

## UNBOUNDED DERIVATIONS OF GROUP $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

CHRISTOPHER LANCE AND ASSADOLLAH NIKNAM<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** We describe a construction which yields unbounded derivations and strongly continuous one-parameter automorphism groups of certain group  $C^*$ -algebras. As an application, we show that a simple  $C^*$ -algebra can have an automorphism group which is not approximately inner.

1. A strongly continuous one-parameter automorphism group of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a continuous homomorphism  $t \mapsto \alpha_t$  from the real numbers into the group of  $*$ -automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{A}$  equipped with the topology of simple norm convergence. We say that  $(\alpha_t)$  is approximately inner if there is a sequence  $(H_n)$  of selfadjoint elements of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\|e^{itH_n} A e^{-itH_n} - \alpha_t(A)\| \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbf{R}$ , for each  $A$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Strongly continuous one-parameter automorphism groups of  $UHF$  algebras have been investigated in a recent series of papers by Powers and Sakai [5]–[8], who conjecture that such groups are always approximately inner.

In this paper we show how to construct strongly continuous automorphism groups in certain group  $C^*$ -algebras which are not approximately inner. In particular, the construction works when the group is the free group on two generators. The reduced  $C^*$ -algebra of this group is simple [4], so our results show that a simple  $C^*$ -algebra with identity can, in general, have strongly continuous one-parameter automorphism groups which are not approximately inner, in contrast to the Powers-Sakai conjecture for the  $UHF$  case.

We should like to thank R. Powers and S. Sakai for supplying us with preprints of their work.

2. Let  $G$  be a (discrete) group. For  $h$  in  $G$  let  $\varepsilon_h$  be the characteristic function of  $\{h\}$ , so that  $\{\varepsilon_h : h \in G\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $l^2(G)$ . For  $g$  in  $G$  define a unitary operator  $U_g$  on  $l^2(G)$  by  $U_g \varepsilon_h = \varepsilon_{gh}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  be the set of all finite linear combinations of elements of  $\{U_g : g \in G\}$ . The reduced  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(G)$  of  $G$  is the norm closure of  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  in the algebra of all bounded operators on  $l^2(G)$ . The (full)  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(G)$  of  $G$  is the enveloping  $C^*$ -algebra (see §2.7 of [2]) of the convolution group algebra  $l^1(G)$ .

Define a state  $\omega$  of  $C_r^*(G)$  by

---

Received by the editors March 16, 1976.

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 46L05.

<sup>1</sup> Research supported by a grant from MOSOI, Iran.

© American Mathematical Society 1977

$$\omega(A) = \langle A\varepsilon_e, \varepsilon_e \rangle,$$

where  $e$  is the identity element of  $G$ . If  $A = \sum \gamma_g U_g \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ , then  $\omega(A) = \gamma_e$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be a homomorphism from  $G$  to the additive group of real numbers, and define a linear operator  $\delta_\lambda$  on  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  by

$$\delta_\lambda(\sum \gamma_g U_g) = \sum i\lambda(g)\gamma_g U_g.$$

For  $g, h$  in  $G$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\lambda(U_g U_h) &= \delta_\lambda(U_{gh}) = i\lambda(gh)U_g U_h = i\lambda(g)U_g U_h + i\lambda(h)U_g U_h \\ &= \delta_\lambda(U_g)U_h + U_g \delta_\lambda(U_h), \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that  $\delta_\lambda(AB) = \delta_\lambda(A)B + A\delta_\lambda(B)$  for all  $A, B$  in  $\mathfrak{A}_0$ . Also

$$\delta_\lambda(U_g^*) = \delta_\lambda(U_{g^{-1}}) = i\lambda(g^{-1})U_{g^{-1}} = -i\lambda(g)U_g^* = \delta_\lambda(U_g)^*,$$

so that  $\delta_\lambda(A^*) = \delta_\lambda(A)^*$  for all  $A$  in  $\mathfrak{A}_0$ . Thus  $\delta_\lambda$  is a derivation of  $C_r^*(G)$ . (By a derivation  $\delta$  of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  we mean a linear mapping from a dense  $*$ -subalgebra  $\mathfrak{D}(\delta)$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(AB) &= \delta(A)B + A\delta(B) & (A, B \in \mathfrak{D}(\delta)), \\ \delta(A^*) &= \delta(A)^* & (A \in \mathfrak{D}(\delta)). \end{aligned}$$

In this we differ slightly from the usage of Bratteli and Robinson [1], who take  $\delta$  to be skew-adjoint rather than selfadjoint.)

**THEOREM 1.** *For  $\lambda$  in  $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbf{R})$ ,  $\delta_\lambda$  is a closable derivation of  $C_r^*(G)$  whose closure  $\bar{\delta}_\lambda$  is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous one-parameter group of automorphisms  $(\alpha_t^\lambda)$  of  $C_r^*(G)$ . If  $\lambda \neq 0$  then  $\delta_\lambda$  is unbounded and  $(\alpha_t^\lambda)$  is not approximately inner.*

**PROOF.** For  $A = \sum \gamma_g U_g$  in  $\mathfrak{A}_0$ , we have  $\omega(\delta_\lambda(A)) = i\lambda(e)\gamma_e = 0$ . For  $g$  in  $G$  the power series

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{z^n}{n!} \delta_\lambda^n(U_g) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(i\lambda(g)z)^n}{n!} U_g$$

has nonzero (in fact, infinite!) radius of convergence, so that  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  is a dense set of analytic elements for  $\delta_\lambda$ . It follows from Theorem 4 of [1] that  $\delta_\lambda$  is closable and that  $\bar{\delta}_\lambda$  is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous one-parameter automorphism group  $(\alpha_t^\lambda)$ , as required.

Suppose now that  $\lambda \neq 0$ , so that  $\lambda(g) > 0$  for some  $g$  in  $G$ . For any positive integer  $n$  we have  $\delta_\lambda(U_{g^n}) = in\lambda(g)U_{g^n}$ , from which it is clear that  $\delta_\lambda$  is unbounded. If  $A = U_g^*$  then

$$-iA^* \delta_\lambda(A) = \lambda(g^{-1})U_g U_g^* = -\lambda(g)I.$$

If  $(\alpha_t^\lambda)$  were approximately inner, then by Theorem 2.3 of [5] there would be a ground state  $\rho$  for  $(\alpha_t^\lambda)$ . But by Theorem 2.2 of [5] such a state has the property that  $-i\rho(A^* \delta_\lambda(A)) \geq 0$  ( $A \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ ). This is clearly impossible for  $A = U_g^*$ , so we conclude that  $(\alpha_t^\lambda)$  is not approximately inner.

Notice that the automorphisms  $\alpha_t^\lambda$  are induced by the unitary group on  $l^2(G)$  given by  $\varepsilon_h \mapsto e^{it\lambda(h)}\varepsilon_h$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  is invariant under  $\alpha_t^\lambda$  ( $t \in \mathbf{R}$ ).

3. We now prove the analogous result to Theorem 1 for the full group  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(G)$ . We shall need the following lemma, which is a consequence of Theorem 1 of [1] (see also Theorem 4.1 of [5]).

LEMMA 2. *Let  $\delta$  be a derivation of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  with domain  $\mathfrak{D}(\delta)$  such that some extension  $\hat{\delta}$  of  $\delta$  is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous one-parameter group of automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Suppose that the two sets  $\{\delta(A) \pm A : A \in \mathfrak{D}(\delta)\}$  are dense in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then  $\hat{\delta}$  is the closure of  $\delta$ .*

PROOF. Since  $\hat{\delta}$  is closed,  $\delta$  is certainly closable. By Theorem 1 of [1],

$$\|\hat{\delta}(A) - rA\| \geq |r| \|A\| \quad (r \in \mathbf{R}, A \in \mathfrak{D}(\hat{\delta})).$$

For any  $B$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  we can find a sequence  $(A_n)$  in  $\mathfrak{D}(\delta)$  such that  $\delta(A_n) + A_n \rightarrow B$ . Since  $\|A_m - A_n\| \leq \|\delta(A_m - A_n) + (A_m - A_n)\|$ ,  $(A_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence with limit  $A$ , say. Then  $\delta(A_n) \rightarrow B - A$ , so  $A \in \mathfrak{D}(\bar{\delta})$ ,  $\bar{\delta}(A) = B - A$  and thus  $B = \bar{\delta}(A) + A$ . Hence we have

$$\|\delta(A) - rA\| \geq |r| \|A\| \quad (r \in \mathbf{R}, A \in \mathfrak{D}(\bar{\delta})),$$

$$\{\bar{\delta}(A) + A : A \in \mathfrak{D}(\bar{\delta})\} = \mathfrak{A}$$

and (similarly)  $\{\bar{\delta}(A) - A : A \in \mathfrak{D}(\bar{\delta})\} = \mathfrak{A}$ .

It follows from Theorem 1 of [1] that  $\bar{\delta}$  is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous one-parameter group of automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , which must be the same as that generated by  $\hat{\delta}$ , so that  $\bar{\delta} = \hat{\delta}$ .

THEOREM 3. *For  $\lambda$  in  $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbf{R})$ ,  $\delta_\lambda$  is a closable derivation of  $C^*(G)$  whose closure  $\hat{\delta}_\lambda$  is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous one-parameter group of automorphisms  $(\hat{\alpha}_t^\lambda)$  of  $C^*(G)$ . If  $\lambda \neq 0$  then  $\delta_\lambda$  is unbounded and  $(\hat{\alpha}_t^\lambda)$  is not approximately inner.*

PROOF. As noted at the end of §2,  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  is invariant under the automorphisms  $\alpha_t^\lambda$ . If  $p$  is any  $C^*$ -seminorm on  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  then the composite mapping  $p\alpha_t^\lambda$  is also a  $C^*$ -seminorm. The  $C^*(G)$ -norm on  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  is, by definition, the supremum of all such  $C^*$ -seminorms, and it follows that each of the automorphisms  $\alpha_t^\lambda$  is isometric for this norm. Thus  $(\alpha_t^\lambda)$  extends by continuity to a strongly continuous one-parameter automorphism group  $(\hat{\alpha}_t^\lambda)$  of  $C^*(G)$ , whose infinitesimal generator  $\hat{\delta}_\lambda$  is clearly an extension of  $\delta_\lambda$ . For  $A = \sum \gamma_g U_g$  in  $\mathfrak{A}_0$ , we have

$$\delta_\lambda(A) \pm A = \sum (i\lambda(g) \pm 1)\gamma_g U_g.$$

Since  $i\lambda(g) \pm 1$  cannot be zero it is clear that the range of  $\delta_\lambda \pm 1$  is the whole of  $\mathfrak{A}_0$ , and it follows from Lemma 2 that  $\delta_\lambda$  is closable, with closure  $\hat{\delta}_\lambda$ .

We already know that  $\delta_\lambda$  is unbounded if  $\lambda \neq 0$ . To see that  $(\hat{\alpha}_t^\lambda)$  is not approximately inner, let  $\pi$  denote the canonical projection (i.e. the quotient map) from  $C^*(G)$  onto  $C_r^*(G)$ . Then the restriction of  $\pi$  to  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  is the identity map, and  $\pi\hat{\alpha}_t^\lambda = \alpha_t^\lambda\pi$ . Suppose there is a sequence  $(H_n)$  of selfadjoint elements of  $C^*(G)$  with  $\|e^{itH_n} A e^{-itH_n} - \hat{\alpha}_t^\lambda(A)\| \rightarrow 0$  ( $A \in C^*(G)$ ). Then  $\|e^{it\pi(H_n)} \pi(A) e^{-it\pi(H_n)} - \alpha_t^\lambda(\pi(A))\| \rightarrow 0$ , and it would follow that  $(\alpha_t^\lambda)$  was approximately inner, contradicting Theorem 1. Thus  $(\hat{\alpha}_t^\lambda)$  is not approximately inner.

4. When is  $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbf{R}) \neq (0)$ ? To answer this question, we observe first that, since  $\mathbf{R}$  is abelian, the commutator subgroup  $[G, G]$  of  $G$  must be contained in the kernel of every element of  $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbf{R})$  and so there is a natural surjection  $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbf{R}) \mapsto \text{Hom}(G/[G, G], \mathbf{R})$ . Thus the problem is reduced to the case where  $G$  is abelian.

**PROPOSITION 4.**  *$\text{Hom}(G, \mathbf{R}) = 0$  if and only if  $G/[G, G]$  is a torsion group.*

**PROOF.** As explained above, we may replace  $G$  by  $G/[G, G]$  and suppose that  $G$  is abelian. If  $G$  is a torsion group then (since  $\mathbf{R}$  is torsion-free) it is clear that  $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbf{R}) = (0)$ . Suppose that  $G$  is not a torsion group, so that there is an element  $h$  of  $G$  of infinite order. Then  $h$  freely generates an infinite cyclic subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , and we can certainly choose a nonzero homomorphism  $\lambda$  from  $H$  into  $\mathbf{R}$ . However,  $\mathbf{R}$  is an injective object in the category of abelian groups (since it is a divisible group) and so  $\lambda$  extends to a nonzero homomorphism from  $G$  to  $\mathbf{R}$ . Thus  $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbf{R}) \neq (0)$ .

**5. Comments and examples.** Consider first the case where  $G$  is abelian. If we regard the element  $\sum \gamma_g U_g$  of  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  as the Fourier series of the function  $\chi \mapsto \sum \gamma_g \chi(g)$  ( $\chi \in \hat{G}$ ) on the compact dual group  $\hat{G}$ , acting by pointwise multiplication as a bounded operator on  $L^2(\hat{G})$ , then we can easily verify that the group algebras  $C_r^*(G)$  and  $C^*(G)$  are both isomorphic to the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C(\hat{G})$  of all continuous complex-valued functions on  $\hat{G}$ . (In general,  $C_r^*(G)$  and  $C^*(G)$  are isomorphic if and only if  $G$  is amenable—see [3].) If  $G = \mathbf{Z}$  (the additive group of integers) and  $\lambda$  is the inclusion map from  $\mathbf{Z}$  into  $\mathbf{R}$  then, under the isomorphism between  $C^*(\mathbf{Z})$  and  $C(\Gamma)$  (where  $\Gamma$  is the circle group),  $\alpha_t^\lambda$  corresponds to the automorphism given by a rotation of  $\Gamma$  through  $2\pi t$  and  $\delta_\lambda$  corresponds (up to a scalar multiple) with ordinary differentiation of a function in  $C(\Gamma)$ .

For our second example we take  $G$  to be the free group on two generators. In this case it is clear that  $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbf{R}) \neq (0)$ . It has been shown by Powers [4] that  $C_r^*(G)$  (which is not isomorphic to  $C^*(G)$ ) is simple. By Theorem 1,

$C_r^*(G)$  has strongly continuous one-parameter groups of automorphisms which are not approximately inner.

We remark in conclusion that our results do not cast any doubt on the validity of the Powers-Sakai conjecture, since a *UHF* algebra can never be the group  $C^*$ -algebra (reduced or full) of a discrete group.

#### REFERENCES

1. O. Bratteli and D. Robinson, *Unbounded derivations of  $C^*$ -algebras*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **42** (1975), 253–268.
2. J. Dixmier, *Les  $C^*$ -algèbres et leurs représentations*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1964. MR **30** #1404.
3. F. P. Greenleaf, *Invariant means on topological groups and their applications*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York and London, 1969. MR **40** #4776.
4. R. T. Powers, *Simplicity of the  $C^*$ -algebra associated with the free group on two generators*, *Duke Math. J.* **42** (1975), 151–156.
5. R. T. Powers and S. Sakai, *Existence of ground states and KMS states for approximately inner dynamics*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **39** (1975), 273–288. MR **50** #15695.
6. ———, *Unbounded derivations in operator algebras*, *J. Functional Analysis* **19** (1975), 81–95.
7. S. Sakai, *On one-parameter subgroups of  $*$ -automorphisms on operator algebras and the corresponding unbounded derivations*, *Amer. J. Math.* (to appear).
8. ———, *On commutative normal  $*$ -derivations*. I, *Comm. Math. Phys.* (to appear); II, *J. Functional Analysis* **21** (1976), 203–208.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MANCHESTER UNIVERSITY, MANCHESTER M13 9PL, ENGLAND  
(Current address of Christopher Lance)

*Current address* (Assadollah Niknam): Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Joundishapour University, Ahwaz, Iran