) \quad L^*(T(\gamma)u) = f^*.$$

Thus  $T(\gamma)u$  is a solution of  $(L^*, f^*)$ . Moreover  $T(\gamma)u$  is an a.p.  $C^k$ -bounded solution as a limit of a  $C^k$ -bounded a.p. function.

LEMMA 5. *If all  $C^k$ -bounded solutions of  $(L, f)$  are a.p. then any a.a. solution  $u^*$  of  $(L^*, f^*)$  is a.p. where  $(L^*, f^*) \in H(L, f, G)$ .*

PROOF. By definition there exists  $T(\gamma)$  such that (3.1) is satisfied. However,  $(L^*, f^*)$  is a.p. and therefore  $T(\gamma^{-1})L^* = L, T(\gamma^{-1})f^* = f$ . Therefore

$$T(\gamma^{-1})(L^*u^*) = L(T(\gamma^{-1})u^*)$$

from which we infer that  $T(\gamma^{-1})u^*$  is a solution of  $(L, f)$  and hence a.p. But  $u^*$  is a.a. and therefore

$$u^* = T(\gamma)T(\gamma^{-1})u^* = T(\gamma)(T(\gamma^{-1})u^*)$$

which proves our statement.

DEFINITION 8. By  $P(L, f)$  we denote the space of all  $C^k$ -bounded a.p. solutions of  $(L, f)$ .

THEOREM 3. If  $\dim P(L, f) = r$  (finite or infinite) then  $\dim P(L^*, f^*) = r$  for all  $(L^*, f^*) \in H(L, f, G)$ .

PROOF. If  $r < \infty$  let  $\{u_i\}_1^r$  be a basis for  $P(L, f)$  and let

$$(L^*, f^*) \in H(L, f, G);$$

then there exist  $T(\gamma)$  such that  $T(\gamma)L = L^*$ ,  $T(\gamma)f = f^*$ , and therefore by taking subsequences if necessary,

$$f^* = T(\gamma)(Lu_i) = L^*(T(\gamma)u_i)$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . Thus  $T(\gamma)u_i \in P(L^*, f^*)$ . We claim that  $\{T(\gamma)u_i\}_1^r$  are independent. In fact if  $\sum c_i T(\gamma)u_i = 0$  then

$$0 = T(\gamma^{-1})\left(\sum c_i T(\gamma)u_i\right) = \sum c_i T(\gamma^{-1})T(\gamma)u_i = \sum c_i u_i$$

from which we infer that all  $c_i = 0$ . Thus  $\dim P(L^*, f^*) \geq \dim P(L, f)$ . But  $L \in H(L^*, f^*, G)$  and therefore  $\dim P(L, f) \geq \dim P(L^*, f^*)$  and thus  $\dim P(L, f) = \dim P(L^*, f^*)$ .

If  $r = \infty$  then the proof above implies that for any  $r$ ,  $\dim P(L^*, f^*) \geq r$  and thus  $\dim P(L^*, f^*) = \infty$ .

COROLLARY. If  $(L, f)$  is a linear ordinary differential operator of order  $k$  whose solutions are  $C^k$ -bounded and a.p., then all solutions of

$$(L^*, f^*) \in H(L, f, G)$$

are  $C^k$ -bounded and a.p.

IV. **Subgroup structure of the hull.** Let  $A$  be a group of linear transformations acting effectively on  $R^n$  and  $(L, f)$  a system of linear differential equations which is a.p. wrt  $A$ . In the following we denote by  $G, K$  subgroups of  $A$  such that  $GK = KG$ .

LEMMA 6. If  $u$  is a.p. wrt both  $G$  and  $K$  then for any sequence  $\{g_n\}$ ,  $g_n \in G$ , such that  $v(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u(g_n t)$  exists, the limit function is a.p. wrt  $K$ .

PROOF. Let  $\{k'_n\}$ ,  $k'_n \in K$ , be given. We must show that there exists a subsequence  $\{k_n\}$  such that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} v(k_s t) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} u(k_s g_r t)$$

exists and is uniform in  $t$ . However this is a direct consequence of the fact that the separate limits are uniform in  $t$  by the assumption.

**COROLLARY.** *If all  $C^k$ -bounded solutions of  $(L, f)$  are a.p. wrt  $K$  and  $G$  then they are a.p. wrt  $B = GK$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\{b'_n\}$ ,  $b'_n \in B$ , be given. Since  $B = GK$ ,  $b'_n = g'_n k'_n$ . By the previous lemma we infer that there exist subsequences of  $\{k'_n\}$ ,  $\{g'_n\}$  with a common set of indices such that  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} u(g_s k_r t)$  exists and is uniform in  $t$ . It then follows that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} u(g_s k_r t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u(g_n k_n t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u(b_n t)$$

exists and is uniform in  $t$ , which proves our statement.

**LEMMA 7.** *Let  $u^*$  be a  $C^k$ -bounded solution of  $(L^*, f^*) \in H(L, f, K)$  which is a.p. wrt  $K$ . If all  $C^k$ -bounded solutions of  $(L, f)$  are a.p. wrt  $G$  and  $K$ , then  $u^*$  is a.p. wrt  $G$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $(L^*, f^*) \in H(L, f, K)$  there exists  $T(k^{-1})$ ,  $k = \{k_n\}$ ,  $k_n \in K$ , such that  $T(k^{-1})L^* = L$ ,  $T(k^{-1})f^* = f$ . This implies that

$$f = T(k^{-1})(L^*u^*) = L(T(k^{-1})u^*);$$

thus  $v = T(k^{-1})u^*$  is a solution of  $(L, f)$  and hence a.p. wrt  $GK$ . Therefore

$$T(k)v = T(k)T(k^{-1})u^* = u^*$$

is also a.p. wrt  $G$  by Lemma 6.

In the following, by a solution of  $(L, f)$  we mean a  $C^k$ -bounded solution.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $(L^*, f^*) \in H(L, f, G)$  and  $(\tilde{L}, \tilde{f}) \in H(L^*, f^*, K)$ . If all solutions of any  $(L, f)$  are a.p. wrt  $K$ , then all solutions of any*

$$(\tilde{L}, \tilde{f}) \in H(L, f, GK)$$

*are a.p. wrt  $GK$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $(\tilde{L}, \tilde{f}) \in H(L, f, GK)$ ; then there exists  $T(gk)$  such that

$$T(gk)L = T(g)T(k)L = \tilde{L}, \quad T(gk)f = T(g)T(k)f = \tilde{f}.$$

If we denote  $T(g)L$ ,  $T(g)f$  by  $L^*$ ,  $f^*$ , respectively, then

$$(L^*, f^*) \in H(L, f, G).$$

By the assumption of the theorem and Lemma 7 we infer that all its  $C^k$ -bounded solutions are a.p. wrt  $G$  and  $K$ . However,  $(\tilde{L}, \tilde{f}) \in H(L^*, f^*, K)$  and, by the assumptions, all  $C^k$ -bounded solutions of  $(\tilde{L}, \tilde{f})$  are a.p. wrt  $K$ . Lemma 7 now implies that all  $C^k$ -bounded solutions of  $(\tilde{L}, \tilde{f})$  are a.p. wrt  $G$  and  $K$ . By the corollary following Lemma 6 this is equivalent to being a.p. wrt  $GK$ .

As an application of this theorem we consider the following

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $(L, f)$  be a.p. wrt  $T_m$ . If all the solutions of any  $(L^*, 0) \in H(L, 0, T_m)$  are a.p. wrt  $T_m$  and all the solutions of any*

$$(\tilde{L}, 0) \in H(L^*, 0, T_l)$$

are a.p. wrt  $T_l$  (where  $k + l = m$ ), then all solutions of any operator in  $H(L, f, T_m)$  are a.p. wrt  $T_m$ .

PROOF. Direct application of Theorem 4 above and Theorem 1 in [2].

V. **Examples.** In this section we give some examples of operators to which the theory given above can be applied.

1. Let  $G = O(3)$  be the group of rotations in three dimensions; then  $G$  is a group of linear transformations acting on  $R^3$  (not effectively). The Laplace operator  $\nabla^2 = \partial^2/\partial x^2 + \partial^2/\partial y^2 + \partial^2/\partial z^2$  is an invariant operator wrt  $G$ . Therefore an operator in which  $a_{\alpha ij}, f_i$  are a.p. wrt  $G$  and  $D^\alpha = \nabla^2 \cdot \cdot \cdot \nabla^2$  ( $\alpha$ -times) is an a.p. operator wrt  $G$ .

2. Let  $G = E(2)$  be the Euclidean group in two dimensions which consists of rotations and translations in the plane  $R^2$ .  $G$  acts effectively on  $R^2$ , and the operator  $\nabla^2 = \partial^2/\partial x^2 + \partial^2/\partial y^2$  is an invariant operator of  $G$ .

REMARK. These two examples can be extended naturally to any  $R^n$ . However for higher dimensions the corresponding groups  $O(n), E(n)$  have more than one independent invariant operator (these are the Casimir operators of the group) so that  $D^\alpha$  can then be any monomial in these operators [4].

#### REFERENCES

1. S. Bochner, *A new approach to almost periodicity*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **48** (1962) p. 2039–2043. MR **26** #2816.
2. G. R. Sell, *A note on almost periodic solutions of linear differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **42** (1973), 302–312. MR **48** #4463.
3. S. Helgason, *Differential geometry and symmetric spaces*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1962. MR **26** #2986.
4. B. G. Wybourne, *Classical groups for physicists*, Interscience, New York, 1974.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WORCESTER POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE, WORCESTER, MASSACHUSETTS 01609