

## PLURIHARMONIC FUNCTIONS IN BALLS

WALTER RUDIN<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** It is proved that a function is pluriharmonic in the open unit ball of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  if and only if it is harmonic with respect to both the ordinary Laplacian and the invariant Laplace-Beltrami operator.

A complex function  $u$  defined in an open subset of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  is said to be *pluriharmonic* if it satisfies the  $n^2$  partial differential equations

$$(1) \quad \partial^2 u / \partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j = 0 \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, n).$$

The importance of this system of equations stems from the fact that a real function is pluriharmonic if and only if it is locally the real part of a holomorphic function [1, p. 271].

The *Laplacian*  $\Delta u$  of  $u$  is

$$(2) \quad \Delta u = 4 \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z_k \partial \bar{z}_k}.$$

In the open unit ball  $B$  of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  we also have the so-called *Laplace-Beltrami operator*  $\tilde{\Delta}$ , defined by

$$(3) \quad \tilde{\Delta} u = (1 - |z|^2) \left[ \Delta u - 4 \sum_{i,j=1}^n z_i \bar{z}_j \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} \right],$$

where  $|z|^2 = |z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2$ . This is frequently called the *invariant Laplacian* in  $B$ , since

$$(4) \quad \tilde{\Delta}(u \circ \phi) = (\tilde{\Delta} u) \circ \phi$$

for every holomorphic one-to-one mapping  $\phi$  of  $B$  onto  $B$  [2, pp. 25–27].

It is perfectly obvious that every pluriharmonic  $u$  in  $B$  satisfies  $\Delta u = \tilde{\Delta} u = 0$ . The point of this note is to prove the converse.

**THEOREM.** *If  $u$  is a function in  $B$  that satisfies*

$$(5) \quad \Delta u = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Delta} u = 0$$

*then  $u$  is pluriharmonic.*

---

Received by the editors June 10, 1976.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 32M15; Secondary 31C10.

<sup>1</sup> Partially supported by NSF Grant MPS 75-06687.

© American Mathematical Society 1977

**PROOF.** The equation  $\Delta u = 0$  says that  $u$  is harmonic in  $B$ . Hence  $u$  can be expanded in a series

$$(6) \quad u = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k$$

in which each  $P_k$  is a homogeneous harmonic polynomial of total degree  $k$  in the variables  $z_1, \dots, z_n, \bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_n$ . The series converges uniformly on compact subsets of  $B$ , and successive derivatives of  $u$  can be obtained by termwise differentiation of the series.

Define  $\Lambda$  by

$$(7) \quad \Lambda f = \sum_{i,j=1}^n z_i \bar{z}_j \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}.$$

By (5),  $\Lambda u = 0$ . Since  $\Lambda$  carries the class of all homogeneous polynomials of degree  $k$  into itself, it follows from (6) that  $\Lambda P_k = 0$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Each  $P_k$  has a decomposition

$$(8) \quad P_k = \sum_{p+q=k} f_{p,q}$$

where  $f_{p,q}$  has total degree  $p$  in the variables  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ , and has total degree  $q$  in  $\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_n$ . If  $M$  is any monomial that occurs in  $f_{p,q}$  then

$$(9) \quad M(z) = cz_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots z_n^{\alpha_n} \bar{z}_1^{\beta_1} \cdots \bar{z}_n^{\beta_n}$$

with  $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n = p, \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_n = q$ . A simple computation shows that  $\Lambda M$  is  $M$  times  $\sum \alpha_i \beta_j = pq$ . Thus

$$(10) \quad \Lambda f_{p,q} = pqf_{p,q}.$$

Since  $\Lambda P_k = 0$ , (10) implies that  $pqf_{p,q} = 0$  for all  $p$  and  $q$ . Hence  $f_{p,q} = 0$  unless  $p = 0$  or  $q = 0$ . It follows that  $P_k = f_{k,0} + f_{0,k}$ , the sum of a holomorphic polynomial and one whose complex conjugate is holomorphic. Thus each  $P_k$  in (6) is pluriharmonic, and term-by-term differentiation shows the same for  $u$ .

**Postscript.** In view of this theorem, one may ask whether the inequalities

$$\Delta u > 0, \quad \tilde{\Delta} u > 0$$

imply that  $u$  is plurisubharmonic in  $B$ . The polynomial

$$u(z) = z_1 \bar{z}_1 + z_2 \bar{z}_2 - z_3 \bar{z}_3$$

shows that this is not so (at least when  $n > 2$ ), since  $\Delta u = 4$  and  $\tilde{\Delta}u = 4(1 - |z|^2)(1 - u) > 0$ , but  $u(0, 0, w) = -|w|^2$  is not a subharmonic function in the unit disc.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. C. Gunning and H. Rossi, *Analytic functions of several complex variables*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1965. MR 31 #4927.
2. E. M. Stein, *Boundary behavior of holomorphic functions of several complex variables*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1972.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON, WISCONSIN 53706