

ON THE TRACE OF AN IDEMPOTENT IN A GROUP RING

GERALD H. CLIFF AND SUDARSHAN K. SEHGAL

ABSTRACT. Let KG be the group ring of a polycyclic by finite group G over a field K of characteristic zero. It is proved that if $e = \sum e(g)g$ is a nontrivial idempotent in KG then its trace $e(1)$ is a rational number r/s , $(r, s) = 1$, with the property that for every prime divisor p of s , G has an element of order p . This result is used to prove that if R is a commutative ring of characteristic zero, without nontrivial idempotents and G is a polycyclic by finite group such that no group order $\neq 1$ is invertible in R , then RG has no nontrivial idempotents.

1. Let KG be the group ring of a group G over a field K . By the trace of an element $\alpha = \sum_g \alpha(g)g$ of KG is understood $\alpha(1)$, the coefficient of the identity in α . The following two statements regarding the trace of an idempotent in KG are well known.

THEOREM (ZALESSKIĪ [7]). *The trace of an idempotent in KG lies in the prime subfield of K .*

THEOREM (KAPLANSKY, SEE [4]). *If K is a field of characteristic zero, the trace of a nontrivial idempotent in KG lies strictly between 0 and 1.*

We expect that in the characteristic zero case one should be able to say more, namely the denominator of the trace of a nontrivial idempotent is a $|G|$ -number, in the sense that for every prime p dividing this denominator, G has an element of order p . This statement is proved in Theorem 1 for polycyclic by finite groups. We apply this to prove that if R is a unital commutative ring of characteristic zero without nontrivial idempotents, with the property that no group element $\neq 1$ has order invertible in R and G is polycyclic by finite, then RG has no nontrivial idempotents. This is proved for supersolvable groups in [3] and [5].

2. Results.

THEOREM 1. *Let KG be the group ring of a polycyclic by finite group G over a field K of characteristic zero. Let $e = \sum_g e(g)g$ be a nontrivial idempotent. Write*

Received by the editors March 20, 1976.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 16A26; Secondary 20C05.

Key words and phrases. Group rings, idempotent, trace.

$e(1) = r/s$ with $(r, s) = 1$. If a prime p divides s , then there exists $1 \neq g \in G$ of p -power order with $e(g) \neq 0$.

THEOREM 2. *Let RG be the group ring of a polycyclic by finite group G over a commutative unital ring R of characteristic zero. Suppose that R has no nontrivial idempotents and that no group element $\neq 1$ has order invertible in R . Then RG has no nontrivial idempotents.*

3. Proof of Theorem 1. Denoting conjugate elements g and h of G by $g \sim h$, write for $\alpha = \sum_g \alpha(g)g \in KG$, $\tilde{\alpha}(g) = \sum_{h \sim g} \alpha(h)$, the sum of coefficients of all conjugates of g in α . The following result is well known.

LEMMA 1 (FORMANEK [2]). *If $e = \sum e(g)g = e^2 \in KG$ and G is Noetherian then $\tilde{e}(g) = 0$ for g of infinite order.*

Now let us suppose that G/A is finite and A is polycyclic. Then the number of infinite cyclic factors in any normal series of A is invariant, called the Hirsch number of G . We shall prove Theorem 1 by induction on the Hirsch number of G . We shall prove that if p is a prime divisor of s then there is an element $g \in G$ of p -power order with $\tilde{e}(g) \neq 0$, $g \neq 1$.

Suppose that the Hirsch number of G is ≥ 1 ; then it is easy to see by induction on the solvability length of A that G has a torsion-free normal subgroup $N \neq \{1\}$ and therefore G/N has smaller Hirsch number. Let \bar{e} be the image of e under the natural map $KG \rightarrow K(G/N)$. Then due to Lemma 1, $\bar{e}(1) = e(1) = r/s$. Therefore, by induction, there is a $\bar{g} \in G/N$ of p -power order such that $0 \neq \bar{e}(\bar{g}) = \sum \tilde{e}(h)$, a sum over certain h such that \bar{h} is conjugate to \bar{g} .

Since again due to Lemma 1, $\tilde{e}(h) = 0$ for elements h of infinite order, we have that $\tilde{e}(h_0) \neq 0$ for some h_0 of finite order. This h_0 clearly has p -power order. Thus it remains to prove

LEMMA 2. *If G is finite and p is a prime divisor of s then there exists a $1 \neq g \in G$ of p -power order such that $\tilde{e}(g) \neq 0$.*

We shall need

LEMMA 3 (HATTORI [1]). *Suppose that $e = \sum e(g)g = e^2 \in KG$ where G is finite and K has characteristic zero. Let χ be the character of G afforded by KGe . Then for $g \in G$ we have $|C_G(g)|\tilde{e}(g) = \chi(g^{-1})$ where $C_G(g)$ denotes the centralizer of g in G .*

PROOF. For any $\alpha \in KG$ and $h \in G$, let $T_\alpha(h): KG \rightarrow KG$ be the K -linear map which sends y to $hy\alpha$. Then $T_e(h)$ acts on KGe as left multiplication by h and annihilates $KG(1 - e)$. Since $KG = KGe \oplus KG(1 - e)$, choosing a suitable basis of KG , we see that the trace of the linear transformation $T_e(h)$ is equal to $\chi(h)$.

Now $T_e(h) = \sum_g e(g)T_g(h)$ and $T_g(h)$ sends x to hxg for any $x \in G$. Therefore $T_g(h)$ permutes the elements of G , so its trace is the number of $x \in G$ with $x = hxg$. But $x = hxg$ if and only if $x^{-1}h^{-1}x = g$. So the trace

of $T_g(h)$ is 0 if and only if g is not conjugate to h^{-1} and is $|C_G(h)|$ otherwise. Hence

$$\chi(h) = \sum_{g \sim h^{-1}} e(g) |C_G(h)| = \tilde{e}(h^{-1}) |C_G(h)|.$$

PROOF OF LEMMA 2. Suppose on the contrary that $\tilde{e}(g) = 0$ for all p -elements $g \neq 1$. Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G and let χ_P be the restriction of χ to P . Then $\chi_P(g) = 0$ for all $1 \neq g \in P$ by Lemma 3. Therefore,

$$(\chi(1)/\zeta(1))\zeta(g) = \chi_P(g) \quad \text{for all } g \in P,$$

where ζ is the character of the regular representation of P . Since the 1-representation occurs once and only once as a component of the regular representation, it follows that $\chi(1)/\zeta(1)$ is an integer. Thus $\chi(1)$ is a multiple of $|P|$. But $\chi(1) = |G|r/s$, so p cannot divide s and the lemma is proved.

4. Proof of Theorem 2. We may assume (as in [6]) that R is a Noetherian ring without nilpotent elements and therefore is contained in a direct sum of fields; $R \subseteq F_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus F_k \oplus \cdots \oplus F_r$ where F_i are of characteristic zero for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and F_{k+j} are of finite characteristic. Let $\Pi_i: RG \rightarrow F_i G$ be the map induced from the natural projection $R \rightarrow F_i$. We claim that $e(1)$ is of the form

$$e(1) = (r/s, r/s, \dots, r/s, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots)$$

where the first k components are equal to the rational number r/s . This is true for finite G (see [6]). For polycyclic by finite groups it follows by induction on the Hirsch number in view of Lemma 1. Also, by Zalesskiĭ's Theorem, α_i 's belong to finite fields.

We may suppose by considering $1 - e$ if necessary that $r/s \neq 0$. Since r and s can be taken to be relatively prime, there exist integers a and b such that $ar + bs = 1$. Thus

$$\beta = ae(1) + b1_R = (1/s, \dots, 1/s, a\alpha_1 + b, a\alpha_2 + b, \dots) \in R.$$

We may suppose that $a\alpha_i + b \neq 0$ for any i , as otherwise a suitable power of $s\beta$ is a nontrivial idempotent in R . Now,

$$s\beta - 1 = (0, 0, \dots, 0, s(a\alpha_1 + b) - 1, s(a\alpha_2 + b) - 1, \dots) \in R.$$

Again, by the same argument, $s(a\alpha_i + b) - 1 = 0$ for all i and so $a\alpha_i + b = 1/s$. We have

$$\beta = (1/s, \dots, 1/s) = 1/s \cdot 1_R \in R.$$

Since s is a $|G|$ -number as seen by applying Theorem 1 to $\Pi_1(e)$, it follows that $s = 1$. Therefore, $e = (1, 1, \dots, 1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots)$. Write $e' = 1 - e$. Then since $\Pi_i(e')$ has trace 0, it follows that $\Pi_i(e') = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Hence $e' \in SG$ where $S = F_{k+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus F_r$. Let I be the ideal of R generated by the

coefficients of e' . Then $I^2 = I \subset S$. By Krull's theorem, there exists an element $\gamma \in I$ such that $I(1 - \gamma) = 0$. Thus $\gamma^2 = \gamma \in R$ and so $\gamma = 0$ or 1 . Since clearly $\gamma \neq 1$ as $I \subset S$, we have $\gamma = 0$ and hence $I = 0$. It follows that $e' = 0$ and $e = 1$.

REFERENCES

1. A. Hattori, *Rank element of a projective module*, Nagoya J. Math. **25** (1965), 113–120. MR **31** #226.
2. E. Formanek, *Idempotents in Noetherian group rings*, Canad. J. Math. **25** (1973), 366–369. MR **47** #5041.
3. M. Parmenter and S. Sehgal, *Idempotent elements and ideals in group rings and the intersection theorem*, Arch. Math. **24** (1972), 586–600. MR **49** #350.
4. D. Passman, *Infinite group rings*, Dekker, New York, 1971. MR **47** #3500.
5. S. Sehgal, *Certain algebraic elements in group rings*, Arch. Math. **26** (1975), 139–143.
6. S. Sehgal and H. Zassenhaus, *Group rings without non-trivial idempotents*, Arch. Math. (to appear).
7. A. Zalesskiĭ, *On a problem of Kaplansky*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **203** (1972), 749–751 = Soviet Math. Dokl. **13** (1972), 449–452. MR **45** #6947.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON, ALBERTA, CANADA T6G 2G1