

## CONTRACTIVE PROJECTIONS IN SQUARE BANACH SPACES

NINA M. ROY

**ABSTRACT.** It is proved that if  $X$  is a square space and  $P$  is a contractive projection in  $X$ , then  $PX$  is square; and if  $X$  is regular, then  $PX$  is regular. It is also shown that a regular square space is isometric to the image, under a contractive projection, of a regular (square) Kakutani  $M$ -space. These results are analogous to those obtained for other classes of  $L_1$ -preduals by Lindenstrauss and Wulbert, and in this paper their diagram of  $L_1$ -preduals is enlarged so as to include the classes of square, regular square and regular  $M$ -spaces.

A square space is a real Banach space which is (linearly) isometric to a uniformly closed linear space  $X$  of real functions on a compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$  satisfying:

- (i)  $|x|$  is upper semicontinuous for each  $x$  in  $X$ , and
- (ii)  $X$  is invariant under multiplication by functions in  $C(\Omega)$ .

Square spaces were introduced in [3] and characterized in [8] in terms of the (Alfsen-Effros) structure topology on the set  $E$  of extreme points of the dual ball. (See Lemma 1 below.) The class of square spaces, denoted here by  $S$ , is properly contained in the class  $G$  of Grothendieck  $G$ -spaces [3, Theorems 1, 2] as is the class  $M$  of Kakutani  $M$ -spaces. We call a  $G$ -space *regular* if the structure topology on  $E$  is regular, and we denote by  $M_r$  (respectively,  $S_r$ ) the class of regular  $M$ -spaces (resp., regular square spaces).

If  $B$  is a class of Banach spaces, then, following the notation in [6] and [7], denote by  $\pi(B)$  the class of all Banach spaces  $Y$  for which there exists an  $X$  in  $B$  with a subspace  $Y'$  isometric to  $Y$  and a contractive projection (i.e., a projection of norm 1) of  $X$  onto  $Y'$ . The main results in this paper are  $\pi(S) = S$  (Theorem 1) and  $\pi(M_r) = \pi(S_r) = S_r$  (Theorem 2).

The diagram below shows how the classes  $M_r$ ,  $S_r$ ,  $S$  and  $M \cap S$  are related and fit into the diagram of Lindenstrauss spaces ( $L_1$ -preduals) in [7].

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 C & \rightarrow & C_0 & \rightarrow & M_r & \rightarrow & M \cap S & \rightarrow & M \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 C_\Sigma & \rightarrow & C_\sigma & \rightarrow & S_r & \rightarrow & S & \rightarrow & G \rightarrow \{X: X^* = L_1(\mu)\}
 \end{array}$$

Received by the editors January 9, 1976.

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 46E15.

*Key words and phrases.* Square space,  $M$ -space, Lindenstrauss space, contractive projection, structure topology, extreme point.

The arrows indicate proper inclusion, and the intersection of two classes is their closest common source, e.g.,  $M \cap C_\sigma = C_0$ . The reader is referred to [6] or [7] for precise definitions of the classes  $C, C_0, C_\Sigma, C_\sigma, M$  and  $G$ . (We are writing  $C$  in place of  $C(K)$ ,  $C_0$  in place of  $C_0(K)$ , etc.) It is proved in [7] that

$$\pi(C) = \pi(C_0) = \pi(C_\Sigma) = \pi(C_\sigma) = C_\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(M) = \pi(G) = G.$$

The (open) problem of characterizing  $\pi(M \cap S)$  is discussed in the Remark at the end of this paper.

After the following preliminaries, we shall verify those parts of our diagram which are not in [7] (or [6]) and then proceed to our main results (Theorems 1 and 2).

In this paper, only real Banach spaces are considered, and we use the following notation and terminology. If  $X$  is a Banach space, then  $E$  (or, when necessary,  $E_X$ ) denotes the set  $\text{ext } B(X^*)$  of extreme points of the closed unit ball  $B(X^*)$  in the dual of  $X$ , and  $\bar{E}$  is the weak\* closure of  $E$ . We shall frequently regard  $X$  as a space of functions on  $E$  (or  $\bar{E}$ ) and write  $x(p)$  in place of  $p(x)$ , for  $x$  in  $X$  and  $p$  in  $E$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{M}(X)$  the algebra of multipliers of  $X$ ; by definition, a bounded real function  $f$  on  $E$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{M}(X)$  if for each  $x$  in  $X$  there is  $y$  in  $X$  satisfying  $f(p)x(p) = y(p)$  for all  $p$  in  $E$ . (This algebra was introduced in [2].) The (Alfsen-Effros) structure topology on  $E$  is the topology whose nonempty closed sets are of the form  $E \cap N$ , where  $N$  is a nonzero weak\* closed  $L$ -summand in  $X^*$  [1, Proposition 3.3]. Let  $C_s^b(E)$  denote the algebra of all bounded, structurally continuous functions on  $E$ . An important result, used several times in this paper, is that  $C_s^b(E) = \mathfrak{M}(X)$  [1, Theorem 4.9].

LEMMA 1 [8, COROLLARY 1]. *A Banach space  $X$  is square if and only if  $C_s^b(E)$  separates linearly independent points in  $E$ .*

LEMMA 2 [8, LEMMA 5]. *If  $X$  is a  $G$ -space, then a base for the structure topology on  $E$  is the family  $\{V_x: x \in X\}$ , where  $V_x = \{p \in E: x(p) \neq 0\}$ .*

LEMMA 3. *If  $X$  is a  $G$ -space for which  $E$  is (structurally) regular, then  $E$  is completely regular.*

PROOF. Let  $E_\sigma = \{\{p, -p\}: p \in E\}$  have the quotient structure topology. Then by [8, Theorem 2] and the fact that the closed sets in  $E$  are symmetric, we have the implications

$$E \text{ regular} \Rightarrow E_\sigma \text{ regular} \Rightarrow E_\sigma \text{ completely regular} \Rightarrow E \text{ completely regular.}$$

The following three propositions are useful in verifying the diagram.

PROPOSITION 1.  $M_r = M \cap S_r$ .

PROOF. It suffices to show that every regular  $G$ -space is square. Let  $X$  be a regular  $G$ -space. Then  $E$  is completely regular by Lemma 3. Since  $\{p, -p\}$  is

closed for each  $p$  in  $E$  (because  $X$  is a Lindenstrauss space) it follows from Lemma 1 that  $X$  is square.

The next two results follow immediately from the proofs of [8, Corollaries 2, 4] and the fact that if  $E_\sigma$  is completely regular, then so is  $E$ .

PROPOSITION 2. Every separable  $G$ -space is in  $S_r$ .

PROPOSITION 3.  $C_\sigma \subset S_r$ .

In view of the above propositions as well as results in [3] and [7], it is clear that to complete the verification of our diagram we need only show that those inclusions not appearing in [7] are all proper. Cunningham established [3] that  $M \cap S \neq M$ ,  $M \cap S \neq S$ , and  $S \neq G$ ; the example in [3, Theorem 3] together with Proposition 3 show that  $M_r \neq S_r$ . Effros constructed a separable  $M$ -space which is not a  $C_\sigma$ -space [5, Theorem 4.3]; hence  $C_\sigma \neq S_r$  by Proposition 2 (also  $C_0 \neq M_r$ ). Finally, a square space  $X$  which is not regular can be found in [8, pp. 147, 148]; that  $X$  is also an  $M$ -space can be verified as follows. By definition,  $X$  is the uniform closure of a linear space  $Y$  of functions (of the form  $f + gx_1$ , in the notation of [8]) on a compact ordinal space  $\Omega$ . One first shows that if  $y \in Y$ , then for each  $t$  in  $\Omega$  there is  $y_t$  in  $Y$  such that  $|y(t)| = y_t(t)$  and  $|y| - y_t$  is continuous at  $t$ . (Consider the three cases  $t \in F$ ,  $t \in L - F$ ,  $t \notin L$ .) Then the partition of unity technique used in [3, Proof of Theorem 1, p. 555] can be applied to show that if  $y \in Y$ , then  $|y| \in X$ . Therefore if  $x \in X$ , then  $x^+ = (x + |x|)/2$  is in  $X$ , and so  $X$  is a closed linear sublattice of  $m(\Omega)$ , hence an  $M$ -space. This example proves that  $M_r \neq M \cap S$  and  $S_r \neq S$ , hence the diagram is verified.

In what follows,  $E = \text{ext } B(X^*)$ ,  $E_Y = \text{ext } B(Y^*)$ , and  $E(K_q) = \text{ext } K_q$ .

THEOREM 1.  $\pi(S) = S$ .

PROOF. Let  $X$  be a square space and  $P$  a contractive projection on  $X$ . We shall use Lemma 1 to show that  $Y = PX$  is square.

For each  $q$  in  $E_Y$  let  $K_q$  denote the set of all norm-preserving extensions of  $q$  in  $X^*$ . Then  $K_q$  is a convex weak\* compact subset of  $B(X^*)$ ,  $P^*q \in K_q$ , and  $E(K_q) \subset E$ . ( $P^*$  denotes the adjoint of  $P$ .) Further,  $E(K_q)$  is weak\* compact since  $X$  is square. For if  $\{p_\alpha\}$  is a net in  $E(K_q)$ , then there is a subnet  $\{p_\beta\}$  which converges weak\* to some  $p$  in  $K_q$ . Then  $p$  is in  $\bar{E} \cap K_q$ , hence in  $E \cap K_q$  because  $\|p\| = 1$  and  $\bar{E} \subset [0, 1]E$  [3, Lemma 2], [8, Lemma 2]. Thus  $p \in E(K_q)$ . It now follows that  $E(K_q)$  is structurally compact since the structure topology on  $E$  is smaller than the (relativized) weak\* topology on  $E$ .

Let  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  be linearly independent points in  $E_Y$  and let  $a$  and  $b$  be real numbers with  $0 < a < b < 1$ . Then there is  $f$  in  $C_s^b(E)$  such that  $f < a$  on  $E(K_{q_1})$  and  $f > b$  on  $E(K_{q_2})$ . To prove this, we use the "only if" part of Lemma 1 and the structural compactness of the  $E(K_{q_i})$ . If  $s \in E(K_{q_2})$ , then for each  $p$  in  $E(K_{q_1})$  there is  $f_p$  in  $C_s^b(E)$  with  $f_p(p) = 0$  and  $f_p(s) = 1$ ; let  $U_p = \{r \in E(K_{q_1}): f_p(r) < a\}$ . Then a finite number of these open sets, say  $U_{p_1}, U_{p_2}, \dots, U_{p_n}$ , cover  $E(K_{q_1})$ . Let  $f_s = f_{p_1} \wedge f_{p_2} \wedge \dots \wedge f_{p_n}$ . Then  $f_s$

$\in C_s^b(E)$ ,  $f_s < a$  on  $E(K_{q_1})$ , and  $f_s(s) = 1$ . For each  $s$  in  $E(K_{q_2})$  choose such a function  $f_s$  and define  $V_s = \{t \in E(K_{q_2}) : f_s(t) > b\}$ . Then  $E(K_{q_2})$  is covered by a finite number of these open sets, say  $V_{s_1}, V_{s_2}, \dots, V_{s_m}$ . Let  $f = f_{s_1} \vee f_{s_2} \vee \dots \vee f_{s_m}$ . Then  $f \in C_s^b(E)$ ,  $f < a$  on  $E(K_{q_1})$  and  $f > b$  on  $E(K_{q_2})$ .

Let  $q_1, q_2, a, b$  and  $f$  be as above. We will show there is  $g$  in  $C_s^b(E_Y)$  with  $g(q_1) \leq a$  and  $g(q_2) \geq b$ , thus proving  $Y$  is square. For each  $q$  in  $E_Y$  we have that  $f$  is weak\* continuous on the compact set  $E(K_q)$ ; hence there is  $x_q$  in  $X$  such that  $f = x_q$  on  $E(K_q)$  and  $\|x_q\| = \|f|E(K_q)\|$  [4, Lemma 6.1]. Define  $g$  on  $E_Y$  by  $g(q) = x_q(P^*q)$  for each  $q$  in  $E_Y$ . For each  $p$  in  $E(K_{q_1})$  we have  $x_{q_1}(p) = f(p) < a$ ; hence  $x_{q_1} \leq a$  on  $K_{q_1} = \overline{\text{co}} E(K_{q_1})$ , and so  $g(q_1) = x_{q_1}(P^*q_1) \leq a$ . Similarly  $g(q_2) \geq b$ . We now show  $g$  is in  $\mathfrak{M}(Y) = C_s^b(E_Y)$ . Clearly  $g$  is bounded, indeed  $|g(q)| \leq \|f\|$  for each  $q$  in  $E_Y$ . Let  $y \in Y$ . Then, since  $f \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ , there is  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $fy = x$  on  $E$ . It follows that  $gy = Px$  on  $E_Y$ . To prove this, we need only show that  $y(q)x_q = x$  on  $K_q$  for each  $q$  in  $E_Y$ , since  $g(q)y(q) = x_q(P^*q)y(q)$  and  $Px(q) = x(P^*q)$ . But for each  $p$  in  $E(K_q)$  we have  $y(q)x_q(p) = y(p)f(p) = x(p)$ ; that is,  $y(q)x_q = x$  on  $E(K_q)$ . Hence  $y(q)x_q = x$  on  $K_q$ , thus completing the proof.

COROLLARY 1.  $\pi(S_r) = S_r$ .

PROOF. We continue to use the notation in the proof of Theorem 1, but now assume that  $X$  is a regular square space. We will show that  $Y = PX$  is regular by proving that  $E_Y$  is completely regular. We will need the fact that both  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $G$ -spaces (since they are square). Let  $F$  be structurally closed in  $E_Y$  and  $q \in E_Y$  with  $q \notin F$ . Then, by Lemma 2, there is  $y$  in  $Y$  with  $y = 0$  on  $F$  and  $y(q) \neq 0$ . Let  $F' = \{p \in E : y(p) = 0\}$ . Then  $F'$  is structurally closed in  $E$ ,  $E(K_r) \subset F'$  for each  $r$  in  $F$ , and  $F' \cap E(K_q) = \emptyset$ . Since  $E$  is completely regular (Lemma 3) and  $E(K_q)$  is compact, we can use a standard compactness argument as in the proof of Theorem 1 to obtain an  $f$  in  $C_s^b(E)$  for which  $f = 0$  on  $F'$  and  $f \geq 1$  on  $E(K_q)$ . Let  $g$  in  $C_s^b(E_Y)$  be defined (in terms of  $f$ ) as in the proof of Theorem 1. Then  $g = 0$  on  $F$  and  $g(q) \geq 1$ . Thus  $E_Y$  is completely regular.

THEOREM 2.  $\pi(M_r) = \pi(S_r) = S_r$ .

PROOF. In view of Proposition 1 and Corollary 1, it suffices to prove that  $S_r \subset \pi(M_r)$ . Let  $Y \in S_r$ . Then, since  $Y$  is square,  $K = [0, 1]E_Y$  is weak\* compact and  $Y$  may (and will) be identified with the space of all weak\* continuous functions  $f$  on  $K$  satisfying  $f(\lambda q) = \lambda f(q)$  for all  $q$  in  $E_Y$  and  $|\lambda| \leq 1$  [3, Proof of Theorem 1], [8, Lemma 2]. Let  $X$  be the space of all weak\* continuous functions  $f$  on  $K$  satisfying  $f(\lambda q) = \lambda f(q)$  for all  $q$  in  $E_Y$  and  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ . (Both  $X$  and  $Y$  have the uniform norm.) Then  $X$  is an  $M$ -space, and the map  $P: X \rightarrow X$  defined by  $Px(k) = \frac{1}{2}(x(k) - x(-k))$ , for  $x$  in  $X$  and  $k$  in  $K$ , is a contractive projection of  $X$  onto  $Y$ . For each  $q$  in  $E_Y$  let  $\delta(q)$  in  $X^*$  be the evaluation functional defined on  $X$  by  $\delta(q)(x) = x(q)$ , and let

$E^+ = \{\delta(q) : q \in E_Y\}$ . Then  $E$  is the union of the disjoint sets  $E^+$  and  $-E^+$  because the Choquet boundary for  $X$  is  $E_Y \cup \{0\}$ . (See [5, Lemma 4.1].) Let  $i^*$  be the adjoint of the inclusion map  $i : Y \rightarrow X$ . Then  $i^*$  is a structurally continuous map of  $E$  onto  $E_Y$ . (One can use Lemma 2 to verify continuity. Also note that  $i^* \circ \delta$  is the identity map on  $E_Y$ .) To prove  $E$  is regular, let  $x \in X$  and  $p \in V_x$ . We will show there is a structurally closed neighborhood  $W$  of  $p$  such that  $W \subset V_x$ . (See Lemma 2 for the notation  $V_x$ .) We may assume  $p \in E^+$  and  $x(p) > 0$  since structurally closed (as well as open) sets in  $E$  are symmetric. Let  $y \in Y$  with  $0 < y(p) < x(p)$ . Then, since  $Y$  is regular, Lemma 3 implies there is  $g$  in  $C_s^b(E_Y)$  with  $g(i^*p) = 1$  and  $g = 0$  on  $\{q \in E_Y : y(q) = 0\}$ . Let  $f = g \circ i^*$ . Then  $f$  is structurally continuous on  $E$ ,  $f(p) = 1$ , and  $f = 0$  on  $\{t \in E : y(t) = 0\}$ . Let  $z = (x - y) \wedge y$  on  $K$  and let  $F = \{t \in E : z^-(t) = 0\}$ . Then  $F$  is closed since  $z^- = (-z) \vee 0$  is in  $X$ ; and  $F$  is a neighborhood of  $p$  since  $p \in V_{z^+} \subset F$ . To verify the latter inclusion, let  $t \in E$  with  $z^+(t) \neq 0$ . Then  $t = \pm\delta(q)$  for some  $q$  in  $E_Y$ , and so  $z^+(t) = \pm z^+(q)$ . Therefore  $z^+(q) \neq 0$ , hence  $z(q) > 0$ . Thus  $z^-(q) = 0$ , and this implies  $z^-(t) = 0$ . We shall also need the fact that if  $t \in F$  and  $x(t) = 0$ , then  $y(t) = 0$ . (This is true since if  $t = \pm\delta(q)$ , then

$$z^-(t) = 0 \Rightarrow z^-(q) = 0 \Rightarrow z(q) \geq 0 \Rightarrow (x - y)(q) \geq 0$$

and  $y(q) \geq 0 \Rightarrow x(q) \geq y(q) \geq 0$ .) Let  $W$  be the intersection of  $F$  and the set  $\{t \in E : f(t) \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$ . Then  $W$  is a closed neighborhood of  $p$ . Further,  $W \subset V_x$ . For, suppose not. Then there is  $t$  in  $W$  with  $x(t) = 0$ . Then, since  $t \in F$ ,  $y(t) = 0$ . But this implies  $f(t) = 0$ , which contradicts  $f(t) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ . Therefore  $W \subset V_x$ , and this completes the proof that  $X \in M_r$ .

REMARK. In the above proof, if we assume  $Y$  is square but not necessarily regular, then  $X$  is "almost square" in the sense that if  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are evaluation functionals at linearly independent points  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  of  $E_Y$  and  $g$  in  $C_s^b(E_Y)$  separates  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ , then  $g \circ i^*$  in  $C_s^b(E)$  will separate  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ . Therefore the problem of showing  $X$  square reduces to that of separating  $\delta(q)$  and  $\delta(-q)$  by a function in  $C_s^b(E)$  for each  $q$  in  $E_Y$ . Because the functions in  $X$  are positive-homogeneous, the question becomes: Given  $q$  in  $E_Y$ , is there a bounded weak\* continuous function  $g$  on  $(0, 1]E_Y$  which is constant on  $(0, 1]r$  for each  $r$  in  $E_Y$  and such that  $g(q) \neq g(-q)$ ? An affirmative answer would imply that  $X$  is square, hence that  $\pi(M \cap S) = S$ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. I would like to thank F. Cunningham, Jr. for suggesting the possibility  $S \subset \pi(M \cap S)$ , which led to Theorem 2 of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. E. M. Alfsen and E. G. Effros, *Structure in real Banach spaces*. II, Ann. of Math. (2) **96** (1972), 129-173. MR **50** #5432.
2. F. Cunningham, Jr., *M-structure in Banach spaces*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **63** (1967), 613-629. MR **35** #3415.
3. ———, *Square Banach spaces*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **66** (1969), 553-558. MR **40** #1755.

4. E. G. Effros, *On a class of real Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. **9** (1971), 430–458. MR **45** #5717.
5. ———, *Structure in simplexes. II*, J. Functional Analysis **1** (1967), 379–391. MR **36** #3108.
6. A. J. Lazar and J. Lindenstrauss, *Banach spaces whose duals are  $L_1$  spaces and their representing matrices*, Acta Math. **126** (1971), 165–193. MR **45** #862.
7. J. Lindenstrauss and D. E. Wulbert, *On the classification of the Banach spaces whose duals are  $L_1$  spaces*, J. Functional Analysis **4** (1969), 332–349. MR **40** #3274.
8. N. M. Roy, *A characterization of square Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. **17** (1974), 142–148. MR **49** #9590.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ROSEMONT COLLEGE, ROSEMONT, PENNSYLVANIA 19010