

## ON THE TRACE OF AN IDEMPOTENT IN A GROUP RING

GERALD H. CLIFF AND SUDARSHAN K. SEHGAL

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $KG$  be the group ring of a polycyclic by finite group  $G$  over a field  $K$  of characteristic zero. It is proved that if  $e = \sum e(g)g$  is a nontrivial idempotent in  $KG$  then its trace  $e(1)$  is a rational number  $r/s$ ,  $(r, s) = 1$ , with the property that for every prime divisor  $p$  of  $s$ ,  $G$  has an element of order  $p$ . This result is used to prove that if  $R$  is a commutative ring of characteristic zero, without nontrivial idempotents and  $G$  is a polycyclic by finite group such that no group order  $\neq 1$  is invertible in  $R$ , then  $RG$  has no nontrivial idempotents.

1. Let  $KG$  be the group ring of a group  $G$  over a field  $K$ . By the trace of an element  $\alpha = \sum_g \alpha(g)g$  of  $KG$  is understood  $\alpha(1)$ , the coefficient of the identity in  $\alpha$ . The following two statements regarding the trace of an idempotent in  $KG$  are well known.

**THEOREM (ZALESSKIĪ [7]).** *The trace of an idempotent in  $KG$  lies in the prime subfield of  $K$ .*

**THEOREM (KAPLANSKY, SEE [4]).** *If  $K$  is a field of characteristic zero, the trace of a nontrivial idempotent in  $KG$  lies strictly between 0 and 1.*

We expect that in the characteristic zero case one should be able to say more, namely the denominator of the trace of a nontrivial idempotent is a  $|G|$ -number, in the sense that for every prime  $p$  dividing this denominator,  $G$  has an element of order  $p$ . This statement is proved in Theorem 1 for polycyclic by finite groups. We apply this to prove that if  $R$  is a unital commutative ring of characteristic zero without nontrivial idempotents, with the property that no group element  $\neq 1$  has order invertible in  $R$  and  $G$  is polycyclic by finite, then  $RG$  has no nontrivial idempotents. This is proved for supersolvable groups in [3] and [5].

### 2. Results.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $KG$  be the group ring of a polycyclic by finite group  $G$  over a field  $K$  of characteristic zero. Let  $e = \sum_g e(g)g$  be a nontrivial idempotent. Write*

---

Received by the editors March 20, 1976.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 16A26; Secondary 20C05.

Key words and phrases. Group rings, idempotent, trace.

$e(1) = r/s$  with  $(r, s) = 1$ . If a prime  $p$  divides  $s$ , then there exists  $1 \neq g \in G$  of  $p$ -power order with  $e(g) \neq 0$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $RG$  be the group ring of a polycyclic by finite group  $G$  over a commutative unital ring  $R$  of characteristic zero. Suppose that  $R$  has no nontrivial idempotents and that no group element  $\neq 1$  has order invertible in  $R$ . Then  $RG$  has no nontrivial idempotents.*

**3. Proof of Theorem 1.** Denoting conjugate elements  $g$  and  $h$  of  $G$  by  $g \sim h$ , write for  $\alpha = \sum_g \alpha(g)g \in KG$ ,  $\tilde{\alpha}(g) = \sum_{h \sim g} \alpha(h)$ , the sum of coefficients of all conjugates of  $g$  in  $\alpha$ . The following result is well known.

**LEMMA 1 (FORMANEK [2]).** *If  $e = \sum e(g)g = e^2 \in KG$  and  $G$  is Noetherian then  $\tilde{e}(g) = 0$  for  $g$  of infinite order.*

Now let us suppose that  $G/A$  is finite and  $A$  is polycyclic. Then the number of infinite cyclic factors in any normal series of  $A$  is invariant, called the Hirsch number of  $G$ . We shall prove Theorem 1 by induction on the Hirsch number of  $G$ . We shall prove that if  $p$  is a prime divisor of  $s$  then there is an element  $g \in G$  of  $p$ -power order with  $\tilde{e}(g) \neq 0$ ,  $g \neq 1$ .

Suppose that the Hirsch number of  $G$  is  $\geq 1$ ; then it is easy to see by induction on the solvability length of  $A$  that  $G$  has a torsion-free normal subgroup  $N \neq \{1\}$  and therefore  $G/N$  has smaller Hirsch number. Let  $\bar{e}$  be the image of  $e$  under the natural map  $KG \rightarrow K(G/N)$ . Then due to Lemma 1,  $\bar{e}(1) = e(1) = r/s$ . Therefore, by induction, there is a  $\bar{g} \in G/N$  of  $p$ -power order such that  $0 \neq \bar{\tilde{e}}(\bar{g}) = \sum \tilde{e}(h)$ , a sum over certain  $h$  such that  $\bar{h}$  is conjugate to  $\bar{g}$ .

Since again due to Lemma 1,  $\tilde{e}(h) = 0$  for elements  $h$  of infinite order, we have that  $\tilde{e}(h_0) \neq 0$  for some  $h_0$  of finite order. This  $h_0$  clearly has  $p$ -power order. Thus it remains to prove

**LEMMA 2.** *If  $G$  is finite and  $p$  is a prime divisor of  $s$  then there exists a  $1 \neq g \in G$  of  $p$ -power order such that  $\tilde{e}(g) \neq 0$ .*

We shall need

**LEMMA 3 (HATTORI [1]).** *Suppose that  $e = \sum e(g)g = e^2 \in KG$  where  $G$  is finite and  $K$  has characteristic zero. Let  $\chi$  be the character of  $G$  afforded by  $KGe$ . Then for  $g \in G$  we have  $|C_G(g)|\tilde{e}(g) = \chi(g^{-1})$  where  $C_G(g)$  denotes the centralizer of  $g$  in  $G$ .*

**PROOF.** For any  $\alpha \in KG$  and  $h \in G$ , let  $T_\alpha(h): KG \rightarrow KG$  be the  $K$ -linear map which sends  $y$  to  $hy\alpha$ . Then  $T_e(h)$  acts on  $KGe$  as left multiplication by  $h$  and annihilates  $KG(1 - e)$ . Since  $KG = KGe \oplus KG(1 - e)$ , choosing a suitable basis of  $KG$ , we see that the trace of the linear transformation  $T_e(h)$  is equal to  $\chi(h)$ .

Now  $T_e(h) = \sum_g e(g)T_g(h)$  and  $T_g(h)$  sends  $x$  to  $hxg$  for any  $x \in G$ . Therefore  $T_g(h)$  permutes the elements of  $G$ , so its trace is the number of  $x \in G$  with  $x = hxg$ . But  $x = hxg$  if and only if  $x^{-1}h^{-1}x = g$ . So the trace

of  $T_g(h)$  is 0 if and only if  $g$  is not conjugate to  $h^{-1}$  and is  $|C_G(h)|$  otherwise. Hence

$$\chi(h) = \sum_{g \sim h^{-1}} e(g) |C_G(h)| = \tilde{e}(h^{-1}) |C_G(h)|.$$

**PROOF OF LEMMA 2.** Suppose on the contrary that  $\tilde{e}(g) = 0$  for all  $p$ -elements  $g \neq 1$ . Let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  and let  $\chi_P$  be the restriction of  $\chi$  to  $P$ . Then  $\chi_P(g) = 0$  for all  $1 \neq g \in P$  by Lemma 3. Therefore,

$$(\chi(1)/\zeta(1))\zeta(g) = \chi_P(g) \quad \text{for all } g \in P,$$

where  $\zeta$  is the character of the regular representation of  $P$ . Since the 1-representation occurs once and only once as a component of the regular representation, it follows that  $\chi(1)/\zeta(1)$  is an integer. Thus  $\chi(1)$  is a multiple of  $|P|$ . But  $\chi(1) = |G|r/s$ , so  $p$  cannot divide  $s$  and the lemma is proved.

**4. Proof of Theorem 2.** We may assume (as in [6]) that  $R$  is a Noetherian ring without nilpotent elements and therefore is contained in a direct sum of fields;  $R \subseteq F_1 \oplus \dots \oplus F_k \oplus \dots \oplus F_r$  where  $F_i$  are of characteristic zero for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $F_{k+j}$  are of finite characteristic. Let  $\Pi_i: RG \rightarrow F_i G$  be the map induced from the natural projection  $R \rightarrow F_i$ . We claim that  $e(1)$  is of the form

$$e(1) = (r/s, r/s, \dots, r/s, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots)$$

where the first  $k$  components are equal to the rational number  $r/s$ . This is true for finite  $G$  (see [6]). For polycyclic by finite groups it follows by induction on the Hirsch number in view of Lemma 1. Also, by Zalesskiĭ's Theorem,  $\alpha_i$ 's belong to finite fields.

We may suppose by considering  $1 - e$  if necessary that  $r/s \neq 0$ . Since  $r$  and  $s$  can be taken to be relatively prime, there exist integers  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $ar + bs = 1$ . Thus

$$\beta = ae(1) + b1_R = (1/s, \dots, 1/s, a\alpha_1 + b, a\alpha_2 + b, \dots) \in R.$$

We may suppose that  $a\alpha_i + b \neq 0$  for any  $i$ , as otherwise a suitable power of  $s\beta$  is a nontrivial idempotent in  $R$ . Now,

$$s\beta - 1 = (0, 0, \dots, 0, s(a\alpha_1 + b) - 1, s(a\alpha_2 + b) - 1, \dots) \in R.$$

Again, by the same argument,  $s(a\alpha_i + b) - 1 = 0$  for all  $i$  and so  $a\alpha_i + b = 1/s$ . We have

$$\beta = (1/s, \dots, 1/s) = 1/s \cdot 1_R \in R.$$

Since  $s$  is a  $|G|$ -number as seen by applying Theorem 1 to  $\Pi_1(e)$ , it follows that  $s = 1$ . Therefore,  $e = (1, 1, \dots, 1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots)$ . Write  $e' = 1 - e$ . Then since  $\Pi_i(e')$  has trace 0, it follows that  $\Pi_i(e') = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Hence  $e' \in SG$  where  $S = F_{k+1} \oplus \dots \oplus F_r$ . Let  $I$  be the ideal of  $R$  generated by the

coefficients of  $e'$ . Then  $I^2 = I \subset S$ . By Krull's theorem, there exists an element  $\gamma \in I$  such that  $I(1 - \gamma) = 0$ . Thus  $\gamma^2 = \gamma \in R$  and so  $\gamma = 0$  or  $1$ . Since clearly  $\gamma \neq 1$  as  $I \subset S$ , we have  $\gamma = 0$  and hence  $I = 0$ . It follows that  $e' = 0$  and  $e = 1$ .

## REFERENCES

1. A. Hattori, *Rank element of a projective module*, Nagoya J. Math. **25** (1965), 113–120. MR **31** #226.
2. E. Formanek, *Idempotents in Noetherian group rings*, Canad. J. Math. **25** (1973), 366–369. MR **47** #5041.
3. M. Parmenter and S. Sehgal, *Idempotent elements and ideals in group rings and the intersection theorem*, Arch. Math. **24** (1972), 586–600. MR **49** #350.
4. D. Passman, *Infinite group rings*, Dekker, New York, 1971. MR **47** #3500.
5. S. Sehgal, *Certain algebraic elements in group rings*, Arch. Math. **26** (1975), 139–143.
6. S. Sehgal and H. Zassenhaus, *Group rings without non-trivial idempotents*, Arch. Math. (to appear).
7. A. Zalesskiĭ, *On a problem of Kaplansky*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **203** (1972), 749–751 = Soviet Math. Dokl. **13** (1972), 449–452. MR **45** #6947.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON, ALBERTA, CANADA T6G 2G1