

A SIMPLE PROOF OF A THEOREM OF CHACON

ROBERT CHEN

ABSTRACT. A short and simple proof of a theorem of Chacon is presented by an application of a maximal inequality. A pointwise convergence theorem and the submartingale convergence theorem follow immediately from the theorem.

Here we present a short and simple proof of a theorem, due to Chacon [3], which implies a pointwise convergence theorem [1] and the submartingale convergence theorem [1], [2], [4].

THEOREM (CHACON). *Let $\{X_n\}$ be a sequence of integrable random variables such that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(|X_n|) < \infty$. Let*

$$X^* = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n, \quad X_* = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n,$$

and T be the collection of all bounded stopping times. Then

$$(1) \quad \limsup_{\tau, t \in T} E(X_\tau - X_t) \geq E(X^* - X_*).$$

Further, if $\sup_{\tau \in T} E(|X_\tau|) < \infty$, then X^* and X_* are integrable.

PROOF. By Lemma 1 of [1] and the Borel-Cantelli lemma, we can choose two strictly increasing sequences $\{\tau_k\}$ and $\{t_k\}$ of bounded stopping times such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} X_{\tau_k} = X^*$ almost surely and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} X_{t_k} = X_*$ almost surely. Hence, the second assertion follows immediately from Fatou's lemma and we need only prove (1). To prove (1), it suffices to show that

$$(2) \quad \sup_{\tau, t \in T} E(X_\tau - X_t) \geq E(X^* - X_*).$$

It is also easy to see that, under the assumption of the theorem, if

Received by the editors February 10, 1976.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 60G40; Secondary 60F15, 60G99.

Key words and phrases. Bounded stopping time, Fatou's lemma, generalized sequence, Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, maximal inequality, submartingale convergence theorem.

Copyright © 1977, American Mathematical Society

$\sup_{t \in T} E(|X_t|) = \infty$, then $\sup_{\tau, t \in T} E(X_\tau - X_t) = \infty$. Hence, we can, and do, assume that $\sup_{\tau \in T} E(|X_\tau|) < \infty$.

To prove (2), we need the following maximal inequality, which I learned from Chacon and Sucheston.

$$(3) \quad \lambda P\left(\left[\sup_n |X_n| \geq \lambda\right]\right) \leq \sup_{\tau \in T} E(|X_\tau|) \quad \text{for each positive constant } \lambda.$$

To see (3), let M be a fixed positive integer and define a bounded stopping time τ by $\tau(w) = \inf\{n \mid 1 \leq n \leq M, |X_n(w)| \geq \lambda\}$, $\tau(w) = M + 1$ if no such n exists, $w \in \Omega$. Then

$$\lambda P\left(\left[\sup_{1 \leq n \leq M} |X_n| \geq \lambda\right]\right) \leq E(|X_\tau|) \leq \sup_{t \in T} E(|X_t|).$$

(3) follows immediately on letting $M \rightarrow \infty$.

Now let λ be a positive constant, $\gamma(w) = \inf\{n \mid |X_n(w)| \geq \lambda\}$, $\gamma(w) = \infty$ if no such n exists, $w \in \Omega$. Let $A = [\gamma < \infty]$, $Y = \lambda \chi_A + |X_\gamma \chi_A|$, $Y_n = X_{n \wedge \gamma}$ for all $n \geq 1$, $Y^* = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n$, and $Y_* = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n$. By Lemma 1 of [1] and the Borel-Cantelli lemma, we can choose two strictly increasing sequences $\{\tau_k\}$ and $\{t_k\}$ of bounded stopping times such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Y_{\tau_k} = Y^*$ almost surely and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Y_{t_k} = Y_*$ almost surely. Since $|Y_t| \leq Y$ for all $t \in T$ and $E(Y) \leq \lambda + \sup_{t \in T} E(|X_t|) < \infty$, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E(Y_{\tau_k} - Y_{t_k}) = E(Y^* - Y_*)$. So $\sup_{\tau, t \in T} E(Y_\tau - Y_t) \geq E(Y^* - Y_*)$. Since $\{Y_t \mid t \in T\} = \{X_{t \wedge \gamma} \mid t \in T\}$ is a subset of $\{X_t \mid t \in T\}$, $\sup_{\tau, t \in T} E(X_\tau - X_t) \geq E(Y^* - Y_*)$. By (3), (2) follows on letting $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ (since X^* and X_* are integrable).

COROLLARY 1 (THEOREM 2 OF [1]). *Suppose that $E(|X_n|) < \infty$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(|X_n|) < \infty$. Consider the following two statements.*

- (a) *The generalized sequence $\{E(X_\tau) \mid \tau \in T\}$ is convergent.*
- (b) *X_n converges almost surely to a finite limit.*

Then (a) implies (b).

COROLLARY 2 (THE SUBMARTINGALE CONVERGENCE THEOREM). *Suppose that $\{X_n\}$ is a sequence of L_1 -bounded random variables adapted to the increasing sequence $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ of σ -fields. Suppose that $E(X_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_n) \geq X_n$ a.s. for all $n \geq 1$. Then X_n converges almost surely to a finite limit.*

REMARK. The theorem and Corollary 1 also hold under any one of the following two conditions.

- (i) $\sup_n E(X_n^+) < \infty$.
- (ii) $\sup_n E(X_n^-) < \infty$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. I would like to thank Professors Chacon and Sucheston for their valuable suggestions and comments. I also want to thank the referee for his invaluable and useful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. D. G. Austin, G. A. Edgar and A. Ionescu Tulcea (1974), *Pointwise convergence in terms of expectations*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete **30**, 17–26. MR **50** #11402.
2. J. R. Baxter (1974), *Pointwise in terms of weak convergence*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **46**, 395–398.
3. R. V. Chacon (1974), *A “stopped” proof of convergence*, Advances in Math. **14**, 365–368.
4. C. W. Lamb (1973), *A short proof of the martingale convergence theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **38**, 215–217. MR **48** #3119.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI, CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA 33124